



## Changes in morphology, nutrient content and production costs of hydroponic wheat as fodder

MS Bari, MN Islam, MM Islam, MR Habib, MAH Sarker, MM Sharmin<sup>1</sup>, MH Rashid, MA Islam 

Department of Dairy Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh; <sup>1</sup>Department of Dairy and Poultry Science, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh.

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received: 18 May 2022

Revised: 06 June 2022

Accepted: 08 June 2022

Published: 30 June 2022

#### Keywords:

Hydroponic, biomass yield, plant height, root length and number, chemical composition, production cost

#### Correspondence:

MA Islam

✉: [m.a.islam@bau.edu.bd](mailto:m.a.islam@bau.edu.bd)

ISSN: 0003-3588



### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to investigate the changes in morphology, biomass yield, chemical composition and production cost of Hydroponic Wheat Fodder (HWF) with the advancement of growing days. The HWF was cultivated at low cost sprouting house at the Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU) Dairy Farm, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. Firstly, wheat grains were collected, washed and then soaked in tap water for 12 h. Thereafter, grains were wrapped with a gunny bag for 24 h for germination. Then, grains were spread out in trays and irrigated using tap water up to 8<sup>th</sup> day morning. Biomass yield, morphological, nutritional parameters and cost of production were determined daily from each of the eight batches (day, 0 to 8) in the sprouting house. An increasing trend was seen in biomass yield, plant height, root length and root number of HWF with the days of advancement and found highest on 8<sup>th</sup> day ( $p=0.000$ ). Biomass yield was increased 6 times during this 8 days cycle. On the contrary, a decreasing trend was observed in cost of production (0-5<sup>th</sup> day) and found lowest (BDT. 5.00) value in day 6<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> ( $p < 0.001$ ). A strong positive ( $r=0.891-0.989$ ) correlation exists between biomass yield and morphological features ( $p < 0.001$ ). Whereas, cost of production negatively ( $r=-0.857--0.946$ ) correlated with biomass yield and morphological parameters ( $p < 0.001$ ). The dry matter content of HWF reduced ( $p < 0.001$ ) gradually from day 0 to 8. The crude protein, ether extracts and minerals (calcium, phosphorus and magnesium) content of HWF increased positively from day 0 to 8 but nitrogen-free-extracts and organic matter declined ( $p < 0.001$ ) gradually. However, morphology, biomass yield, chemical composition and production cost of fodder were similar between the days of 7 to 8. Finally, farmers might grow HWF up to 7-8 days as a new source of livestock feed.

**Copyright** © 2022 by authors and Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC By 4.0).

### Introduction

Green fodder is a natural diet for livestock (Jemimah *et al.*, 2018) and sustainable farming largely depends on regular supply of quality green fodder (Naik *et al.*, 2012; Naik *et al.*, 2014). Productivity of dairy animals are negatively affected due to serious crisis of green fodder supply worldwide (Jemimah *et al.*, 2018). In Bangladesh, animal feeds and fodder shortage has been considered as a major constraint for

livestock production (Uddin and Dhar, 2018). Only 0.1% cultivable land is used for fodder production in Bangladesh due high pressure of cereal grains demand. Moreover, 1% cultivation land is reducing every year due to the pressure from population growth and rapid urbanization (BBS, 2014; Bari *et al.*, 2020). This situation become more critical due to severe climate change, deterioration of fertile soil and water resource, competition between fodder and cereal crops (El-Morsy *et al.*, 2013). On the hand,

### How to Cite

MS Bari, MN Islam, MM Islam, MR Habib, MAH Sarker, MM Sharmin, MH Rashid and MA Islam (2022). Changes in morphology, nutrient content and production costs of hydroponic wheat as fodder. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 51 (2): 68-80. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjas.v51i2.60498>.

intensive high yielding animal production system increases nutrient requirement for the animals that rises green fodder demand rapidly as the ruminant animals cannot always be dependent on cereal grains like that of mono-gastric animals (Girma and Gebremariam, 2018). These shortage of green fodder leads to substantial production loss of ruminant animals (Kide *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, considering the constraints in conventional method of fodder production and realizing the huge gap between demand and supply of green fodder, researchers have been given their attention to explore alternative ways of fodder production in a better way (Naik *et al.*, 2015; Girma and Gebremariam, 2018). Hydroponic is an alternative and one of the latest technologies for growing green fodder and has significance in regions where the production of green fodder is limited (Omar *et al.*, 2012; Bari *et al.*, 2020).

Hydroponic fodder is produced from grains that are germinated and grown under acceptable growing conditions inside the special growing room (hydroponic machine or low cost greenhouse system) for a short period of time (Sneath and McIntosh, 2003; Naik *et al.*, 2015). Hydroponic technique is free of chemicals such as insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, and artificial growth promoters (Naik *et al.*, 2015; Girma and Gebremariam, 2018). Hydroponic green fodder has highly palatable sprouts and plant height varies from 15 - 20 cm that can be easily produced through soil-less germination of cereal grains (FAO, 2001). Grains sprouting activities involve several modifications viz. carbohydrates are transformed into sugar, proteins converted into essential amino acids, and fats are altered into essential fatty acids (Farghaly *et al.*, 2019). These alterations increased with the intensifications of enzyme levels (Shipard, 2005). Hydroponically sprouted grain raises the amount of minerals, vitamins, crude protein, ash and crude fiber, and decreases the phytic acid level in fodder (Morgan *et al.*, 1992, Farghaly *et al.*, 2019). According to Girma and Gebremariam (2018), enzymes can also remove other anti-nutritional factors during germination. Germination and sprouting also neutralize the cereal grain inhibitors and improve the digestion facilitating enzymes profile (Shipard, 2005). The most important aspect of hydroponic fodder production is that one kilogram of grains can produce 6 to 10 kg of fresh green fodder within

one week at any time of the year and weather condition (Gebremedhin, 2015). Though it seems like growing a lot of feed with the increase in fresh weight, but there is a consequent decrease in the DM content compared with the initial grain (Islam *et al.*, 2016). Fresh biomass yield and nutrient, especially DM content of the crops are critical for effective production of hydroponics fodder (Naik *et al.*, 2015). The fresh yield and DM content of the hydroponic fodders are influenced by the several factors viz. crop types, seed quality, seed rate, light, growing period, water quality, pH, used nutrient solution, temperature, humidity, clean and hygienic condition of the sprouting house etc. (Dung *et al.*, 2010b; Fazaeli *et al.*, 2011; Naik, 2012b; Naik *et al.*, 2015). According to Salo (2019), great diversity exists in the benefit or loss of DM over 8-10 days of sprouting period ranged from 10 per cent loss to 15 per cent gain.

Fresh hydroponic fodder are produced from barley, corn, oat, cow peas, sorghum and wheat grains (Naik *et al.*, 2015, Girma and Gebremariam, 2018; Salo, 2019). Wheat is an important serial grain in Bangladesh and it is possible to use this grain for hydroponic fodder production. But it is very obligatory to understand the biomass yield, morphology and nutrient change pattern and cost involvement in hydroponic fodder production system for efficient fodder production. Research was conducted on nutrient change pattern of maize (Naik *et al.*, 2012), barley (Akbad *et al.*, 2014), cowpea (Naik *et al.*, 2016), sorghum (Sriagtula *et al.*, 2021) and wheat (kantale *et al.*, 2017) in hydroponic machine system. Kantale *et al.* (2017) compared the nutrient composition of different days old hydroponic wheat fodder produced in hydroponic machine system. But there is no research works came into attention to the authors regarding the morphology, nutrient change pattern and production costs involvement with the advancement of growth of hydroponic wheat sprouts in low cost housing system and their correlations. But detail study of biomass yield, morphology and nutrient change pattern, and economics of such an innovative production system should carefully be scrutinized before taking production decision (Islam *et al.*, 2016; Al-Karaki and Al-Hashimi, 2012). The present study was therefore carried out to assess changes in plant height, root number and length, yield of biomass, nutrient content and cost of

production of the hydroponic wheat fodder with the days of sprouting.

## **Materials and Methods**

### ***Production of hydroponic fodder***

Green wheat sprout (*Triticum aestivum*) was produced in a hydroponic fodder production unit of Research Dairy Farm, Department of Dairy Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh (24°43'46.5"N, 90°25'22.8"E). Hydroponic wheat fodder was produced in a low-cost type hydroponic sprouting house (21 × 12 × 10 ft<sup>3</sup>) for one month and per day production aptitude was 50 kg fresh fodder. This housing system consists of locally available insulating materials i.e. polythene, gunny bag etc., wooden shelves, electric fan, light, thermometer, hygrometer and manual sprinklers. The sprouting unit had two wooden shelves (20 × 1 × 5 ft<sup>3</sup>) with each a capacity of up to 53 hydroponic aluminum trays (30×12×1.5 inch<sup>3</sup> /tray). Growing trays (105) had a seed capacity of 450 g, and 15-20 small pores for excess water drainage. For irrigation, hand sprinklers and tap water were used. Total 8 batches of hydroponic fodder of different stages (from seed to day 8 sprout) were produced continuously in the hydroponic production unit. Every day one batch (day 0) enters into the process and one batch (day 8) was harvested.

Wheat seeds (BARI Gom 26) were collected from the Bangladesh Agricultural Development Corporation BADC, Mymensingh sadar, Mymensingh-2200, Bangladesh. Collected seeds were then sun dried to reduce its moisture at 12-14% for better storage and germination. Planting trays were washed with caustic detergent and disinfected with bleaching powder, and then sun dried before starting a new batch. Every day, weighed seeds (around 6.75 kg; 450g for each of the 15 trays of one batch) were cleaned from debris and other foreign materials through washing by tap water. Later, seeds were soaked in fresh water for 12 hours. Then, all water has been drained out and grains were hanging in a perforated bucket for 1 h (breathing time) to become externally water-free. For germination, grains were wrapped with a cotton cloth and filled into the gunny bag and, about 85% germination took place within 24 hours. Then, germinated and non-germinated seeds were transplanted and sprayed on sterilized aluminum trays. Water was given through sprinklers to the wheat sprout up

to 8<sup>th</sup> day morning at intervals of 3 hours for keeping it moist all the time. Care was also taken to avoid accumulation of water to prevent growth of the fungus. The trays were switched from one row to another each day and from one side to the other to complement the 8-day period from germination state to harvesting time. Everyday random samples (from five different positions of 3 random trays for every batch) have been obtained from trays containing germinated seed from day 1 until day 8 sprouts and the sample was collected from 10 successive batches.

### ***Measurement of morphological parameters***

Plant height was measured from the base of the plant to tip of the plant and root length was measured from the base of the plant to the tip of the root. Both the plant height and root length were measured in centimeter (cm) using a measuring scale by keeping on glass. Root numbers of the sample sprouts were counted individually. Biomass yield of fodder was measured using a weighing balance (RFL electric weighing balance, SS straight, LA-111×100, 100 kg, Bangladesh).

### ***Chemical analysis***

Randomly the representative fodder samples (300 g of each day) from each batch were collected, weighed and sun dried for 2-3 days. After proper sun drying the samples were oven-dried at a temperature of 105°C for 24 hours for the determination of dry matter (DM). Then, the dried samples were grounded at 8000 rpm by lab mill type grinding machine (Christy and Norris Limited, Process Engineers Chelmsford, England). Thereafter, the ground samples were kept into polythene bag, labeled and stored for further chemical analysis. Processed samples were analyzed for organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP, N× 6.25), crude fiber (CF), ether extract (EE), nitrogen-free-extract (NFE) and ash by using standard procedures (AOAC, 2005). These proximate components were analyzed at Animal Science laboratory, Department of Animal Science, BAU, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh. All the grounded feed samples were analyzed twice and mean values were recorded.

### ***Digestion of the sample and minerals analysis***

Calcium, magnesium, and phosphorus content in hydroponic wheat fodder were determined at the Post-graduate Laboratory of Agricultural Chemistry, Department of Agricultural Chemistry,

BAU, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh. About 1 g wheat fodder ground sample was digested in a block digester system (VELP Scientific, Model DK 6, Italy) as described by Singh and Parowan (1999). In this, the required sample was digested with 10 mL di-acid mixture (96% conc. HNO<sub>3</sub> and 60% HClO<sub>4</sub> at 2:1) and was heated at 180-200°C until white fumes were evolved. Calcium and magnesium concentrations of fodder samples were determined by EDTA titrimetric method where Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA was used as chelating agent and the pH was 12. Phosphorus content was measured calorimetrically using the stannous chloride method (Jackson, 1973). The intensity of color was measured within 10-15 minutes by T60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (PG Instruments

Limited, Model TG-60U, China) and the wavelength was 660 nm.

**Economic analysis**

Total cost of production per month of hydroponic wheat fodder was calculated including all cost items viz. land, seed, labor, electricity (for light, fan, water etc.), depreciation cost @ 10% on house construction and 20% on tray preparation cost and others, detergent and miscellaneous costs (Table-1). Cost of production for 1 kg hydroponic wheat fodder at different days was calculated through considering the days of production cycle, number of batch continuing each day, yield per batch and cost of each batch at different days in the production cycle.

**Table 1:** Cost of Production of Hydroponic wheat fodder

Items	Cost (BDT.)
<b>Capital Expenditure</b>	
Land 360 sq. ft.	43,000/-
House construction (252 sq. ft.) with shelves @ BDT. 300/ sq. ft.	75,000/-
Trays preparation 105 @ BDT. 150/P	15,700/-
Miscellaneous	10,000/-
<b>Recurring Expenditure (Monthly)</b>	
Land cost (per month rent of 360 sq. ft.) @ BDT. 36000/- per acre land rent cost	25/-
Wheat seed 202.5 kg @ BDT.18/Kg	3,645/-
Labour 72 hours @ BDT. 25/hour or 6000/month	1,800/-
Electricity (around 39 unit )@ BDT.5.14/unit	200/-
Depreciation cost @ 10% on house construction and 20% on tray preparation cost and others	517/-
Detergent cost	100/-
Miscellaneous	25/-
<b>Monthly production cost</b>	<b>6312/-</b>

*N.B. Continuously 8 batch of hydroponic fodder (of above mentioned days) was produced. Here, we consider price of land BDT. 50000/decimal (435.6 Sq. ft.).*

**Statistical analysis**

One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using Minitab 17 software (Minitab Limited, Brandon Court, Coventry, UK). The mean difference among the treatments was achieved by Tukey’s HSD test. The relationships between morphological parameters, biomass yield, production cost, and nutrient parameters were calculated by Pearson’s correlations. Multiple regression analysis of biomass yield was performed by considering the morphological parameters e.g. plant height, root number and root length as predictors. Multiple regression analysis was done where nutrient content and cost of production considered as responses, and biomass yield and morphological parameters thought as predictors.

**Result and Discussion**

**Morphological characteristics, biomass yield and production cost**

The changes in morphological features (root number, root length and plant height) and biomass yield of hydroponic wheat fodder (HWF) at different days of growth are tabulated in Table 2. All the morphological parameters of wheat fodder increased with the advancement of production days. The root numbers on day 8 (7.98) was found higher than other days (0 -7.9) of the given period. Root numbers of HWF gradually increased (p < 0.001) from day 1 to day 5 but after that it was remained statistically alike (p > 0.05) up to the 8<sup>th</sup> day of production. An increasing trend was also observed in root length of HWF with the days of production and it

reached at maximum level at 8<sup>th</sup> day (9.7 cm). But, root length in day 7 and 8 varied non-significantly. In this study, roots germination and visibility require 1 day which is earlier than the other types of seed. This is consistent with the findings of Naik et al. (2015) who reported that maize and cowpea seeds germination in hydroponic condition starts after 1 or 2 days and roots were clearly visible after 2 or 3 days of sowing.

The height of the plant was significantly increased from day 1 to 8 ( $p < 0.001$ ) and  $\approx 2$  cm height increased daily. Average 14 cm

height was found at 8<sup>th</sup> day of production which was 12 cm higher than that of the day 1. This finding agrees with Naik and Singh (2013) and Naik et al. (2014). Plant height generally depends on types of grain and the hydroponics fodder appearances like a mat of 11-30 cm height consisting of germinated seeds embedded in their white roots and green shoots after end of the germination period of about 8-days (Snow et al., 2008; Dung et al., 2010b; Naik et al., 2011; Naik et al., 2014).

**Table 2:** Morphological and biomass yield changes pattern of hydroponic wheat fodder

Day	Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7	Day 8	P-Value
Root Number	0.00 <sup>e</sup> ±0.00	1.80 <sup>d</sup> ±0.64	4.47 <sup>c</sup> ±0.77	5.10 <sup>c</sup> ±0.58	6.02 <sup>b</sup> ±0.68	7.42 <sup>a</sup> ±0.70	7.49 <sup>a</sup> ±0.72	7.89 <sup>a</sup> ±0.58	7.98 <sup>a</sup> ±0.68	0.000
Root Length (cm)	0.00 <sup>i</sup> ±0.00	0.79 <sup>h</sup> ±0.06	1.21 <sup>g</sup> ±0.19	3.10 <sup>f</sup> ±0.08	5.32 <sup>e</sup> ±0.23	6.23 <sup>d</sup> ±0.15	7.10 <sup>c</sup> ±0.22	8.56 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.21	9.72 <sup>a</sup> ±0.32	0.000
Plant Height (cm)	0.00 <sup>i</sup> ±0.00	0.99 <sup>h</sup> ±0.05	2.32 <sup>g</sup> ±0.37	4.20 <sup>f</sup> ±0.11	6.80 <sup>e</sup> ±0.29	8.47 <sup>d</sup> ±0.29	10.34 <sup>c</sup> ±0.54	11.98 <sup>b</sup> ±0.66	14.10 <sup>a</sup> ±0.51	0.000
Biomass yield (kg)	1.00 <sup>i</sup> ±0.00	1.85 <sup>gh</sup> ±0.09	2.14 <sup>g</sup> ±0.07	2.70 <sup>f</sup> ±0.07	3.29 <sup>e</sup> ±0.14	4.19 <sup>d</sup> ±0.11	5.26 <sup>c</sup> ±0.16	5.98 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.10	6.27 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	0.000

N. B. Biomass yield at day 0 indicates the weight of the seed only. Mean values in a row with uncommon superscript differed significantly.

Biomass yield of hydroponic fodder was increased gradually from day 0 to 8. Result revealed that significant differences ( $p < 0.001$ ) existed in biomass yield of HWF in terms of growing days but yield was remained statistically similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) between 7 to 8 days of production. About 6.3 kg hydroponic wheat fodder was recorded at day 8 from 1 kg of wheat grain sowing at day 0. The higher amount of biomass yield at 8<sup>th</sup> day of sprouting may be due to greater height in plant, root length and root number of that day (Table 2) which is in accordance with the findings of Islam et al. (2016). The present findings were highly collaborated with Morgan et al. (1992) and Bautista (2002) who observed a significant variation in dry weight (DW) and wet weight (WW) of the hydroponic fodder. Fresh weight was increased 1.72 times after 1 day sprouting compared to the original dry seed weight and it continued up to around 6 folds after 7 days of sprouting (Peer and Lesson, 1985) which is in line with our findings. Kantale et al. (2017) found 7.12 kg biomass yield at 8<sup>th</sup> day in machine grown hydroponic wheat fodder which is higher compared to our current findings and this may be due to use of hi-tech hydroponic machine compared to manual cultivation of hydroponic fodder with least cost sprout housing system and use of nutrient solution

instead of only tap water. Average green fodder yield ranged from 5 -7 kg per each kg of barley grain at 6 to 8 day of production (Naik et al., 2015). Generally, biomass yield of hydroponic fodder influenced by several factors e.g. the crop types, harvesting time, seed type and quality, seed rate, water quality, nutrient solution used or not, types of greenhouse, pH, irrigation frequencies, temperature, humidity, light, production period etc. (Sneath and McIntosh, 2003; Dung et al., 2010b; Fazaeli et al., 2012). Considering the yield of fresh fodder obtained per unit of grain seed, the conversion ratio of production might be varied from 4 to 8 times of seed (Morgan et al., 1992; Peer and Lesson, 1985b).

Production cost of HWF in different days is demonstrated in Table 3. Result revealed that the production cost of per kg HWF was lowest at 8<sup>th</sup> day (BDT 5.00) which was statistically different from day 1 to day 5 ( $p < 0.001$ ) and similar with 6 and 7<sup>th</sup> day growing costs. The price of per kg wheat seed was BDT. 18 at day 0. This production cost is similar to the findings of Naik et al. (2012b) who mentioned that cost of production of per kg hydroponic maize fodder in India was 4-4.5 Rs. (4.6-5.2 in BDT, according to Bangladesh Bank). According to Kide et al. (2015), cost of production generally influenced

by the seeds quality and sprouting house conditions. The seed cost showed 90 per cent of the total cost of hydroponic fodder rising (Al-Karaki and Al-Momani, 2011).

Correlation among morphological parameters, biomass yield and cost of production are presented in Table 4. It was observed that a strong positive ( $p < 0.01$ ) correlation exists among all the morphological parameters of HWF with biomass yield. The highest  $r$ -value was recorded for the correlations between root length and plant height ( $r = 0.995$ ). On the contrary, cost of HWF production was strongly but

negatively ( $p < 0.01$ ) correlated with biomass yield, plant height, root length and root numbers of HWF.

Multiple regression analysis showed that 1 cm increase in plant height of every sprout obtained from 1 kg seed, increased biomass yield by 0.42 kg ( $p < 0.001$ ). Whereas each unit increase in root length and root numbers increases the biomass yield by 0.08 and 0.04 unit, respectively ( $p > 0.05$ ). Again, each unit of root and biomass yield, reduced production cost of HWF BDT by 1.3 and 1.8 unit, respectively (Table 5).

**Table 3:** Cost of production of hydroponic wheat fodder in different days

Items	Day wise cost of production of hydroponic wheat fodder (BDT)									
	Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7	Day 8	P-Value
Land cost (BDT.)	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	-
Wheat seed (BDT.)	121.5	121.5	121.5	121.5	121.5	121.5	121.5	121.5	121.5	-
Labor (BDT.)	0	7.5	15	22.5	30	37.5	45	52.5	60	-
Electricity (BDT.)	0	0.8	1.6	2.4	3.2	4	4.8	5.6	6.4	-
Depreciation Cost (BDT.)	0	2.2	4.4	6.6	8.8	11	13.2	15.4	17.6	-
Detergent cost (BDT.)	0	0.4	0.8	1.2	1.6	2	2.4	2.8	3.2	-
Miscellaneous (BDT.)	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	-
Total Cost of batches	121.5	132.6	143.7	154.8	165.9	177	188.1	199.2	210.3	-
Yield per batch (kg)	6.75 <sup>i</sup> ±0.00	12.47 <sup>9h</sup> ±0.63	14.46 <sup>9</sup> ±0.49	18.21 <sup>f</sup> ±0.47	22.21 <sup>e</sup> ±0.95	28.26 <sup>d</sup> ±0.73	35.49 <sup>c</sup> ±1.09	40.39 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.69	42.37 <sup>a</sup> ±1.01	0.000
Cost of Production/kg (BDT)	18.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	10.66 <sup>b</sup> ±0.54	9.95 <sup>c</sup> ±0.34	8.51 <sup>d</sup> ±0.21	7.48 <sup>e</sup> ±0.34	6.27 <sup>f</sup> ±0.16	5.11 <sup>g</sup> ±0.17	4.94 <sup>g</sup> ±0.08	4.97 <sup>g</sup> ±0.12	0.000

*N.B.* Continuously 8 batches of hydroponic fodder (of above mentioned days) was produced in the house. Mean values in a row with uncommon superscript differed significantly.

### Changes in nutrient content

Effect of growing days on nutrient changes in hydroponic wheat sprouts is shown in Table 6. The dry matter (DM) content of hydroponic wheat fodder was decreased remarkably ( $p < 0.001$ ) with the advancement of growing days and it was more than 90% in initial wheat grain and less than 1/8<sup>th</sup> in day 8. The sharp reduction of DM content probably due to large uptake of water during germination of seed that causes remarkable increase in moisture content in hydroponic fodder (Fazaeli et al., 2012). This findings is highly in line with Kantale et al. (2017) who produced HWF in modern sprouting house and found 12.3% DM at day 8. Similarly, Shtaya (2004) found that the germination of wheat for 5 to 7 days resulted in a 17% loss of total DM while a 25% loss in DM of wheat after 12 days of

sprouting. There is a consequent decline in the DM content with an increase in the fresh weight occurred during the sprouting process that is primarily attributed to the imbibition of water (leaching) and metabolic activities (oxidation) that diminishes the food reserves (mainly carbohydrate and energy) of the seed endosperm without any passable replenishment from photosynthesis by the young plant during little production cycle (Sneath and McIntosh, 2003; Al-Karaki and Al-Momani, 2011; Adjlanea et al., 2016). Dung et al. (2010b) also added that, photosynthesis commences nearby day-5 when the activation of chloroplasts occurred and this does not offer enough time for any significant DM accumulation in a 7-day sprout.

Again, organic matter (OM) content of wheat seed (98 g/100g DM) at day 0 reduced to

97g/100g DM at 8<sup>th</sup> day of sprouting (<0.001). Similarly, Naik *et al.* (2015) reported that in OM content of hydroponically produced maize fodder was declined 1% due to sprouting of 8 days. These decreasing trends may be due to catabolism of starch to fermentable carbohydrates which gives support to the metabolism and energy requirement of growing fodder for respiration and cell wall synthesis during the sprouting process (Naik and Singh, 2013; Naik *et al.*, 2015).

**Table 4.** Correlation between morphological parameters and cost of production

Parameters	Biomass yield (kg)	Plant height (cm)	Root length (cm)	Root numbers (no.)	Cost of production (BDT.)
Biomass yield (kg)					
Plant height (cm)	0.989**				
Root length (cm)	0.983**	0.995**			
Root numbers (no.)	0.891**	0.890**	0.893**		
Cost of production (BDT.)	0.873**	0.857**	0.862**	0.946**	

\*\* indicates significant at  $p < 0.01$ .

The crude protein (CP) content of the wheat grain was 13g/100g DM at day 0 and which was not vary ( $p > 0.05$ ) up to the day 1 of hydroponic sprouting. With the advancement of sprouting days, CP content of the fodder increased simultaneously and around 7% more CP was found at day 8 than the day 0 ( $p < 0.001$ ). The probable reason for gradual increasing of CP content in fodder may be due to reducing of dry weight through respiration during the sprouting process increase the CP content (Naik *et al.*, 2015). However, difference in CP content between two consecutive days from 4<sup>th</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> day was non-significant, which is similar to Dung *et al.* (2010a) who did not found any statistical difference in CP content between two successive days of hydroponic fodder. In the present analysis, the CP content of hydroponic wheat was greater than that of machine-grown wheat sprout (16%) reported by Kantale *et al.* (2017) and hydroponic maize fodder (14%) found by Naik *et al.* (2015).

The ether extract (EE) content of wheat seed was 1.7% and increased significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) at day 1. The highest (2.7 g/100g DM) EE content of wheat fodder on 8<sup>th</sup> day which was 1 g more

than that of day 0. This result is highly comparable with Kantale *et al.* (2017) who observed 1% increase in EE content due to sprouting of wheat. However, the variation in EE content between two consecutive days (e.g. day 1-2, 3-4, 5-6, 7-8) were found statistically similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) over the study period. The increase in the EE content probably be due to the high content of chlorophyll at that particular stage (Islam *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, Naik *et al.* (2015) found 1% increase in EE content of the hydroponic fodder and mentioned that might be attributed to the rise of

**Table 5.** Analysis of regression in morphological parameters with biomass yield and production cost

Response	Predictors	CoE	SE CoE	p-value	R <sup>2</sup>
Biomass yield (kg)	Constant	1.07	0.07	<0.001	97.85
	Plant height (cm)	0.42	0.06	<0.001	
	Root length (cm)	0.08	0.09	0.358	
	Root numbers (no.)	0.04	0.02	0.120	
	Constant	18.5	0.634	<0.001	
Cost of production / kg (BDT)	Biomass yield (kg)	1.83	0.503	<0.001	91.05
	Plant height (cm)	0.98	0.356	0.057	
	Root length (cm)	0.57	0.415	0.175	
	Root numbers (no.)	1.29	0.115	<0.001	

CoE, Coefficient; SE CoE, Standard error of coefficient.

structural lipids and chlorophyll production corresponds to plant growth. According to Al-Saadi and Al-Zubiadi (2016) chemical compositions were significantly higher in green fodder than that of the grain.

The crude fiber (CF) content of the sprouted wheat showed an increasing trend with sprouting period and was highest (4.7g/100g) on 8th day ( $p=0.000$ ) and the CF content of hydroponic wheat fodder wheat seed was 2.9g/ 100g. The CF content of 7<sup>th</sup> day hydroponic fodder was statistically similar with 8<sup>th</sup> day. In this study, it is noticeably visible that CF content was increased with the growing stages of plant maturity of hydroponic wheat fodder. This might be due to the effect of successive cell wall concentration

with the increasing stages of maturity. This is in line with Naik et al. (2015) who mentioned that the synthesis of structural carbohydrates, such as cellulose and hemicellulose increases the CF

during wheat sprouting process. Kantale et al. (2017) recorded 2 times more CF content in

**Table 6:** Changes of nutrient content (g/100g DM) of hydroponic wheat fodder with the advancement of

Day	Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7	Day 8	P-value
DM (% of fresh)	90.30 <sup>a</sup> ±0.40	62.43 <sup>b</sup> ±0.49	40.27 <sup>c</sup> ± 1.35	32.44 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.40	26.93 <sup>e</sup> ± 0.06	21.42 <sup>f</sup> ± 0.32	17.18 <sup>g</sup> ± 0.82	14.88 <sup>h</sup> ± 0.00	14.19 <sup>h</sup> ± 0.84	0.000
OM	97.71 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	97.65 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.02	97.61 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.07	97.52 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	97.38 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	97.29 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	97.17 <sup>f</sup> ±0.03	97.07 <sup>g</sup> ±0.04	97.04 <sup>g</sup> ±0.01	0.000
CP	13.20 <sup>g</sup> ±0.03	13.85 <sup>g</sup> ±0.13	15.02 <sup>f</sup> ±0.06	17.17 <sup>e</sup> ±0.25	17.52 <sup>de</sup> ±0.40	18.14 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.10	18.92 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.05	19.70 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.71	19.83 <sup>a</sup> ±0.35	0.000
EE	1.67 <sup>g</sup> ±0.06	1.84 <sup>f</sup> ±0.01	1.98 <sup>ef</sup> ±0.04	2.14 <sup>de</sup> ±0.05	2.15 <sup>d</sup> ±0.13	2.33 <sup>c</sup> ±0.05	2.51 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	2.66 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.04	2.70 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.000
CF	2.90 <sup>g</sup> ±0.05	3.30 <sup>f</sup> ±0.01	3.41 <sup>ef</sup> ±0.02	3.50 <sup>e</sup> ±0.02	3.66 <sup>d</sup> ±0.05	3.90 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02	4.11 <sup>b</sup> ±0.05	4.57 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07	4.68 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.000
NFE	79.94 <sup>a</sup> ±0.12	78.67 <sup>b</sup> ±0.15	77.20 <sup>c</sup> ±0.07	74.72 <sup>d</sup> ±0.22	74.05 <sup>d</sup> ±0.43	72.92 <sup>e</sup> ±0.04	71.62 <sup>f</sup> ±0.06	70.14 <sup>g</sup> ±0.78	69.82 <sup>g</sup> ±0.33	0.000
Ca	0.09 <sup>f</sup> ±0.01	0.09 <sup>ef</sup> ±0.01	0.10 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	0.12 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	0.13 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.01	0.13 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.15 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.16 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.01	0.17 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.000
P	0.52 <sup>g</sup> ±0.01	0.58 <sup>f</sup> ±0.01	0.61 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	0.64 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	0.67 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.71 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.73 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.77 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.77 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.000
Mg	0.14 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.13 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.14 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.14 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.15 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.01	0.15 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.01	0.17 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.01	0.18 <sup>a</sup> ±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.18 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.000
Ash	2.29 <sup>g</sup> ±0.02	2.35 <sup>fg</sup> ±0.02	2.39 <sup>ef</sup> ±0.07	2.48 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	2.62 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	2.71 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	2.83 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03	2.93 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04	2.96 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.000

growth

DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; CF, crude fibre; NFE, nitrogen-free-extract; Ca, calcium; P, phosphorus; Mg, magnesium; Means within the same row bearing different superscript differ significantly. Mean values in a row with uncommon superscript differed significantly.

**Table 7.** Correlation between different nutrient content (g/100g DM) of hydroponic wheat fodder

Variables	DM	OM	CP	EE	CF	NFE	ASH	Ca	P	Mg
DM										
OM	0.854**									
CP	0.931**	0.950**								
EE	0.899**	0.967**	0.963**							
CF	0.860**	0.971**	0.929**	0.972**						
NFE	0.924**	0.972**	0.995**	0.981**	0.961**					
ASH	0.854**	1.000**	0.950**	0.967**	0.971**	0.972**				
Ca	0.885**	0.986**	0.968**	0.990**	0.969**	0.985**	0.986**			
P	0.936**	0.972**	0.970**	0.993**	0.976**	0.987**	0.972**	0.981**		
Mg	0.753**	0.923**	0.863**	0.921**	0.902**	0.892**	0.923**	0.929**	0.897**	

\*\* indicates significant at p < 0.01.

**Table 8.** Correlation between nutrient contents (g/100g DM) and morphological parameters of hydroponic wheat fodder

Variable	Biomass yield (kg)	Plant height (cm)	Root length (cm)	Root number (nos.)
DM	0.862**	0.866**	0.866**	0.968**
OM	0.989**	0.989**	0.988**	0.889**
CP	0.948**	0.959**	0.964**	0.932**
EE	0.982**	0.974**	0.967**	0.912**
CF	0.986**	0.975**	0.966**	0.882**
NFE	0.974**	0.978**	0.979**	0.932**

ASH	0.989**	0.989**	0.988**	0.989**
Ca	0.986**	0.991**	0.985**	0.904**
P	0.978**	0.980**	0.976**	0.949**
Mg	0.931**	0.927**	0.905**	0.787**

\*\* indicates significant at p < 0.01.

hydroponic wheat fodder grown in hi-tech sprouting housing system than that of wheat grain and this probably attributed to the increase in the number and size of cell walls for the



**Morphological and nutritional changes in hydroponic wheat fodder**

synthesis of structural carbohydrates (Naik *et al.*, 2015). In case of hydroponic barley, CF content was 3.5% at day 0 and increased 6.5 to 8% in days 5 and 8, respectively (Abd Rahim and Omar, 2015).

The nitrogen free extract (NFE) content of wheat seed decreased during the sprouting process to its minimum level on 8<sup>th</sup> day (69.82 %) from 79.94% in wheat seed (Day 0). Such a decreasing trend in NFE content might be due to the use of endosperm storage mainly carbohydrate and energy in seed respiration of

plant cells and its other metabolic activity. But it was decreased at non-significant rate between the days of 3 to 4 and 7 to 8. Kantale *et al.* (2017) also noted a decrease (10g/100g DM) in NFE content of hydroponic wheat fodder. Seed soaking leads to the activation of enzymes solubilisation and digestion of endosperm stored starch to simple sugars that delivers a substrate for the young growing plant for metabolic activities to produce energy, giving off carbon dioxide and water. This loss of carbon dioxide leads to a decrease in NFE content (Emam, 2016).

**Table 9.** Analysis of regression in morphological parameters with nutrient contents

Response	Predictors	Coefficient	SE Coefficient	p-value	R <sup>2</sup>
DM	Constant	91.92	6.52	0.000	94.30
	Biomass yield (kg)	-6.96	4.81	0.162	
	Plant height (cm)	4.05	3.70	0.286	
	Root length (cm)	-1.39	4.12	0.738	
	Root numbers (no.)	-9.37	1.10	0.000	
OM	Constant	97.784	0.034	0.000	98.46
	Biomass yield (kg)	-0.066	0.025	0.015	
	Plant height (cm)	-0.006	0.019	0.775	
	Root length (cm)	-0.031	0.022	0.169	
	Root numbers (no.)	-0.004	0.006	0.462	
CP	Constant	13.055	0.601	0.000	94.85
	Biomass yield (kg)	0.216	0.444	0.632	
	Plant height (cm)	-0.265	0.342	0.446	
	Root length (cm)	0.709	0.381	0.076	
	Root numbers (no.)	0.293	0.101	0.008	
EE	Constant	1.504	0.066	0.000	97.10
	Biomass yield (kg)	0.175	0.049	0.002	
	Plant height (cm)	0.010	0.038	0.786	
	Root length (cm)	-0.026	0.042	0.537	
	Root numbers (no.)	0.024	0.011	0.043	
CF	Constant	2.670	0.104	0.000	97.27
	Biomass yield (kg)	0.304	0.077	0.001	
	Plant height (cm)	0.041	0.059	0.498	
	Root length (cm)	-0.061	0.066	0.368	
	Root numbers (no.)	0.003	0.018	0.870	
Ash	Constant	2.216	0.034	0.000	98.46
	Biomass yield (kg)	0.066	0.025	0.015	
	Plant height (cm)	-0.006	0.019	0.775	
	Root length (cm)	0.031	0.022	0.169	
	Root numbers (no.)	-0.004	0.006	0.462	
NFE	Constant	80.555	0.643	0.000	97.27
	Biomass yield (kg)	-0.761	0.474	0.123	
	Plant height (cm)	0.209	0.365	0.574	
	Root length (cm)	-0.653	0.407	0.123	
	Root numbers (no.)	-0.315	0.108	0.008	
Ca	Constant	0.086	0.006	0.000	98.36
	Biomass yield (kg)	0.001	0.005	0.765	
	Plant height (cm)	0.006	0.004	0.131	
	Root length (cm)	-0.002	0.004	0.591	
	Root numbers (no.)	0.001	0.001	0.591	
P	Constant	0.504	0.017	0.000	98.59
	Biomass yield (kg)	0.023	0.014	0.109	
	Plant height (cm)	-0.001	0.11	0.921	
	Root length (cm)	0.005	0.11	0.650	
	Root numbers (no.)	0.012	0.002	0.000	
Mg	Constant	0.136	0.008	0.000	92.19
	Biomass yield (kg)	-0.002	0.007	0.795	
	Plant height (cm)	0.014	0.005	0.017	
	Root length (cm)	-0.014	0.005	0.019	
	Root numbers (no.)	-0.002	0.001	0.153	



The ash content of wheat seed at day 0 was 2.3 g and 0.7 g more ( $p = 0.001$ ) at day 8 than that of the day 0. Similarly, hi-tech machine grown hydroponic wheat fodder contained 0.6g/100g DM more ash than that of wheat seed reported by Kantale *et al.* (2017). This findings highly in accordance with Naik *et al.* (2015) and who reported that total ash content was became double in hydroponic maize fodder than that of maize grain due to the decrease of organic matters contents during the sprouting process. However, ash content was similar ( $p > 0.001$ ) between days of 0 to 1, 1 to 2, 2 to 3 and 7 to 8. Morgan *et al.* (1992) found that sprout ash content increased from 4<sup>th</sup> day of sprouting, corresponding with root extension which permitted mineral uptake. The sprout ash content increases more when nutrient solution is used rather than water which might be due to root absorption of minerals (Dung *et al.*, 2010b).

Minerals like calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P) and magnesium (Mg) contents of wheat grain were meaningfully increased ( $p < 0.001$ ) with the advancement of growing days. The higher percentages of Ca, Mg and P were found at day 8 than that of the other growing days, and these minerals were statistically not dissimilar ( $p > 0.05$ ) between 7 and 8 days of growing. However, cereal sprouting makes the minerals more usable by chelating with protein (Shipard, 2005). Generally, changes in the hydroponic fodder's mineral content are primarily affected by the irrigated water type (Al-Ajmi *et al.*, 2009; Fazaeli *et al.*, 2011).

Correlation between proximate components of hydroponic wheat fodder is presented in Table 7. Dry matter content strongly negatively correlated ( $p < 0.001$ ) with CP, CF, EE and ash contents whereas strong positive correlation exists between DM, OM and NFE content ( $p < 0.001$ ). Crude protein content strongly positively correlated with CF, EE and ash contents, and negatively correlated with NFE and OM contents of fodder. Similarly, EE and CF contents negatively correlated with the NFE and OM content. Lorenz and D'Appolonia (1980) reported that sprouting of grain enhanced enzyme activity, a decrease of total DM, an improvement in total protein content, a shift in amino acid composition, a decline in starch content, increases in carbohydrates, a slight increase in EE and CF, and a slight increase in other vitamins and minerals. Correlation between the nutrient content and hydroponic wheat fodder morphology parameters are tabulated in Table 8.

It was found that DM content of hydroponic wheat fodder has a negative correlation ( $p < 0.001$ ) with biomass yield, root length, plant height and root numbers. However, plant nutrient viz. CP, CF, EE and ash contents of hydroponic fodder have a strong positive correlation ( $p < 0.001$ ) with biomass yield, root length, plant height and root numbers. On the other hand, morphological parameters were strongly negatively correlated ( $p < 0.001$ ) with OM and NFE content wheat sprout.

Multiple regression analyses of nutrient contents of hydroponic wheat fodder at different days (response) in terms of morphological parameters (predictors) are presented in Table 9. Variability in responses is almost completely ( $R^2 > 92\%$ ) covered by the predictors in all the regression models. It was observed that increase in each unit of root numbers decreased 9 unit of DM content ( $p < 0.001$ ) and 0.3 unit of NFE content (0.008). Similarly, every unit biomass yield reduced OM content 0.07 unit ( $p=0.015$ ). Around 0.3 unit of CP ( $p = 0.008$ ) and 0.02 unit of EE ( $p = 0.043$ ) content of HWF will be increased with the increase of each unit of root number. On the other hand, each unit of biomass yield of HWF increased 0.3 unit of CF ( $p=0.001$ ) and 0.07 unit of ash ( $p=0.015$ ), respectively.

## Conclusions

Yield, morphology, nutrient content and production cost of hydroponic wheat fodder were varied significantly with the growing days in sprouting cycle. Considering these features, hydroponic sprout wheat was better for feeding animals at days 7 and 8 compared to the other days of production. Therefore, it is recommended that, wheat fodder as hydroponic up to 7-8 days may be a new source of feed for livestock, especially for dairy cattle. However, further study should be conducted regarding the changes of nutrient and morphological parameters of various grains and their comparison should be made with hydroponic wheat and their field level validation is needed.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

- Abd Rahim MA and JA Omar (2015). The biological and economical feasibility of feeding barley green fodder to lactating awassi ewes. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences*, 5: 99-105.  
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2015.52012>

- Adjlanea KS, AASM Bafdelc and R Benhacined (2016). Techno-economic approach to hydroponic forage crops: use for feeding dairy cattle herd. *Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Science*, 6: 83-87.
- AI-Karaki GN and M AI-Hashimi (2012). Green fodder production and water use efficiency of some forage crops under hydroponic condition. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2012:1-5.  
<https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/924672>
- Akbağ HI, OS Türkmen, H Baytekin and IY Yurtman (2014). Effects of harvesting time on nutritional value of hydroponic barley production. *Türk Tarım ve Doğa Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1:1761-1765.
- Al-Ajmi A, AA Salih, I Kadhim and Y Othman (2009). Yield and water use efficiency of barley fodder produced under hydroponic system in GCC countries using tertiary treated sewage effluents. *Journal Phytology*, 1: 342-348.
- Al-Karaki GN and N Al-Momani (2011). Evaluation of some barley cultivars for green fodder production and water use efficiency under hydroponic conditions. *Jordan Journal of Agricultural Science*, 7: 448-456.
- Al-Saadi MJ and IA Al-Zubiadi (2016). Effects of substitution barley by 10%, 30% of sprouted barley on rumen characters, digestibility and feed efficiency in diet of awassi male lambs. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 5: 2228-2233.  
<https://doi.org/10.21275/v5i4.NOV163174>
- AOAC (2005). Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemist, 18<sup>th</sup> ed. Horwitz William Publication, Washington, DC.
- Bari MS, MN Islam, MM Islam, MSR Siddiki, MR Habib and MA Islam (2020). Partial replacement of conventional concentrate mixture with hydroponic maize and its effect on milk production and quality of crossbred cow. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 18: 629-635.  
<https://doi.org/10.5455/JBAU.98462>
- Bautista, SH (2002). Producción de forraje verde hidropónico de trigo *Triticum aestivum* L. para el mantenimiento de conejos criollos *Oryctolagus cuniculus*. Master thesis, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero (UAG) Chilpancingo, Guerrero, México.
- BBS (2014). Bangladesh Population Census. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Statistical Division, Ministry of Planning. Government of the People Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka.
- Dung DD, IR Godwin and JV Nolan (2010a). Nutrient content and in sacco degradation of hydroponic barley sprouts grown using nutrient solution or tap water. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 9: 2432-2436.  
<https://doi.org/10.3923/javaa.2010.2432.2436>
- Dung DD, IR Godwin and JV Nolan (2010b). Nutrient content and in sacco digestibility of barley grain and sprouted barley. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 9: 2485-2492.  
<https://doi.org/10.3923/javaa.2010.2485.2492>
- El-Morsy AT, M Abul-Soud and MSA Emam (2013). Localized hydroponic green forage technology as a climate change adaptation under Egyptian conditions. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 9: 341-350.
- Emam MSA (2016). The sprout production and water use efficiency of some barley cultivars under intensive hydroponic system. *Middle East Journal of Agriculture*, 5: 161-170.
- FAO (2001). Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Agricultura y la Alimentación. Manual técnico forraje verde hidropónico. Santiago de Chile, Chile.
- Farghaly MM, MA Abdullah, IM Youssef, IR Abdel-Rahim and K Abouelezz (2019). Effect of feeding hydroponic barley sprouts to sheep on feed intake, nutrient digestibility, nitrogen retention, rumen fermentation and ruminal enzymes activity. *Livestock Science*, 228: 31-37.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2019.07.022>
- Fazaeli H, HA Golmohammadi, AA Shoayee, N Montajebi and S Mosharraf (2011). Performance of feedlot calves fed hydroponics fodder barley. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 13: 365-375.
- Fazaeli H, HA Golmohammadi, SN Tabatabayee and M Asghari-Tabrizi (2012). Productivity and nutritive value of barley green fodder yield in hydroponic system. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 16: 531-539.
- Gebremedhin WK (2015). Nutritional benefit and economic value of feeding hydroponically grown maize and barley fodder for Konkan

- Kanyal goats. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 8: 24-30.
- Girma F and B Gebremariam (2018). Review on hydroponic feed value to livestock production. *Journal of Scientific and Innovative Research*, 7: 106-109.  
<https://doi.org/10.31254/jsir.2018.7405>
- Grover DK and S Kumar (2012). Economics of production, processing and marketing of fodder crops in India. A report submitted to Agro-Economic Research Centre, Department of Economics and Sociology, Punjab Agricultural University, held on August, 2012, Ludhiana, India.
- Islam MS, NR Sarker, MY Ali, MA Habib, MM Billah, MS Uddin and MR Habib (2018). Impact of loamy and sandy soils on productive and nutritive value of BLRI developed Napier-4 fodder at third cutting stage. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 4: 20-23.
- Islam R, N Jalal and MA Akbar (2016). Effect of seed rate and water level on production and chemical analysis of hydroponic fodder. *European Academic Research*, 4: 6724-6753.
- Jackson ML (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India. pp.10-144.
- Jemimah R, PT Gnanaraj and T Muthuramalingam (2015). Hydroponic green fodder production - TANUVAS experience, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai, India.
- Jemimah R, PT Gnanaraj, T Muthuramalingam, T Devi and C Vennila (2018). Nutritive value of hydroponic yellow maize fodder and conventional green fodders-a comparison. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine*, 6: 98-101.
- Kantale RA, MA Halburge, AD Deshmukh, AP Dhok, DS Raghuvanshi and SR Lende (2017). Nutrient changes with the growth of hydroponics wheat fodder. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*, 6: 1800-1803.
- Kide W, B Desai and S Kumar (2015). Nutritional improvement and economic value of hydroponically Sprouted maize fodder. *Life Science and International Research Journal*, 2: 76-79.
- Lorenz K, and B D'Appolonia (1980). Cereal sprouts: composition, nutritive value, food applications. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 13: 353-385. PMID:7002472  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398009527295>
- Morgan J, RR Hunter and R O'Haire (1992). Limiting factors in hydroponic barley grass production. Proceeding of the 8th International congress on soil less culture, held on 2-9 October, 1992, Hunter's Rest, South Africa. pp. 241-261.
- Naik PK and NP Singh (2013). Hydroponics fodder production: an alternative technology for sustainable livestock production against impending climate change. Model Training Course on Management Strategies for Sustainable Livestock Production against Impending Climate Change, held on November, 2013, Adugodi Bengaluru, India. pp.70-75.
- Naik PK, BD Dhawaskar, DD Fatarpekar, EB Chakurkar, BK Swain and NP Singh (2016). Nutrient changes with the growth of hydroponics cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) sprouts. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 33: 357-359.  
<https://doi.org/10.5958/2231-6744.2016.00064.5>
- Naik PK, BK Swain and NP Singh (2015). Review-production and utilization of hydroponics fodder. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 32: 1-9.
- Naik PK, BK Swain, EB Chakurkar and NP Singh (2012a). Performance of dairy cows on green fodder maize based ration in coastal hot and humid climate. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology*, 12: 265-70.
- Naik PK, BK Swain, EB Chakurkar and NP Singh (2012b). Cost of production of hydroponic maize fodder. Proceeding of 8<sup>th</sup> Biennial Animal Nutrition Association Conference on 'Animal Nutrition Research Strategies for Food Security', held on 28-30 November, 2012, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India. pp.12.
- Naik PK, RB Dhuri and NP Singh (2011). Technology for production and feeding of hydroponics green fodder. Extension Folder, 45.
- Naik PK, RB Dhuri, BK Swain and NP Singh. (2012). Nutrient changes with the growth of hydroponics fodder maize. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 29: 161-163.
- Naik PK, RB Dhuri, M Karunakaran, BK Swain and NP Singh (2014). Effect of feeding hydroponics maize fodder on digestibility of nutrients and milk production in lactating

## **Morphological and nutritional changes in hydroponic wheat fodder**

- cows. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 84: 880–883.
- Omar JA, R Daya and A Salama (2012). Effects of different types of olive cake on the performance and carcass quality of Awassi lambs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 171: 167-172.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.11.002>
- Peer DJ and S Lesson (1985). Nutrient content of hydroponically sprouted barley. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 13: 191-202.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401\(85\)90022-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401(85)90022-7)
- Salo S (2019). Effect of hydroponic fodder feeding on milk yield and composition of dairy cow. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 9: 1-8.
- Shipard I (2005). How can I grow and use sprouts as living food. In- *Stewart publishing Simon EW 1984: Early events in germination. Seed Physiology*, 3: 77-115.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-511902-3.50008-7>
- Shtaya I (2004). Performance of Awassi Ewes Fed Barley Green Fodder. Master Thesis, An-Najah National University, Palestinian.
- Singh KP and HK Parwana (1999). Ground water pollution due to industrial wastewater in Punjab state and strategies for its control. *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*, 19: 241-244.
- Sneath R and F McIntosh (2003). Review of hydroponic fodder production for beef cattle. Department of Primary Industries: Queensland Australia, 84:54-58.
- Snow AM, AE Ghaly and A Snow (2008). A comparative assessment of hydroponically grown cereal crops for the purification of aquaculture waste water and the production of fish feed. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*, 3: 364-378.  
<https://doi.org/10.3844/ajabssp.2008.364.378>
- Sriagtula R, I Martaguri and S Sowmen (2021). Evaluation of nutrient solution dose and harvest time on forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) in hydroponic fodder system. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 888, No. 1, p. 012068). IOP Publishing.  
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012068>
- Uddin MT and AR Dhar (2018). Socioeconomic analysis of hydroponic fodder production in selected areas of Bangladesh: Prospects and challenges. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 16: 233-247.  
<https://doi.org/10.3329/sja.v16i1.37438>