

TWO WAY INDICATOR SPECIES ANALYSIS OF WEED SPECIES OF POTATO AND WHEAT CROP FIELDS OF SHARQPUR TEHSIL, PAKISTAN

SOHAIB MUHAMMAD*, SARAH MARYAM MALIK, ZAHEER-UD-DIN KHAN, MUHAMMAD TAYYAB, ANDLEEB ANWAR SARDAR, MUHAMMAD ZAHID AND NIMRA AKRAM

Department of Botany, GC University, Lahore, Pakistan (54000)

Keywords: TWINSPAN; Weeds; Potato; Wheat; Crop Fields; Pakistan.

Abstract

This study was carried out to determine the distribution of the weeds in two important cash crops of Pakistan along with their distribution pattern in research area. Total of 56 weed species was recorded belonging to 23 plant families by the quadrat method with random sampling in wheat and potato fields of seven different villages from Tehsil Sharqpur Sharif, Punjab, Pakistan. A multivariate technique, Two Way Indicator Species Analysis (TWINSPAN), using PC-ORD (Version-6.22) classified the weeds into groups and associations. The dominant weed communities were *Cichorium-Euphorbia-Cyperus* community, *Chenopodium-Digera-Fumaria* weed community, *Poa-Chenopodium-Coronopus* weed community, *Parthenium-Euphorbia-Veronica* weed community, *Euphorbia-Achyranthes-Brassica* community and *Setaria-Polypogon-Solanum* community. Phytodiversity of weeds found in this study, remarkably indicated the variable distribution pattern of weeds in both the crop fields. Moreover, communities of weeds emerged can be helpful in better planning of the weed management in crop fields.

Introduction

Agriculture in Pakistan is renowned across the world for the production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), but the presence of weeds in these crop fields is infesting the problems of crop growth and yield, as the weeds are competing with the crop plants for their nutrients, food, sun light etc. Apart from their negative impact on yield of the crop, there are certain weed species which has their ethnomedicinal values and due to irregular agriculture practices, these species also facing a threat for their lives. The composition and richness of the weed communities present along the edges and within the crop fields reduces the quality and quantity of the crops (Muhammad *et al.*, 2009; Khaliq *et al.*, 2013).

Identification and distribution pattern of these weed species has its integral importance in crop management and as well as in their economic utility. Several scientists worked on the distribution of the weed communities in various crops in various parts of the world, such as Nikolic *et al.* (2013) analyzed the weed flora of Potato, in which of thirty nine (39) plant weeds species were found and classified into sixteen (16) families and thirty two (32) genus. Khobragade and Sathawane (2014) surveyed weeds of wheat crop of Bhandara district (M.S.), India. They reported, seventy six (76) weed species which belonged to twenty four (24) dicotyledonous and three (3) monocotyledonous families. Muhammad *et al.* (2015) find out distribution pattern of weeds of some vegetable crops in Tehsil Gojra, Pakistan. Forty (40) weeds species were found to be distributed among seventeen different families. Ullah *et al.* (2016) surveyed the Lower Dir, Pakistan. They reported forty (40) weed species growing in the wheat crop, belonged to twenty one (21) different families. They evaluated the plants on the basis of their medicinal values and ecological importance.

*Corresponding author. email: dr.sohaibmuhammad@gcu.edu.pk

Navagana *et al.* (2017) surveyed the cotton crops of Visakhapatnam District and performed the quantitative analysis on the weeds in the fields. They explored the floristic composition of weed and determine the frequency, density and cover of 55 different weed species of twenty one different plant families. Begum and Ahmad (2018) studied the weeds of Wheat at Kohat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. They studied the leaf and life form of the weeds. They collected 60 weed species belonging to 23 families. Ali *et al.* (2019) determined phytoecological aspects of weed flora of wheat in Tehsil Charsada (KPK), Pakistan. They reported 32 weed species belonged to 18 different plant families. From these weed species five dominant weed communities were emerged through their ecological ordination analysis. These weed communities were *Coronopus-Poa-Anagallis*, *Veronica-Coronopus-Melilotus*, *Anagallis-Euphorbia-Veronica*, *Melilotus-Coronopus-Poa* and *Polygonum-Ranunculus-Veronica*. Usman *et al.* (2020) reported 36 weed species from wheat crop fields of District Khanewal (Punjab), Pakistan, distributed among 15 plant families among which family poaceae was found to be the dominant one with ten different grass species. Considering the noxious behavior, their competitive abilities with crop and some useful aspect with respect to their medicinal values, the present project was designed to assess the ecological distribution of weed species of the wheat and potato crop fields of Tehsil Sharaqpur (Punjab) Pakistan.

Materials and Methods

The research work was carried out in some selected potato and wheat crop fields of Tehsil Sharqpur, Pakistan by selecting seven different villages of the Tehsil in which both of these crops were grown. Further, in these villages, three fields of almost equal size were selected for both the crops.

Sampling Technique

After selecting the crop fields' random sampling for the collection of the weeds was performed and ecological data was collected. For sampling the quadrat method was used and the size of the quadrat was 1m² after Clements (1905).

Floristic Composition

After taking quadrats in respective fields of both the crops, different weeds were collected, preserved, and identified with the help of available literature present in Flora of Pakistan (Nasir and Ali, 1970-89; Ali and Nasir, 1990-92; Ali and Qaiser, 1992-2007).

Ecological Data

Ecological data was collected by recording % frequency, density and % cover was recorded as the basic parameters following McIntosh (1962), Curtis and McIntosh (1950) and Daubenmire (1959), respectively. These three basic parameters lead the basis for more precise ecological calculation in terms of relative frequency, density and cover (Muller-Dombois and Ellenberg, 1974). From these three relative values, the Importance Value (IV) was calculated (Curtis, 1959) which provided the basis for more precise and accurate calculations of the ecological data as Importance Value Index (IVI) (Risser and Rice, 1971).

Two Way Indicator Species Analysis (TWINSPAN)

For determination of weed communities of both the crops, a multivariate technique was used in which the weed communities were emerged on the basis of % cover by using the PC-ORD (Version-6.22; McCune and Mefford, 2010).

Results and Discussion

Total fifty four weed species were reported belonging to twenty one different families in potato and wheat as shown in Table 1. Ecological communities of potato and wheat crop of Tehsil Sharqpur are divided into two major groups, i.e. GA (Group-A) and GB (Group-B). These main groups are further divided into two subgroups, viz. Sub-Group1 (SG1) and Sub-Group2 (SG2). These Sub-Groups were further divided into Associations, i.e. Association 1 (A1) and Association 2 (A2). These groups, sub-groups and associations were developed on the basis of % cover of individual weed species in respective crop fields.

Table 1. Ecological Characteristics of Weed Species of Potato and Wheat Crop Fields of Tehsil Sharqpur (Punjab) Pakistan.

Sl. No.	Families	Weed species	Weed species abbreviations	R.F.	R.D.	R.C.	IVI
1.	Amaranthaceae	1. <i>Amaranthus viridis</i> L.	Ama-vir	1.32	1.17	1.43	1.30
		2. <i>Achyranthes aspera</i> L.	Acy-asp	3.06	1.84	2.78	2.56
		3. <i>Digera muricata</i> (L.) Mart.	Dig-mur	6.23	3.88	5.60	5.23
2.	Asteraceae	1. <i>Carthamus oxyacantha</i> M. Bieb.	Car-oxy	0.19	0.7	0.79	0.56
		2. <i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	Cic-int	5.51	2.89	5.35	4.58
		3. <i>Cirsium arvense</i> (L.) Scop.	Cir-arv	1.36	0.86	0.95	1.05
		4. <i>Conyza ambigua</i> DC.	Con-amb	1.17	0.73	0.81	0.90
		5. <i>Eclipta alba</i> (L.) Hassk.	Ecl-alb	1.07	0.64	0.78	0.83
		6. <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> L.	Par-hys	4.39	4.39	6.24	5.00
		7. <i>Sonchus asper</i> (L.) Hill	Son-asp	2.96	1.21	3.31	2.49
3.	Brassicaceae	1. <i>Brassica campestris</i> L.	Bra-cam	1.46	1.2	1.36	1.34
		2. <i>Coronopus didymus</i> (L.) Smith	Cor-did	3.47	1.48	4.40	3.11
		3. <i>Eruca sativa</i> Mill.	Eru-sat	1.27	0.81	0.72	0.93
		4. <i>Sisymbrium viridis</i> L.	Sis-vir	0.78	0.28	0.24	0.43
4.	Cannabaceae	1. <i>Cannabis sativa</i> L.	Can-sat	2.86	1.58	1.92	2.12
5.	Caryophyllaceae	1. <i>Stellaria media</i> (L.) Vill.	Ste-med	1.94	1.49	1.94	1.79
6.	Chenopodiaceae	1. <i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	Che-alb	5.42	5.56	6.40	5.79
		2. <i>Chenopodium murale</i> L.	Che- mur	5.01	3.65	5.26	4.64
7.	Convolvulaceae	1. <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.	Con-arv	3.77	1.66	1.57	2.33
8.	Cyperaceae	1. <i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.	Cyp-rot	3.06	5.29	2.46	3.60
9.	Euphorbiaceae	1. <i>Euphorbia helioscopia</i> L.	Eup-hel	4.19	1.38	6.14	3.90
		2. <i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L.	Eup-hir	2.24	3.74	1.94	2.64
		3. <i>Euphorbia prostrata</i> Ait., Hort.	Eup-pro	2.63	2.79	2.04	2.48
10.	Fabaceae	1. <i>Lathyrus aphaca</i> L.	Lat-aph	1.73	1.68	1.21	1.54
		2. <i>Medicago denticulata</i> Willd.	Med-den	1.63	0.60	1.24	1.15
		3. <i>Melilotus indica</i> (L.) All.	Mel-ind	2.45	1.41	1.73	1.86
		4. <i>Melilotus sativa</i> Mill.	Mel-sat	0.71	0.19	0.32	0.40
		5. <i>Vicia sativa</i> L.	Vic-sat	2.44	1.12	2.2	1.92
11.	Fumariaceae	1. <i>Fumaria indica</i> (Hausskn.) Pugsley	Fum-ind	5.52	7.22	7.54	6.76
12.	Linaceae	1. <i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.	Lin-usi	0.39	2.64	0.16	1.06
13.	Malvaceae	1. <i>Malva neglecta</i> L.	Mal-neg	3.68	2.85	1.78	2.77

Table 1 contd.

Sl. No.	Families	Weed species	Weed species abbreviations	R.F.	R.D.	R.C.	IVI
14.	Oxalidaceae	1. <i>Oxalis corniculata</i> L.	Oxa-cor	0.40	0.22	0.28	0.3
15.	Plantaginaceae	1. <i>Veronica agrestis</i> L.	Ver-agr	1.84	1.40	0.95	1.39
16.	Poaceae	1. <i>Avena fatua</i> L.	Ave-fet	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.2
		2. <i>Avena sativa</i> L.	Ave-sat	1.22	0.39	0.55	0.72
		3. <i>Brachiaria reptans</i> (L.) Gardner & Hubb.	Bra-rep	0.58	3	0.55	1.37
		4. <i>Bromus japonicas</i> Thunb.	Bro-jap	1.53	0.79	0.66	0.99
		5. <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	Cyn-dac	1.22	1.47	0.84	1.17
		6. <i>Dactyloctenium aegyptium</i> (L.) Willd.	Dac-aeg	1.46	0.36	0.99	0.93
		7. <i>Digitaria filiformis</i> (L.) Koeler.	Dig-fil	1.22	1.23	0.76	1.07
		8. <i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Ele-ind	0.78	0.06	0.39	0.41
		9. <i>Eragrostis tenella</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	Era-ten	0.48	3.16	0.26	1.3
		10. <i>Paspalum distichum</i> L.	Pas-dis	0.19	1.2	0.06	0.48
		11. <i>Phalaris minor</i> Retz.	Pha-min	3.61	7.27	2.71	4.53
		12. <i>Poa annua</i> L.	Poa-ann	4.70	5.35	5.99	5.34
		13. <i>Polypogonum aritimus</i> Willd.	Pol-mar	4.67	6.38	4.64	5.23
		14. <i>Setaria verticillata</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	Set-ver	4.60	9.20	6.02	6.60
17.	Polygonaceae	1. <i>Polygonum plebejum</i> R. Br.	Pol-ple	0.97	1.28	0.73	0.99
		2. <i>Rumex dentatus</i> L.	Rum-den	1.73	0.65	2.21	1.53
18.	Primulaceae	1. <i>Anagallis arvensis</i> L.	Ana-arv	3.27	4.55	1.04	2.95
19.	Ranunculaceae	1. <i>Ranunculus muricatus</i> L.	Ran-mur	2.93	1.05	2.31	2.09
20.	Solanaceae	1. <i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.	Sol-nig	3.27	2.57	3.86	3.23
21.	Scorophulariaceae	1. <i>Mazus pumilus</i> (Burm.f.) Steenis	Maz-pum	1.07	1.83	1.13	1.34
		1. <i>Verbascum thapsus</i> L.	Ver-tha	1.32	1.69	2.24	1.75
22.	Verbenaceae	1. <i>Phyla nodiflora</i> (L.) Greene.	Phy-nod	1.17	1.83	2.33	1.77
23.	Zygophyllaceae	1. <i>Tribulus terrestris</i> L.	Tri-ter	0.48	0.19	0.2	0.29

Plant Group A:

Group A was further divided into the two sub-groups (SGs) *i.e.* SG1 and SG2. The **Sub Group 1** (SG1) is represented by three weed species *i.e.* *Vicia sativa*, *Ranunculus muricatus* and *Phalaris minor* having Importance Value Index (IVI) as 1.92, 2.09 & 4.53, respectively. Presence of these weed species with comparatively high amounts of IVI values confirms the findings of Jan *et al.* (2012). The **Sub Group 2** (SG2) was comparatively a large group and on the basis of association of weeds, it was further divided by two associations *i.e.* Association1 (A1) and Association2 (A2) as shown in Figure 1.

A1 consisted of *Cichorium intybus*, *Cyperus rotundus*, *Euphorbia helioscopia*, *Malva neglecta* and *Cynodon dactylon* having IVI as 4.58, 3.60, 3.90, 2.77 & 1.17, respectively. Out of these five species, three weeds were found dominant in this association with respect to their IVI values. These species were *C. intybus*, *E. helioscopia* and *C. rotundus* and it can be represented as *Cichorium-Euphorbia-Cyperus* weed community as shown in Figure 1. The prevalence such

Convolvulus arvensis (2.33), *Fumaria indica* (6.76) and *Bromus japonicus* with 0.99 IVI shown in Table 1. In this association, *Chenopodium-Digera-Fumaria* was the dominant weed species community with highest IVI Values as shown in Table 1. In this weed community with its allied species it was observed that it is the most widespread weed species group having *C. album*, *C. arvensis*, *F. indica* which defines the findings of Khan *et al.* (2019).

Plant Group B:

Group B was further divided into subgroups (SGs), *i.e.* SG1 and SG2. The Sub Group1 (SG1) was represented by two associations *i.e.* Association1 (A1) and Association2 (A2). A1 association consisted of nine weed species *i.e.* *Coronopus didymus*, *Eruca sativa*, *Melilotus indica*, *Avena fatua*, *Poa annua*, *Melilotus sativa*, *Chenopodium murale*, *Anagallis arvensis* and *Verbascum thapsus* with variable IVI values. The dominant weed species were *Poa annua*, *Chenopodium murale* and *Coronopus didymus* with 5.34, 4.64 and 3.11 IVI values respectively. On the basis of these dominant weed species *Poa-Chenopodium-Coronopus* community was found along with *E. sativa*, *M. indica*, *A. fatua*, *M. sativa*, *A. arvensis* and *V. thapsus* weeds having IVI values as 0.93, 1.86, 0.2, 0.40, 2.95 & 1.75, respectively as shown in Table 1. Emergence of this weed community with their dominant members was also represented in another study *i.e.* Ali *et al.* (2019) as two of this community members *viz.*, *Poa annua* and *Coronopus didymus* were present.

A2 association consisted of four weed species, *viz.* *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Euphorbia prostrata*, *Medicago denticulata* and *Veronica agrestis* having 5.00, 2.48, 1.15 and 1.39 IVI values, respectively, as shown in Table 1. On the basis of their greater IVI Values *Parthenium-Euphorbia-Veronica* was found as dominant weed species community. In this community, presence of the *P. hysterophorus* weed with such high IVI value indicated its potential as invader weed species and this could be the one reason that due to its potential to replace local flora, this community of weed had very few members as it confirms the findings of Jan *et al.* (2012) and Malik *et al.* (2012).

The Sub Group 2 was further divided into two associations. Association 1 (A1) composed of five weed species (Fig. 1), *viz.* *Achyranthes aspera*, *Brassica campestris*, *Linum usitatissimum*, *Eleusine indica* and *Euphorbia hirta* having 2.56, 1.34, 1.06, 0.41 & 2.64 IVI Values, respectively, and *Euphorbia-Achyranthes-Brassica* were found as the dominant weed community (Table 1). This weed community can be considered as medicinally important as their medicinal utilization is confirmed by Ullah *et al.* (2015), but their competitive behavior with specific crop in terms of its yield and productivity cannot be ruled out.

Association 2 (A2) consisted of largest group of weeds with twenty two weed species, in which three weed species *i.e.* *Setaria verticillata*, *Polypogonum aritimus* and *Solanum nigrum* were found dominant with 6.60, 5.23 and 3.23 IVI values that formed the *Setaria-Polypogon-Solanum* weed community. This weed community had associations with other species in this group. The associated weed species with this community was *Amaranthus viridis*, *Carthamus oxyacantha*, *Dactyloctenium aegyptium*, *Conyza ambigua*, *Lathyrus aphaca*, *Paspalum distichum*, *Oxalis corniculata*, *Eclipta alba*, *Eragrostis tenella*, *Mazus pumilus*, *Polygonum plebejum*, *Tribulus terrestris*, *Brachiaria reptans*, *Sisymbrium viridis*, *Rumex dentatus*, *Phyla nodiflora*, *Cirsium arvense*, *Avena sativa* and *Stellaria media* having importance value index (IVI) as 1.30, 0.56, 0.93, 0.90, 1.54, 0.48, 0.30, 0.83, 1.30, 1.34, 0.99, 0.29, 1.37, 0.43, 1.53, 1.77, 1.05, 0.72 and 1.79, respectively as shown in Table 1. Presence of 22 weed species in this association described its diverse nature and wide distribution pattern and alliance abilities of these species with each other. Such association of weeds or plant species confirms the phytosociological/ ecological findings of this study in consistence with Hassan *et al.* (2010), Ghahremaninejad *et al.* (2012) and Hadi and Ibrar (2015).

The results of present research work indicated that presence of 56 weed species distributed among 23 different plant families not only indicate the diverse nature of the weeds in the area but also the mode of their infestation and how vigorously these weeds are competing with the respective crops for their yield in the area. Some of the taxa, apart from their noxious behavior, possess some medicinal values. The weed species having the medicinal value must be properly managed with existing cropping systems so that sustainable utilization of the plants can be carried out and conservation of the useful and ethnobotanically important plants can be possible, which can be a step forward in conservation of plant diversity along with the improvement of the crop yield.

References

- Ali, F., Gul, H., Naveed, A., Muhammad, J.B. and Ataullah, J. 2019. Phytosociology and some ecological attributes of weed flora of wheat in Tehsil Charsadda Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, **25**(2): 121–136.
- Ali, S.I. and Nasir, Y.J. 1990-92. *Flora of Pakistan*. Nos. 191–193. Department of Botany, University of Karachi and National Herbarium, PARC, Islamabad.
- Ali, S.I. and Qaiser, M. 1992-2007. *Flora of Pakistan*. Nos. 194–208. Department of Botany, University of Karachi and National Herbarium, PARC, Islamabad.
- Begum, U. and Ahmad, U. 2018. Assessing biodiversity and ecological attributes of wheat crop weeds in Kohat, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **24**(1): 37–51.
- Clements, F.E. 1905. *Research Methods in Ecology*. The University Publishing Company, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA.
- Curtis, J.T. 1959. *The vegetation of Wisconsin: An ordination of plant communities*. Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 657 pp.
- Curtis, J.T. and McIntosh, R.P. 1950. The interrelation of certain analytic and synthetic phytosociological characters. *Ecology* **31**:434–455.
- Daubenmire, R. 1959. A canopy coverage method of vegetational analysis. *Northwest Science* **33**: 43–64.
- Ghahremaninejad, F., Shabkhiz, R. and Fereidounfar, S. 2012. Weed diversity in wheat fields of upper Indus plains in Punjab, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **18**(3): 337–355.
- Hadi, F. and Ibrar, M. 2015. Ecology of weeds in wheat crops of Kalash valley, District Chitral, Hindukush Range, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **21**(3): 425–433.
- Hanif, Z., Khan, S.A. Marwat, K.B., Khan, I.A. and Ullah, I. 2004. Important weeds of Wheat crop of malakandher farm, NWFP agriculture university, Peshawar. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **10**(3–4): 109–112.
- Hassan, G., Khan, I., Khan, M.Z., Shah, N.H., Khan, M. and Liaquatullah, M. 2010. Weed flora of chickpea in district LakkiMarwat, NWFP. *Pak. Sarhad J. Agric.* **26**(1): 79–86.
- Hussain, F., Murad, A. and Durrani, M. J. 2004. Weeds communities in the wheat fields of Mastuj, district Chitral, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **10**(3–4):101–108.
- Jan, U.H., Jan, A. and Shinwari, Z.K. 2012. Distribution of weeds in wheat fields of district Bannu, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **18**(3): 393–411.
- Khaliq, A., Gondal, M. R. Matloob, A., Ehsan U., Hussain, S. and Murtaza, G. 2013. Chemical weed control in wheat under different rice residue management options. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **19**(1): 1–4.
- Khan, M.N., Hadi, F., Bibi, M., Khan, N. and Shah, S.M. 2019. Utilitarian aspects of weeds of wheat fields in Charbagh valley district Swat Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **25**(1):37–48.
- Khobragade, D.P. and Sathawane, K.N. 2014. Weed diversity in Rabi wheat crop of Bhandara District (MS), India. *Int. J. of Life Sciences* **A2**: 128–131.
- Malik, M.A., Khan, Z. and Khan, A.U. 2012. Weed diversity in wheat fields of upper Indus plains in Punjab, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **18**(3): 413–421.

- McCune, B. and Mefford, M.J. 2010. PC-ORD: Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data. Version 6.22. MjM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, Oregon, USA.
- McIntosh, R.P. 1962. Raunkiaer's Law of frequency. *Ecology* **43**(3): 533–535.
- Muhammad, S., Khan, Z. and Cheema, T.A. 2009. Distribution of weeds in wheat, maize and potato fields of Tehsil Gojra, District Toba Tek Singh, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **15**(1): 91–105.
- Muhammad, S., Shafeeq, A., Siddiqui, M.F., Khan, Z. and Butt, Z.A. 2015. Weed assemblages in four vegetable crops of Tehsil Gojra, District Toba Tek Singh, Pakistan. *Int. J. Biol. Biotech.* **12**(2): 309–316.
- Muller-Dombois, D. and Ellenberg, H. 1974. *Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology*. John Wiley & Sons, 55 pp.
- Nasir, E. and Ali, S.I. 1970-89. *Flora of Pakistan*. Nos. 1-190. National Herbarium, PARC, Islamabad and Department of Botany, University of Karachi, Pakistan.
- Navagana, N., Rao, B.V. and Maradana, T.N. 2017. Phytosociological studies on the weed flora of District, Andhra Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Current Research* **9**(1): 44583–44587.
- Nikolic, L., Ilic, O., Dzigurski, D. and Masic, B.L. 2013. Analysis of weed flora in conventional and organic potato production. *Biologica Nyssana* **4**(1–2): 9–14.
- Risser, P.G. and Rice, E.L. 1971. Phytosociological analysis of Oklahoma upland forest species. *Ecology* **49**: 1006–1009.
- Ullah, F., Ullah, A. and Sohail, A. 2016. Medicinal and ecological diversity of weeds in wheat crop at lower Dir, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **22**(4): 627–637.
- Ullah, F., Ullah, A., Sohail, A. and Khan, R. 2015. Diversity, distribution and ecological importance of weeds in the maize crop at Maidan valley, Dir (I), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **21**(4): 543–553.
- Usman, M., Murtaza, G., Ditta, A., Bakht, T., Asif, M., Nadir, M. and Nawaz, S. 2020. Distribution pattern of weeds in wheat crop grown in District Khanewal, Punjab, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* **26**(1): 47–59

(Manuscript received on 03 July, 2020; revised on 01 June, 2021)