

**Bangladesh Journal of Pharmacology** 

**Research Article** 

Dynamic online antimicrobial guideline with stewardship program: Impact on antimicrobial prescribing A Journal of the Bangladesh Pharmacological Society (BDPS) Journal homepage: www.banglajol.info

Abstracted/indexed in Academic Search Complete, Asia Journals Online, Bangladesh Journals Online, Biological Abstracts, BIOSIS Previews, CAB Abstracts, Current Abstracts, Directory of Open Access Journals, EMBASE/Excerpta Medica, Global Health, Google Scholar, HINARI (WHO), International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, Open J-gate, Science Citation Index Expanded, SCOPUS and Social Sciences Citation Index;

ISSN: 1991-0088

# Dynamic online antimicrobial guideline with stewardship program: Impact on antimicrobial prescribing

# Syeda Papia Sultana and Md. Sayedur Rahman

Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Basic Science and Paraclinical Science, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Abstract			
Dynamic online antimicrobial guideline with stewardship program was			
attempted in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) to			
improve the antimicrobial prescribing. The prescribing pattern was evaluated			
by retrospective prescription audit. Overall 59.4% of admitted patients of four			
selected departments received antimicrobials. Highest (81.9%) was in the			
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, followed by Surgery (78.5%),			
Internal Medicine (47.6%) and Pediatrics (46.7%). After launching of			
guideline, antimicrobial prescribing was significantly reduced in the			
Department of Internal Medicine (47.6% to 22.2%; p<0.01) and Pediatrics			
(50.0% to 40.0%; p<0.01). Significant (p<0.05 to p<0.001) change was observed			
with different antimicrobials in different departments. Consumption of			
cefixime (8.5 $\pm$ 3.7 to 3.9 $\pm$ 2.5; p<0.05) and ceftriaxone (6.9 $\pm$ 3.4 to 3.1 $\pm$ 2.2;			
p<0.005) was reduced significantly in Internal Medicine. The adherence to			
guideline was highest in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology			
(91.3%) followed by Pediatrics (86.3%) and Internal Medicine (81.2%).			

# Introduction

Bangladesh is going through a transition in health (WHO, 2015), however the major causes of morbidity and mortality are still infective diseases and therefore antimicrobials are the most widely used medicine (Rahman and Huda, 2014; WHO, 2015; DGHS, 2016). Prescribing of antimicrobials in Bangladesh is generally irrational (Guyon et al., 1994; Rahman et al., 1998; Baqui et al., 1999; Islam et al., 2007; Afreen and Rahman, 2014).

Guidelines are considered as reflection of collective wisdom and antimicrobial guideline is effective to contain irrational prescribing of antimicrobials (Farrar, 1985; Sanson-Fisher et al., 1993; Hogerzeil, 1995; Finch, 1998). Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) antibiotic guidelines 2005 was the first institutional guideline in Bangladesh on use of antimicrobials (BSMMU, 2005), though adherence to that guideline was not satisfactory (Siddika, 2012).

Antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP) is considered as the most effective approach to improve antimicrobial prescribing (Carling et al., 2003; MacDougall and Polk, 2005; Lee at al., 2013; Barlam et al., 2016; CDC 2016). ASP encourage clinician to improve quality of care through better infection cure rate (Schiff et al., 2001; Owens et al., 2004; Abbo et al., 2011). On this backdrop, ASP was designed in BSMMU to support the implementation of updated antimicrobial guideline. The experience and evaluation of this guideline would provide important information for the researcher community and policy makers working on antimicrobial resistance and it's containment.

# Materials and Methods

The 'Formative Research' was conducted as a before-



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. You are free to copy, distribute and perform the work. You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.

after study in BSMMU Hospital from April 2015 to February 2016, and the impact was evaluated in the selected departments, e.g., Departments of Internal Medicine, Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pediatrics and Surgery. Before initiating the actual study, ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of BSMMU.

Initially, the existing situation was analyzed by retrospective review of 600 antimicrobial containing prescriptions of the admitted patients stored in the record room. For situation analysis, antimicrobial prescribing pattern was assessed by proportion of prescription containing antimicrobial. Sensitivity pattern of the cultured microbes in the Department of Microbiology of BSMMU during 2013 and 2014 were collected, compiled and analyzed.

After collection of information for situation analysis, Questionnaire survey and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were conducted among the key stakeholders and prescribers to get insight, opinion and feedback regarding features, format and methodology of updating and formulation of guideline as well as components of the ASP for BSMMU.

For evaluation of intervention, 30 inpatients prescriptions containing antimicrobials were collected from each studied department just immediately before and after intervention. These prescriptions were then reviewed, compiled and analyzed (WHO, 2003). Change in the overall antimicrobial prescribing was evaluated by comparing proportion of prescription containing antimicrobial, Defined Daily Dose (DDD) per 100 bed days of commonly prescribed antimicrobial and DDD consumed per admitted patient received antimicrobials before and after intervention. Change in prescribing of every antimicrobial was evaluated separately. The coverage of the guideline was evaluated by the proportion of mentioned diagnosis of the treatment sheet present in the guideline. The adherence to the guideline was later evaluated only in the departments in which guideline covered more than 50% of diagnoses mentioned in the treatment sheets. Guideline adherence was evaluated by the consistency of prescribed antimicrobial in the treatment sheet with the mentioned antimicrobial for that particular diagnosis.

### Description of the intervention

Formulation of antimicrobial guideline: The results of situation analysis were provided to the members of the committee authorized to update and formulate BSMMU antibiotic guideline. Then the methodology of guideline formulation was adopted in the meeting. After that, a draft template to collect opinion was formulated considering local context and global norms, which was sent to all chairpersons. On the basis of the preferences and recommendations, a draft antimicrobial guideline was prepared by the committee and sent to the prescribers for feedback. After endorsement, the Antimicrobial guideline was finalized, online version of which was launched on 14th December 2015 in presence of highest administration of the University.

Antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP): Antimicrobial

Series of interactive meetings with the stakeholders, i.e., key
prescribers
Antimicrobial stewardship program for implementation of guideline Launching of online version of the antimicrobial guideline of BSMMU Reminders about antimicrobial guideline through SMS to all prescribers
Academic detailing by the investigator to provide relevant scientific evidences to the key prescribers in order to explain the benefits of adhering the antimicrobial guideline Assessment of the impact of intervention by comparing antimicrobial prescribing data immediately before and after launching of antimicrobial guideline

Stewardship was executed by active participation of the key prescribers during the process of development of guideline as well as the formal commitment, endorsement and persuasion from of the top level management of the University.

*Reminders:* Repeated reminders were sent to the key prescribers and other stakeholders through SMS by the investigators on behalf of the committee authorized for the purpose.

*Academic detailing:* Face-to-face educational visits conducted by the investigator along with provision of scientific evidences to the prescribers.

### Post-intervention data collection

Data was collected immediately before and after 15 days of introducing the antimicrobial guideline 2015 to evaluate the impact of intervention.

### Statistical analysis

Data was compiled, presented and appropriate statistical test was applied (paired proportion test and unpaired t-test) to draw the expected conclusion. Microsoft Excel 2007 was used for the statistical analysis. P value was calculated by test statistic (t value) using online calculator against corresponding degree of freedom (df).

# Results

Table I revealed that among the admitted patients, overall 59.4% received antimicrobial in BSMMU hospital. Of those, highest antimicrobials were prescribed in the Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology (82.0%) followed by the Departments of Surgery (78.5%), Internal Medicine (47.6%) and Pediatrics (46.7%).

The questionnaire survey revealed that half of the prescribers are unaware about existence of BSMMU antimicrobial guideline 2005 and none ever followed that. Different managerial and scientific issues were raised and mentioned. Moreover, measures were suggested by the respondents for successful implementation of newly formulated guideline (detail in the supplementary file).

After introduction of the updated dynamic online guideline along with implementation of ASP, proportion of patient received antimicrobial significantly reduced from 47.6% to 22.2% (p<0.01) and 50% to 40% (p<0.05) respectively in the departments of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics. No statistically significant change was observed in the departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Surgery (Table II).

Change was observed after intervention in the pattern of antimicrobial prescribing (DDD/100 bed days),

Table I				
Proportion of admitted patients received antimicro- bials				
Department	Proportion of patients received antimicrobial			
Internal Medicine	47.6% (150/315)			
Surgery	82.0% (150/183)			
Obstetrics and Gynecology	46.7% (150/321)			
Pediatrics	78.5% (150/191)			
Total	59.4% (600/1010)			

Percentage = Total number of prescriptions (150) contained antimicrobial/total number of prescriptions reviewed to obtain those prescriptions

Table II				
Effect of intervention on proportion of antimicrobi- al use				
	Proportion of patients received antimicrobial			
Department	Immediately before inter- vention (n=63)	Immediately after inter- vention (n=135)	p value	
Internal Medicine	47.6% (30/63)	22.2% (30/135)	<0.01	
Surgery	81.0% (30/37)	85.7% (30/35)		
Obstetrics & Gynecology	90.9% (30/33)	85.7% (30/35)		
Pediatrics	50.0% (30/60)	40.0% (30/75)	<0.01	

Paired proportion test was done; p≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant; Immediately before intervention: prescribing data of the most recent patients, i.e., immediately before launching of the guideline; Immediately after intervention: prescribing data of the most recent patients, i.e., immediately after launching of the guideline

which was statistically significant (p<0.05) in case of few antimicrobials in different departments (Table III).

Table IV shows that after intervention, in the department of Internal Medicine, consumption (total DDD consumed per patient as expressed in mean  $\pm$  SD) of cefixime (8.5  $\pm$  3.7 to 3.9  $\pm$  2.5; p<0.05) and ceftriaxone (6.9  $\pm$  3.4 to 3.1  $\pm$  2.2; p<0.005) was reduced significantly. In addition, antimicrobial prescribing was changed in other departments, though that change was not reflected significantly at the level of individual antimicrobial.

Table V shows the coverage of diagnoses in the BSMMU antimicrobial guideline 2015 was highest (76.7%) in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology followed by departments of Pediatrics (73.3%) and Internal Medicine (53.3%). The adherence with the guideline was highest in Department of Obstetrics and

### 366

Table III						
Effect of ant	i-microbial guid	leline with .	ASP on overall use of anti	microbials (expressed in DI	DD/100 bed	days)
Name of the departments	Name of antimicrobials	ATC codes	Immediately before intervention (DDD/100 bed days)	Immediately after intervention (DDD/100 bed days)	Test statistic	p val- ue
			n = 63	n = 135		
Internal Med-	Cefixime	J01DD08	6.3	6.7	0.1	
icine	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	17.5	7.4	2.0	< 0.05
	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	6.3	1.5	1.7	
	Co-amoxiclav	J01CR02	9.5	3.7	1.6	
	Metronidazole	J01XD01	3.2	1.5	0.8	
			n = 33	n = 35		
Obstetrics	Cefixime	J01DD08	9.1	5.7	0.9	
and	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	63.6	77.1	1.1	
Gynecology	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	27.3	5.7	3.8	< 0.001
	Metronidazole	J01XD01	100.0	57.1	3.4	< 0.001
			n = 60	n = 75		
Pediatrics	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	26.7	10.7	2.6	< 0.01
	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	5.0	4.0	0.3	
	Ciprofloxacin	J01MA02	18.3	12.0	1.2	
	Flucloxacillin	J01CF05	15.0	1.3	3.4	< 0.001
			n = 37	n = 35		
Surgery	Amikacin	J01GB06	5.4	2.9	0.9	
	Cefixime	J01DD08	27.0	25.7	0.2	
	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	27.0	45.7	2.2	< 0.05
	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	32.4	45.7	1.5	
	Metronidazole	J01XD01	18.9	25.7	1.0	

 $p{\leq}0.05$  was considered as statistically significant with a test statistic value more than 1.96

Table IV								
Effect of antimicrobial guideline with ASP on use of individual antimicrobials (expressed in total DDD con- sumed per admitted patient who received antimicrobials)								
Name of the departments	Name of antimicrobials	ATC codes	n	Immediately before intervention	n	Immediately after intervention	Test statistic	p value
Internal Medicine	Cefixime	J01DD08	4	$8.5 \pm 3.7$	9	$3.9 \pm 2.5$	2.3	< 0.05
	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	11	$6.9 \pm 3.4$	10	$3.1 \pm 2.2$	3.2	< 0.005
	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	4	$10.6 \pm 6.9$	2	$12.0 \pm 0.0$	0.4	
	Co-amoxiclav	J01CR02	6	$9.7 \pm 4.7$	5	$13.1 \pm 0.0$	1.8	
	Metronidazole	J01XD01	2	$4.7 \pm 3.5$	2	$3.0 \pm 4.2$	0.4	
Obstetrics and	Cefixime	J01DD08	3	$4.2 \pm 0.3$	2	$6.8 \pm 3.2$	1.2	
Gynecology	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	21	$2.9 \pm 1.6$	27	$3.3 \pm 3.6$	0.5	
	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	9	$2.9 \pm 1.3$	2	$1.0 \pm 1.4$	1.7	
	Metronidazole	J01XD01	33	$3.7 \pm 2.6$	20	$5.1 \pm 5.1$	1.2	
Pediatrics	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	16	$4.6 \pm 6.5$	8	$6.0 \pm 5.1$	0.6	
	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	3	$14.1 \pm 5.4$	3	$16.8 \pm 17.5$	0.3	
	Ciprofloxacin	J01MA02	11	$2.4 \pm 0.8$	9	$2.3 \pm 0.8$	0.3	
	Flucloxacillin	J01CF05	9	$2.7 \pm 2.0$	1	$3.0 \pm 0.0$	0.5	
Surgery	Amikacin	J01GB06	2	$6.3 \pm 1.8$	1	$4.3 \pm 0.0$	1.6	
	Cefixime	J01DD08	10	$3.3 \pm 3.2$	9	$3.9 \pm 3.3$	0.4	
	Ceftriaxone	J01DD04	10	$3.3 \pm 2.4$	16	$3.1 \pm 1.8$	0.2	
	Cefuroxime	J01DC02	12	$3.8 \pm 3.0$	16	$2.8 \pm 1.9$	1.0	
	Metronidazole	J01XD01	7	$3.4 \pm 2.5$	9	$2.3 \pm 1.6$	1.0	

Unpaired t test was done; p≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant; SD = Standard Deviation

-	1	0	
- 22	"	~	
. 7	C I	0	
~	~	~	

Table V				
Proportion of diseases mentioned in BSMMU antimicrobial guideline 2015 and rate of guideline adherence				
Name of the	Coverage in BSMMU	Adher-		
departments	antimicrobial guideline	ence		
	2015			
Internal Medicine	53.3%	81.2%		
	(16/30)	(13/16)		
Obstetrics & Gyne-	76.7%	91.3%		
cology	(23/30)	(21/23)		
Pediatrics	73.3%	86.3%		
	(22/30)	(19/22)		

Coverage means proportion of diagnosis mentioned in the treatment sheer present in the guideline; Adherence means proportion of prescription, selection of which adhered/matched to the antimicrobial mentioned in BSMMU antimicrobial guideline 2015 for that diagnosis

Gynecology (91.3%) followed by departments of Pediatrics (86.3%) and Internal Medicine (81.2%). Post intervention adherence data of the Department of Surgery was not presented as very few (less than 50%) of the diagnoses mentioned in treatment sheets were present in the guideline.

# Discussion

Present study revealed that overall 59.4% of admitted patients received antimicrobial, of which, highest (81.9%) in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, followed by Surgery (78.5%), Internal Medicine (47.0%) and Pediatrics (46.7%). These findings correspond with the result of studies conducted in the similar hospital (Siddika, 2012; Shah et al., 2016).

The qualitative part of the study showed that almost everybody has forgotten about the antimicrobial guideline of 2005. Active participation of the key prescriber, utilization of culture sensitivity reports of the hospital samples, availability of online, offline and hard copy of the guideline, regular updating and motivational programs were suggested by the key prescribers. These suggestions were analogous to the recommendations of different previous studies and reports (Skodvin et al., 2015; NSCARB, 2014; NAP, 2016).

Significant reduction (p<0.01) in antimicrobial prescribing was observed in the departments of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, which did not happen in other two departments. The reduction of antimicrobial prescribing had been mentioned earlier in a metaanalysis involving 30 high quality researches (Davey et al., 2013). However, the findings of other two departments are not possible to explain through the present study, as that requires further in-depth exploration.

The coverage of diagnoses mentioned in treatment sheets in the guideline was more than 50 percent in

three departments (departments of Internal Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Pediatrics). The reason of low coverage of the guideline needs to be identified through detail exploration and long-term collaboration with key prescribers of different department with special emphasis on surgeons as their coverage was very low.

The adherence to guideline was more than 80 percent in three departments (Internal Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Pediatrics). Similar rate of adherence to guidelines was observed in a number of previous studies (Abbo et al., 2011; Chandy et al, 2014; Alweis et al., 2014). Adherence to guideline could not be measured in the department of Surgery as very few diagnoses mentioned in the treatment sheet were present in the antimicrobial guideline.

The present study attempted to establish a new platform, which incorporated an online version of antimicrobial guideline that is upgradable easily by the user of certain authority, which can receive feedback and generate message to all about the feedback in order to sensitize every prescriber on that issue, can generates reminders on regular intervals, can generate report to be disseminated among targeted users. On the top of everything, this type of intervention requires least resource. Very limited experience about such approach is available till now (Haffey et al., 2013). Some of the famous hospitals of the world have different types of guidelines (JHHASP, 2015, Cleveland Clinic, 2012, MHM, 2008), though the approach attempted in the present study is an innovative one.

Considering the above findings, method of formulation, introduction and upgrading of antimicrobial guideline 2015 may be considered as a model intervention. Success of this ongoing ASP in the apical medical institution of Bangladesh might be an example of application of Information Technology to encounter the challenge of antimicrobial resistance in developing and least developed countries. Findings of the present study revealed that a comprehensive approach can bring a positive change in antimicrobial utilization.

# Conclusion

A dynamic online platform for ASP including antimicrobial guideline might be an option for policy makers to incorporate or implement in Bangladesh in order to improve antimicrobial prescribing in teaching hospital.

# Acknowledgements

The authors greatly acknowledge the support received from the authority of the University, Department of Microbiology, BSMMU and all staff of the Information Technology Cell of the University.

## References

- Abbo L, Sinkowitz-Cochran R, Smith L, Ariza-Heredia E. Faculty and resident physicians attitudes, perceptions and knowledge about antimicrobial use and residence. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2011; 32: 714-18.
- Afreen S, Rahman MS. Adherence to treatment guidelines in a university hospital: Exploration of facts and factors. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2014; 9: 182-88.
- Alweis R, Greco M, Wasser T, Wenderoth S. An initiative to improve adherence to evidence-based guidelines in the treatment of URIs, sinusitis, and pharyngitis. J Community Hosp Internal Med Perspect. 2014; 4: e 22958.
- Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU). Antibiotic guideline 2005. Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2005.
- Baqui QBOF, Hiron MM, Begum HA, Begum ZA, Choudhury SAR. Pattern of drug used in enteric fever by graduate and rural medical prescriber. Bangladesh J Physiol Pharmacol. 1999; 15: 24-26.
- Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, MacDougall C, Schueltz AN, Septimus EJ, Srinivasan A, Dellit TH, Falck-Ytter YT, Fishman NO, Hamilton CW, Jenkins TC, Lipsett PA, Malani PN, May LS, Moran GJ, Neuhauser MM, Newland JG, Ohl CA, Samore MH, Seo SK, Trivedi KK. Implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2016; 62: e51–e77.
- Carling P, Fung T, Killion A, Terrin N, Barza M. Favorable impact of a multidisciplinary antibiotic management program conducted during 7 years. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2003; 24: 699-706.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Antibiotic stewardship statement for antibiotic guidelines recommendations of the healthcare infection control practices advisory committee. Updated on 12 September 2016. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/Antibiotic-Stewardship-Statement.pdf. Accessed 18 June 2017.
- Chandy SJ, Naik GS, Charles R, Jeyaseekan V, Naumova EN, Thomas K, Lundborg CS. The impact of policy guidelines on hospital antibiotic use over a decade: A segmented time series analysis. PLoS ONE 2014; 9: e92206.
- Cleveland Clinic. Guidelines for antimicrobial usage 2012-2013. Professional communications, 2012. Inc. 400 Center Bay Drive, West Islip, NY 11795. Available at: https:// www.clevelandclinicmeded.com/medicalpubs/antimicrobial -guidelines/pdf/Antimicrobial-2013.pdf [Accessed on 31st January 2016]
- Davey P, Brown E, Charani E, Fenelon L, Gould IM, Holmes A, Ramsay CR, Wiffen PJ, Wilcox M. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients (Review). The Cochrane Library 2013; 4: 2-7.
- Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS). Health Bulletin 2016. Management Information Systems, Directorate General

of Health Services, 2016; Mohakhali, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Available at: http://www.dghs.gov.bd/images/docs/ Publicaations/HB%202016%20\_2nd\_edition\_13\_01\_17.pdf [Accessed on 31st May 2017]

- Farrar WE. Antibiotic resistance in developing countries. J Infect Dis. 1985; 152: 1103-06.
- Finch RG. Antibiotic resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1998; 42: 125-28.
- Guyon AB, Barman A, Ahmed JU, Ahmed AU, Alam MS. A baseline survey on use of drugs at the primary health care level in Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ. 1994; 72: 265-71.
- Haffey F, Brady RRW, Maxwell S. Smartphone apps to support hospital prescribing and pharmacology education: A review of current provision. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2013; 77: 31-38.
- Hogerzeil HV. Promoting rational prescribing: An international perspective. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1995; 39: 1-6.
- Islam MS, Rahman MS, Misbahuddin M. Impact of precription audit and feedback on pattern of prophylactic antimicrobials in caesarean section: A cost reduction perspective. Bangladesh J Physiol Pharmacol. 2007; 23: 1-9.
- Lee C, Cho IH, Jeong BC, Lee SH. Strategies to minimize antibiotic resistance. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2013; 10: 4274-305.
- MacDougall C, Polk RE. Antimicrobial stewardship programs in health care systems. Clin Micro Rev. 2005; 18: 638-56.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia (MHM). National antibiotic guideline, 2008. Available at: http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s17800en/s17800en.pdf [Accessed on 27th January 2016]
- Rahman MS, Begum M, Khan IA, Chowdhury S, Islam AMZ, Sultana R, Hoque MZ, Akhter N. A baseline survey on the use of drugs at private practitioner level in Bangladesh. Bangladesh J Physiol Pharmacol. 1998; 14: 47-50.
- Rahman MS, Huda S. Antimicrobial resistance and related issues: An overview of Bangladesh situation. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2014; 9: 218-24.
- Sanson-Fisher R, Ruth D, Hodge B. Behavioural and educational factors influencing academic detailing. Aust Prescr. 1993; 16(Suppl): 95-96.
- Schiff GD,Wisniewski M, Bult J,Parada JP, Aggarwal H, Schartz DN. Improving inpatient antibiotic prescribing: Insight from participation in a national collaborate. Jt Comm J Qual Improv. 2001; 27: 387-402.
- Shah SK, Verghese A, Reddy MP, Binu KM, Sarfraz MD, Doddayya H. A study on prescribing pattern of antibiotics for surgical prophylaxis in a tertiary care teaching hospital. W J Pharm Pharmaceutic Sci. 2016; 5: 1749-58.
- Siddika AN. Antimicrobial prescribing pattern in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University Hospital: Assessment of the compliance with antibiotic guideline, MPhil Thesis, 2012; Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Skodvin B, Aase K, Charani E, HolmesA, Smith I. An antimicrobial stewardship program initiative: A qualitative

study on prescribing practices among hospital doctors. Antimicrob Resist Infect Cont. 2015; 4: 24-31.

Johns Hopkins Hospital Antimicrobial Stewardship Program (JHHASP). Antibiotic guidelines 2014-2015: Treatment recommendation for adult inpatients, 2015. The Johns Hopkins Hospital Antimicrobial Stewardship Program, Baltimore, USA.

World Health Organization (WHO). Bangladesh health system review. Health systems in transition 2015; Vol. 5 No. 3.

# **Supplementary Table I**

## Key prescribers knowledge and perception about BSMMU antimicrobial guideline 2005

Knowledge and perception

Half of the prescribers did not know that BSMMU has an antibiotic guideline since 2005

More than half of the prescribers have not seen the antibiotic guideline of BSMMU 2005

None of them followed recommendations of the guideline during their prescribing

Half of them depend on their experience during antimicrobial prescribing empirically need of the situation

Most of them have no regular access to the sensitivity report of BSMMU

Available at: http://www.wpro.who.int/asia\_pacific\_ observatory/hits/series/bgd\_health\_system\_review.pdf [Accessed on 31st May 2017]

World Health Organization (WHO). Introduction to Drug Utilization Research/ WHO International Working Group for Drug Statistics Methodology. WHO Collaborating Center for Drug Statistics Methodology. World Health Organization, Oslo, Norway, 2003.

# Supplementary Table II Key prescribers suggestions about BSMMU antimicrobial guideline 2015 Suggestions on managerial issues The prescribers should be motivated Active participation of the key prescribers should be ensured Guideline should have be available in different formats like, web-based version, printed version and downloadable mobile application Guideline should reach the end user Suggestions on scientific issues Recommendations should be supported by the laboratory evidence and other relevant scientific documents Guideline should be updated regularly on the basis of culture sensitivity reports Contents should be arranged according to the systems in alphabetic order and then diseases under each system should be arranged alphabetically again Prophylactic use of antimicrobial in surgery should be separately mentioned

Antimicrobials should be categorized in pregnancy category A, B, C

Author Info

Md. Sayedur Rahman (Principal contact) e-mail: srkhasru@bsmmu.edu.bd

370