

Growth performance of calves fed shoti, wheat and soybean based milk replacers

B. K. Roy*, N. R. Sarker, M. K. Alam & K. S. Huque

Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute, Savar, Dhaka-1341, Bangladesh

Corresponding author: biplobkroy@yahoo.com

Abstract

A study was conducted with objectives to determine the comparative growth performance of calves fed shoti, wheat and soybean based milk replacers and their economics. To achieve the objectives, a total of 24 local calves of about 6-10 days of age were selected and divided in four groups; having six (6) calves in each. A limited suckling with feeding whole milk considered as control (T_0), suckling along with feeding of wheat, shoti and soybean based milk replacer considered as treatments and denoted as T_1 , T_2 and T_3 , respectively. Results obtained in the present study revealed that, the total DM, OM and CP intake did not differ significantly ($p>0.05$) among the treatment groups. However, calves in T_2 group sucked significantly ($p<0.01$) higher milk or milk DM compared to milk sucked by calves in T_0 , T_1 and T_3 , respectively. No significant differences ($p>0.05$) was observed in average daily weight gain (ADG) of calves among the treatment groups. The feed conversion efficiency (FCR) was relatively better ($p>0.05$) in calves those fed shoti and soybean based MR compared to calves fed wheat and control diets. The overall levels of both glucose and BUN did not differ significantly ($p>0.05$) in calves under different treatment groups. The total cost of per kg wheat (T_1), shoti (T_2) and Soybean (T_3) based MR were Tk. 52.69, Tk. 94.45 and Tk. 51.11, respectively. The processing cost per kg MR was increased Tk. 41.00-43.00 in T_2 group than that of T_1 and T_3 groups. The feeding cost per calf per day was reduced Tk. 88.93.00 Tk. 57.87 and Tk. 82.55 in T_1 , T_2 and T_3 , respectively than that of T_0 group. Results considering for both FCR and per day feeding cost, it indicates that T_2 and T_3 diets i.e, shoti and soybean based MR maintained growth of calves compared to wheat based MR and milk fed calves.

(Key words: Milk replacer, Shoti, Wheat, Soybean, Daily gain, FCR)

Introduction

Calves are the future producers of milk and meat for human consumption. Post-natal feeding of calves is very important for better health and growth of calves in commercial as well as smallholder dairy farms. In Bangladesh, dairy cows are mostly non-descript indigenous type. They naturally produced less milk. The demand for milk consumption has been rising faster than its production. The annual milk production in Bangladesh is about 1.89 million metric tons (Tareque and Chowdhury, 2010) and the average growth rate of milk is about 4.21 %; its supply daily only 42.0 ml in place of 250 ml per capita requirement of milk (Sarker et al., 2012).

The demand for milk for human consumption restricts availability of milk for pre-ruminant feeding, resulting in underfeeding or starvation with a consequence of stunted growth and mortality (Ranjhan and Pathak, 1979). If suitable substitutes for milk are made available, the nutrition of infant pre-ruminants can be improved and survivability can be increased. In developed countries, alternatives to whole milk feeding to pre-ruminants are formulated using

by-products of milk processing industry. Such practice is not feasible in the developing countries where milk by-products are scarce and expensive (Khan et al., 2012). Feeding milk replacer to calves is an alternative approach being used in many commercial dairy farms mainly in developed countries to combat this serious problem since long (Plaza and Fernandez, 1994; Sajko et al., 1998 and Mete et al., 2000). The availability of cost effective milk replacer in the market is one of the major hindrance of calves' growth in Bangladesh.

Fresh mother milk feeding is most common at small as well as large dairy farms in Bangladesh. However, the feeding of calves based on a milk replacer may be cheaper than that of feeding whole milk. Milk replacers are the very good source of liquid feed for calves. They are often very economic and in many situations, are more easily adapted to the labour and facility needs of calf raising operations than whole milk (Heinrichs et al., 1995). There are many types or forms of low cost high quality plant protein viz, Soy flour, Soy milk, soy protein concentrate and wheat protein could be used in the formulation of milk replacers. Soy proteins are widely used in milk replacer formulations. Soya protein and wheat protein has an acceptable amino acid profile and is relatively inexpensive compared to most other alternative proteins (Vermeire, 2005). In some countries, soymilk is being used as a novel milk replacer in calf raising facilities (Ghorbani *et al.*, 2007). Soybean meal is an excellent source of vegetable protein (Carpenter 1951). Feeding plant protein based milk replacer (MR) could be an alternative approach of milk feeding keeping the calf healthy and optimum growth. In this connection, from 2010-2011, Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute, Savar, Dhaka has carried out a series of trials under both on-station & on-farm condition for the development of cost effective Shoti based milk replacer (Roy et al., 2010). It was experienced from the previous studied that shoti always not available and sometime its collection and processing are labor intensive. Therefore, the present study was planned to develop cost effective milk replacers using ingredients with different plant sources and to compare growth performance of calves.

Materials and Methods

Place of the study

The present study was conducted at the Cattle farm, Patutia BLRI, Savar, Dhaka-1341. Laboratory works were conducted in the Animal Production Research Division (APRD) and Animal Health Research Division (AHRD), BLRI, Savar, Dhaka-1341.

Experimental animals and dietary treatments

A total of 24 local calves (BLRI Cattle Breed-1/Pabna; 20 calves and Red Chittagong Cattle; 4 calves) of about 6-10 days of age were selected and divided in four groups; having six (6) calves in each. The unavailability of Pabna calves during the study period was the main reason to include 4 RCC calves under the research program. However calves were distributed randomly in each treatment group. The calves were reared under group feeding management practices followed in BLRI cattle farm. A limited suckling with feeding whole milk considered as control (T_0), suckling along with feeding of wheat, shoti and soybean based milk replacer considered as treatments and denoted as T_1 , T_2 and T_3 respectively. However, the amount of milk fed by the calves under both groups (control & treatment) through suckling in the morning and evening were quantified through weighing calves just before and after suckling with the help of a platform digital balance.

Housing and feeding experimental diets

The calves were housed in an open calf shed, where group-feeding approaches were practiced. The calf shed provided with feed trough for feeding concentrate mixture and green grass and a plastic bucket for feeding water. All calves under control and treatment groups were supplied an iso-nitrogenous diet (CP content 25%) at a rate of 10% of their body weight. Calves fed whole milk or milk replacer twice daily at 08:00 and 16:00h using a plastic bottle. Before feeding the calves, fresh milk was collected from bulk collection, filtered to remove extraneous materials and boiled at 100⁰C for 20 minutes. Then, it was cooled to 37 ⁰C and supplied to the calves. In case of replacer, the formulated powder was added in hot boiled water maintaining a ratio of 1:7 (milk replacer powder: water), so that the protein content of liquid milk replacer contained similar to milk and cooled down to 37 ⁰C and then fed to calves. Green grass and concentrate mixture were supplied *adlib* after 2 weeks of age. The experiment was carried out for a period of 50 days.

Measurement of body weight

The calves were weighed initially just after arrival and weekly thereafter by a platform digital balance. Each calf was weighed in the morning before feeding. The experiment was carried out for a period of 50 days. The total live weight gain was calculated by subtracting the initial weight

from the final weight taken at the end of the experimental period and the daily weight gain was calculated by dividing the total weight gain by the number of experimental days.

Measurement of intake

The daily feed intake was measured by subtracting the amount of refusals from the amount of feed offered in the previous day. During feeding trial, the total intake i.e., the actual intake of milk, milk replacer, amount of green grass and concentrate fed by the animals were recorded on daily basis. Incidences of diseases were also observed daily to evaluate the health status of calves.

Chemical analysis of experimental diets

Representative samples of feed, milk replacers and whole milk were chemically analyzed for dry matter, organic matter, crude protein and crude fiber following the method of AOAC (2005). The acid detergent fibre (ADF) was determined as per Goering and Van Soest (1970). The percent fat and protein content in milk samples were also determined by using a Lactostar (Funke Gerber, model no. 3510-080203).

Table 1. Ingredient composition of milk replacer

Wheat based MR (T ₁)		Shoti based MR (T ₂)		Soybean based MR (T ₃)	
Ingredients	%	Ingredients	%	Ingredients	%
Wheat flour	29	Shoti powder	39	Soy powder	8
Soymeal	47	Soymeal	50	Soymeal	43
Rice flour	13	Soybean oil	9	Rice flour	38
Soybean oil	9	DCP	1	Soybean oil	9
DCP	1	Salt	0.5	DCP	1
Salt	0.5	Vita-min-Premix	0.5	Salt	0.5
Vita-min-Premix	0.5	-	-	Vita-min-Premix	0.5
Total	100		100		100

Table 2. Ingredient composition of concentrate mixture

Ingredients	Percent
Broken wheat	10
Wheat bran	40
Khesari	24
Til oil cake	15
Fishmeal	3
Soymeal	5
Salt	0.5
DCP	0.5
Oyester cell	2
Total	100

Table 3. Nutrient composition (%) of experimental diets fed to calves

Nutrients	Diets					
	Milk replacer			Milk	Grass	Concentrate mix.
	Wheat based (T ₁)	Shoti based (T ₂)	Soybean based (T ₃)			
DM	91.77	92.86	93.03	13.01	18.87	90.78
OM	93.95	91.61	91.66	96.70	94.21	90.69
CP/protein	26.49	25.50	26.63	3.38	10.70	15.25
Fat/EE	9.61	9.58	10.12	3.78	0.12	1.30
ADF	2.06	12.11	6.77	-	26.33	24.70

Blood Collection and Plasma biochemical assay

The blood samples were collected in the morning prior to feeding of the calves especially from jugular vein into EDTA (20 IU heparin/ml blood) tubes at fortnightly interval. Immediately after sampling, the blood was placed in ice box and taken to laboratory. To separate plasma from the cells, blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15-20 minutes and the plasma were separated and stored in refrigerator (-20 °C) in different aliquots for the analysis of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and plasma glucose. The blood urea nitrogen and glucose were determined by using a commercial kit (blood urea nitrogen kit & blood glucose kit) at Serology laboratory under Animal Health Research Division, BLRI, Savar, Dhaka-1341.

Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis by using analysis of variance (Steel and Torrie, 1980) for a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Treatment means were compared by using LSD. All the analysis was carried out using SPSS (2002) programme.

Results and Discussion

Nutrient composition of the experimental diets

The ingredients and level used for the formulation of milk replacers and concentrate mixture have been presented in Table 1 and 2, respectively and the nutrient compositions of experimental diets shown in Table 3. The perusal Table 2 indicated that wheat (T₁), shoti (T₂) and soybean (T₃) based milk replacer powder contained 91.77, 92.86 and 93.03 percent DM, respectively. Similarly, the percent OM, CP and Fat (EE) for the respective MR powder were 93.95, 91.61 and 91.66; 26.49, 25.50 and 26.63 and 9.61, 9.58 and 10.12, respectively. Though, the milk replacers

were iso-nitrogenous, however, after mixing the ingredients it was shown slightly higher in T₁ and T₃ diets. The whole milk fed and/sucked to calves under all the experimental groups contained 13.01, 96.70, 3.38 and 3.78 percent of DM, OM, protein and fat, respectively. The DM, OM, CP and EE content in green grass (German grass) and concentrate mixture fed calves under all the groups were 18.87, 90.78; 94.21, 90.69; 10.70, 15.25 and 0.12, 1.30 percent, respectively.

Nutrient intake

The total DM and OM intake was relatively higher in T₀ group compared to calves those fed T₁, T₂ and T₃ diets and no significant difference ($p>0.05$) was observed among the treatment groups. Though, the differences among the groups were not significant but relatively higher intake of DM observed in T₀ group. This might be attributed through feeding either from higher grass or concentrate intake. Similarly, the total CP intake in all experimental calves had no effect ($p>0.05$) on feeding experimental diets. However, calves in T₂ groups sucked significantly ($p<0.01$) higher amount of milk or milk DM compared to milk sucked by calves in T₀, T₁ and T₃, respectively (Table 4).

Table 4. Nutrient intake, average daily gain (ADG) & feed conversion ratio (FCR) of calves fed experimental diets (Mean \pm SE)

Parameters	Experimental diets				Level of Sig.
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	
Nutrient intake					
Fresh Milk sucked (L/d)	0.79 ^b \pm 0.01	0.78 ^b \pm 0.03	1.21 ^c \pm 0.02	0.87 ^a \pm 0.03	**
DMI Milk sucked (kg/d)	0.10 ^b \pm 0.001	0.10 ^b \pm 0.005	0.16 ^c \pm 0.003	0.11 ^a \pm 0.003	**
DMI MR/Milk (kg/d)	0.26 \pm 0.02	0.21 \pm 0.01	0.22 \pm 0.02	0.26 \pm 0.02	NS
Total DM intake (kg/d)	0.56 \pm 0.04	0.47 \pm 0.05	0.51 \pm 0.05	0.53 \pm 0.04	NS
Total OM intake (kg/d)	0.53 \pm 0.04	0.44 \pm 0.05	0.49 \pm 0.05	0.50 \pm 0.04	NS
Total CP intake (kg/d)	0.12 \pm 0.008	0.10 \pm 0.009	0.11 \pm 0.01	0.12 \pm 0.009	NS
ADF intake (kg/d)	0.05 \pm 0.007	0.04 \pm 0.01	0.06 \pm 0.01	0.06 \pm 0.008	NS
Body weight & FCR					
Initial live weight (kg)	21.87 \pm 2.24	21.00 \pm 1.62	21.62 \pm 1.74	22.02 \pm 3.08	NS
Final live weight (kg)	37.50 \pm 5.50	29.75 \pm 2.00	36.52 \pm 4.48	35.30 \pm 2.14	NS
ADG (kg/d)	0.312 \pm 0.12	0.175 \pm 0.0.02	0.298 \pm 0.12	0.266 \pm 0.02	NS
FCR (kg DMI/kg gain)	2.73 \pm 0.57	2.84 \pm 0.40	1.92 \pm 0.36	2.03 \pm 0.14	NS
Blood metabolites					
Plasma glucose (mg/dl)	49.75 \pm 2.71	43.00 \pm 4.26	40.00 \pm 3.69	44.25 \pm 4.87	NS
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl)	17.37 \pm 1.60	23.00 \pm 1.73	19.12 \pm 2.90	18.75 \pm 1.10	NS

NS= $p>0.05$; **= $p<0.01$

Body weight and feed conversion efficiency

The initial body weight of calves of T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃ groups were 21.87±2.24, 21.00±1.62, 21.62±1.74 and 22.02±3.08 kg, respectively and the difference among the treatment groups were non-significant (p>0.05). The similar non-significant effect of feeding experimental diets on final live weight of calves observed under the study. The average final live weight of the corresponding groups were 37.50±5.50, 29.75±2.00, 36.52±4.48 and 35.30±2.14 kg, respectively. The values for average live weight gain of calves were 0.312, 0.175, 0.298 and 0.266 kg/d in T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃, respectively. While, no significant difference (p<0.05) observed in average daily weight gain (ADG) among the treatment groups. The feed conversion efficiency (FCR) was relatively better (p>0.05) in calves those fed shoti (T₂) and soybean (T₃) based MR compared to calves fed wheat and control diets. The values for FCR were 2.73±0.57, 2.84±0.40, 1.92±0.36 and 2.03±0.14 for T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃ groups, respectively (Table 4). The findings of the present study revealed that average daily gain (ADG) in control group (T₁) was higher than that of milk replacer groups. Almost similar trends was also reported by Roy et al. (2011) who observed higher (p>0.05) weight gain (0.36 kg) in calves fed whole milk than calves those fed shoti based MR (0.33 kg) under on-farm condition. The finding was also in agreement with results reported by Wadud et al. (1985) and Rahman et al. (1988). A non-significant effect in weight gain was also reported by Sajko et al. (1998) which partially supports the present findings.

Blood metabolites

The overall concentrations (mg/dl) of plasma glucose in T₀, T₁, T₂, and T₄ groups during entire period of experiments were 49.75±2.71, 43.00±4.26, 40.00±3.69 and 44.25±4.87mg/dL, respectively. Similarly, the concentrations of BUN for the corresponding groups were 17.37±1.60, 23.00±1.73, and 19.12±2.90 and 18.75±1.10 mg/dL, respectively. However, the overall levels of both glucose and BUN did not differ significantly (p>0.05) due to treatment effects in calves (Table 4). This finding is inline with results reported by Roy et al. (2011) who did not observe any significant variations of glucose and BUN level in calves fed whole milk and milk replacers based on shoti powder.

Disease incidence and mortality

The incidence of diseases and mortality of calves under the study has been presented in Table 5. It was revealed from the study that the incidence of diarrhea was relatively higher in T₁ and T₂ groups as compared to that of groups T₀ and T₃. In case of pneumonia, calves in T₁ group showed higher incidence than T₂ and T₃, while calves in T₀ group did not affected by pneumonia. No case of blot was appeared in calves fed MR diets except milk fed group where single incidence of blot was appeared. During the entire experimental period, none of the calf had died due to feeding of either whole milk or milk replacers.

Table 5. Disease incidence and mortality of calves fed experimental diets

Items	No. of times affected			
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃
Diarrhea	6	14	12	8.0
Pneumonia	-	5	2	1
Naval ill	1	2	-	-
Blot	1	-	-	-
Calf mortality	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Cost of milk replacer

The cost involved for preparation of milk replacer was calculated using market price of all individual ingredient. The cost involved per kg Shoti powder (including cost of Shoti collection, processing and grinding), wheat flour, soy powder (including processing cost), soybean meal, rice flour, soybean oil, di-calcium phosphate (DCP), vitamin-premix and common salt were Tk.140.00, Tk. 36.00, Tk. 42.00 Tk. 50.00, Tk. 30.00, Tk. TK. 135.00, Tk. 80.00, Tk. 360.00 and Tk. 20.00, respectively. The total cost of per kg wheat based MR, shoti based MR and Soybean based MR were Tk. 52.69, Tk. 94.45 and Tk. 51.11, respectively (Table 6).

Table 6. Price of feed ingredients and cost for the preparation of milk replacer*

Ingredients	Price (Tk./kg)	Milk Replacer					
		Wheat based (T ₁)		Shoti based (T ₂)		Soybean based (T ₃)	
		% used	Cost (Tk.)	% used	Cost (Tk.)	% used	Cost (Tk.)
Whole milk	50.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shoti powder (cost included collection, processing & grinding etc.)	140.00	-	-	39	54.60	-	-
Wheat flour	36.00	29	10.44	-	-	-	-
Soy powder	42.00	-	-	-	-	8	3.36
Soybean meal	50.00	47	23.50	50	25.00	43	21.50
Rice flour	30.00	13	3.90	-	-	38	11.40
Soybean oil	135.00	9	12.15	9	12.15	9	12.15
Dicalcium phosphate (DCP)	80.00	1	0.80	1	0.80	1	0.80
Vit-min-premix	360.00	0.5	1.80	0.5	1.80	0.5	1.80
Common salt	20.00	0.5	0.10	0.5	0.10	0.5	0.10
Total cost/kg MR preparation	-	-	52.69	-	94.45	-	51.11

MR = Milk Replacer, * January 2011-May, 11

Cost and benefit analysis of feeding experimental diets

The total cost (including collection, processing and grinding etc.) of per kg wheat based MR (T₁), shoti based MR (T₂) and Soybean based MR (T₃) were Tk. 52.69, Tk. 94.45 and Tk. 51.11, respectively (Table 6). The processing/preparation cost per kg MR was increased Tk. 41.00-43.00 in T₂ group than that of T₁ and T₃ groups. The feeding cost per calf per day was reduced Tk. 88.93.00 Tk. 57.87 and Tk. 82.55 in T₁, T₂ and T₃, respectively than that of T₀ group (Table 7). Results considering for both FCR and per day feeding cost, it indicates that T₂ and T₃ diets i.e, shoti and soybean based MR were effectively maintained growth of calves compared to milk fed calves. This findings is supported by the results reported earlier (Roy et al., 2011; Morel, 2000 and Skrzypek et al., 2003).

Table 7. Feeding cost of calves (Tk/day)

Items	Experimental diets			
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃
Milk Fed	99.65	-	-	-
Milk sucked	39.65	39.33	60.65	43.60
Milk Replacer powder	-	12.26	22.23	14.11
Concentrate mixture	4.16	3.39	3.09	3.64
Green grass	0.37	0.23	0.29	0.23
Fuel (Gas) for heating	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08
Medicine	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70
Miscellaneous	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Total cost (Tk./day/calf)	147.42	58.49	89.55	64.87
Feeding cost (Tk./d/calf; excluding cost of milk sucked)	107.77	19.16	28.90	21.27

MR= Milk Replacer

T₀ = Suckling with feeding whole milk; T₁ = Wheat based milk replacer; T₂ = Shoti based milk replacer and T₃ = Soybean based milk replacer

Conclusion

Considering the results in relation to FCR and per day feeding cost, it indicates that T₂ and T₃ diets i.e. shoti and soybean based MR were effectively maintained growth of calves compared to wheat based MR and milk fed calves. Therefore, this formulation of milk replacer can be suggested to smallholder farms as well as commercial dairy farm or feed industry for commercial MR production.

References

- AOAC. 2005. Official Method of Analysis (14th edition). Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Arlington. Verginia 22209, USA.
- Carpenter, K.J. 1951. The relative nutrition values of animal and vegetable proteins for animals. Br. J. Nutr., 5: (3) 153.
- Ghorbani, G.R., Kowsar, R., Alikhani, M. and Nikkhah, A. 2007. Soymilk as a Novel Milk Replacer to stimulate early calf starter intake and reduce weaning age and costs. J. Dairy Sci., 90(12): 5692-5697.
- Goering, H.K. and Van Soest, P.J. 1970. Forage fibre analysis (Apparatus, Reagents, Procedures, and Some Applications). Agric. Handbook No. 379. ARS-USDA, Washington, DC.

- Heinrichs, A.J., Losinger, W.C. and wells, S.J. 1995. Dairy calf milk replacer usage in the United States. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 78: 2831.
- Khan, R., Ghufuranullah, Ahmad, S., Khan, B.D., Iqbal, M., Farid, P.K. and Hayazuddin. 2012. Effect of Milk Replacer on Performance Parameters of Different Bovine Breeds. *Pakistan J. Nutr.*, 11 (12): 1190-1193, 2012
- Mete, Y., Sadrettin, Y., Ugur, Z., Yanar, M., Yuksel, S. and Zulkadir, U. 2000. Replacement of whole milk by milk replacer in the ration of Holstein-Friesian calves raised in Eastern Turkey. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 70(9): 977.
- Morel, I. 2000. Cereals instead of milk substitute in the diet of the calves. *Rev. Suisse d' Agric.*, 32:1, 43.
- Plaza, J. and Fernandez, E. 1994. Effect of whole milk substitution by a milk replacer (MR) on calf performance. *Cuban J. Agric. Sci.*, 28(1): 49.
- Rahman, F., Rahman, M.S., Wadud, A. and Imam Hussain, S.M. 1988. Study on growth performance of baby calves as influenced by milk replacers. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Sci.*, 15(1):189-192.
- Ranjhan, S.K. and Pathak, N.N. 1979. Management and feeding of buffaloes. Vikas Publ. House. New Delhi.
- Roy, A., Amanullah, S.M. and Huque, K.S. 2010. Development of milk replacer and calf starter. Proceedings of the Annual Research Review Workshop, BLRI, Savar, Dhaka-1341.
- Roy, A., Sarker, N.R., Huque, K.S. and Roy, B.K. 2011. Effect of feeding shoti based milk replacer to calves under on-farm and on-station conditions. *Bang. J. Livs. Res.*, 18(1 &2): 26-34.
- Sajko, J., Skorko, S. H., Tywonzuk, J., Zuraw, J. and Chojnowski, W. 1998. Efficiency of milk replacer containing soycomil K in calf feeding. *Acta. Acad. Agric. Tech. Olstenensis, Zootechnica*, No. 48: 45.
- Sarker, N.R., Huque, K.S. and Khaleduzzaman, A.B.M. 2012. Feeds, Fodder and Feeding Challenges for Bangladesh. Animal Husbandry Education and Profession in Bangladesh- A Journy of 50 years. Bangladesh Animal Husbandry Association. Farmgate, Dhaka-1215.
- Skrzypek, R., Osieglowski, S., Hofmanski, D., Grzymislawska, M. and Grzymislawski, S. 2003. Biological and economic evaluation of milk replacers for calve. *Am. Anim. Sci.*, 3(1):127.
- SPSS. 2002. Statistical Package for Social Science. Copyright (e) SPSS Inc. USA.
- Steel, R.G. and Torrie, J.E. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book, New York.
- Tareque, A.M.M. and Chowdhury, S.M.Z.H. 2010. Agricultural Research Priority: Vision- 2030 and beyond. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council Farmgate, Dhaka.
- Vermeire, A. D. 2005. SWGP has potentially use in milk replacers. *Feedstuff*. 77: 28, 2005.
- Wadud, A., Zaman, M.M., Samad, M.A. and Imam Hussain, S. M. 1985. Effect of whole milk and different milk replacers in calf raising. *Bang. J. Anim. Sci.*, 14(1 & 2): 13-17.