

Simulation Based Teaching and Learning in Clinical Education

Nurunnabi ASM¹, Haroon K², Mohammad T³, Hasan MM⁴, Tripura KK⁵, Sadeque SP⁶, Sultana F⁷, Taher T⁸

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding agency: This project was not funded by any group, organization or institution.

Contribution of the authors: ASM Nurunnabi and K Haroon were involved in concept and design of the paper; ASM Nurunnabi, K Haroon, T Mohammad, MM Hasan, KK Tripura, SP Sadeque, F Sultana and T Taher were equally involved in the literature search, review, compilation, manuscript writing and revision.

Manuscript Preparation: Dr. Taneem Mohammad, Dr. Kaminee Kumar Tripura

Data Collection: Dr. Taneem Mohammad, Dr. Farhana Sultana

Editorial formatting: Dr. Kaisar Haroon, Lt. Col. (Dr.) Mohammad Mahbul Hasan

Copyright: ©2022bang. BJNS published by BSNS. This article is published under the creative commons CC-BY-NC license. This license permits use distribution (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4-0/>) reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Received: 28 February, 2023

Accepted: 11 March 2023

Abstract:

Medical education is undergoing significant changes each day in different corners of the world. Calls from different stakeholders for a change in the instructional methods have resulted in innovative medical curriculum. The new curriculum stresses the importance of proficiency in several clinical skills by medical students rather than mere acquisition of knowledge. Teaching and training using simulation technique is very powerful. It provides valuable opportunities to learn and practice the key competencies in medical education, such as communication, problem-solving, teamwork, and leadership as well as management skills such as physical examination, diagnostic and surgical procedures. Pedagogical innovations like simulation-based teaching needs to be brought to the forefront in clinical education in our country. Simulation programs may function well from a technical point of view, but they are often difficult to fit into a curriculum, especially in low-resource settings, where money and technical-know-how are the main limitations. Medical educators have been pushed inevitably to rely on such technology-based learning looking at the future of medical education. However, they should not only embrace it but also develop and evaluate its sustainability and application in preclinical and clinical settings. If well-designed, learning how to operate a simulation program generally requires little effort for them and their students. A short introduction by the teacher is often sufficient to enable the student to work with the program.

Keywords: Simulation, clinical procedure communication skill, pedagogy, medical education

Bang. J Neurosurgery 2023; 13(1): 24-28

Introduction

Medical education is undergoing significant changes each day in different corners of the world.¹ Calls from

different stakeholders for a change in the instructional methods have resulted in innovative medical curriculum. The new curriculum stresses the

1. Dr. Abu Sadat Mohammad Nurunnabi, Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, OSD, Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), Dhaka, Bangladesh.
2. Dr. Kaisar Haroon, Associate Professor, Department of Clinical Neurosurgery, National Institute of Neurosciences & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh. orcid: 0000-0002-3065-7877.
3. Dr. Taneem Mohammad, Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Analgesia, Palliative and Intensive Care Medicine, Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
4. Lt. Col. (Dr.) Mohammad Mahbul Hasan, Classified Specialist, Department of Ophthalmology, Combined Military Hospital (CMH), Dhaka Cantonment, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
5. Dr. Kaminee Kumar Tripura, Assistant Professor, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, President Abdul Hamid Medical College & Hospital, Kishoreganj, Bangladesh.
6. Dr. Shayesta Parvin Sadeque, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Army Medical College Cumilla, Cumilla Cantonment, Cumilla, Bangladesh.
7. Dr. Farhana Sultana, Assistant Professor, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
8. Dr. Tania Taher, Associate Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh. orcid: 0000-0003-2176-1629.

Address of Correspondence: Dr. Abu Sadat Mohammad Nurunnabi, Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, OSD, Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), Dhaka, Bangladesh. Email: shekhor19@yahoo.com. ORCID: 0000-0002-5455-7838

importance of proficiency in several clinical skills by medical students rather than mere acquisition of knowledge.^{2,3} It is universally accepted that clinical skills constitute an essential learning outcome. The acquisition of appropriate communication and clinical skills are key to medical education; however, students sometimes complete their educational programs armed with theoretical knowledge but lack many of the clinical skills vital for their work.^{2,3} A major challenge for medical undergraduates in Bangladesh is the application of theoretical knowledge to the management of patients. Some medical colleges in Bangladesh have modified their teaching-learning strategies and adopted problem-based learning, integrated teaching, etc. Only few medical colleges have and utilize their clinical skills laboratories for training. However, simulation-based learning is not yet well-established in country.

Simulation-based medical education can be defined in simple words as any educational activity that utilizes simulative aides to replicate clinical scenarios. Simulation tools serve as an alternative to the real patient. Trainers can make mistakes and learn from them without the fear of distressing the patient. According to McGaghie, Simulation-based training in medical education was defined as “the use of a person, device, or set of conditions... to present evaluation problems authentically. The simulation participant is required to respond to the problems as he or she would under natural circumstances.”⁴ According to McDougall, “Simulation can involve a person, a device, or set of conditions, and permits repetitive practice of skills to a prescribed level of proficiency in a risk-free environment.”⁵ In the last two decades, simulation-based medical education (SBME) has grown more common in clinical education. The necessity for updated medical/surgical training models, instruction utilizing standardized clinical scenarios, patient safety considerations, and studies supporting the educational benefits of simulation have all contributed to this rise.^{3,6-9} This review paper aims to highlight the importance of implementation of simulation laboratory in different medical colleges and specialized institutions as an effective, innovative teaching method for clinical education in our country at the moment.

Use of Simulation in Medical Teaching and Learning

There are numerous examples of using simulation in medical teaching and learning. Using simulation may

range from task training, skills training, and procedure training to provide error-response and group training.^{5,8,10} Many simulation formats require the use of manikins, which have a wide range of training capabilities. A manikin can help health care professionals engage in patient assessment through simulated vital signs such as pupil dilation, rate of breath shown with chest rise and fall, or circulatory deficiency shown with cyanotic discoloration. A manikin can also help health care professionals learn to safely administer medications and to treat patients suffering from heart failure, a blocked airway, or massive blood loss.^{3,5,8-10}

There are two types of simulation facilities used in clinical education: low-fidelity, mid-fidelity and high-fidelity. A low-fidelity manikin is a segmented clinical task trainer used for a small number of specific skills or procedures. Examples include an IV arm used for practicing injections, a pig's foot used for practicing wound closure techniques, and a manikin used for practicing CPR (e.g., resuscitation simulator).^{3,5,9} A mid-fidelity manikin is usually a fullbody simulated patient with few computer components (e.g., heart sounds simulator).⁵ A high-fidelity manikin incorporates the very latest in computer technology, is commonly wireless, and can be programmed to provide a very realistic fullbody patient presentation. High-fidelity manikins are typically used in a variety of high-stakes learning scenarios, such as a mock code standardized patients (e.g., mimicking interaction/communication between patient and doctor), critical scenario (e.g., a postpartum hemorrhage simulator), or a mass-casualty incident (e.g., casualty simulation kit). A low-fidelity simulation requires instructor or mentor, while more complex and computerized high-fidelity simulators can incorporate a virtual instructor, too.^{3,5,9} Other high-fidelity simulations can involve cadaveric materials to do further complex procedures.^{5,9}

Simulators are not only for general surgery (e.g., laparoscopic appendectomy or cholecystectomy), but also for the practice of techniques for heart catheterization, neurological embolization of bleeding aneurysms, and peripheral vascular surgery.¹¹⁻¹⁵ There are so many different simulators that allow for learning of ultrasound of heart and vessels¹⁶ as well as of breast lesions and the practice of core needle biopsies of those lesions.¹⁷ Virtual eye surgery simulation training improves trainee ophthalmic surgery skills (e.g.,

cataract or retinal surgery).^{18,19} Several implications of simulation training have been identified from literature which include open surgical models, laparoscopic models as well as scenario-based simulation and distributed simulation in gastroenterology (e.g., endoscopy of upper GIT) training,⁶ ear, nose throat (e.g., laryngoscopy, bronchoscopy, mastoidectomy and functional endoscopic sinus surgery, cricothyrotomy, tracheotomy etc.) training,²⁰⁻²² neurosurgery (e.g., minimally invasive procedures, vascular, skull base, tumour resection, functional neurosurgery, and spine surgery) training,²³⁻²⁵ and urological (e.g., endourologic procedures like ureteroscopy and cystoscopies and operative procedure like prostatectomy or tumour removal) training for adult and paediatric patient handling in either elective or emergency cases.²⁶⁻²⁸ In Obstetrics & Gynaecology, clinical simulation encounters offer learning skills for standard delivery, postpartum hemorrhage, instrument deliveries, shoulder dystocia, fetal malpresentation, massive blood transfusion protocol, disseminated intravascular coagulation, or amniotic fluid emboli, while laparoscopic simulations facilitate operative skills for hysterectomy, oophorectomy, salpingectomy, and any diagnostic access to the abdomen.²⁹⁻³¹ Similarly, several procedures can be explored through simulation in pediatrics and neonatology specialties (e.g., intubation, chest tube insertion, and pericardiocentesis).^{32,33} Simulation-based settings provide the valuable opportunity to train and evaluate learners' performance in scenarios including airway management including intubation, ventilation, monitoring, and regional, cardiac, paediatric, and obstetric anesthesiology.³⁴⁻³⁷ In many specialties (e.g., emergency medicine), often they have multiple scenarios built into them that allow for practice of the technique itself and also for complications a physician may encounter as well.^{5,11,12,31,38} Last but not the least, simulation-based trainings are also applicable to gross anatomy and physiology as well as pathology disciplines in clinical education.^{39,40}

Advantages:

Teaching and training through simulation is very powerful resource. Simulation has continued to evolve with the development of simulation software for medical education in the 1980s.⁴¹ Since very beginning, it provides valuable opportunities to learn and practice the key competencies in medical education, such as

communication, problem-solving, teamwork, and leadership as well as management skills such as physical examination, diagnostic and surgical procedures.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Simulation allows for hands-on learning of procedural and cognitive skills in a real-life environment, but without risk to patients or staff.^{3,5,41-43} If the learner fails, he/she can try any number of times until he/she succeeds. It allows a comprehensive, faster, and more efficient development of skills necessary in basic and advanced procedures in clinical education.⁴¹⁻⁴³

Simulation provides opportunities to rehearse and learn from mistakes without risks to patients. The use of simulation can help overcome some limitations of the current medical education and practice environment, including work-hour limitations and concerns for patient safety.^{5,42} Simulation models can be used to accomplish educational goals and objectives addressing cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains of learning through exercising basic and advanced skills as well as the management of medical and surgical problems. Thus, simulation exercises provide reproducible curriculum for all trainees, instant performance feedback, improved psychomotor skills, enhanced clinical decision-making, and fostering of multidisciplinary teamwork.^{5,11,31,43}

In the recent COVID-19 pandemic, while "live" patient contact was an irreplaceable tenet of clinical teaching, such extraordinary times demanded such exceptional measures. Hence, pedagogical innovations like simulation-based teaching needs to be brought to the forefront.^{44,45} Medical educators have been pushed inevitably to rely on such technology-based learning looking at the future of medical education. However, they should not only embrace it but also develop and evaluate its sustainability and application in preclinical and clinical settings. Medical colleges may create a host of medical problems in their respective simulation laboratory – from the most common like bedside examination skills to the unusual like doing complex surgical operation using simulation. Computer-driven patient simulators respond just like a real human patient would to learners who are performing clinical interventions such as, CPR, intubation and catheterization. Those skills can be practiced repeatedly, and learners can be tested to ensure competency. During simulation-based training, learners' actions can be monitored in a control room, reviewed and evaluated. Debriefing sessions give

learners immediate feedback so they can refine and improve their management techniques, as well as their diagnostic and decision-making skills ensuring patient safety.^{5,46,47} Such experiential learning using simulation, as students are purposefully engaged in direct experience with an emphasis on reflection, helps them increase their ability to develop clinical skills and competences in clinical education.⁴⁸

Disadvantages:

Simulation provides several opportunities to learning process; however, it has also some drawbacks. The greatest drawbacks of using any simulation technology are the speed at which it gets outdated and the cost involved in updating the technology.^{5,7,8,10} Learning simulations are no different in this aspect. In order to keep them as relevant as possible, learning simulations require regular updates and maintenance based on the changing trends in the industry.^{4,5}

Moreover, the more we lean towards technology, the higher is the need within institution to train people who can handle these technologies to ensure best use.⁴ Learning simulations, for their proper maintenance and usage, require people who are well trained and equipped to handle all related aspects. This training requires time and money and can be a deterrent to using learning simulations.^{3,10} Last but not the least, simulation programs may function well from a technical point of view, but they are often difficult to fit into a curriculum,³¹ especially in low-resource settings, where money and technical-know-how are the main limitations, e.g., in Bangladesh.

One more important point is that simulation is an adjunct to patient-centered training; it is not an alternative to real human encounter in training. Some criticize simulation-based clinical education because it restricts the real tactile and emotional experience gained by the trainees that is delivered by real patients.^{3,10}

Conclusion:

We know that no educational tool is effective for everyone. If well-designed, learning how to operate a simulation program generally requires little effort. A short introduction by the teacher is often sufficient to enable the student to work with the program. Simulation is now a well-established method in clinical education/training programs for healthcare professionals. Simulation can also be used to objectively assess performance in clinical education.

References:

1. Al-Elq AH. Simulation-based medical teaching and learning. *J Family Community Med.* 2010;17(1):35-40. doi: 10.4103/1319-1683.68787.
2. Smith SR. AMEE guide No. 14: Outcome-based education: Part 2-Planning, implementing and evaluating a competency-based curriculum. *Med Teach.* 1999;21(1):15-22. doi: 10.1080/01421599979978.
3. Datta R, Upadhyay K, Jaideep C. Simulation and its role in medical education. *Med J Armed Forces India.* 2012;68(2):167-72. doi: 10.1016/S0377-1237(12)60040-9.
4. McGaghie WC. Simulation in professional competence assessment: Basic considerations. In: Tekian A, McGuire CH, McGaghie WC (eds). *Innovative simulations for assessing professional competence: From paper-and-pencil to virtual reality.* Chicago: University of Illinois at Chicago; 1999.
5. McDougall EM. Simulation in education for health care professionals. *British Columbia Med J (BCMj).* 2015;57(10):444-8.
6. Azzam N, Khamis N, Almadi M, Batwa F, Alsohaibani F, Aljebreen A, et al. Development and validation of metric-based-training to proficiency simulation curriculum for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy using a novel assessment checklist. *Saudi J Gastroenterol.* 2020;26(4):179-87. doi: 10.4103/sjg.SJG_113_20.
7. Scalese RJ, Obeso VT, Issenberg SB. Simulation technology for skills training and competency assessment in medical education. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2008;23(Suppl 1):46-9. doi: 10.1007/s11606-007-0283-4.
8. Kononowicz AA, Woodham LA, Edelbring S, Stathakarou N, Davies D, Saxena N, et al. Virtual patient simulations in health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis by the digital health education collaboration. *J Med Internet Res.* 2019;21(7):e14676. doi: 10.2196/14676.
9. Maran NJ, Glavin RJ. Low- to high-fidelity simulation – a continuum of medical education? *Med Educ.* 2003;37(Suppl 1):22-8. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2923.37.s1.9.x.
10. Lane JL, Slavin S, Ziv A. Simulation in medical education: A review. *Simul Gaming.* 2001;32(3):297-314. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104687810103200302>
11. Bric JD, Lumbard DC, Frelich MJ, Gould JC. Current state of virtual reality simulation in robotic surgery training: a review. *SurgEndosc.* 2016;30(6):2169-78. doi: 10.1007/s00464-015-4517-y.
12. Fearing N, Van Way CW 3rd, Talbot G. Simulation in surgery. *Missouri Med.* 2013;110(2):142-3. PMID: **23724487**
13. Casey DB, Stewart D, Vidovich MI. Diagnostic coronary angiography: initial results of a simulation program. *CardiovascRevasc Med.* 2016;17(2):102-5. doi: 10.1016/j.carrev.2015.12.010.
14. Davidson LJ, Chow KY, Jivan A, Prenner SB, Cohen ER, Schimmel DR, et al. Improving cardiology fellow education of right heart catheterization using a simulation based curriculum. *Catheter CardiovascInterv.* 2021;97(3):503-8. doi: 10.1002/ccd.29128.
15. Villanueva C, Xiong J, Rajput S. Simulation-based surgical education in cardiothoracic training. *ANZ J Surg.* 2020;90(6):978-83. doi: 10.1111/ans.15593
16. Sheehan FH, Zierler RE. Simulation for competency assessment in vascular and cardiac ultrasound. *Vasc*

- Med. 2018;23(2):172-180. doi: 10.1177/1358863X17751656.
17. Roark AA, Ebuoma LO, Ortiz-Perez T, Sepulveda KA, Severs FJ, Wang T, et al. Impact of Simulation-Based Training on Radiology Trainee Education in Ultrasound-Guided Breast Biopsies. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2018;15(10):1458-63. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.09.016.
 18. Ting DS, Sim SS, Yau CW, Rosman M, Aw AT, Yeo IY. Ophthalmology simulation for undergraduate and postgraduate clinical education. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2016;9(6):920-4. doi: 10.18240/ijo.2016.06.22.
 19. Paul SK, Clark MA, Scott IU, Greenberg PB. Virtual eye surgery training in ophthalmic graduate medical education. *Can J Ophthalmol*. 2018;53(6):e218-20. doi: 10.1016/j.cjco.2018.03.
 20. Abou-Elhamd KE, Al-Sultan AI, Rashad UM. Simulation in ENT medical education. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2010;124(3):237-41. doi: 10.1017/S0022215109991885.
 21. Smith ME, Navaratnam A, Jablenska L, Dimitriadis PA, Sharma R. A randomized controlled trial of simulation-based training for ear, nose, and throat emergencies. *Laryngoscope*. 2015;125(8):1816-21. doi: 10.1002/lary.25179.
 22. Bhutta MF. A review of simulation platforms in surgery of the temporal bone. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2016;41(5):539-45. doi: 10.1111/coa.12560.
 23. Chan S, Conti F, Salisbury K, Blevins NH. Virtual reality simulation in neurosurgery: technologies and evolution. *Neurosurgery*. 2013;72(Suppl 1):154-64. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0b013e3182750d26.
 24. Rehder R, Abd-El-Barr M, Hooten K, Weinstock P, Madsen JR, Cohen AR. The role of simulation in neurosurgery. *Childs Nerv Syst*. 2016;32(1):43-54. doi: 10.1007/s00381-015-2923-z.
 25. Oliveira LM, Figueiredo EG. Simulation training methods in neurological surgery. *Asian J Neurosurg*. 2019;14(2):364-70. doi: 10.4103/ajns.AJNS_269_18
 26. Watterson JD, Denstedt JD. Ureteroscopy and cystoscopy simulation in urology. *J Endourol*. 2007;21(3):263-9. doi: 10.1089/end.2007.9982.A.
 27. Preece R. The current role of simulation in urological training. *Cent European J Urol*. 2015;68(2):207-11. doi: 10.5173/cej.2015.522.
 28. Hamacher A, Whangbo TK, Kim SJ, Chung KJ. Virtual reality and simulation for progressive treatments in urology. *Int Neurourol J*. 2018;22(3):151-60. doi: 10.5213/ijnj.1836210.105
 29. Ennen CS, Satin AJ. Training and assessment in obstetrics: the role of simulation. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2010;24(6):747-58. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2010.03.003
 30. Everett EN, Forstein DA, Bliss S, Buery-Joyner SD, Craig LB, Graziano SC, et al. To the point: the expanding role of simulation in obstetrics and gynecology medical student education. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;220(2):129-141. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2018.10.029.
 31. Sandeva MG, Tufkova S, KeteV K, Paskaleva D. Evaluating the effectiveness of simulation training in obstetrics and gynecology, pediatrics and emergency medicine. *Folia Med (Plovdiv)*. 2019;61(4):605-11. doi: 10.3897/folmed.61.e47961.
 32. Lopreiato JO, Sawyer T. Simulation-based medical education in pediatrics. *Acad Pediatr*. 2015;15(2):134-42. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2014.10.010.
 33. Bruno CJ, Glass KM. Cost-effective and low-technology options for simulation and training in neonatology. *Semin Perinatol*. 2016;40(7):473-9. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2016.08.008.
 34. Nguyen LHP, Bank I, Fisher R, Mascarella M, Young M. Managing the airway catastrophe: longitudinal simulation-based curriculum to teach airway management. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2019;48(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s40463-019-0332-0.
 35. Kim TE, Tsui BCH. Simulation-based ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia curriculum for anesthesiology residents. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2019;72(1):13-23. doi: 10.4097/kja.d.18.00317.
 36. Fehr JJ, Honkanen A, Murray DJ. Simulation in pediatric anesthesiology. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2012;22(10):988-94. doi: 10.1111/pan.12001.
 37. Wenk M, Pöpping DM. Simulation for anesthesia in obstetrics. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2015;29(1):81-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bpa.2015.01.003
 38. Kerrigan D, O'Connor G, Fitzsimons C, Goss A, Breslin T, Nawoor-Quinn Z. An Anaesthesiology and Emergency Medicine Multidisciplinary Simulation-Based Bootcamp. *Ir Med J*. 2022;115(4):584. PMID: **35695798**
 39. Deng X, Zhou G, Xiao B, Zhao Z, He Y, Chen C. Effectiveness evaluation of digital virtual simulation application in teaching of gross anatomy. *Ann Anat*. 2018;218:276-82. doi: 10.1016/j.aanat.2018.02.014.
 40. Pongpaibul A, Chiravirakul P, Leksrisakul P, Silakorn P, Chumtap W, Chongpipatchaipron S, et al. Rectal Carcinoma Model: A Novel Simulation in Pathology Training. *Simul Healthc*. 2017;12(3):189-95. doi: 10.1097/SIH.0000000000000214.
 41. Rosen KR. The history of medical simulation. *J Crit Care*. 2008;23(2):157-66.
 42. Abas T, Juma FZ. Benefits of simulation training in medical education. *Adv Med Educ Pract*. 2016;7:399-400. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S110386
 43. Wang Z, Liu Q, Wang H. Medical simulation-based education improves medicos' clinical skills. *J Biomed Res*. 2013;27(2):81-4. doi: 10.7555/JBR.27.20120131
 44. Sahi PK, Mishra D, Singh T. Medical education amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *Indian Pediatr*. 2020;57(7):652-7. doi: 10.1007/s13312-020-1894-7.
 45. Nair SS, Kaufman B. Simulation-Based Up-Training in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Simul Healthc*. 2020;15(6):447-8. doi: 10.1097/SIH.0000000000000513.
 46. Beaubien JM, Baker DP. The use of simulation for training teamwork skills in health care: how low can you go? *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2004;13(Suppl 1):51-6. doi: 10.1136/qhc.13.suppl_1.i51
 47. Smith A, Siassakos D, Crofts J, Draycott T. Simulation: improving patient outcomes. *Semin Perinatol*. 2013;37(3):151-6. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2013.02.005
 48. Nurunnabi ASM, Rahim R, Alo D, Al Mamun A, Kaiser AM, Mohammad T, et al. Experiential learning in clinical education guided by the Kolb's experiential learning theory. *Int J Hum Health Sci (IJHHS)*. 2022;6(2):155-60. doi: 10.31344/ijhhs.v6i2.438.