

EFFICACY OF INSECTICIDES FOR SUPPRESSING POD BORER OF MUNGBEAN

M. SHAHIDUZZAMAN¹, B. L. NAG², H. M. K. BASHAR³
AND G. N. HASAN⁴

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at Regional Pulses Research Station (RPRS), Madaripur during *Kharif-I* season of 2014 and 2015 to find out the effective insecticides for suppressing pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) infesting Mungbean. In *Kharif-I*, 2014, suppression of pod borer infestation was the highest (80.82%) in Tracer (Spinosad) treated plot and produced the highest (1738 kg⁻¹ha) seed yield and the highest benefit cost ratio (6.28) obtained in Volium Flexi 300SC (Thiamethaxam+ Chlorantraniliprole) treated plot. In *Kharif-I*, 2015, suppression of pod borer infestation was the highest (64.15%) in Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot and produced the highest (1610 kg⁻¹ha) seed yield and also gave the highest benefit cost ratio (4.27). Considering the two years data the treatment Volium Flexi 300SC was found to be the best to suppress pod borer attacking mungbean.

Keywords: Insecticides, pod borer, mungbean

Introduction

Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) is one of the important pulse crops in Bangladesh. Farmers become more interested to cultivate this short duration valuable pulse crop after harvesting of *rabi* crops (*Kharif-I*). More than twelve species of insect pests were found to infest mungbean in the field in Bangladesh (Rahman *et al.* 2000). Among them, pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is the major insect pest causing considerable losses (Rahman *et al.*, 1981; Bakr 1998; Rahman *et al.*, 2000; Hossain *et al.*, 2004). Pod borer damages flower, flower buds and developing or mature pods (Poehlman, 1991). Pod borer alone has been reported to cause grain yield loss of 136 kg/ha (BARI, 1986). Generally farmers spray insecticides to manage pod borers. They use insecticides haphazardly without practicing the actual dose. Sufficient informations regarding insecticidal management practices to suppress pod borer in mungbean are not available. Therefore, the experiment was undertaken to find out the effective and suitable insecticide for suppressing pod borer.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Regional Pulses Research Station (RPRS), Madaripur during *Kharif-I* season of 2014 and 2015. The land was well ploughed

¹Scientific Officer, ²Chief Scientific Officer, Regional Pulses Research Station, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Madaripur, ³Senior Scientific Officer, OFRD, Gopalganj, ⁴Scientific Officer, OFRD, Patuakhali, Bangladesh.

by tractor and leveled. Weeds and stubbles were removed from the field. NPK fertilizers @ 20-40-20 kg/ha in the form of urea, triple super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively were applied at final land preparation. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. There were five treatments of insecticides viz., Admire 200SL (Imidacloprid) with concentration 0.05%, Belt 24 WG (Flubendiamide) with concentration 0.04%, Tracer 45SC (Spinosad) with concentration 0.04%, Volium Flexi 300SC (Thiamethaxam+Chlorantraniliprole) with concentration 0.05%, Proclaim 5SG (Emamectin Benzoate) with concentration 0.1% and untreated control was used in the trial. The seeds of BARI Mung-6 were sown on 05 March, 2014 and 04 March, 2015 in rows with the spacing of 40 cm. The populations of plants were maintained constant by keeping plant to plant distance 7 cm. The experiment was monitored regularly to observe the onset of insect. Insecticidal treatments were sprayed from the first appearance (flowering stage) of the insects. Intercultural operations were done whenever necessary. Total three sprays were applied at intervals of 7 days with the knapsack sprayer. Cost of fungicides application was calculated in per hectare adding labour cost to find out benefit cost ratio.

At maturity, all the pods were collected from 10 randomly selected plants from central four rows of each plot and examined. The infested (bored) and total pods of each plant were counted and the percent pod infestation was calculated.

The pods of each plot were harvested and threshed. The grains were cleaned and dried in the bright sun. The grain yield obtained from each plot was converted into kg per hectare. The experimental data were analyzed by MSTAT-C software. The percent data were transformed by square root transformation for statistical analysis. The grain yield loss per hectare due to pod borer infestation of each treatment was calculated using a standard formula based on percent pod infestation of actual yield obtained and expected yield in absence of any pod borer infestation for the respective treatment (Hossain *et al.*, 2004). Yield loss of mungbean due to pod borer = $Y_e - Y_a$, where Y_a = Actual yield (kg ha^{-1}) and Y_e = Expected yield in absence of any infestation.

$$Y_e = \frac{Y_a \times 100}{100 - P}, \text{ where } P = \text{Percent pod infestation.}$$

Treatment mean were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range Test. The marginal benefit cost ratio (MBCR) was calculated on the basis of prevailing market price of mungbean, insecticides and spraying cost. Marginal benefit cost ratio was calculated as follows:

$$\text{MBCR} = \frac{\text{Benefit over control}}{\text{Cost of treatment}}$$

Results and Discussion

The larvae of pod borer consumed seed of mungbean when the pod developed and a single borer damaged more than one pods voraciously. The damaged pods showed hole and resulted reduced yield. Insecticides application significantly suppressed pod borer infestation over untreated control in both the cropping seasons (Table 1).

During *Kharif-I* 2014, the lowest (4.17%) pod borer infestation was observed in Tracer 45SC treated plot which was statistically identical to that of Volium Flexi 300SC and Admire 200SL treated plot. The highest (21.74%) pod borer infestation was found in untreated control plot. Pod borer infestation reduction over control ranged from 45.63-80.82%. Reduction of pod infestation was the highest (80.82%) in Tracer 45SC sprayed plots followed by (69.55%) Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot which was very close to Admire 200SL (68.49%) treated plot. The lowest (45.63%) pod infestation reduction over control was found in Belt 24WG treated plot. In this cropping season, highest yield loss (314 kg⁻¹ha) obtained from untreated control and the lowest yield loss (76 kg⁻¹ha) was found in Tracer 45SC treated plot.

Table 1. Effect of Insecticide treatments on the pod infestation by pod borer and yield loss of mungbean during Kharif-I 2014 and 2015

Treatments	Pod infestation (%)		Infestation reduction over control (%)		Yield loss (kg ⁻¹ ha)	
	Kharif-I, 2014	Kharif-I, 2015	Kharif-I, 2014	Kharif-I, 2015	Kharif-I, 2014	Kharif-I, 2015
Admire 200SL (Imidacloprid)	6.85c (2.62)	4.36b (2.09)	68.49	56.70	112	65
Belt 24 WG (Flubendiamide)	11.82b (3.44)	5.02b (2.24)	45.63	50.15	232	74
Tracer45SC (Spinosad)	4.17c (2.04)	5.09b (2.26)	80.82	49.45	76	78
Volium Flexi 300SC (Thiamethaxam+ Chlorantraniliprole)	6.62c (2.57)	3.61b (1.90)	69.55	64.15	115	60
Proclaim 5SG (Emamectin Benzoate)	11.01b (3.32)	4.27b (2.07)	49.36	57.60	180	62
Control	21.74a (4.66)	10.07a (3.17)	-	-	314	133

Values within a column having same letter(s) do not differ significantly (p=0.05)

Figures in the parentheses are the square root transformation of values

During *Kharif-I* 2015, the infestation of pod borer was comparatively lower than the previous year which might be due to their lower abundance (Table 1). The lowest (3.61%) pod infestation was observed in Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot which was statistically similar to that of all the treatments except untreated control. The highest (10.07%) pod infestation was found in untreated control plot. Pod borer infestation reduction over control ranged from 49.45-64.15%. It was observed that pod infestation reduction over control was higher (64.15%) in Volium Flexi 300SC sprayed plot followed by (57.60%) Proclaim 5SG treated plot which was very close to Admire 200SL (56.70%) treated plot. The lowest (49.45%) pod infestation reduction over control was found in Tracer 45SC sprayed plot which was very close to Belt 24 WG (50.15%). In this year, highest yield loss (133 kg⁻¹ha) was obtained from untreated control and lowest yield loss (60 kg⁻¹ha) found in Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot which was comparable to Proclaim 5SG treated plot (62 kg⁻¹ha).

Table 2. Effect of insecticides on yield, net return and benefit cost ratio in mungbean production during Kharif-1, 2014 and 2015

Treatments	Yield (kg ⁻¹ ha)		Additional yield over control (kg ⁻¹ ha)		Additional income over control (Tk ⁻¹ ha)		Cost of fungicides Application (Tk ⁻¹ ha)		Net income (Tk ⁻¹ ha)		Marginal Benefit cost ratio (MBCR)	
	Khريف-I, 2014	Khريف-I, 2015	Khريف-I, 2014	Khريف-I, 2015	Khريف-I, 2014	Khريف-I, 2015	Khريف-I, 2014	Khريف-I, 2015	Khريف-I, 2014	Khريف-I, 2015	Khريف-I, 2014	Khريف-I, 2015
Admire 200SL (Imidacloprid)	1520c	1420b	390	230	31200	18400	7350	7350	23850	11050	3.24	1.50
Belt 24 WG (Flubendiamide)	1728a	1400b	598	210	47840	16800	7200	7200	40640	9600	5.64	1.33
Tracer 45SC (Spinosad)	1738a	1450b	608	260	48640	20800	17640	17640	31000	3160	1.76	0.18
Volium Flexi 300SC (Thiamethaxam + Chlorantraniliprole)	1710a	1610a	580	420	46400	33600	6375	6375	40025	27225	6.28	4.27
Proclaim 5SG (Emamectin Benzoate)	1455d	1390b	325	200	26000	16000	10050	10050	15950	5950	1.59	0.59
Control	1130e	1190c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Values within a column having same letter(s) do not differ significantly ($p=0.05$)

For calculating net return and benefit the following market prices were used:

Admire 200SL (Imidacloprid) = Tk.1850/250ml, Belt 24 WG (Flubendiamide) = Tk.1800/200gm, Tracer45SC (Spinosad) = Tk.5280/200ml, Volium Flexi 300SC (Thiamethaxam+Chlorantraniliprole) = Tk.1525/250ml, Proclaim 5SG (Emamectin Benzoate) = Tk.2750/500g, Mungbean=Tk. 80⁻¹kg and Labour wage= Tk. 300/day/labourer (8 hours day)

The yields of different treatments are presented in Table 2. The yield of mungbean varied significantly with crop growth, pod setting, pod borer infestation and climatic variation of the cropping seasons. During *Kharif-I*, 2014, the highest (1738 kg⁻¹ha) yield was obtained from the plot sprayed with Tracer45SC which was statistically identical to that of Belt 24 WG (1728 kg⁻¹ha) and Volium Flexi 300SC (1710 kg⁻¹ha) treated plot. The lowest (1130 kg⁻¹ha) yield was obtained from untreated control plot. The net income and marginal benefit cost ratio varied depending on cost of insecticidal application. In this year, the highest net income (40640 Tk⁻¹ha) was gained from Belt 24 WG treated plot followed by (40025 Tk⁻¹ha) Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot. The lowest net income (15950 Tk⁻¹ha) obtained from Proclaim 5SG treated plot. The highest benefit cost ratio (6.28) achieved from Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot followed by Belt 24 WG treated plot (5.64). The lowest benefit cost ratio (1.59) was calculated in Proclaim 5SG treated plot. Though the highest net income achieved from Belt 24 WG treated plot, due to lower market price, Volium Flexi 300SC gave higher benefit cost ratio.

During *Kharif-1* 2015, the highest (1610 kg⁻¹ha) yield was obtained from the plot sprayed with Volium Flexi 300SC which was statistically significant from all other treatments. The next highest (1450 kg⁻¹ha) yield was obtained from Tracer45SC treated plot. The lowest (1190 kg⁻¹ha) yield was found from untreated control plot. Plots treated with Admire 200SL, Belt 24 WG, Tracer45SC and Proclaim 5SG produced statistically identical yield as well as pod infestation (%). In this year, the highest net income (27225 Tk⁻¹ha) obtained from Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot followed by (11050 Tk⁻¹ha) Admire 200SL treated plot. The lowest net income (3160 Tk⁻¹ha) obtained from Tracer45SC treated plot. The highest benefit cost ratio (4.27) achieved from Volium Flexi 300SC treated plot followed by (1.50) Admire 200SL treated plot. The lowest benefit cost ratio (0.18) obtained in Tracer45SC treated plot. Though Tracer45SC sprayed plot provided considerable yield (1450 kg⁻¹ha), due to higher price of the insecticides it gave lower benefit cost ratio (0.18). Chaudhary and Sachan (1995), Hossain (2012) showed the significant effect of Cypermethrin application on pod borer population reduction compared to untreated control. Giraddi *et al.* (1994) reported effective control by Endosulfun when 2 sprays were applied at 50% flowering followed by 2 sprays at the green pod stage.

Conclusion

From the previous two years findings, it could be concluded that the insecticide Volium Flexi 300SC could suppress pod borer (% decrease of Pod infestation over control 69.55 and 64.15, respectively) of Mungbean and gave better yield (1710 and 1610 kg⁻¹ha respectively). So, it may be recommended that from the first appearance of pod borer, three sprays of Volium Flexi 300SC with

concentration 0.05% at 7 days interval is applicable for controlling the infestation of pod borer in mungbean.

References

- Bakr, M.A. 1998. Disease and insect management of mungbean and blackgram. Resource manual-Location specific technologies for rice based cropping systems under irrigated conditions. Thana cereal technology transfer and identification project, Dhaka. Pp. 201-205
- BARI, 1986. Annual report of pulse improvement programme. 1985-86. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Jaydebpur, Gazipur, Bangladesh
- Chaudhary, R.R.P. and R.B. Sachan. 1995. Comparative efficacy and economics of some insecticides against gram pod borer, *Heliothis armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea in western plain of Uttar Pradesh. *Bharatiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*. **10**(4): 159-164.
- Giraddi, R.S., B.S. Goudreddy and P.B. Patil. 1994. Critical time of spray in chickpea for the control of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). *Karnataka J. Agril. Sci.* **7**(1): 79-81.
- Hossain, M.A. 2012. Development of insecticide based management package against insect pests complex of mungbean. Annual Research Report under ACIAR Project, PRC, BARI, Ishwardi, Pabna.
- Hossain, M.A., J. Ferdous, M.A. Sarkar and M.A. Rahman. 2004. Insecticidal management of thrips and pod borer in mungbean. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.* **29**(3), 347-356.
- Poehlman, J.M. 1991. The Mungbean. Oxford and IBH Publ. Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, Bombay and Calcutta, 292 Pp.
- Rahman, M.M., M.A. Bakr, M.F. Mia, K.M. Idris, C.L.L. Gowda, J. Kuma, U.K. Dev, M.A. Malek and A. Sobhan. 2000. Legumes in Bangladesh. In: Johansen, C., Duxbury, J. M., Virmani, S. M., Gowda, C. L. L., Pande, S. and Joshi, P. K. (eds.). Legumes in rice and wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plain- Constraints and opportunities. Pathancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: ICRISAT and Ithaca, New York, USA: Cornell University. 230 Pp.
- Rahman, M.M., M.A. Mannan and M.A. Islam. 1981. Pest survey of major summer and winter pulses in Bangladesh. In the Proceedings of the National Workshop on pulses. (eds.) A. K. Kaul. Pp. 265-273.