CONTACT AND GUSTATORY EFFECTS OF SPINOSAD ON THE SURVIVABILITY OF *SITOPHILUS ORYZAE* L. (COLEOPTERA: CURCULIONIDAE) IN WHEAT

Umme Habiba, W Islam* and Selina Parween¹

Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Abstract: The present study was planned to evaluate the effect of spinosad on the survivability and development of Sitophilus oryzae on four wheat varieties viz., BARI-26, BARI-28, Shatabdi-21 and Prodip-24. Three doses in three replications for spinosad were applied to four wheat varieties. Spinosad concentrations significantly increased the total developmental period compared to the control in a dose-dependent manner on four wheat varieties. The highest developmental period took 41.67 ± 0.33 days to become adult was recorded in S-21 at 0.0003 µl/g of spinosad in F₁. All adults of F₁ did not reach in F₂ because surprisingly all adults died after emergence. So, no developmental period was found in S-21 (0.00±0.00) and B-28 (0.00 \pm 0.00) days at 0.0003 μ I/g spinosad in F₂. On the other hand, five mated females were released on the treated wheat with different concentrations of spinosad for 10 - 15 days; then they were removed. Treated wheat was checked for up to 30 to 60 days and observed the progeny for two successive generations (1st and 2nd). Each combination of insect species, insecticide rate, and exposure duration were replicated three times. Among four wheat varieties, the lowest adult emergence was recorded as 08.00 ± 0.58 in F₁ and totally controlled in F₂ generation in S-21 variety at 0.0003 µl/g. Spinosad concentrations significantly increased the total developmental period compared to the control in a dosedependent manner on four wheat varieties.

Key words: Contact and gustatory effects, spinosad, developmental period, Sitophilus oryzae

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is an important staple food used in many parts of the world and is a moderately salinity tolerance crop. Wheat grains are vulnerable to many insects in storage and insecticides are used as a most effective measure for protecting stored products from pest infestation. The rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) has been reported as one of the severe pests of rice, sorghum, wheat, barley and other cereal grains and their products (Baloch 1992), is cosmopolitan in nature and causes intense losses in rice, maize, barley, wheat and other vegetation quantitatively and qualitatively throughout the world (Arannilewa *et al.* 2002, Tefera 2012). Both

^{*}Author for correspondence: <mwislam18@gmail.com>. 1Department of Zoology, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

^{©2019} Zoological Society of Bangladesh DOI: https://doi.org/10.3329/bjz.v47i2.44336

the adults and larvae fed on the kernels leaving only the outer integument. The whole developmental stages of this pest passed in the grain (Banglapedia 2006, revised 2014). S. oryzae is an internal feeder. It is very harmful pest of stored wheat worldwide (Rees 2004). The larvae of S. oryzae can destroy 25 - 30% of the wheat kernel that reduces the market cost of wheat (Kadir et al. 2005). True proteins are definitely decreased as the insect feeds on both endosperm and embryo causing quantitative and qualitative damage (Prabhakumary and Sini 2008). Spinosad is an insecticide product from Dow Agro Sciences (Indianapolis, Indiana, USA) based on chemical compounds of a soil bacterium Saccharopolyspora spinosa was discovered in 1985 (Mertz and Yao 1990). This is aerobic, Gram-positive, nonacid-fast actinomycetes with fragmenting mycelium. Spinosad is a mixture of two spinosoid spinosyns A (C₄₂H₆₇NO₁₆) is the major component and D (C41H65NO16) is the minor component, present in an approximately 85:15% ratio in the final product (Mertz and Yao 1990, Sparks et al. 1999). It is a naturally derived bio rational insecticide with an environmentally favorable toxicity profile (Bond et al. 2004). Spinosad degrades very quickly on soil surfaces by photolysis and below the soil surface by soil microorganisms (Saunders and Bret 1997, Thompson et al. 2000). It is classified as an environmentally and toxicologically reduced risk material by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Cleveland et al. 2001). Its using rate is 1 mg (AI)/kg of grain, and the tolerance level was established at 1.5 mg (AI)/kg (Bruggink 2005).

Spinosad efficacy was observed in layer treated wheat against five stored product pest including *S. oryzae* (Athanassiou *et al.* 2009). The effect of short exposures to spinosad-treated wheat or maize was also evaluated against adults of four stored-product insect species including *S. oryzae* and in spinosad-treated grain progeny production of *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica* is directly related to the speed of death of adults (Athanassiou *et al.* 2010). Getchell and Subramanyam (2008) found the instantaneous mortality of *S. oryzae* adults on wheat, maize, and sorghum treated with spinosad at various exposure intervals.

The spinosad's overall performance against stored insect pests and their offspring production relies upon on several factors like formulation, commodity, temperature, application rate and insect species (Athanassiou *et al.* 2008a, b, 2009, 2010, 2011, Vayias *et al.* 2010). Therefore, the present investigation characterizes the effectiveness of spinosad against *S. oryzae* adult mortality and the survivability of adult's emergence and its developmental time in economically important stored-product grain insect, *S. oryzae* in two successive generations on four wheat varieties.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the present bioassay method spinosad were investigated against adults of *Sitophilus oryzae* by dietary exposure termed Treated Food Method (TEM) (Talukder and Howse 1994).

S. oryzae was obtained from the stock culture without any exposure to insecticides, maintained in the control temperature room, at Entomology and Insect Biotechnology Laboratory, Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh. Untreated and infestation free of wheat varieties *viz.*, BARI-26, BARI-28, Prodip-24 and Shatabdi-21 were used.

Mass cultures were maintained in plastic containers (2.5 litre). The whole culture procedure was maintained in a control temperature room at 30 ± 1 °C and 70 ± 5% RH. About 250 adults of *S. oryzae* were placed in the plastic container with 250 g of whole wheat grain for 10 - 15 days, then they were removed and placed on fresh grains to get a new progeny and avoid generation overlapping. The whole process was repeated several times to ensure 100% adult collection from the old culture medium to obtain homogenous generations throughout the experiment. Mouth of the container was covered with muslin cloth using a rubber band, to prevent the possible contamination and escape of insects (Mondal and Parween 1997).

Preparation of food medium for mass-culture: Whole wheat grains were used as the food for the weevils. Wheat grains were collected from the local market, Shaheb Bazar, Rajshahi. After washing in water, the wheat was sun-dried and finally sterilized in an oven at 60°C for 6 hrs. Sterilized wheat grains kept for 15 days to allow its moisture content (13.5%) to equilibrate with that of the environments. Sterilized wheat was used as food for mass-culture.

Preparation of food medium for experiments: Four kinds of wheat grains (B-26, B-28, P-24 and S-21) were collected from the Wheat Research Institute, Shampur, Rajshahi, Bangladesh. These grains were washed and cleaned by sieving through 500 micrometer aperture sieve and sterilized in an oven at 60°C for 6 hrs. Then grains were kept in plastic containers (3 liters) that were cleaned before and use throughout the experimental period for *S. oryzae*.

Collection of weevils: After tremble the container some of the grain was taken on the working table with the help of a medium sized spoon. The adults were collected using a camel hair brush and placed in treatment.

Source of spinosad: Spinosad is light grey to white in colour with slight odour stale water. About 500ml of spinosad (PRN- MAPP-12054, cafno 20012-019, Lot No-3068404) was obtained from Dow Agro Sciences, UK. Concentration of spinosad was 120g spinosad/litre. Spinosad 0.0018 µl was obtained in a glass

vial by the help of micropipette and added 6 ml distilled water properly by using 2ml syringe and 0.0003, 0.00015 and 0.000075 μ l/g were prepared by serial dilution.

Bioassays: Wheat grains 1 g of each variety of wheat was soaked in different concentrations of spinosad separately and then dried at room temperature for 24 hrs in a 6 cm glass Petri dish.

From mass culture five mated females were released on the treated wheat with different concentrations of spinosad for 10 - 15 days; then they were removed. The Petri dish was covered and the medium containing eggs and larvae were placed in the control room temperature until adult emergence. Treated wheat was checked for up to 30 - 60 days and observed the progeny for two successive generations (1st and 2nd). After every 10 days, newly treated fresh wheat was added with it. A similar set of experiment was carried out on wheat soaked with distilled water only, as a control batch. The room temperature was maintained at 30 ± 1°C with 75% RH in the control temperature throughout the study period. As, S. oryzae is an internal feeder so, only adults production for this species was recorded for F_1 and F_2 . Adults unable to move when prodded gently with a hair brush were considered dead. The number of progeny for S. oryzae was based on all visible live adults found in wheat. Each combination of insect species, insecticide rate, and exposure duration was replicated three times, and each replicate was treated separately. Adult emergence and adult survivability of S. oryzae was determined to untreated and treated wheat with spinosad concentration for two successive generations.

Data collection and statistical analysis: Data were subjected to analysis of variance using SPSS-20 version. Means comparisons were performed by Turkey's tests (p < 0.05). The percent reduction of adult emergence in treatments compared to control (PRC) was calculated by using the formula provided by Mian and Mulla (1982a) as follows:

PRC = 1- Average no. of adult emerged (treatment) Average no. of adult emerged (control)

The mortality data were corrected using Abbott's formula (Abbott 1925) as follows:

$$\frac{P_o - P_c}{100 - P_c} P_t \times 100$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on adult recovery/emergence: Effect of spinosad on the adult emergence of *S. oryzae* on four wheat varieties in F₁ and F₂ are presented in Table 1. No mortality was found in the untreated (control) wheat. In control, the adult emergence ranged from 56.33 ± 1.86 to 70.00 ± 2.89 in F₁ whereas 145.00 ± 3.00 to 165.33 ± 2.91 in F₂. In treated the adult emergence ranged from 08.00 ± 0.58 to 49.33 ± 2.33 in F₁ whereas 0.00 ± 0.00 to 29.67 ± 2.60 in F₂. Among four wheat varieties, the lowest adult emergence was recorded as 08.00 ± 0.58 in F₁ generation and totally controlled in F₂ in S-21 variety at 0.0003μ /g concentration.

ANOVA showed that highly significant differences among wheat varieties in F_1 (F = 40.88, df = 3, p < 0.001) and in F_2 (F = 23.26, df = 3, p < 0.001) generation and concentrations in F_1 (F=403.09, df=3, p<0.001) and in F_2 (F = 5746.87, df = 3, P < 0.001). The relation between varieties and concentrations was not significant in F_1 (F = 1.04, df = 9, p > 0.05) and significant in F_2 (F = 3.31, df = 9, p < 0.01) generation (Table 1).

Effect on total developmental period: Dietary treatment of *S. oryzae* with spinosad concentrations significantly increased the total developmental period compared to the control (Table 2) in a dose-dependent manner on four wheat varieties. In F₁, developmental period ranged from 29.67 \pm 0.33 to 31.33 \pm 0.33 days in control and 31.00 \pm 0.58 to 41.67 \pm 0.33 days in treatment whereas, the range of developmental period was from 29.33 \pm 0.67 to 31.67 \pm 0.33 days in control and 0.00 \pm 0.00 to 45.67 \pm 0.33 days in treatment in F₂. The highest developmental period was 41.67 \pm 0.33 days to become adult was recorded in S-21 at 0.0003 µl/g of spinosad compared with the control and rest of other concentrations in F₁. All adults of F₁ did not reach in a F₂ because surprisingly they died after emerge. So, no developmental period was recorded in S-21 (0.00 \pm 0.00) and B-28 (0.00 \pm 0.00) days at 0.0003 µl/g spinosad in F₂.

Table 2 showed significant effect among varieties in F_1 (F = 22.04, df = 3, p < 0.001) and in F_2 (F = 534.67, df = 3, p < 0.001) generation and concentrations (F = 311.84, df = 3, p < 0.001) and (F = 1108.78, df = 3, p < 0.001) in F_2 . But, interactions between varieties and concentrations was not significant in F_1 (F = 1.23, df = 9, p > 0.05) and highly significant in F_2 (F = 769.88, df = 9, p < 0.001) generations.

Effect on total developmental period: Dietary treatment of *S. oryzae* with spinosad concentrations significantly increased the total developmental period compared to the control (Table 2) in a dose-dependent manner on four wheat varieties. In F₁, developmental period ranged from 29.67 \pm 0.33 to 31.33 \pm 0.33 days in control and 31.00 \pm 0.58 to 41.67 \pm 0.33 days in treatment whereas, the range of developmental period was from 29.33 \pm 0.67 to 31.67 \pm 0.33 days in control and 0.00 \pm 0.00 to 45.67 \pm 0.33 days in treatment in F₂. The highest developmental period 41.67 \pm 0.33 days to become adult was recorded in S-21 at 0.0003 µl/g of spinosad compared with the control and rest of other concentrations in F₁. All adults of F₁ did not reach in a F₂ because surprisingly all adults were died after emerge. So, no developmental period was found in S-21 (0.00 \pm 0.00) and B-28 (0.00 \pm 0.00) days at 0.0003 µl/g spinosad in F₂.

Table 1. Effect of spinosad on survivability of adult emergence of S. oryzae in F_1 and F_2 generations

Wheat varieties	Concentratio	Adult emergence (Mean ± SE)						
	Concentration (µl∕g)	115	1st generation	PRC	2nd generation	PRC		
B-26	Control		64.67 ± 2.91a	-	156.67 ± 2.03a	-		
	0.000075		44.33 ± 2.33b	31.44	29.67 ± 2.60b	81.06		
	0.00015		30.67 ± 2.03c	52.58	7.00 ± 0.58c	95.53		
	0.0003		17.00 ± 0.58d	73.71	2.67 ± 0.33d	98.30		
B-28	Control		57.33 ± 1.86a	-	150.67 ± 3.53a	-		
	0.000075		39.67 ± 1.45b	30.81	25.67 ± 2.73b	82.96		
	0.00015		28.00 ± 1.15c	51.16	4.33 ± 0.33c	97.12		
	0.0003		15.67 ± 0.88d	72.67	0.00 ± 0.00d	100.00		
P-24	Control		70.00 ± 2.89a	-	165.33 ± 2.91a	-		
	0.000075		49.33 ± 2.33b	29.52	29.33 ± 2.33b	82.26		
	0.00015		39.33 ± 1.76c	43.81	8.67 ± 1.20c	94.76		
	0.0003		20.00 ± 1.15d	71.43	3.00 ± 0.58d	98.19		
S-21	Control		56.33 ± 1.86a	-	145.00 ± 3.00a	-		
	0.000075		34.67 ± 3.28b	38.46	17.00 ± 1.53b	88.28		
	0.00015		19.67 ± 2.03c	65.09	2.00 ± 0.58c	98.62		
	0.0003		08.00 ± 0.58d	85.80	0.00 ± 0.00d	100.00		
Sourco		DF	1 st generation		2 nd generation			
Source			F value		F value			
Vareties		3	40.88***		23.26***			
Concentrations		3	403.09***		5746.87***			
Vareties * Concentrations		9	1.04 ^{NS}		3.31**			

Column means with same letter do not differ significantly from each other within varieties at 0.05% level (Tukey's test). ***Significant at p < 0.001, **Significant at p < 0.001, NS = Non significant.

Table 2 showed that highly significant effect found among varieties (F = 22.04, df = 3, p < 0.001) in F₁ and (F = 534.67, df = 3, p < 0.001) in F₂ and concentrations (F = 311.84, df = 3, p < 0.001) in F₁ and (F = 1108.78, df = 3, p < 0.001) in F₂. But, interactions between varieties and concentrations was non-

significant (F = 1.23, df = 9, p > 0.05) in F₁ and highly significant (F = 769.88, df = 9, p < 0.001) in F₂.

Wheat	Concentratio	itions Developmental period (Mean ± SE)			
varieties	(µI∕g)		1st generation	2nd generation	
B-26	Control		30.00 ± 0.58d	30.33 ± 0.33c	
	0.000075		31.33 ± 0.67c	33.67 ± 0.67b	
	0.00015		35.67 ± 0.33b	41.33 ± 0.67a	
	0.0003		39.33 ± 0.67a	45.67 ± 0.33d	
B-28	Control		30.33 ± 0.33d	30.67 ± 0.33c	
	0.000075		32.67 ± 0.33c	34.67 ± 0.33b	
	0.00015		36.33 ± 0.33b	42.67 ± 0.33a	
	0.0003		40.33 ± 0.33a	0.00 ± 0.00d	
P-24	Control		29.67 ± 0.33d	29.33 ± 0.67c	
	0.000075		31.00 ± 0.58c	33.33 ± 0.33b	
	0.00015		33.33 ± 0.33b	39.33 ± 0.67a	
	0.0003		38.67 ± 0.67a	43.67 ± 0.88d	
S-21 I	Contro		31.33 ± 0.33d	31.67 ± 0.33c	
	0.000075		33.00 ± 0.58c	36.00 ± 0.58b	
	0.00015		37.33 ± 0.67b	43.67 ± 0.33a	
	0.0003		41.67 ± 0.33a	$0.00 \pm 0.00d$	
			1st generation	2nd generation	
Source		DF	E vielvie		
			F value	F value	
Wheat vareties		3	22.04***	534.67***	
Concentrations		3	311.84***	1108.78***	
Wheat vareties *Concentrations		9	1.23 ^{NS}	769.88***	

Table 2. Effect of spinosad on developmental period of S. oryzae in F1 and F2 generations

In a column means with same letter do not vary significantly within varieties at p < 0.05 level (Tukey's test). ***Significant at p < 0.001, NS = Non Significant.

The results of the present study indicate that there was a significant impact of spinosad on the adult emergence and total developmental period of *S. oryzae* in wheat varieties. Since spinosad acts as a contact insecticide, the present research assumes that at control room temperature the increased spinosad resulting in increased mortality. From a practical point of view, 0.000075 and 0.00015 μ I/g of spinosad gave decreasing adult emergence levels. Consequently, spinosad at 0.0003 μ I/g was higher concentration and can satisfactorily control the adult emergence of *S. oryzae* in successive two generations.

It was showed that insecticidal efficacy often vary depending upon the particular insecticide and the commodity that is treated. Spinetoram (group of spynosyn) efficacy against *S. oryzae* was notably increasing from the size of the treated layer, and elevated length drastically increased mortality and reduced progeny production. Mortality was high only on totally treated wheat for layer

treated wheat ranged between 32 and 72%. Mortality became substantially lower on rice than wheat which suggests that spinetoram became much less effective on rice than on wheat (Vassilakos and Athanassiou 2012). The present investigation revealed that spinosad at 0.0003 μ I/g concentration significantly gave the lowest percentage (08.00 ± 0.58%) of adult emergence of *S. oryzae* in S-21 in F₁ and absolutely controlled the adult emergence in S-21 and B-28 wheat varieties in F₂ compared with the control and rest of other concentrations.

The efficacy of spinosad in the present study was more or less similar with the findings of Huang et al. (2007) who revealed that spinosad at 1 mg (AI)/kg provided 100% reduction of egg-to-adult emergence of Sitotroga cerealella. Minimal presence of spinosad on wheat had some lethal effect on parental S. oryzae. On the other hand, the lower percentage of treated kernels significantly increased progeny production of S. oryzae for wheat. The complete control of adult S. zeama and progeny production on maize with two liquid formulations is in agreement with Huang and Subramanyam (2007), who mentioned similar consequences with a commercial liquid formulation of spinosad used on field crops (SpinTor 2SC). The present finding is in agreement with the above results where complete control of adult emergence of S. oryzae was observed in varieties S-21 and B-28 in F₂. Andric et al. (2019) reported that all doses of spinetoram achieved high mortality (96 - 100%) of S. granarius on both wheat varieties, viz, variety with high (HVWG) and another with low (LVWG) endosperm vitreousness. While high mortality of S. oryzae (97 - 100%) and both populations of S. zeama is (93 - 100%) was achieved using 1 - 2 mg doses on the HVWG and 2 mg dose on the LVWG variety after 14 days.

In the present work, there was significant increase in time taken for development at all concentration of spinosad in comparison with the control. Developmental periods was increased in all wheat varieties at 0.0003 μ I/g of spinosad and no developmental period was observed in S-21 and B-28 wheat varieties in F₂ at 0.0003 μ I/g.

The present investigation revealed that spinosad at 0.0003 μ /g concentration significantly gave the lowest percentage (08.00 ± 0.58%) of adult emergence of *S. oryzae* in S-21 in F₁ and absolutely controlled the adult emergence in S-21 and B-28 wheat varieties in F₂ compared with the control and rest of other concentrations.

The results of the present study indicate that there was a significant impact of spinosad on the adult emergence and total developmental period of *S. oryzae* in wheat varieties. From a practical point of view, 0.000075 and 0.00015 μ l/g of spinosad gave decreasing adult emergence levels. Consequently, spinosad at

0.0003 μ I/g was higher concentration and can satisfactorily control of adult emergence of *S. oryzae* in successive two generations.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABBOT, W. 1925. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **18**: 265-267.
- ANDRIC, G., KLJAJIC, P., GOLIC, M. P., TRDAN, S., BOHINC, T. and SOLAROV, M.B. 2019. Effectiveness of spinosad and spinetoram against three *Sitophilus* species: Influence of wheat endosperm vitreousness. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* **83**: 209-217.
- ARANNILEWA, S.T., EKRAKENE, T. and AKINNEY, J. O. 2002. Laboratory evaluation of four medicinal plants as protectants against the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* (Mots). *African J. Biotech.* **5**: 2032-2036.
- ATHANASSIOU, C.G., ARTHUR, F.H. and THRONE, J.E. 2009. Efficacy of spinosad in layer treated wheat against five stored-product insect species. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* **45**: 236-240.
- ATHANASSIOU, C.G., ARTHUR, F.H. and THRONE, J.E. 2010. Effects of short exposures to spinosad-treated wheat or maize on four stored-grain insects. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **103**: 197-202.
- ATHANASSIOU, C.G., ARTHUR, F. H., KAVALLIERATOS, N. G. and THORNE, J. E. 2011. Efficacy of spinosad and methoprene, applied alone or in combination, against six stored product insect species. *J. Pest Sci.* 84: 61-67.
- ATHANASSIOU, C.G., KAVALLIERATOS, N.G. and CHINTZOGLOU GJ 2008a. Effectiveness of spinosad dust against different European populations of the confused flour beetle, *Tribolium confusum* Jacquelindu Val. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* **44**: 47-51.
- ATHANASSION, C.G., KAVALLIERATOS, N.G., YIATLIS, A.E., VAVIAS, B.J., MAVROTAS, C.S. and TOMANOVIC, Z. 2008b. Influence of temperature and humidity on the efficacy of spinosad against four stored-grain beetle species. *J. Insect Sci.* **8**: 1-9.
- BALOCH, U.K. 1992. Integrated Pest Management in Food Grains.Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Islamabad, Pakistan. pp. 117.
- Banglapedia 2006. Banglapedia National Encyclopedia of Bangladesh.

http://www.banglapedia.org/httpdocs/HT/W_0053. htm (modified 23 November 2014).

- BOND, J. G., MARINA, C. F. and WILLIAMS, T. 2004. The naturally derived insecticide spinosad is highly toxic to *Aedes* and *Anopheles* mosquito larvae. *Med. Vet. Entomol.***18**: 50-56.
- BRUGGINK, B. 2005. USEPA registers spinosad for stored grain protection. *Dow AgroSciences LLC*. Available: http://www.dowagro.com/usag/resource/smgrains /20050119a.htm.
- CLEVELAND, C.B., MAYES, M.A. and CRYER, S.A. 2001.An ecological risk assessment for spinosad use on cotton. *Pest Manage. Sci.* **58**: 70-84.
- GETCHELL, A.I. and SUBRAMANYAM, B. 2008. Immediate and delayed mortality of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) adults exposed to spinosad-treated grain. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **101**: 1022-1027.
- HUANG, F. and SUBRAMANYAM, B. 2007. Effectiveness of spinosad against seven major storedgrain insects on corn. *Insect Sci.***14**: 225-230. *J. Compilation* © Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.
- KADIR, S., BHADRIRAJU, S., RAMASWAMY, S., REED, C. and ARTHUR, F. 2005. Crop profile for on farm stored wheat in Kansas.

- MERTZ, F.P. and YAO, R.C. 1990. *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* sp. nov. isolated from soil collected in a sugar mill rum still. *Int. J. Sys. Bacteriology* **40**: 34-39.
- MIAN, L.S. and MULLA, N.S. 1982a. Biological activity of IGRs against flour stored product coleopterans. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **75**: 80-85.
- MONDAL, K. and PARWEEN, S. 1997. Laboratory culturing of flour beetles, *Tribolium* species. *Tribolium Inf. Bull.* **37**: 153-162.
- PRABHAKUMARY, C. and SINI, A. 2008. Biochemical changes of stored cashew kernels due to infestation by *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *Curr. Biotica* **2**: 244-248.
- REES, D. 2004. Insects of stored products. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Australia.
- SAUNDERS, D.G. and BRET, B.L. 1997. Fate of spinosad in the environment. *Down to Earth* 52: 14-20.
- SPARKS, T.C., THOMPSON, G.D., KIRST, H.A., HERTLEIN, M.B., MYNDERSE, J.S., TURNER, J.R. and WORDEN, T. V. 1999. Fermentation-derived insect control agents. In: Hall F Rand Menn J J (Eds.), *Biopeticides: Use and Delivery*. Humana Press, Totowa, 171-188.
- TALUKDER, F.A. and HOWSE, P.E. 1994. Efficacy of pithraj (*Aphanamixis polystachya*) seed extracts against stored-product pests. Proc. *6th Int. Working Conf. on stored-product Protection* **2**: 848-852. Canberra, Australia.
- TEFERA, T. 2012. Post-harvest losses in Africa maize in face of increasing food shortage. *Food Sec.*4: 267-277.
- THOMPSON, G.D., DUTTON, R. and SPARKS, T.C. 2000. Spinosad A case study: an example from a natural products discovery programme. *Pest Manage. Sci.* **56**: 696-702.
- VASSILAKOS, T.N. and ATHANASSION, C.G. 2012. Effect of uneven distribution of spinetoramtreated wheat and rice on mortality and progeny production of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.), *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Tribolium confusum* Jacquelin du Val. J. Stored Prod. Res. **50**: 73-80.
- VAYIAS, B.J., ATHANASSIOU, C.G., MILONAS, D.N. and MAVROTAS, C. 2010b. Persistence and efficacy of spinosad on wheat, maize and barley grains against four major stored product pests. *Crop Prot.* **29**: 496-505.

(Manuscript received on 25 September, 2019; revised on 23 October, 2019)