

Review Article

Utilization of Brain Tissue as A Viable Postmortem Toxicological Specimen: A Review on Collection and Preservation of Sample for Toxicological Analysis and Its Advantage Over Other Specimens

Nurunnabi ASM¹, Mozaffor M², Sultan MT³, Islam MM⁴, Haroon K⁵

Funding Agency: This project was not funded by any group, organization or institution.

Contribution of Author: Principal Investigator- Dr. Abu Sadat Mohammad Nurunnabi

Manuscript Preparation- Dr. Mohammad Tipu Sultan,

Data Collection- Dr. Md. Mozaharul Islam,

Editorial Formatting: Dr. Kaisar Haroon

Copyright: ©2021 bang.BJNS published by BSNS. This published by BJNS. This article is published under the creative commons CC-BY-NC license. This license permits use distribution (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Received: 11 February, 2021

Accepted: 16 April, 2021

Abstract:

Collection of proper autopsy specimen and preservation are essential steps for the toxicological analysis in Forensic Medicine. Faulty collection and preservation of the specimens/samples can greatly alter or negate forensic chemical or toxicological examination. In forensic toxicology practice in Bangladesh, postmortem specimen that is subjected to toxicological examinations generally focusing on mainly blood and sometimes urine or other fluids from different body cavities. Analysis of blood from different anatomical sites and tissue samples and urine may assist in the interpretation of the postmortem results. However, in many postmortem cases, there is little or no blood for quantitative drug analysis, or there might be such traumatic injury which led to significant blood loss or there is possibility of contamination from contents of the ruptured stomach. Besides, analysis of urine reveals negative result, if death occurs closely the time of intoxication. Given the circumstances, brain tissue may be a valuable specimen in postmortem toxicological analysis. The position of the brain in the body secures a tremendous protection and isolation which can eliminate or at least attenuates many of the interpretive challenges with postmortem blood, urine or other fluid specimens. This review paper is an update on the standard methods of brain tissue specimen collection and preservation procedures for toxicological analysis and its value as well as advantages over other specimens, which might be of possible interest for forensic professionals in the country.

Keywords: Postmortem Examination, Autopsy, Toxicological Analysis, Brain tissue, Blood, Urine.

Bang. J Neurosurgery 2022; 11(2): 114-117

Introduction

In forensic medicine practice, finding out the manner of death and the causes behind rely upon several factors – scene investigation, medical history, autopsy examination and toxicological analysis^{1,2}. Forensic toxicology is mainly concerned with the determination of the presence or absence and role of alcohol, drugs

and their metabolites as well as other toxic substances in biological fluids, and/or tissues to solve a medico legal problem^{3,4}. Forensic toxicology can be divided into three main categories⁴:

1. **Workplace or pre-employment testing** – that deals with pre-employment drug screening as required by the workplace/government authority;

1. Dr. Abu Sadat Mohammad Nurunnabi, Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, OSD, Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), Dhaka, Bangladesh;
2. Dr. Miliya Mozaffor, Assistant Professor and Laboratory Consultant, Department of Biochemistry, Medical College for Women & Hospital, Uttara, Dhaka, Bangladesh;
3. Dr. Mohammad Tipu Sultan, Medical Officer, Department of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh;
4. Dr. Md. Mozaharul Islam, Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, Shaheed Syed Nazrul Islam Medical College, Kishoreganj, Bangladesh;
5. Dr. Kaisar Haroon, Assistant Professor, Department of Clinical Neurosurgery, National Institute of Neurosciences & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Address of Correspondence: Dr. Abu Sadat Mohammad Nurunnabi, Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, OSD, Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), Dhaka, Bangladesh. Email: shekhor19@yahoo.com

2. *Postmortem toxicology* – which deals with the toxicology testing on deceased individuals as a routine part of the autopsy process to establish the cause of death and clarifying its circumstances in postmortem investigation, e.g. determination of the presence and the amount of toxic substance in the postmortem body including its chemical change and dilution;
3. *Human performance testing or 'criminal toxicology'* – which is used to elucidate the absence or presence of substances modifying human performance or behaviour e.g. criminal offenses, driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, committing a crime while on a drug, or having a crime committed against an individual such as a sexual assault.

In the arena of postmortem toxicology in our country, specimens that are subjected to toxicological examinations range from bodily fluids to tissues, generally focusing on blood and urine^{5,6}. Since extraction and analytical techniques were refined tremendously through decades, analysis of brain material is still neither standard nor popular procedure in forensic toxicology in our country to date. This review paper aims to discuss when and how brain tissue can be utilized as a viable postmortem toxicological specimen and its advantages over other specimens.

Brain tissue as a viable toxicological specimen

In many postmortem cases, brain could be a more helpful than that of blood or urine or other body cavity fluid sample, as we know that the central nervous system is the site of action for numerous drugs and delays postmortem redistribution of chemicals, due to its secluded location⁷⁻¹⁰. The interpretive challenges with urine results are that a positive finding only reflects recent exposure, since the bladder is pharmacologically outside the body⁸. Besides, analysis of urine reveals negative result, if death occurs closely the time of intoxication⁹. Hence, it is challenging to get the accurate concentration in toxicological analysis of urine. Similarly, postmortem blood concentrations may not necessarily reflect the drug concentration at the time of death; as drug concentrations may change as a result of body storage conditions, time and site of blood sampling^{6,9}. In many postmortem cases, there is little to no blood for quantitative drug analyses,

traumatic injury may lead to significant blood loss or contamination from ruptured stomach contents^{8,9}. Postmortem toxicological analysis of brain tissue has many potential advantages. It is anatomically sequestered; less and delayed putrefication occurs in the brain tissue, and metabolic activity is comparatively low^{7,8}. As we have discussed earlier, the protected and isolated position of the brain may eliminate the challenges of postmortem redistribution (PMR) and delay or attenuate residual enzymatic activity on certain substrates artifactually altering their concentration and concentration of any substance in brain has been found to be more stable over time when compared with other sample organs (e.g., liver) and cavity fluid⁷⁻¹⁰. Thus, brain tissue has some advantages over other specimens collected at autopsy. Moreover, several studies have found that drug concentrations are homogenous throughout the brain tissue and usually reflect a consistent result in analysis^{6,11-13}.

General Examination of Postmortem Brain

After examination of the dura, the brain is removed, weighed, and its weight recorded¹⁴. The surface of the brain is inspected, lightly palpated for abnormalities, and the vasculature is examined. Abnormal findings are described, measured, and, when appropriate, photographed¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Next comes the part of sample collection for toxicological analysis. Sampling is of the utmost importance for a successful systematic toxicological analysis. The reliability and accuracy of any toxicological result is usually determined by the nature and integrity of the specimen provided for analysis. Furthermore, proper specimen selection and collection is of paramount importance for the analytical results to be accurately interpreted with scientific validity, particularly when the results are to be used in the judicial system.

Procedure for Collection for Postmortem Brain Tissue Sample During Autopsy^{1,14-17}

1. 25-30 g of brain tissue is to be collected for a plastic container with screw cap (without preservative);
2. It is basically relevant for drugs that act on the central nervous system (e.g. amphetamines, phenobarbitone, etc.);
3. However, also collected for lipophilic (e.g. drugs of abuse, organochlorinated insecticides, etc.) and volatile xenobiotic analysis;

4. Nonetheless, the high lipid content may cause sometimes analytical problems;
5. In most cases, the brain tissue was found only useful for qualitative analysis.

Preservation in containers, labelling, toxicological request form and storage^{1,14-17}

There is considerable variation in the types of kits used by different forensic institutions and laboratories. Regardless the format, it is key to the successful collection and consequent toxicological result to have necessary sample containers, to ensure that they are adequately labelled and that chain of custody is respected.

1. Containers should be new and preferably rinsed with distilled water and sterilized before use, unless the manufacturer's states it unnecessary;
2. If volatile xenobiotics (e.g. solvent abuse or intoxication with anaesthetic gases) are to be analysed, samples should be promptly collected and glass containers sealed with polytetrafluoroethylene (e.g. Teflon) or aluminium foil-lined lids are preferable to avoid greater losses by diffusion registered through plastic containers;
3. Containers should be filled (but not overfilled) to minimize headspace and therefore losses due to evaporation (e.g. volatiles such as ethanol);
4. Containers should be open at the time of analysis and only when cold at 4 °C;
5. A self-adhesive tamper-resistant stickers should be placed over container lids to avoid any adulteration of the specimen;
6. The labelling paper/sticker should include the following information: institutional case number identifier or request number; name of the victim or other identifier; sample type (e.g. brain), signature of the examiner; date and time of collection;
7. Toxicological analysis request forms should be filled as complete as possible, placed with samples inside a sealed plastic opaque bag and submitted to the forensic/toxicology laboratory for analysis;
8. A chain of custody report should be completed and signed asto maintain evidence of integrity of the sample/specimen.

9. Samples should be stored in tightly sealed containers at 4°C (short-term) or at "20°C or preferably at "80 °C (long-term).

Conclusion:

Since the brain is the primary site of action of many drugs, it becomes a useful specimen particularly for lipophilic substances such as halogenated hydrocarbons, narcotics, and antidepressants. This article aims to inform some advantages of brain tissue over other specimens like blood, urine or body fluids for toxicological analysis and general procedures for its sampling, labelling and storage. It is expected that this short review may help forensic professionals to accomplish their mission, since the toxicological result is first influenced by the quality and quantity of the sample available for analysis.

References:

1. Dinis-Oliveira RJ, Vieira DN, Magalhães T. Guidelines for collection of biological samples for clinical and forensic toxicological analysis. *Forensic Sci Res.* 2016;1(1):42-51.
2. Dinis-Oliveira RJ, Carvalho F, Duarte JA, Remião F, Marques A, Santos A, Magalhães T. Collection of biological samples in forensic toxicology. *Toxicol Mech Methods.* 2010;20(7):363-414.
3. Jones JT. Advances in drug testing for substance abuse alternative programs. *J NursRegul.* 2016;6(4):62-7.
4. Cosby S, Elliott S, Paterson S. The United Kingdom and Ireland Association of Forensic Toxicologists; establishing best practice for professional training & development in forensic toxicology. *Sci Justice.* 2017;57(1):63-71.
5. Baselt RC. Disposition of toxic drugs and chemicals in man. 11thed. Seal Beach, CA: Biomedical Publications; 2017. p.6-7.
6. Wille SM, De Letter EA, Piette MH, Van Overschelde LK, Van Peteghem CH, Lambert WE. Determination of antidepressants in human postmortem blood, brain tissue, and hair using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Int J Legal Med.* 2009;123(6):451-8.
7. Stimpfl T, Reichel S. Distribution of drugs of abuse within specific regions of the human brain. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2007;170(2-3):179-82.
8. Rohrig TP, Hicks CA. Brain tissue: a viable postmortem toxicological specimen. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2015;39(2):137-9.
9. Karch SB. Alternate strategies for postmortem drug testing. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2001;25(5):393-5.
10. Reiter B, Stimpfl T. Quantification of drugs in brain samples. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2015;39(9):702-6.
11. Kalasinsky KS, Bosy TZ, Schmunk GA, Ang L, Adams V, Gore SB, et al. Regional distribution of cocaine in postmortem brain of chronic human cocaine users. *J Forensic Sci.* 2000;45(5):1041-8.

12. Kalasinsky KS, Bosy TZ, Schmunk GA, Reiber G, Anthony RM, Furukawa Y, et al. Regional distribution of methamphetamine in autopsied brain of chronic human methamphetamine users. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2001;116(2-3):163-9.
13. Scheyer RD, Doring MJ, Hochholzer JM, Spencer DD, Cramer JA, Mattson RH. Phenytoin concentrations in the human brain: an in vivo microdialysis study. *Epilepsy Res.* 1994;18(3):227-32.
14. Powers JM. Practice guidelines for autopsy pathology. Autopsy procedures for brain, spinal cord, and neuromuscular system. Autopsy Committee of the College of American Pathologists. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 1995;119(9):777-83.
15. Millo T, Jaiswa AK, Behera C. Collection, preservation and forwarding of biological samples for toxicological analysis in medicolegal autopsy cases: A review. *J Indian Acad Forensic Med.* 2008;30(2):96-100.
16. Ferslew KE. Specimen preparation/extraction. In: Levine BS, Kerrigan S. eds. *Principles of forensic toxicology.* 5th ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer; 2020. p.109-26.
17. Kerrigan S. Sampling, storage and stability. In: Negrusz A, Cooper G. eds. *Clarke's Analytical Forensic Toxicology.* 2nd ed. London, UK: Pharmaceutical Press; 2013. p.335-56.