

Challenges and experiences in establishing a zonal pharmacovigilance centre at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University: A 10-month journey.

Sharmin E et al. (elora.sharmin@bsmmu.edu.bd)

Round 1

Technical review

Responsible Editor's comments (25-Dec-23)		Author's response (5-Feb-24)
Name	M Mostafa Zaman	[Please write a response each points. You must change the manuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.]
ORCID	0000-0002-1736-1342	
Thank you for submitting the manuscript. I suppose this is suitable for a Commentary. If you agree, revise it to fit the criteria of a Commentary (600 words, 6 references, 80-word abstract).		Thank you for the suggestion. We are agree with this and revised the manuscript as "Commentary" following author's guidelines.
Editor's Decision	Major Revision	

Round 2

Mechanical review

Responsible Editor's comments (6-Feb-24)	Author's response (7-Feb-24)
	[Please write a response each points. You must change the manuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.]
1. Provide max two bullets for the Highlights, you have four now.	1. Provided two highlights in bullet points.
2. Add more flesh to the main text (upto 600); you have 381 now.	2. Added more points in the main text.
3. Add more references. You have only one now.	3. Added references.
4. Provide Memo number of funding source.	4. Provided memo number of funding.

Reviewer's information			
Date review assigned	11-Feb-24	Date review completed	14-Mar-24
Reviewer name	A	Do you have any conflict of interest with the author/s?	No
ORCID	-	Do you wish to be disclosed to the author?	No
Reviewer's A comments (15-Mar-24)		Author's response (26-Mar-24)	
		[Please write a response if score is less than 6. You must change the manuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.]	
1. The article has 29% plagiarism. I believe, way beyond the BSMMUJ's tolerance limit. Hence, I am requesting for work on it.		1. The document is revised and the similarity index is very low now.	
2. The method of study is not mentioned here.		2. Methods elaborated.	
3. Provide a point-by-point response		3. A point-by-point response is uploaded.	
Reviewer's Recommendation	Revisions Required		

Final decision of the Executive Editor (26-Mar-24)	ACCEPT We shall edit the manuscript soon.
---	---