

Trace Minerals and Heavy Metals: Implications in Prostate Cancer

SIA Shah

Dept of Surgery & Cancer, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London & NHS Hammersmith Hospital, London, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Dietary minerals and environmental heavy metals are modifiable factors that have been studied over the years for associations with prostate cancer risk. This review considers the recent evidence in this field and concludes that the role of dietary minerals and environmental elements in prostate cancer progression or prevention is not yet clear and further research is needed to elaborate on the current knowledge.

Key Words : Prostate cancer, Minerals, Heavy Metals

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is one of the most common malignancies affecting men, particularly in the developed world. By 2030, the global annual incidence of PC is predicted to rise to 1.7 million. PC incidence rates vary greatly worldwide, being highest in western countries and relatively low in Asia. However, PC incidence is rising rapidly in Asia and factors responsible for this rapid rise include aging population, westernized dietary habits and increasing use of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing^{1,2}.

Prostate tumors are predominantly adenocarcinomas, having a heterogeneous and multifocal nature, and multiple mechanisms have been proposed for their initiation and progression³. A salient aspect of PC disease process is its close association with aging; pre-neoplastic morphological changes are common in early life but progression to invasive carcinoma occurs late in a limited population as a consequence of aging. Familial inheritance is generally associated with early onset of disease and accounts for about 10% of PC⁴. Androgen receptor signaling has a central role in all stages of prostate carcinogenesis and transition to androgen independence is a hallmark feature of advanced disease⁵.

In addition to these somewhat established pathophysiologic causes, diverse environmental factors including trace minerals and heavy metals are presumed to play a key role in prostate carcinogenesis. These factors can affect various aspects of cancer development which involves genetic alterations and selection for cells with increasing ability for proliferation, survival, invasion and metastasis⁶. Diet and supplementation are modifiable factors that have been studied for associations with PC risk and over the past few years, substantial research, focusing particularly on PC chemoprevention, has been carried out in this respect⁷. The present review summarizes recent clinical evidence regarding dietary minerals, their supplements and other environmental heavy metals implicated in PC.

Selenium

The trace element selenium (Se) is present in foods like grains, meat, poultry, fish, eggs and dairy products. Se occurs in both organic and inorganic forms including selenomethionine, selenocysteine, selenate and selenite and its bioavailability depends upon the chemical form^{8,9}. The organic form enters through the food chain via the consumption of plants grown in soil containing the inorganic form. Following

metabolism, Se is incorporated into selenoproteins which protect cellular membranes from oxidative damage and are also involved in reproduction, thyroid activity, DNA synthesis, muscle function and several other metabolic functions^{10,11}.

Recent evidence has suggested the role of Se in reducing the risk of a variety of malignancies. Proposed mechanisms for anti-cancer actions of Se include antioxidant protection, enhanced carcinogen detoxification, enhanced immune surveillance, modulation of cell cycle, inhibition of tumor cell invasion and inhibition of angiogenesis¹⁰. Several studies have shown evidence of a link between low selenium levels and higher PC incidence and it has been suggested that higher levels of Se may slow PC tumour progression¹¹⁻¹³. Results from the Nutritional Prevention of Cancer (NPC) trial of 200 μ g/day Se showed two-third reduction in PC incidence among men with low baseline PSA values (< 4ng/mL) but no such reduction was seen in participants with higher values. Interestingly, only participants with low baseline plasma selenium concentrations had significant reductions in PC incidence¹⁴.

Data from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study showed that high baseline Se levels reduce the risk of advanced PC by more than half¹⁵. Results from a recent prospective study showed that toenail Se was associated with a reduced risk of advanced PC¹⁶. The Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT) tested Se and vitamin E separately and in combination but neither had any effect on the risk of PC¹⁷. Results from the Prostate Cancer Preventive Trial revealed no evidence that dietary or supplemental intake of Se was associated with risk of PC¹⁸. These conflicting findings about the role of Se in preventing PC could possibly be due to the type of Se administered or genetic susceptibility to its effect. Further work is needed to determine the effective type and dosage of Se before any recommendation can be made for its use in the prevention of PC or otherwise.

Zinc

Zinc (Zn) is an essential trace element possessing antioxidant properties and is a component of many proteins involved in physiological regulation of apoptosis, transcription and cellular differentiation. Zinc is abundant in many food sources, including red meat and poultry, beans, nuts, seafood, and whole grains, and can also be found in fortified breakfast cereals¹¹. Zn accumulates greatly in the normal prostatic tissue, up to 10 times as much as in other soft tissues. Intraprostatic Zn is known to inhibit the mitochondrial enzyme aconitase, thereby limiting citrate oxidation and subsequent energy generation via Krebs cycle. It has been suggested that malignant cells become energy efficient by completing the Krebs cycle following loss of this inhibition by low Zn levels^{19,20}. Conversely, high tissue Zn enhances the activity of telomerase which could possibly increase PC risk since telomerase is involved in unrestricted tumor cell proliferation²¹.

Results from clinical studies regarding the role of Zn in PC are not clear. Data from the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial showed that high dietary Zn intakes modestly reduced the risk of high-grade disease¹⁸. Epstein et al. observed a potentially reduced PC risk in a recent study which showed an association between high dietary intake of zinc and lower PC-specific mortality after diagnosis, particularly in localized disease²². On the contrary, data from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study demonstrated an increased likelihood of advanced PC with Zn in a daily dosage of more than 100 mg/day but dietary Zn intake was not associated with PC risk¹⁹. In a case-control study, Gallus et al. found an association between high Zn intake and risk for advanced PC²³. These contradictory findings warrant further clinical exploration to explicate the potential mechanisms of PC development or prevention as a result of varying Zn concentrations.

Calcium

Calcium (Ca) is an essential element for humans, available only through dietary sources. It is largely concentrated in the skeleton as calcium-phosphate complexes, serving to maintain bone strength and Ca homeostasis. Non-skeletal Ca which accounts for approximately one percent of the total is responsible for a wide range of biological activities, including cell signalling, muscle contraction and nerve impulse transmission²⁴.

The role of Ca in PC may possibly be due to the regulation of tumor cell growth and apoptosis by intracellular calcium²⁵. Moreover, it has been theorized that dietary Ca may indirectly increase PC risk and promote tumor growth by reducing circulating levels of the biologically active form of vitamin D (1,25-dihydroxy cholecalciferol) which inhibits the proliferation of prostate cells²⁶. Several studies have demonstrated that a high calcium intake from foods and/or supplements is associated with increased PC risk. Data from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study showed a significant association between high-grade PC (Gleason score >7) and high Ca intake but a non-significant, inverse association was observed for well-differentiated, localized disease. Higher Ca intakes (1500 mg/day) were associated with a higher risk of advanced disease but not with low grade, organ-confined cancers²⁷.

Findings from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study showed an increased risk of PC with high total dietary Ca intake and dairy Ca intake. No association was observed between non-dairy Ca intake and the risk for PCa²⁸. In the Prostate Cancer Preventive Trial, Ca intake was associated with an increased risk of low grade PC but inversely associated with high grade disease¹⁸. Williams et al. in a recent study showed that dietary Ca is associated with lower risk for PC overall, particularly among black men, and lower risk for high-grade cancer among all men²⁹. The considerable inconsistency

in findings regarding the impact of Ca intake on PC development and progression warrants additional investigation.

Iron

Iron (Fe) is the most prevalent metal in the body. Bio-availability of Fe is affected by various factors, such as dietary sources and Fe form (heme or non-heme). Heme Fe content varies between foods from 17 to 80% of total Fe content³⁰⁻³². Heme Fe is more easily absorbed than non-heme Fe but depending on cooking method, its concentrations in meat can vary due to conversion into non-heme Fe. Heme Fe is transported via blood circulation to all body organs including the prostate³³.

Being a transition metal, Fe has loosely bound electrons in the outer shell which facilitate the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as highly reactive hydroxyl radicals. Thus Fe overload can increase oxidative stress and cause DNA breaks and oxidative damage. Heme Fe can cause extensive cellular harm by catalyzing the generation of free radicals and it is also implicated in increased endogenous formation of N-nitroso compounds (NOC) which are known carcinogens in multiple species³².

Results from the Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial (CARET) study showed a significantly increased risk of clinically aggressive PC with higher total dietary Fe but did not reveal any association with overall PC. Associations were stronger among men with low dietary intake of fruits and vegetables which are foods rich in anti-oxidants³³. Sinha et al. studied the risk of PC with dietary iron intake (total and heme) and found no association between total iron intake and the overall PC risk or advanced disease. However, heme iron intake showed an increased risk for advanced cancer³⁴. High Fe content of hair and nails have been linked with increased risk of PC³⁵.

Copper

Copper (Cu) modulates the activities of multiple enzymes, regulates the redox state, promotes angiogenesis and mediates cellular proliferation. As a result of these activities, Cu appears to play an important role in the carcinogenic process which is evident through the increase seen in Cu levels in cancerous tissues³⁶. Previously, a study of serum Cu levels in PC showed no increase as compared to normal controls³⁷. However, a recent study documented higher Cu levels in hair and nails of PC patients³⁵.

Magnesium

Magnesium (Mg) is the second most abundant intracellular cation in the body, involved with numerous biological activities particularly related to its interaction with Ca. Levels of both these cations are regulated through competition for intestinal absorption and renal reabsorption and also via a negative feedback system³⁸. It has been indicated that the physiologic effects of Ca are enhanced in Mg deficiency as they compete for intracellular membrane binding sites³⁹. Serum Mg levels, and Ca/Mg ratio have been shown to be associated with high-grade PC. Mg deficiency is linked with chronic inflammation, possibly due to the concurrent Ca levels, which may play a key role in the progression to prostate cancer³⁸.

Cadmium

Cadmium (Cd) is recognized as a carcinogen based particularly on studies of occupationally exposed individuals having substantial exposure via the respiratory system⁴⁰. Chronic exposure to Cd poses a threat to the general population as it has a widespread presence in the environment⁴¹. Dietary vegetables and cereals are the main source of environmental Cd while drinking water contributes only a very small percentage⁴².

Once absorbed, Cd binds to metallothionein and is stored mainly in the kidneys, liver and other organs. Prostate tissue has also been identified as a target for Cd deposition⁴³. It has a long biological half-life (10-30 years) in humans which may cause neoplastic transformations in various organs including prostate⁴⁴. Association between Cd and PC among occupationally exposed men was shown previously but more recent and larger studies did not confirm those findings. In a recent population-based prospective study, dietary cadmium exposure was associated with a slightly increased overall risk of PC and for localized disease, the risk was more marked in smokers and lean men⁴⁵.

Conclusion

The current evidence seems a long way off in terms of classifying the exact roles of modifiable factors such as dietary minerals and environmental elements for offering clinically effective strategies aimed at modulating the risk of PC. Results from future experimental and clinical research employing adequately powered prospective study designs may help to clarify the etiologic role and/or chemopreventive potential of mineral elements in PC.

References

1. Siegel R, Naishadham D. and Jemal A, Cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2012. **62(1)**: 10-29.
2. Ito K. Prostate cancer in Asian men. *Nat Rev Urol* 2014. **11(4)**: 197-212.
3. Abate-Shen C. and Shen MM, Molecular genetics of prostate cancer. *Genes Dev* 2000. **14**: 2410-34.
4. Grönberg H, Prostate cancer epidemiology. *The Lancet*, 2003; 361(9360): 859-864.
5. Prehn, RT, On the prevention and therapy of prostate cancer by androgen administration. *Cancer Research* 1999. 59: (4161-4).
6. Cooper G.M. The Development and Causes of Cancer, in *The Cell: A Molecular Approach*, M.A. Sunderland, Editor. **Sinauer Associates**; 2000
7. Posadzki P, et al., Dietary supplements and prostate cancer: a systematic review of double-blind, placebo-controlled randomised clinical trials. *Maturitas* 2013; **75(2)**: 125-30.
8. Van Poppel H. and Tombal B. Chemoprevention of

- prostate cancer with nutrients and supplements. **Cancer Manag Res** 2011; 3: 91-100.
9. Gray MA. et al., Environmental exposure to trace elements and prostate cancer in three New Zealand ethnic groups. **International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health** 2005; 2(3): 374-84.
 10. Papp LV, et al, From selenium to selenoproteins: synthesis, identity, and their role in human health. **Antioxid Redox Signal** 2007; 9(7): 775-806.
 11. Platz EA. and Helzlsouer KJ, Selenium, Zinc, and Prostate Cancer. **Epidemiologic Reviews** 2001; 23: 93-102.
 12. Shah SIA, Naik SI, and Nadeem I, Role of plasma selenium and osteopontin in prostate cancer. **Scientific Medicine** 2009; 1.
 13. Peters U, et al. Serum selenium and risk of prostate cancer-a nested case-control study. **American Journal of Clinical Nutrition**, 2007. 85: 209-17.
 14. Clark LC, et al. Effects of selenium supplementation for cancer prevention in patients with carcinoma of the skin: A randomized controlled trial. **JAMA** 1996; 276(24): 1957-1963.
 15. Yoshizawa K et al. Study of Prediagnostic Selenium Level in Toenails and the Risk of Advanced Prostate Cancer. **Journal of the National Cancer Institute** 1998; 90(16): 1219-1224.
 16. Geybels MS et al. Advanced prostate cancer risk in relation to toenail selenium levels. **J Natl Cancer Inst** 2013; 105(18): 1394-401.
 17. Lippman SM, et al, Effect of selenium and vitamin E on risk of prostate cancer and other cancers. The selenium and vitamin E cancer prevention trial (SELECT). **JAMA** 2009; 301: 39-51.
 18. Kristal AR et al Diet Supplement Use, and Prostate Cancer Risk: Results From the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial. **American Journal of Epidemiology** 2010; 172(5): 566-577.
 19. Leitzmann MF, et al Zinc Supplement Use and Risk of Prostate Cancer. **Journal of the National Cancer Institute** 2003; 95(13); 1004-1007.
 20. Platz EA and KJ. Helzlsouer, Selenium, zinc, and prostate cancer. **Epidemiologic Reviews** 2001; 23: 93-101.
 21. Nemoto K et al. Modulation of telomerase activity by zinc in human prostatic and renal cancer cells. **Biochemical Pharmacology**, 2000; 59(4): p. 401-405.
 22. Epstein MM, et al. Dietary zinc and prostate cancer survival in a Swedish cohort. **Am J Clin Nutr** 2011; 93(3): 586-93.
 23. Gallus S et al. Dietary Zinc and Prostate Cancer Risk: A Case-Control Study from Italy. **European Urology** 2007; 52(4): 1052-1057.
 24. Peacock M, Calcium metabolism in health and disease. **Clin J Am Soc Nephrol** 2010; 5 Suppl 1: 23-30.
 25. Legrand G, et al. Ca²⁺ pools and cell growth. Evidence for sarcoendoplasmic Ca²⁺-ATPases 2B involvement in human prostate cancer cell growth control. **J Biol Chem** 2001; 276(50): 47608-14.
 26. Bonjour JP, Chevalley T, and Fardellone P, Calcium intake and vitamin D metabolism and action, in healthy conditions and in prostate cancer. **Br J Nutr**, 2007; 97(4): 611-6.
 27. Giovannucci E, et al. A prospective study of calcium intake and incident and fatal prostate cancer. **Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev** 2006; 15(2): 203-10.
 28. Allen NE. et al. Animal foods, protein, calcium and prostate cancer risk: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. **Br J Cancer**, 2008; 98(9): 1574-81.
 29. Williams DC. et al. Dietary calcium and risk for prostate cancer: a case-control Study among US veterans. **Preventing Chronic Disease** 2012; 9: 39.
 30. Boontaveeyuwat N and Klunklin S, The heme iron content of urban and rural Thai diets. **J Med Assoc Thai** 2001; 84: 1131-6.
 31. Lopez MA, and Martos FC., Iron availability: An updated review. **Int J Food Sci Nutr** 2004; 55(8): 597-606.
 32. Bae YJ et al. Dietary intake and serum levels of iron in relation to oxidative stress in breast cancer patients. **Journal of clinical biochemistry and nutrition**, 2009; 45: 355-60.
 33. Choi Y et al. Iron intake, oxidative stress-related genes (MnSOD and MPO) and prostate cancer risk in CARET cohort. **Carcinogenesis** 2008; 29(5): 964-70.
 34. Sinha R. et al. Meat and meat-related compounds and risk of prostate cancer in a large prospective cohort study in the United States. **Am J Epidemiol** 2009; 170(9): 1165-77.
 35. Karimi G et al., Association between Trace Element and Heavy Metal Levels in Hair and Nail with

- Prostate Cancer. **Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention**, 2012; **13(9)**: p. 4249-4253.
36. Majumder S, et al. The role of copper in drug-resistant murine and human tumors. **Biometals**, 2009; **22(2)**: 377-84.
37. Adaramoye OA, Akinloye O, and Olatunji IK. Trace elements and Vitamin E status in Nigerian patients with prostate cancer. **African Health Sciences** 2010; 10(1): 1-8.
38. Dai Q et al. Blood magnesium, and the interaction with calcium, on the risk of high-grade prostate cancer. **PLoS One** 2011; **6(4)**: e18237.
39. Iseri LT and JH. French, Magnesium: Nature's physiologic calcium blocker. **American Heart Journal**, 1984; **108(1)**: 188-193.
40. Cho YE et al. Dietary cadmium intake and the risk of cancer: a meta-analysis. **Plos One**, 2013; **8(9)**: e75087.
41. Jarup L. and Akesson A, Current status of cadmium as an environmental health problem. **Toxicol Appl Pharmacol** 2009; **238(3)**: 201-8.
42. Olsson I et al. Cadmium in blood and urine-impact of sex, age, dietary intake, iron status, and former smoking-association of renal effects. **Environmental Health Perspectives** 2002; **110**: 1185-90.
43. Brys M et al. Zinc and cadmium analysis in human prostate neoplasms. **Biol Trace Elem Res** 199; **59**: 145-52.
44. Waalkes MP, Cadmium carcinogenesis. **Mutat Res** 2003; **533**: (107-20).
45. Julin B et al. Dietary cadmium exposure and prostate cancer incidence: a population-based prospective cohort study. **Br J Cancer** 2012; **107(5)**: 895-900.