BSMMUJ- 71436 Volume 17.2

Sonographic biometry of apparently normal parotid gland among adults in Asaba, South-South Nigeria.

Mbonuejike US et al. (lydosteveugwu@gmail.com)

Mechanical review

Round 1

Comments (11-Mar-24)			Author's response (1-Apr-24)		
			_	ease write a response to each point. You must change the	
			ma	nuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.]	
1.	Please follow the jour template.	nal's cover letter	1.	Journal templates have been followed.	
2.	2. Provide completed the EQATOR checklist.		2.	EQUATOR checklist has been submitted.	
3.	3. Provide the word count of abstract and main text on the cover page.		3.	Word count has been provided.	
4.	4. "Methods of data analysis" should be the sub heading of "Methods".		4.	Correction has been made.	
5. Rearrange all tables from the "Results" section to the end of the manuscript. The "Results" section should only contain text, with appropriate references to the table numbers.		5.	Correction has been made		
Recommendation Revisions Required					

Technical review

Reviewer's information						
Date review assigned	Oate review assigned 10-Apr-24		Da	te review completed	13-Apr-24	
Reviewer name	eviewer name Shahjada Selim			you have any conflict of	No	
				erest with the author/s?		
ORCID	RCID 0000-0001-7749-3542			you wish to be disclosed to the	Yes	
Reviewer's comments (15-Apr-24) Score			000-0	hor?		
Keviewer's comme	Reviewer's comments (15-Apr-24)		Author's response (23-Apr-24) [Please write a response to each point. You must change the			
				nuscript as per your response. Menti		
How would you rate th		8	-			
depth of the manuscri						
Is the manuscript writ manner?	ten in a scholarly	6	-			
Does the manuscript h	ave the potential	8	-			
to make a valuable con						
world of knowledge?						
Does the manuscript meet ethical 9			-			
standards?						
Major points			1.	Correction has been made.		
1. Line 4: The running the abstract.	0			1. Correction has been made.		
the abstract.	the abstract.					
2. Line 48-56: HIGHLIGHTS does not match			2.	Formatted highlights according	ly.	
BSMMU J article design.						
3. Line 57: BACKGROUND/ INTRODUCTION;			3.	Given Introduction only.		
one is to select.						
4. Result: Table 1 and 2 are better to be merged			4.	Merged Table 1 and 2.		
				5		
Minor points						
5. Line: department	to be replaced by De	epartment.	5.	Replaced as "Department".		

Reviewer's information				
6.	Line 105: start from 18year; correction- starts from 18 year.		6.	Corrected.
7.	LINE 108: Subject better to be replaced by participants.		7.	Replaced as "Participants".
8.	8. Line 119: Critically ill subjects- needs to be exemplified.		8.	Exemplified the critically ill subjects.
9. Line 155: Method of data analysis should be black. Line 159: was- should be were. Line 225: Dost et al17and Bozzato et al22 should be - Dost et al17 and Bozzato et al22. Line 230: gland be replaced by glands.			9.	Correction has been made.
Re	Reviewer's Recommendation Revisions			
Required		Required		

Responsible Editor's comments (15-Apr-24)			ents (15-Apr-24)		thor's response (23-Apr-24)
Name M Mostafa Zaman			ease write a response to each point. You must change the nuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.]		
ORCID		0000-0002-1736-1342		manuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.	
1.	70. 01		1.	Reduced the word count of the Abstract.	
2.	2. Methods for data analysis should be replaced by Statistical analysis. Because your objective is to determine the normal size of the parotid gland, you should use 95% reference range to be calculated as the mean±1.96 standard deviation. Therefore the analysis section and the tables and concerned texts in the Results section should be revised. If you have a specific objective to see differences between men and women (which is logical), you should format your tables from total, men and women all through. Towards this end, tables 1 and 2 need revision. You should merge these two tables.		2.	Given the separate section of Statistical analysis under Methods section.	
3.	. Remove P values from the Discussion section. Bring lines 196-198 of the Results section to the Discussion section. This is not a result of your study. The concerned table (Table 5) should dropped.		3.	Removed.	
4.	4. Separate the cover letter and the EQUATOR checklist from the manuscript file but ensure that these two files (cover letter and the EQUATOR) are correctly uploaded in the submission files.		4.	Uploaded separately.	
Ed	itor's Decision		Major Revision		

Final decision of the Executive Editor	ACCEPT
That decision of the Executive Editor	ACCELL
(23-Apr-24)	