

Swyer syndrome: A rare casePradhan HK et al. (drhemapradhan@hotmail.com)

Reviewer's information			
Date review assigned	11-May-23	Date review returned	24-May-23
Reviewer name	Dr. Tarafder Runa Laila	Affiliation	BSMMU
Email	trlaila@yahoo.com	ORCID	0000-0001-6909-8497
Do you have any conflict of interest with the author/s?	No	Do you wish to be disclosed to the author?	Yes

Reviewer's comments [Please select "Yes" or "No"]		Author's response [Please write a response if the reviewer's comment is "No". You must change the manuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.]
1. Is the title appropriate?	Yes	
2. Is the research question or study objective clearly defined in measurable terms?	Yes	
3. Is the abstract accurate, balanced and complete?	No	Spelling correction done line 41, 43
4. Is the study design appropriate to answer the research question or achieve objective?	Yes	
5. Are the Methods described sufficiently to allow others to repeat it?	Yes	
6. Are the operational definitions and ascertainment of key variables given adequately?	Yes	
7. Are the outcomes clearly defined?	Yes	
8. Are statistics used appropriately and described fully?	Yes	
9. Do the Results address the research question or objective clearly?	Yes	
10. Are the tables and figures clear and appropriate to address the objective or research question?	Yes	
11. Does the Discussion cover the main points of the paper?	Yes	
12. Are the strengths and limitations addressed?	No	Added limitations. Now looks as below: "The limitation of this study was lack of genetic analysis for mutant genes due to unavailability."
13. Are the conclusions justified by the results	Yes	
14. Are the references up-to-date, and appropriate?	No	Correction done in references as suggested
15. Is the standard of written English acceptable for publication?	Yes	
16. Descriptive comments to the authors (Divide it into MAJOR and MINOR points).		Respond and reflect it in your manuscript. If you refute, justify your argument using references. Mention line numbers.
In Line 76 it can be written as – Karyotyping was done and it was 46 XY.		Learning point 48, 49. Gonadectomy is mandatory due to risk of malignancy
In Line 75 it can be written as – so she was diagnosed as Swyer syndrome.		Done accordingly. See line 73
In Line 78 it can be written as – For this she needs gonadectomy followed by Hormone replacement therapy (HRT) which will be started after operation.		Done accordingly. See line 77
In Line 97 it can be written as – Patients with Swyer syndrome are of female phenotype, tall or of normal height with insufficient pubertal development and having primary amenorrhoea.		Done accordingly. Now see lines 81,82; Line 85 figure 1

<p>In Line 108 it can be written as – primary amenorrhoea is Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster -Hauser syndrome, where the karyotype is 46XX.</p> <p>In Line 115 it can be written as – They can have normal sexual life and can have baby with donar oocyte.</p> <p>REFERENCE:</p> <p>The name of the authors in Ref 1, 3 & 8 should be written in the proper way like Ref 2.</p> <p>The year and vol of the journal should be written properly in Ref 3 ,6 & 8.</p> <p>The name of first six authors should be given followed by et al. if needed in Ref 6.</p> <p>The Ref 7 being a reference from book should be written as: name of the writer of the topic, name of the topic, name of the book, edition, name of the editors, name and address of the publisher, year, page.</p>	<p>Done accordingly. Now see lines 102-103.</p> <p>Donce accordingly. Now see lines 113-114</p> <p>Revised according to the journal’s format.</p> <p>Revised according to the journal’s format. Done</p> <p>We have given all authors name as per journal’s guidelines.</p> <p>Given as per journal’s guidelines.</p>
17. Reviewer’s Recommendation	Minor revision

Editor’s comments	Author’s response <i>Please respond to all comments from the editor and reviewer(s). Indicate the line number(s) of the manuscript where the changes are done.</i>
1. Background needs to be shorter. Add more information about the case instead.	90-93 line
2. Drop the subheading “Conclusions”, separate heading is not necessary.	Ok summary as line 125 ,126, 127
3. There are issues of grammar, upper/lower case, and punctuation marks through the document. Please revise the document carefully.	Done
4. Maximum two figures can be used. You can club multiple under one figure as sub-figure	Figure 1 and 3 combined; Line 229
5. Provide DOI for all references	Done in reference section
Editor’s Decision	Minor revision