



Detection of Virulence Factors and Antimicrobial Resistance in *Enterococci* Isolated from Urinary Tract Infection

Surovi Era Suchi¹, SM Shamsuzzaman², Bhuiyan Mohammad Mahtab Uddin³, Md. Abdullah Yusuf⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Ad-din Sakina Medical College, Jessore, Bangladesh; ²Professor and Head, Department of Microbiology, Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ³Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Enam Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, National Institute of Neurosciences & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

[Received: 1 January 2017; Accepted: 15 July2017; Published: 1 December 2017]

Abstract

Background: The genus *Enterococcus* is of increasing significance as a cause of nosocomial infections and this trend is exacerbated by the development of antibiotic resistance. **Objective:** The aims of this study was to find out the susceptibility pattern and the prevalence of virulence genes in *Enterococcus* strains isolated from urinary tract infection in Bangladesh. Methodology: This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology at Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka from January 2015 to December 2015 for a period of one (01) years. All the admitted patients in the Dhaka Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka and Banghabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka who were presented with clinical features of urinary tract infection at any age with both sexes were included as study population. The antimicrobial susceptibility of the strains was determined using the disk diffusion method; vancomycin susceptibility pattern was detected by MIC method. PCR was performed for the detection of genus-species, vanA, vanB gene and potential virulence genes. **Result:** Out of 84 *Enterococci*, majority (71.42%) of the isolates were E. faecalis followed by 23.81% were E. faecium. Regarding antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, 100% isolated Enterococci were sensitive to vancomycin, linezolid and teicoplanin. Most of the Enterococci were resistant to ciprofloxacin and ceftriaxone (92.86%) followed by 88.10% to gentamicin and 85.71% to azithromycin and rifampicin. No VRE was identified and the range of MIC for vancomycin was 1-4 µg/ml. None of the Enterococci was positive for vanA and vanB genes. Out of total E. faecalis and E. faecium, 87.50% were positive for ebp and 77.5%, 72.5%, 55.0%, 45.0%, 40.0% and 10.0% of isolates were positive for gelE, esp, ace, cyl, as a and hyl respectively. Conclusion: Almost all the virulence genes were more prevalent in E. faecalis isolates. [Bangladesh Journal of Infectious Diseases 2017;4(2):30-34]

Keywords: Enterococcus; nosocomial infections; MIC; virulence factors

Correspondence: Dr. Surovi Era Suchi, Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Ad-din Sakina Medical College, Jessore, Bangladesh; Email: hamil1897_jcc@yahoo.com; Cell no.: +8801758567356

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest to any of the authors of this article.

Funding agency: The study was not funded by any authority.

Contribution to authors: SES & SMS have involved from protocol preparation, data collection, and literature search up to manuscript writing. BMMU & MAY have involved in manuscript preparation & revision.

How to cite this article: Suchi SE, Shamsuzzaman SM, Uddin BMM, Yusuf MA. Detection of Virulence Factors and Antimicrobial Resistance in *Enterococci* Isolated from Urinary Tract Infection. Bangladesh J Infect Dis 2017;4(2):30-34

Copyright: ©2017. Such et al. Published by Journal of Current and Advance Medical Research. This article is published under the Creative Commons CC BY-NC License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). This license permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not used for commercial purposes

Introduction

Enterococci are gram-positive bacteria which are normal inhabitants of gastrointestinal tracts of humans¹⁻². It is recognized that they cause serious infection such as endocarditis, UTI, septicemia, post-operative wound infection, meningitis³⁻⁵. The natural ability of *Enterococci* to acquire, accumulate and share extra chromosomal elements encoding virulence traits or antibiotic resistance genes, inpart, explains their increasing importance as nosocomial pathogens⁶⁻⁸. It is believed that nosocomial *Enterococci* might have virulence elements that increase their ability to colonize hospitalized patients⁷.

Several Enterococcal pathogenic factors have been identified including adhesions and secreted virulence factors. The most important adhesion substance factors are aggregation (asa), extracellular surface protein (esp), adhesion of collagen from E. faecalis (ace), endocarditis and biofilm associated pilli (ebp)⁹⁻¹¹. As a virulence factor, aggregation substance increases bacterial adherence to renal tubular cells¹². Extracellular surface protein (esp) contributes colonization and biofilm formation of Enterococci and leads to resistance to stresses and adhesion to eukaryotic cells such as those of endocarditis and UTI¹³. The ability of *Enterococci* to produce biofilms is fundamental in causing endodontic and urinary tract infections. The formation of pili by Enterococci is necessary for biofilm formation; the gene cluster associated with this is ebp (endocaditis and biofilmassociated pili). Another cell-surface protein present in E. faecalis is Ace (adheison of collagen from E. faecalis). This is a collagen-binding protein, belonging to the microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (MSCRAMM) family. Ace may play a role in the pathogenesis of endocarditis¹⁴.

Secreted pathogenic factors of *Enterococci* with a value in pathogenesis are cytolysin (cyl), gelatinase (gelE) and hyaloronidase (hyl)¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Gelatinase is an extracellular zinc-containing metalloproteinase,

which has a role in providing nutrients for the bacteria by degrading host tissue. It has some functions in biofilm formation as well^{5,17}. Cyl has beta haemolytic properties in human and is bactericidal against other gram-positive bacteria. Hyl acts on hyaluronic acid and mainly consist of degradative enzymes which are associated with tissue damage and facilitates spread of *Enterococci* and their toxins through host tissue¹³. These virulence factors may play a role in promoting persistence of *Enterococci*.

Few studies on species detection and drug susceptibility of *Enterococci* have been carried out in Bangladesh. But the virulence factors of *Enterococci* have not been identified yet in Bangladesh. Therefore, this study was designed to observe different virulence factors with their antimicrobial resistance pattern.

Methodology

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology at Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka from January 2015 to December 2015 for a period of one (01) years. All the admitted patients in the Dhaka Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka and Banghabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka who were presented with clinical features of urinary tract infection at any age with both sexes were selected as study population. Urine samples were collected aseptically from patients attending outpatient and inpatient departments of Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka and Bangabanbhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka inoculated on blood agar and MacConkey's agar media and was incubated at 37^o C for overnight. Suspected enterococci colonies were identified by Gram stain and other biochemical test. The enterococci stains were cultured in tryptica soya broth in microcentrifuse tubes, after overnight incubation, microcentrifuse tubes were centrifused at 5000 x g and the deposite were store at -30° c for DNA extraction. Species and virulence factors were detected by PCR (Table 1).

Gene Oligonucleotide sequence (5 to 3)		Annealing temperature	Product size (bp)
asa1	GCACGCTATTACGAACTATGA	56°c	375
	TAAGAAAGAACATCACCACGA		
gelE	TATGACAATGCTTTTTGGGAT	56°c	212
-	AGATGCACCCGAAATAATATA		
cyl	ACTCGGGGGATTGATAGGC	56°c	688

	GCTGCTAAAGCTGCGCTT		
Esp	AGATTTCATCTTTGATTCTTGG	56°c	510
_	AATTGATTCTTTAGCATCTGG		
Hyl	ACAGAAGAGCTGCAGGAAATG	56°c	276
	GACTGACGTCCAAGTTTCCAA		
Ebp	AAAAATGATTCGGCTCCAGAA	52°c	101
	TGCCAGATTCGCTCTCAAAG		
Ace	GGAGAGTCAAATCAAGTACGTTGGTT	58°c	101
	TGTTGACCACTTCCTTGTCGAT		
E. faecalis	ATCAAGTACAGTTAGTCTTTATTAG	58°c	941
	ACGATTCAAAGCTAACTGAATCAGT		
E. faecium	TTGAGGCAGACCAGATTGACG	65°c	658
	TATGACAGCGACTCCGATTCC		
vanA	CATGAATAGAATAAAAGTTGCAATA	54°c	1030
	CCCCTTTAACGCTAATACGATCAA		
vanB	GTGACAAACCGGAGGCGAGGA	65°c	433
	CCGCCATCCTCCTGCAAAAAA		

Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

The antimicrobial susceptibility of the isolates was determined using disk diffusion method and the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of vancomycin was determined using the agar dilution method based on CLSI 2015 guidelines. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 was used as quality control stain.

Genomic PCR

DNA extraction: Three hundred microlitre distilled water was mixed with bacterial pellet and was vortexed until mixed well. The microcentrifuse tube was kept in block heater (DAIHA Scientific, Seoul, Korea) at 100° C for 10 minutes for boiling. After boiling the tube was immediately kept on ice. Then the tube was centrifuged at 4° C at 14000 x g for 6 minutes. Finally supernatant was taken using micropipette and was used as template DNA for PCR. This DNA was kept at -20°C for future use.

Mixing of master mix and primer with DNA template: Amplification was performed in a final reaction volume of 25 μ l. Each PCR tube contained 2 ml of extracted DNA, 12.5 μ l master mix-PCR buffer, dNTP, Taq polymerase enzyme, MgCl₂ and loaded dye (Promega Corporation, USA), 2 μ l extracted DNA from *Enterococcus spp.* was mixed in 12.5 μ l master mix together with 4 μ l primer (forward and reverse). Volume of the reaction mixture was adjusted by adding 6.5 μ l filtered deionized water (nuclease free). After a brief

vortex, the tubes were centrifuged in a micro centrifuge for few seconds.

Amplification through thermal cycler: PCR assays were performed in a DNA thermal cycler (Eppendorf AG, Master cycler gradient, Hamburg, Germany). Each PCR run was comprised of preheat at 94° C for 10 minutes followed by 36 cycles of denaturation at 94° C for 1 minute, annealing at 58° C for 45 seconds, extension at 72° C for 2 minutes with final extension at 72° C for 10 minutes.

Gel electrophoresis and visualization: Amplified products were run on to horizontal gel electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose (Bethesda Research Laboratories) in 1X TBE buffer at room temperature at 100 volt (50 mA) for 30 minutes. Five µl amplified DNA mixed with tracking dye was then loaded into an individual well of the gel. One hundred bp DNA molecular size markers were loaded into well at the middle or at two sides of the gel for comparing with the base pair of identified band. DNA bands were detected by staining with ethidium bromide (0.5 µl/ ml) for 30 minutes at room temperature and then destained with distilled water for 15 minutes. Photographs were taken using digital camera with UV trans-illuminator (Gel Doc, Major science, Taiwan).

Statistical Analysis: The results of the study were recorded systematically. Data analysis was done by using 'Microsoft Office Excel 2013' program and using Z test. P value ≤ 0.05 was taken as minimal level of significance and p value <0.001 was taken as highly significant.

Results

In total, 60 (71.43%) *E. faecalis* and 20 (23.81%) *E. faecium* were isolated from urine specimens. Two (4.76%) *Enterococci* were unidentified by biochemical test. Also in PCR results 2 samples had no clear bonds. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by the disk diffusion showed high resistance to ciprofloxacin and ceftriaxone (92.86%) followed by gentamicin (88.10%), azithromycin and rifampicin (85.71%), amikacin (66.67%). All isolates were sensitive to vancomycin, linezolid and teicoplanin. The agar dilution method indicates that all isolates were vancomycin sensitive (Table 2).

Table: 2: Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Enterococci (n=84)

Antimicrobial	Sensitive	Resistant	
Agent	n (%)	n (%)	
Amikacin	28 (33.33)	56 (66.67)	
Gentamicin	10 (11.90)	74 (88.10)	
Ciprofloxacin	6 (7.14)	78 (92.86)	
Azithromycin	12 (14.29)	72(85.71)	
Ceftriaxone	6 (7.14)	78 (92.86)	
Imipenem	60 (71.43)	24 (28.57)	
Rifampicin	12 (14.29)	72 (85.71)	
Piperacillin-	82 (97.62)	2 (2.38)	
tazobactam			
Teicoplanin	84(100.00)	0(0.00)	
Vancomycin	84(100.00)	0 (0.00)	
Linezolid	84(100.00)	0 (0.00)	

Out of 84 isolates, 87.0% were positive for ebp and 77.5%, 72.5%, 55.0%, 45.0%, 40.0% and 10.0% were positive for gelE, esp, ace, cyl, asa1 and hyl respectively (table 3). Ace (P = 0.05), cyl (P = 0.05) and gelE gene (P = < 0.05) were significantly more in *E. faecalis* than *E. faecium*. Hyl gene was significantly higher in *E. faecium* than *E. faecalis* (P = 0.01) (Table 3).

Table 3: The proportion of virulence genes among isolated *E. faecalis* (n=60) *and E. faecium* (n=20)

Virulence genes	E. faecalis	E. faecium	Total n (%)	P value
0	n (%)	n (%)		
Ace	8(63.33)	6 (30.00)	44(55.00)	0.05
Esp	44(73.33)	14(70.00)	58(72.50)	>0.05
Ebp	54(90.00)	16(80.00)	70(87.50)	>0.05
Asa1	26(43.33)	6 (30.00)	32(40.00)	>0.05
Hyl	0(0.00)	8 (40.00)	8(10.00)	0.01
Cyl	32(53.33)	4 (20.00)	36(45.00)	0.05
gelE	56(93.33)	6 (30.00)	62(77.50)	< 0.05

Suchi et al

E. faecium were more antibiotic resistant than *E. faecalis*. By PCR, all (100.0%) *Enterococci* were negative *for vanA and vanB* genes.

Discussion

In this study, it was found that *Enterococci* were resistant to most of the antimicrobial agents, possibly, these reflect miss-using of antibiotics. The proportion of *Enterococci* showing high level of resistance to gentamicin (88.1%), ciprofloxacin (92.86%), azithromycin (85.71%), ceftriaxone (92.86%). The findings of present study coincide with the results of previous studies¹⁸⁻²³.

In the present study, no *Enterococcus* was found resistant to vancomycin, linezolid and teicoplanin. Likewise, previous studies no VRE was detected^{12,15,16}. Considering these results, the absence of vancomycin, linezolid and teicoplanin resistance among *Enterococci* strain permits the use of these antibiotics to treat the patients.

According to results from the present study, almost all virulence genes were higher in *E. faecalis* than *E. faecium*. The ace gene (codes for collagenbinding protein) has been detected in high frequency in *E. faecalis* than *E. faecium* (p=0.05) that is in agreement with previous study²⁰. The present study revealed the prevalence of the esp gene was same (p \geq 0.05) in *E. faecalois* and *E. faecium*. In agreement with our research, other studies revealed same prevelance of esp gene for causing UTI¹¹. In contrast, a study showed the higher prevalence of this gene in *E. faecium* isolates from urine samples.

In this study, ebp (coding endocarditis and biofilm associated pilli) was present in almost all of *E. faecalis* (90%) and *E. faecium* (80%). A high incidence of this ebp gene in both *Enterococci* was reported in previous study¹¹. Three MSCRAMMs (EF0089, EF2505, and EF1093 (renamed EbpA, EbpB and EbpC) produce immunogenic and pleomorphic pili¹⁹ which have a role in UTI²². In the present study, the asal (encodes aggregation substance) was 40.0% in the isolates which is consistent with previous studies. In total, the rate of asal gene in urine isolates did not indicate an association between the presence of asal and emergence of UTI and these results are similar to the finding of other studies⁹.

Gene cyl in *E. faecalis* isolates were significantly higher (p = 0.05) than *E. faecium* and the findings of the present study co-inside with the results of previous study where 54.7% E. faecalis and 6.9% *E. faecium* had cyl gene¹¹. In this study, gelE (codes gelatinase extracellular for is an zinc metalloendopeptidase) enriched in E. faecalis in comparison with *E. faecium* and may involve in the creation of a urinary tract infection (p < 0.05). Likewise, previous studies in E. faecalis demonstrate the presence of the gene in high incidence among their isolates²⁰. The main role of gelatinase in Enterococcal pathogenesis is thought to be in providing nutrients to the bacteria by degrading host tissue, although they also have some function in biofilm formation^{5,17}. In this study, the high prevalence of gelE gene in E. faecalis indicated an association of the presence of gelE and emergence of UTI.

Conclusion

This present study demonstrated that though *Enterococci* were multidrug resistance in Bangladesh but yet now all *Enterococci* are sensitive to vancomycin, linezolid and teicoplanin. So, these drug now should be the choice for treatment of UTI caused by *Enterococci*.

References

1. Desai PJ, Pandit D, Mathur M, Gogate A Prevalence, identification and distribution of various species of *Enterococci* isolated from clinical specimens with special reference to urinary tract infection in catheterized patients. Indian J Med Microbiol, 2001; 19: 132-137

2. Murray BE. The life and times of the *Enterococci*. Clin Microbiol Rev, 1990; 3: 46-65

3. Borgmann S, Niklas DM, Klare I, Zabel LT, Buchenau P, Autenrieth IB, et al. Two episodes of Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* outbreaks caused by two genetically different clones in a newborn intensive care unit. Int J Hyg Environ Health, 2004; 207:386-9.

4. Cetinkaya Y, Falk P, Mayhall CG. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci*. Clin Microbiol Rev, 2000; 13: 686-707.

5. Fisher K, Philips C. The ecology, epidemiology and virulence of *Enterococcus*. Microbiology 2009; 155:1749-57.

6. Gilmore MS. Coburn PS. Nallapareddy SR. Murray BE. *Enterococcal* virulence. In: Gilmore MS, editors. The *Enterococcl*: pathogenesis, molecular biology and antibiotic resistance, Washington DC: ASM Press; 2002: pp.301-354

7. Guzman C, Pruzzo AC, Lipira G, and Calegari L. Rote of adherence in pathogeneses' of *Enterococcus faecalis* urinary tract infection and endocarditis. Infect Immun, 1989; 57: 1834-1838

8. Hällgren A, Claesson C, Saeedi B, Monstein HJ, Hanberger H, Nilsson LE. Molecular detection of aggregation substance, enterococcal surface protein, and cytolysin genes and in vitro

adhesion to urinary catheters of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* of clinical origin. Int J Med Microbiol, 2009; 299:323-32

9. Huycke MM, Sahm DF & Gilmore MS. Multiple-drug resistant *Enterococci*: The nature of the problem and an agenda for the future. Emerg Infect Dis, 1998; 4: 239-249.

10. Johnson JR, Clobots C, Hirt H, Waters C, Dunny G. *Enterococcal* aggregation substance and binding substance are not major contributors to urinary tract colonization by *Enterococcus faecalis* in a mouse model of ascending unobstructed urinary tract infection. *Infect Immun*, 2004; 72: 2445-8.

11. Jones RN, Sader HS, Erwin ME, Anderson SC. *Enterococcus* Study group. Emerging multiple resistant *Enterococci*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 1995; 21: 95-100.

12. Kafil HS, Mobarez AM and Moghadam MF. Adhesion and virulence factor properties of *enterococci* isolated from clinical samples in Iran. Indian J Pathol Microbial, 2013; 56: 238-242.

13. Karmarkar MG, Gershom ES, Mehta PR. *Enterococcal* infections with special reference to phenotypic characterization and drug resistance. Indian J Med Res, 2004; 119: 22-25.

14. Kayaoglu G, Orstavik D. Virulence factors of *Enterococcus faecalis*: Relationship to endodontic disease. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med, 2004; 15: 308-20.

15. Koch S, Hufnagel M, Theilacker C, Huebner J. *Enterococcal* infections: Host response, therapeutic, and prophylactic possibilities. Vaccine, 2004; 22:822-30.

16. Mansouri S, Shakibaie MR, Mahboob S. Antibiotic Susceptibility in *Enterococci* Isolated from Patients in Kerman. Eoutheastern Iran. Iran J Med Sci, 2005; 30: 68-72.

17. Maschieto A, Martinez R, Palazzo ICV, Darini ALDC. Antimicrobial resistance of *Enterococcus* sp. Isolated from the intestinal tract of patients from a University hospital in Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, 2004; 99: 763-767.

18. Mohamed JA, Huang W, Nallapareddy SR, Teng & Murray BE. Influence of origin of isolates, especially endocarditis isolates, and various genes on biofilm formation by *Enterococcus faecalis*. Infect Immun, 2004; 72: 3658-3663.

19. Nallapareddy SR, Murray BE. Ligand-signaled upregulation of *Enterococcus faecalis* ace transcription, a mechanism for modulating host-*E. faecalis* interaction. Infect Immun, 2006; 74:4982-9.

20. Sharifi Y, Hasani A, Ghotaslou R, Naghili B, Aghazadeh M, Milani M, Bazmani A. Virulence and Antimicrobial Resistance in *Enterococci* Isolated from Urinary Tract Infection. Adv Pharm Bull, 2013;3(1):197-201

21. Shepard BD, Gilmore MS. Differential expression of virulence-related genes in *Enterococcus faecalis* in response to biological cues in serum and urine. Infect Immun 2002; 70: 4344-52.

22. Singh KV, Nallapareddy SR, Murray BE. Importance of the ebp (endocarditis-and biofilm-associate pilus) locus in the pathogenesis of *Enterococcus faecalis* ascending urinary tract infection. J Infect Dis, 2007;195:1671-7

23. Tendolkar PM, Baghdayan AS, Shankar N. Pathogenic *Enterococci*: New developments in the 21st century. Cell Mol Life Sci, 2003; 60: 2622-36