Original Article

Clinicopathological study of sinonasal malignancy

Kazi Shameemus Salam¹, A. Allam Choudhury², Md. Delwar Hossain², Md. Abdul Azim³, Md. Shafiqul Islam⁴, Pran Gopal Datta⁵, M. Alauddin⁶

Abstract:

This cross sectional study was done in the Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery Department of Banghabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka Medical College Hospital and Sir Salimullah Medical College Hospital during the period of September 2003 to February 2004. In this study 30 patients of sinonasal malignancy were studied and to observe the clinicopathological pattern of sinonasal malignancy. The diagnosis was made by detailed history, clinical, radiological and histopathological examinations.

In this study majority of the patients were within 40 to 70 years of age (77%). Male to female ratio was 2.33:1. Majority of the malignancy came from maxillary sinuses 15(50.00%); ethmoidal sinuses were involved in 8(26.66%) cases, multiple sinuses were involved in 5(16.66%). Neck node metastases was found in 4(14%) cases. Squamous cell carcinoma was the most common histological type (70.00%); the other less common histological types were adenoid cystic carcinoma (06.66%), adenocarcinoma (06.66%), Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (06.66%), least frequent types were malignant fibrous histocytoma, transitional cell carcinoma, olfactory neuroblastoma.

Key words: Sinonasal malignancy, clinicopathological study.

Introduction:

Sinonasal malignancy is not an uncommon finding in the ENT Department. It is found in almost all age groups of people¹. A wide variety of malignant tumours of different histological types are found in nasal cavity & paranasal sinuses are rare constituting less than 1 percent of all malignancies (3% of head and neck tumours)². The presenting symptomatology of all tumours are similar and histological examination is necessary to decide whether any particular tumour is malignant.

- 1. OSD, DG Health, Deputed to BSMMU, Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery, BSMMU, Dhaka.
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery, BSMMU, Dhaka.
- 3. Assistant Professor, Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery, Mymensingh Medical College Hospital, Mymensingh
- 4. Junior Consultant ENT, Upazila Health Complex, Louhojong, Munshigonj
- 5. Professor, Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery & Vice Chancellor of BSMMU, Dhaka.
- Professor & Ex-Chairman, Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery, BSMMU, Dhaka.

Address of Correspondence : Dr. Kazi Shameemus Salam, OSD, DG Health, Deputed to BSMMU, Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery, BSMMU, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

The majority 55% of sinonasal malignancy appear to be of antral origin, 35% arise in the nasal cavities and the remaining 9% arise from the ethmoids. Primary frontal & sphenoid tumours are very rare 1%³. The relative unawareness of the primary physician about the disease and the similarity of the symptoms with the more common upper respiratory tract infection results in failure of true diagnosis before the tumour extend beyond the bony margins of the sinuses⁴.

Sinonasal malignancy is usually diagnosed late; therefore it is important to determine the most common signs and symptoms that should alert the physician and dentist to suspect the possibility of this disease. The initial symptoms reported by the patients are diverse and in the majority of cases are related to the face, nose & oral cavity⁵. The Peculiarity of antral malignancy is that the involvement of the surrounding structures with the lesion is much more extensive than the symptoms revealed at presentation⁵.

The results in the past have been unsatisfactory with a 30% over all 5-year survival⁶. The unsatisfactory results could be attributed to a number of factors: (a) The disease was invariably advanced on presentation, (b) The complex anatomy of the region and close relationship to the orbit and skull base, (c) The reluctance of surgeon and radiotherapist to treat aggressively for fear of increasing the natural mutilation of the disease complication³. The presentation of sinonasal malignancy depends on the primary site, the direction and extent of spread. The most common initial symptoms are nasal obstruction, epistaxis, proptosis, epiphora, diplopia, loose teeth, facial pain & swelling, buccal or palatal swelling. Exposure to industrial fumes, wood dust, nickel refining process, and leather tanning have been implicated in the carcinogenesis of certain types of sinonasal malignant tumour. Other industrial exposures associated with an increased incidence of sinonasal cancer include mineral oils, chromium, lacquers paint, soldering and welding^{7,11}.

Histologically, most common type is squamons cell carcinoma (about 80%), adenocarcinoma, adenoid cystic carcinoma, transitional cell carcinoma and neuroblastoma may occur but their incidences are less. Sarcomas are also rare and tend to occur at younger age and behave in a very malignant fashion¹⁷. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma may occur but Burkitt's lymphoma rarely occurs in children of this subcontinent^{8,18}.

The presence of nodal involvement drastically reduces the prognosis and 5 years survival rate come down from 27.2% to 6.8%.⁹ Overall incidence of distant metastases of antral malignancy is about 0.8%. The most common site of distant metastases are bone. Metastases may also occur in the lungs, liver, brain and kidney^{10,12}.

Materials and Methods:

This was a cross sectional study done during the period from September 2003 to February 2004 in the Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery of BSMMU, Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Sir Salimullah Medial College & Mitford Hospital. A representative sample (30) were collected from respective Otolaryngology and Head-Neck Surgery Department.

Aims and Objectives

- 1. To find out the relative frequency of different sinonasal malignancy.
- 2. To assess the clinical presentation of sinonasal malignancy.
- 3. To find out the histopathological types of sinonasal malignancy.

Results:

The age range of the patient was from 5 years to 80 years with the mean of 56 years. Most of the patients were in 5^{th} to 7^{th} decade (75.65%).

 Table-I

 Age distribution in sinonasal malignancy (n=30)

| Age group in yrs. | No. of Patients | Percentage |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 5-19 | 1 | 3.33% |
| 20-29 | 2 | 6.68% |
| 30-39 | 3 | 10.00% |
| 40-49 | 5 | 16.66% |
| 50-59 | 11 | 36.66% |
| 60-69 | 7 | 23.33% |
| 70-80 | 1 | 3.33% |
| | | |

Table-II

Presenting symptoms in sinonasal malignancy (n=30)

| Symptoms | No. of cases | Percentage |
|------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Nasal Obstruction | 20 | 66.66% |
| Nasal Discharge | 16 | 53.33% |
| Swelling of the face | 15 | 50.00% |
| Facial pain | 12 | 40.00% |
| Headache | 12 | 40.00% |
| Epistaxis | 10 | 33.33% |
| Proptosis | 9 | 30.00% |
| Diplopia | 7 | 23.33% |
| Toothache | 7 | 23.33% |
| Swelling of the palate | 6 | 20.00% |
| Epiphora | 6 | 20.00% |
| Loss of vision | 6 | 20.00% |
| Loose tooth | 5 | 16.66% |
| Facial paresthesia | 4 | 13.33% |
| Ill fitting dentures | 4 | 13.33% |
| Neck swelling | 3 | 10.00% |
| Trismus | 2 | 6.66% |
| Earache | 2 | 6.66% |
| Anaesthesia of check | 1 | 3.33% |

Table-III

Correlation between socioeconomic status in sinonasal malignancy (n=30)

| Class | No. of Patients | Percentage |
|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Poor Class | 22 | 73.33% |
| Middle class | 7 | 23.33% |
| Affluent Class | 1 | 3.33% |

Poor : Less than taka 5,000.00 per month per unit family. Middle : between taka 5,000.00 to 10,000.00 per month per unit family.

Affluent: more than taka 10,000.00 per month per unit family

 Table-IV

 Site distribution in sinonasal malignancy (n=30)

| Site | No. of Patients | s Percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Maxillary sinus | 15 | 50.00% |
| Ethmoidal | 8 | 26.66% |
| Multiple sinuses involven | nent 5 | 16.66% |
| Nasal Cavity | 1 | 3.33% |
| Lateral wall of nose | 1 | 3.33% |

| T (Extension) | Number of cases | Percentage |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|
| T4 | 10 | 33.33% |
| Т3 | 16 | 53.33% |
| T2 | 3 | 10.00% |
| T1 | 1 | 3.33% |

| Table-VI |
|--|
| Neck-node metastasis in sinonasal malignancy |
| (n=4) |

| Nodal Metastasis | Number of cases | Percentage |
|------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Submandibular | 3 | 75.00% |
| Jugulodigastric | 1 | 25.00% |

Neck-nodal involvement in sinonasal malignancy about 14%.

Table-VIIRadiological Findings of sinonasal malignancy on
presentation (n=30)

| Radiological findings | | No. of patients | | Percen- | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|---------|--|
| | | | | tage | |
| 1. | X-ray PNS | With bone destruction | 25 | 83.33% | |
| | (OM) view | Without bone destruction | 5 | 16.66% | |
| 2. | CT-Scan | With bone destruction | 30 | 100.0% | |
| | of PNS | Without bone destruction | 0 | 0.0% | |

 Table-VIII

 Histopathological types in sinonasal malignancy

 (n=30)

| Histology | Number of | Percentage |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | cases | |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 21 | 70.00% |
| Adenoid cystic carcinoma | 2 | 6.66% |
| Adenocarcinoma | 2 | 6.66% |
| Non Hodgkins lymphoma | 2 | 6.66% |
| Malignant Fibrous histocytor | ma 1 | 3.33% |
| Transitional cell carcinoma | 1 | 3.33% |
| Olfectory neuroblastoma | 1 | 3.33% |

In sinonasal salignancy, squamous cell carcinoma is the most common 70%.

| Table-IX |
|---|
| Histopathological grading of sinonasal malignancy |
| (n=21) |

| Grade | Level of | No. of | Percen- |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------|---------|
| | differentiation | cases | tage |
| Grade-I | Well differentiated | 3 | 16.66% |
| Grade-II | Moderately differentiated | 3 | 16.66% |
| Grade-III | Poorly differentiated | 10 | 50.00% |
| Grade-IV | Undifferentiated | 5 | 27.77% |

Discussion:

Sinonasal malignancy is rare, comprising less than 3% of all aerodigestive tract tumours. Malignant tumours of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses occur predominantly in 4th, 5th and 6th decade with a mean of 56 years, which is not consistent with Mundy¹³, Who showed median age of 60.3 years. This discrepancy due to the fact that the longevity of European people are greater than those of our country.

The age range in this study was 5-80 years with male to female ratio of 2.33:1, which differs with the findings of N. Hopkins¹⁴, who showed age range of 5-92 years and male to female ratio of approximately 3:2.

Almost all the patients presented with multiple symptoms, Nasal obstruction was the commonest symptoms 66.66% followed by nasal discharge 53.33%, swelling of the face 50.00%, facial pain

40.00%, headache 40.00%, epistaxis 33.33%, proptosis 30.00%. Less commonly diplopia 30.00%, toothache 23.33%, swelling of the palate 23.33%, epiphora 20.00%, loss of vision 20.00%, losse tooth 16.66%, facial paraesthesia 13.33%, ill fitting denture 13.33%, neck swelling 14%, earache 6.66%, trismus 6.66%, anaesthesia of cheek 3.33%.

Regarding clinical presentation, the figures of nasal obstruction, epistaxis, diplopia and anaesthesia of check are consistent with the findings of N. Hopkins who showed nasal obstruction in 45.40% of cases, epistaxis 29.40%, diplopia 5.10% and anaesthesia of check in 3.2% of cases¹⁵. The number of the patients presented with facial swelling agrees with Mundy who showed facial involvement in 69.7% of cases. Symptoms of sinonasal malignancy in our series are not consistent with the findings of N. Hopkins and Mundy and this discrepancy is provably due to late presentation of most of the patients.

Most of the patients 20 (66.66%) came from rural areas and the rest 10(33.33%) were urban dwellers. The increased number of the patients coming from rural area. Regarding occupation, House wives was 9(30.00%) followed by Farmer 8(26.66%), Industrial worker 5(16.66%), Businessman 3(10.00), Driver 2(6.66%), Service holder 2(6.66%), Wood worker 1(3.33%). Most of the patient came from poor class 22 (73.33%) with monthly income less than taka 5000 per month.

Regarding T (extension) status were assessed by through clinical and radiological examinations. T' status were assessed in decreasing order of frequency were T₃ 16(53.33%), T₄ 10(33.33%), T₂ 3(10.00%), T₁ 1(3.33%). These findings are nearly consistent with the findings of Lavertu¹⁹, who showed T lesions in 42% cases, T₄ lesions in 33% cases, T₂ lesions in 18.75% of cases and T₁ lesions in 6.25% of cases.

Lymphatic spread to regional nodes becomes apparent in 25-35% of patients at sometime during the course of their disease, though only 14% have nodal disease at the time of presentation. Those with involved nodes almost always have locally advanced disease. The submandibular and jugulodigastric nodes are the most commonly involved.

In our series, out of 4 cases of nodal involvement, 3 had homolateral palpable mobile lymph nodes (<3 cm in size) N_1 and 1 had homolateral palpable mobile lymph nodes (3-6 cm in size) N_2 . As to the nodal

involvement, 4(14%) had cervical lymph node involved (submandibular 3 & Jugulodigastric 1) which nearly agrees with the findings of S.E Kent and B. Majumder¹⁹ but differ with the findings of 16.4% of P.E. Robin and D. Jean Powell²⁰.

The bone of the antronasal wall, canine fossa and orbital floor is very thin and early destroyed by tumour. Only 25% of maxillary sinus carcinomas are contained within the antrum at the time of presentation.

Bone destruction is commonly found in sinonasal malignancy at time of presentation. Regarding radiological findings, X-ray PNS (OM) view shows with bone destruction 25(83.33%) cases and without bone destruction 5(16.66%) cases but in CT scan of paranasal sinuses both axial and coronal view show with bone destruction were found in all cases. In CT scan nearly every patient will show bone destruction.

Histopathologically majority of the patients 21(70.00%) had squamous cell carcinoma followed by adenoid cystic carcinoma 2(6.66%), adenocarcinma 2(6.66), non Hodgkins lymphoma 2(66.6%), Malignant fibrous histocytoma 1(3.33%), transitional cell carcinoma 1(3.33%), olfactory neuroblastoma 1(3.33%). About grading, out of 21 cases of squamous cell carcinoma, maximum was grade III 10(50.00%), followed by grade IV 5(27.77%), grade I 3(16.66%), and grade II 3(16.66%).

Conclusion:

Most of the patients of sinonasal malignancy presented late with multiple symptoms. The initial symptoms reported by the patients at presentation were diverse. The possibility of early diagnosis of sinonasal malignancy on the basis of clinical presentation in early stage remains a problem, because at this stage there may be a few or no symptoms and require a high index of suspicion for diagnosis due to the overlapping presentation of these neoplasm with more commonly encountered infections disease states. The rarity of these lesions in combinations with the multiple histologies that are encountered have limited large scale studies. Once a paranasal sinus neoplasm is diagnosed, aggressive multimodality therapy is often necessary.

References:

 Bradley PJ, Singh SD. Congenital nasal masses: diagnosis and management, Clinic Otolaryngol 1982; 7: 87-97.

- Watkinson, JC., Gaze, M.N., Wilson, J.A., Stell and Maran's. Head and Neck Surgery, 2002; 4th edition. 377-396
- Scott Brown's Otolaryngology 7th edition 2B; 186, 2417-2436
- Peaman K. Malignant Melanoma of the nasal mucous membrane, The J Laryngol Otol, 1979; 93: 1003-1009
- 5. Hartsough AB et al. Epithelial Carcinoma of the nasal fossa. Laryngoscope 1987; 97: 717-723.
- Brinton LA, Bot WJ, Becker JA, Winn DM, Browder J.P, Fanner JC and Fraumeni JE. A case control study of cancers of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses, American Journal of Epidemiology, 1984; 119(6): 896-906.
- David MA, Terrance K, Yao Fu S, Thomas C. Nonsalivary sinonasal adenocarcinoma, Arch otolaryngol. Head-Neck Surgery, 1988; 114: 996-999.
- Busuttil A. Adenoid cystic carcinoma of the minor salivary glands, J Laryngol Otol, 1977; XCI(I): 41-53.
- 9. Walter JB, Israel MS. General pathology 6th edn, 1987; Chruchill Livingstone, 349-351 & 354.
- 10. Williamson IG and Ramsden RT. Angiosarcoma of Maxillary antrum Association with vinyl chloride exposure, The J Laryngol and Otol, 1988; 102: 464-467.
- 11. Robbins SL, Cotran R,Vinay K. Pathological basis of diseases 3rd edn, 1984, W.B.; Saunders company, 354, 1266-1267.

- 12. Hopkin N, McNicoll W, Dalley VM. and Shaw HJ. Cancer of the paranasal sinuses and nasal cavities, Part-1, clinical features, J Laryngol Otol, 1984; 98: 585-595.
- Mundy EA, Nieders M, Sako K. and Greene J. Maxillary Sinus Cancer, Journal of Oral pathology, 1985; 14: 27-36
- 14. Glover GR, Nesbitt JA North AF. Maxillary plasmacytoma/plasma cell myeloma, Oral Surg 1987; 15: 680-686.
- 15. Perzin KH., and Pushparaj, N; Non-epithelial tumours of the nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses and nasopharynx, Cancer 1984; 54: 1860-1869.
- 16. Gupta, S.C. firing's Sarcoma of the upper Jaw, Ear, Nose and Throat Journal, 1987; 66: 176.
- 17. Wallace C, Ramsay AD and Quiney RE.; Non-Hodgkin's extranodal lymphoma, J Laryngol Otol 1988; 102: 914-922.
- Lavertu P, Roberts JK., Kraus DH., Levine HL., wood BG, Medendorp SV, and Tueker HM. Squamous cell carcinoma of the paranasal sinuses, Laryngoscope. 1989; 99: I 130-1136.
- Kent SE and Majumder B.; Metastasis of Malignant disease of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses, J Laryngol Otol, 1984; 98: 470-474.
- 20. Robin PE and powell DJ. Regional node involvement and distant metastasis in carcinoma of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses; J Laryngol Otol 1980; 98: 301-309.