

**CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS OF DURUM
WHEAT (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *Durum*)**

A. A. KHAN¹, M. A. ALAM¹, M. K. ALAM¹
M. J. ALAM¹ AND Z. I. SARKER²

Abstract

A study was conducted to examine relationship between important traits of durum wheat and their direct and indirect effects on grain yield. Research work was conducted during the winter season of 2009-10 under irrigated optimum seeding condition at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Ishurdi, Pabna with 10 advanced genotypes. A wheat variety was used as check. Positive and significant correlation was found for plant height, spikes/m², and 1000-grain weight with grain yield. Head days and maturity days showed considerable negative correlation with grain yield. Maturity days, spikes/m², and 1000-grain weight had significant positive direct effects on grain yield. Grains/spike had direct positive effect but in low magnitude. The indirect effect of head days and plant height on grain yield was found mainly through maturity days and 1000-grain weight. It can be concluded that more emphasis should be given on head days and plant height along with 1000-grain weight, spikes/m², and grains/spike during selection for durum wheat improvement.

Keywords: Durum wheat, correlation, path analysis, direct and indirect effects on grain yield.

Introduction

Durum wheat usually attracts a significant premium over the bread wheat grades. This and the agronomic adaptability of durum have attracted a growing number of farmers. It is widely grown in most part of the wheat growing areas of the world. Durum wheat is a major crop in the Mediterranean basin of West Asia, North Africa, and Southern converted into pasta products. In Bangladesh, there is no durum wheat variety still now. But it is becoming an increasingly viable option to cultivate this crop in near future. This study was carried out to delineate and to generate information about durum wheat which could be important for selection during its improvement.

Success of a breeding programme depends on numerous factors. Selection of a right variety for a particular region is an important one. Yield component concept in breeding has got much importance in improving yield potentiality.

¹Scientific Officer, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Ishurdi, Pabna, ²Principal Scientific Officer, Wheat Research Centre, BARI, Nashipur, Dinajpur, Bangladesh.

Selection based on the performance of grain yield alone, a polygenically controlled complex character, is usually not very efficient (Singh and Singh, 1973 and Sastri, 1974). Therefore, selection based on yield components was suggested to be more effective rather than yield alone (Shamsuddin and Ali, 1989). Thus, identifying and manipulating characters contributing to grain yield is important as it increases breeding efficiency. Initiating a breeding programme based on these yield components required the knowledge of relationship between yield and its component characters. In this connection, determination of correlation coefficient among the characters has considerable importance in selecting breeding materials (Afroz *et al.*, 2004). On the other hand, path coefficient analysis has been found to give more specific information on the direct and indirect influence of each of the component characters upon grain yield. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to find out relationship between yield and its component characters and the causes of such relations was further analyzed.

Materials and Method

Eight advanced lines of durum wheat along with two check varieties one from *T. durum* (BDW-8) and the other from *T. aestivum* (Prodip) were evaluated at the Regional Agricultural Research Station, Ishurdi during the winter season of 2009-2010 under irrigated and optimum seeding condition. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with four replications. The unit plot was 5 m long and 8 rows at 20 cm spacing. Pertinent data were collected from each plot on seven characters, namely days to heading, days to maturity, plant height (cm), spikes/m², grains/spike, 1000-grain weight, and grain yield (kg/ha). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were obtained as suggested by Johnson, *et al.* (1955) and path coefficient analysis was done as per Dewey and Lu (1959).

Results and Discussion

Mean value of grain yield and yield components of different characters of the advanced durum wheat lines including control are given in Table 1. Statistically significant differences among genotypes were observed for all the traits studied. Grain yield of durum wheat lines ranged between 5578 and 4469 kg/ha. D-001, D-002, and D-007 had yielded high and statistically same with control. The control variety Prodip had the tallest (102.9 cm) plant height. The plant height is very important in terms of resistance to lodging and harvest index (Dogan, 2009). Many researchers reported that the ideal plant height ranges from 90 to 100 cm (Tosun, 1987 and Yürür, 1998). It also showed the lowest head days and maturity days along with significantly high mean for rest of the characters compared to durum lines.

Table 1. Mean performances of durum genotypes for seven different characters.

Genotype	Head days	Maturity days	Plant height (cm)	Spikes/m ² (no.)	Grains/spike (no.)	1000-grain wt (g)	Grain yield (kg/ha)
BDW-(ch)	77.50ab	111.50a	88.05cd	329.00a	44.20b-d	34.67c	5234ab
Prodip(ch)	69.50e	103.80e	102.90a	312.30a-c	47.75a-d	44.50a	5578a
D-001	75.50c	109.50bc	89.20bc	298.00a-d	46.75b-d	33.85cd	5125a-c
D-002	75.75bc	110.00bc	90.55bc	316.80ab	50.25ab	33.88cd	5078a-c
D-003	77.75a	109.80bc	88.15cd	290.80b-d	47.47a-d	31.85cd	4516c
D-004	73.50d	107.80d	85.05d	289.50b-d	45.72b-d	28.19e	4469c
D-005	76.75a-c	108.80cd	87.60cd	287.30b-d	53.25a	31.21d	4906bc
D-006	75.75c	109.00c	88.05cd	279.80cd	41.53d	34.76c	4906bc
D-007	77.75a	109.30c	92.05b	300.50a-d	43.05cd	33.24cd	4969a-c
D-008	78.50a	110.80ab	88.05cd	269.80d	48.03a-c	38.03b	4859bc

D: Advanced Durum Wheat Line

Means of the same column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at 5% level of probability

The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients determined among the characteristics are presented in Table 2. The genotypic correlation coefficients were higher than their corresponding phenotypic ones in most of the cases which indicated that the association was largely due to genetic factors. It can also be mentioned that genotypic potentiality can easily be modified based on these desirable results.

Table 2. Genotypic (r_g) and phenotypic (r_p) correlation coefficients among yield and other components in durum wheat.

Character		Maturity days	Plant height	No. of spikes/m ²	No. of grains/spike	1000-grain wt	Grain yield
Head days	r_g	0.956**	-0.701*	-0.274	-0.111	-0.489	-0.504
	r_p	0.810**	-0.632*	-0.260	0.039	-0.418	-0.411
Maturity days	r_g		-0.784**	-0.099	-0.147	-0.520	-0.455
	r_p		-0.657*	0.011	-0.028	-0.393	-0.250
Plant height	r_g			0.390	0.047	0.883**	0.936**
	r_p			0.416	0.065	0.741*	0.587
Spikes/m ²	r_g				-0.180	0.258	0.742*
	r_p				0.137	0.097	0.459
Grains/spike	r_g					-0.032	-0.015
	r_p					0.020	0.071
1000-grain wt	r_g						0.99**
	r_p						0.539

* and ** Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

More importantly, grain yield was positively associated with plant height, spikes/m² and 1000-grain weight both at genotypic and phenotypic levels where the association was found significant at genotypic level only. Nofouzi *et al.* (2008) also reported significant and positive correlation between grain yield and plant height. Moreover, significant and positive correlation among number of productive tillers per plant and grain yield was noticed by Ali *et al.* (2008) in wheat. This result was also corroborated with the findings of Dogan (2009) and Gashaw *et al.* (2007). They had reported significant and positive correlation between grain yield and 1000-grain weight. Days to heading and days to maturity displayed a non-significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation with yield and with 1000-grain weight but their correlation were considerably high and negative. Similar results of non-significant and negative association of grain yield and maturity was observed by Subhashchandra *et al.* (2009) and Gashaw *et al.* (2007). It indicated that due to increase of vegetative phase, the opportunity for fixation of photosynthates and its translocation to developing grains was also short causing significant reduction of grain yield and grain size. The correlations of grains/spike with grain yield, and 1000-grain weight was negative at genotypic level and low in magnitude indicated that higher grain number adversely affect grain size which ultimately reduced grain yield.

Plant height showed significant and positive correlation with 1000-grain weight at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. It was also positively associated with number of spikes/m² and number of grains/spike. On the contrary, it was found negative and significant at both levels with head days suggesting that tall plants showed low head days resulting longer reproductive phase which accumulated more photosynthates to the grain. Apparently, this situation suggested highly significant positive correlation between plant height and grain yield. Similar findings were reported by Shamsuddin and Ali (1989) in wheat. Plant height also showed significant and negative association with maturity days which supports the findings noticed by Subhashchandra *et al.* (2009). Days to maturity showed considerable negative correlation for all the traits studied. Considerable negative correlations were also observed for head days with all the traits except maturity days suggesting shorter vegetative phase along with longer reproductive phase to contribute higher grain yield.

The path coefficient analysis appeared to provide a clue to the contribution of various components of yield to overall grain yield of the genotypes under study. It also provided an effective way of finding out direct and indirect sources of correlation. In our study of path coefficient analysis, grain yield represented the dependent variable and days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, number of spikes/m², number of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight were the independent ones. Direct and indirect effects of these components determined on grain yield and their contribution ratios were summarized in Table 3.

The results of path coefficient analysis for genotypic correlations among the traits revealed that 1000-grain weight exerted the highest direct positive effect (0.661) on grain yield followed by maturity days (0.594), number of spikes/m² (0.392) and number of grains/spike (0.107). Yagdi (2009) noticed that 1000-kernel weight increased the yield with the direct effect value of 0.286. Direct effect of spikes/m² had a proportion of 43.75% positive. This result partially supports the findings of Subhashchandra *et al.* (2009) and Ali *et al.* (2008) for the direct effect of productive tillers/plant on grain yield. Dogan (2009) had reported direct positive effect for grain number/spike and 1000-grain weight on yield. Ali *et al.* (2008) suggested that preference must be given on the number of productive tillers/plant and number of grains/spike in selection along with optimum plant height to select superior wheat genotypes.

Table 3. Path analysis of different characters on yield of durum wheat.

Character	Effect via						Total genotypic correlation (r _g) with grain yield
	Head days	Maturity days	Plant height	No. of spikes/m ²	No. of grains/m ²	1000-grain wt	
Head (P)	-0.327	0.567	-0.302	-0.107	-0.012	-0.323	-0.504
days (%)	19.96	34.62	18.44	6.53	0.73	19.72	
Maturity (P)	-0.313	0.594	-0.337	-0.039	-0.015	-0.343	-0.455
days (%)	19.07	36.20	20.54	2.38	0.91	20.90	
Plant (P)	0.229	-0.465	0.431	0.153	0.005	0.583	0.936**
height (%)	12.27	24.92	23.10	8.20	0.27	31.24	
Spikes/ (P)	0.089	-0.058	0.168	0.392	-0.019	0.170	0.742*
m ² (%)	9.93	6.47	18.75	43.75	2.12	18.97	
Grains/ (P)	0.036	-0.087	0.020	-0.071	0.107	-0.021	-0.015
spike (%)	10.53	25.44	5.85	20.76	31.29	6.14	
1000- (P)	0.159	-0.309	0.380	0.101	-0.003	0.661	0.99**
grain wt (%)	9.86	19.16	23.56	6.26	0.19	40.98	

Residual effect = $\sqrt{-0.241}$

Bold figures in diagonal line indicate direct effect

P= Path coefficient; %= Percentage of direct and indirect effects

* and ** Indicate 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively.

Number of spikes/m² had indirect significant effect via 1000-grain weight and plant height in positive direction and its high direct effect on grain yield. The contribution of these indirect effects was 18.97 and 18.75%, respectively. Number of grains/spike exhibited high indirect effect in negative direction via maturity days, while 1000-grain weight showed positive direction via plant

height, besides their direct effects at high level in positive direction. Percentages of these indirect effects were 25.44 and 23.56%, respectively. Maturity days showed considerable negative indirect effect via 1000-grain weight, plant height and head days, while its direct effect at high level in positive direction caused non-significant negative effects between maturity days and grain yield. Head days had the highest positive indirect effect (34.62%) via maturity days on yield, while plant height via 1000-grain weight 31.24%. Head days showed considerable negative direct effects (-0.327) on yield. Furthermore, plant height showed considerable positive direct effect on yield as 23.1%. Ashraf *et al.* (2002) found that direct effect of plant height on grain yield/plant was positive. Such results were also reported by Dogan (2009) in durum wheat.

From the correlation and path coefficient analyses, it can be summarized that more emphasis should be given on head days and plant height along with 1000-grain weight, number of spikes/m² and number of grains/spike could be useful selection criteria for durum wheat breeding. Many of earlier studies (Kumar and Hunshal, 1998; Garcia *et al.*, 2003; Ali *et al.*, 2008; Dogan, 2009; and Subhashchandra *et al.*, 2009) indicated that plant height, spikes/m², grains/spike, and 1000-grain weight are the main contributors to grain yield in durum wheat which supports the present findings. The above results also permit for further study of evolving desirable materials of durum wheat.

References

- Afroz, R., M. S. H. Sharif and L. Rahman. 2004. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in mustard and rape (*Brassica* spp.). *Bangladesh J. Pl. Breed. Genet.* **17**(1): 59-63.
- Ali, Y., B. M. Atta, J. Akhter, P. Monneveux and Z. Lateef. 2008. Genetic variability, association and diversity studies in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. *Pak J. Bot.* **40**(5): 2087-2097.
- Ashraf, M., A. Ghafoor, N. A. Khan and M. Yousaf. 2002. Path coefficient in wheat under rainfed conditions. *Pakistan J. Agric. Res.* **17**: 1-6.
- Dewey, D. R. and K. H. Lu. 1959. A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass grain production. *Agronomy Journal* **51**: 515-518.
- Dogan, R. 2009. The correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and some yield components of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *Durum*) in west anatolia conditions. *Pak. J. Bot.* **41**(3): 108 1-1089.
- Elias, E. M. and F. A. Manthey. 2005. End products. In: Royo, C., M. N. Nachit, N. Di fonzo, J. L. Araus, W. H. Pfeiffer and G. A. Slafer, eds. Durum wheat breeding. Current approaches and future strategies. New York: Food Academic Press, The Haworth Press, 63-86.

- Garcia, L. F., Y. del Moral, D. Rharrabti, Villagas and C. Royo. 2003. Evaluation of grain yield and its components in durum wheat under Mediterranean conditions. *Agronomy Journal*. **95**: 266-274.
- Gashaw, A., H. Mohammed and H. Singh. 2007. Selection criterion for improved grain yields in Ethiopian durum wheat genotypes. *African Crop Sci. J.* **15**(1): 25-31.
- Johnson, H. W., H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock. 1955. Estimation of genetic and environmental Variability in soybean. *Agronomy Journal* **47**: 314-318.
- Kumar, B. N. A. and C. S. Hunshal. 1998. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in durum wheats (*Triticum durum* Desf.) under different planting dates. *Crop-Research-Hisar*. **16**(3): 358-361.
- Nofouzil, F., V. Rashidi and A. R. Tarinejad. 2008. Path analysis of grain yield with its components in durum wheat under drought stress. International meeting on soil fertility land management and agroclimatology. Turkey. P. 681-686.
- Sastri, A. B. 1974. Path analysis of yield components in tobacco. *Indian J Genet.* **34**: 57-58.
- Shamsuddin, A. K. M. and M. M. Ali. 1989. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path analysis in spring wheat. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Sci.* **16**(1): 75-78.
- Singh, T. P. and K. B. Singh. 1973. Association of grain yield and its components in segregating populations of green gram. *Indian J. Genet.* **33**: 112-117.
- Subhashchandra, B., H. C. Lohithaswa, S. A. Desai, R. R. Hanchinal, I. K. Kalappanavar, K. K. Math and P. M. Salimath. 2009. Assessment of genetic variability and relationship between genetic diversity and transgressive segregation in tetraploid wheat. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* **22**(1):36-38.
- Tosun, O. 1987. Türkiye'nin Tahıl Yetiştirme Sorunları ve Bunların Çözüm Yolları. Türkiye Tahıl Simpozyumu (Tübitak), Bursa.
- Yagdi, K. 2009. Path coefficient analysis of some yield components in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* desf.). *Pak J. Bot.* **41**(2): 745-751.
- Yürür, N. 1998. Serin İklim Tahillari (Tahillar-I). *U.Ü. Basimevi*, 171-172.