BSMMUJ-70052

Right hepatectomy with thrombectomy in treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein thrombosis: A case report

Uddin MS et. al

Type of the review: Anonymous Reviewer/Disclosed Author

TECHNICAL EDITING

Round 1

9 December 2023

Thank you for the comments and valuable feedback. I appreciate the time and effort given to improve the manuscript. I have incorporated all the suggestions provided and highlighted in the manuscript. The point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments are given below.

Reviewer's comments

Comment 1

How would you rate the originality and depth of the manuscript? (4 out of 10)

Response

We have revised the discussion section to improve the depth of the manuscript.

Comment 2

Is the manuscript written in a scholarly manner? (5 out of 10)

Response

Rewrite the case description section.

Comment 3

Does the manuscript have the potential to make a valuable contribution to the world of knowledge? (4 out of 10)

Response

Revised the major findings focusing on the contribution to the world of knowledge.

Comment 4

Does the manuscript meet ethical standards? (5 out of 10)

Response

Informed consent was taken from the patient prior to the study.

Comment 6

Line 2: Title- Need to write following standard format; Line 35: Keywords writing needs appropriate sequence; Line 70-92: case description and case management is inadequate and Line 159-225: Reference writing needs correction

Response

Line 2: Revised the title, now it looks as "Right hepatectomy with thrombectomy in treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein thrombosis: A case report"

 $\hbox{Line 35: Key words revised as per advised. E.g. he patocellular carcinoma, portal vein tumour thrombus, thrombectomy } \\$

Line 70-92: Revised the case description and case management meticulously.

Line 159-225: References has been corrected according to the journal's guidelines.

Executive Editor's comments

Comment 1

Abstract: The storytelling is incomplete. Readers must know what happened after the surgery.

Response

We have revised the abstract and made necessary changes as advised.

Comment 2

Highlights: Bullets 2 and 3 could be merged and a new bullet could be added to describe the outcome of your surgery.

Response

Marged bullet points 2 and 3.

Comment 3

You have lots of acronyms. Kindly reduce them as much as possible to improve readability.

Response

We have reduced acronyms as much as possible.

Comment 4

Introduction: A statement at the end of the section is necessary to clarify the aim of this report.

Response

We have revised the end section of the Introduction.

Comment 5

Case description: What did you mean by "worker" in line number 71? If this is his occupation, please use standard terms.

Response

We have clarified the word.

Comment 6

Case description: Did you have investigations other than a CT scan? Please provide a comprehensive description to better understand the patient's general and disease-related conditions.

Response

We have revised the text by adding comprehensive description.

Comment 7

Case management: What happened after the surgery? How long did you follow him? Did you do any follow-up consultations and investigations? When was he discharged? This is necessary for making your claim in the Conclusion valid.

Response

We have revised this section as well by making necessary changes.

Comment 8

Conclusion: We do not use a separate heading for Conclusion for a Case report. The description should appear without a heading.

Response

This pint has been addressed in the manuscript.