

Review report

BSMMUJ-17.3 – 73358

Classic Dandy-Walker malformation with gray matter heterotopia, corpus callosal dysgenesis and occipital cephalocele: A case report

Mishra A *et al.* (anmol97mishra@gmail.com)

REVIEW COMMENTS	AUTHOR RESPONSE	
<p style="color: blue;">[Note: Please write the responses to each point here mentioning line number(s). You must change the manuscript as per your response.]</p>		
<h3 style="color: blue;">A. Mechanical review</h3>		
Date sent to author: 31-May-24	Date: 3-Jun-24	
1. We would appreciate receiving a revised version (in a single file) according to the Author Instructions of no abstract, 750 words main body, 3 learning points, 10 references, and one image.	A revised version of the manuscript adhering to the requirements mentioned in the mechanical review notification has been provided.	
Date sent to author: 4-Jun-24	Date: 4-Jun-24	
1. Submit the manuscript in a single file (title page plus main text). Follow the sequence of a case report on our website (https://www.banglajol.info/index.php/BSMMUJ/index). You will also find a template for the case reports in our author instructions.	A revised version of the manuscript based on the Author Instructions in the previous notification and in line with the guidelines for case report as mentioned in the journal website has been prepared.	
2. The images can be submitted separately to preserve their high resolution.	The image has been included in the main manuscript with appropriate caption and has also been provided as a separate jpeg file.	
<h3 style="color: blue;">B. Technical review</h3>		
ROUND 1		
Reviewer's name: Sanjida Ahmed		
ORCID: 0000-0002-4555-0642		
Date assigned: 5-Jun-24		
Date submitted: 21-Jun-24		
Do you have any conflict of interest with the author/s? No		
Do you wish to be disclosed to the author? Yes		
Comments sent to author (Date: 14-Jul-24)	Date: 19-Jul-24	
How would you rate the originality and depth of the manuscript?	Score	[Note: Please response if the score is below 6]
	9	-
Is the manuscript written in a scholarly manner?	8	-
Does the manuscript have the potential to make a valuable contribution to the world of knowledge?	7	-
Does the manuscript meet ethical standards?	9	-
1. The keyword "occipital encephalocele" should be added to the list.		The mentioned keyword inserted in line 27, page 1.
2. "The accuracy of USG in identifying all the intracranial anomalies was comparable with MRI, establishing USG as an excellent screening modality" should be deleted.		The statement has been deleted in line 37-39, page 2.
Reviewer's Recommendation: Accept Submission		

REVIEW COMMENTS		AUTHOR RESPONSE
Executive editor's name: M Mostafa Zaman		[Note: Please write the responses to each point here mentioning line number(s). You must change the manuscript as per your response.]
ORCID: 0000-0002-1736-1342		
1.	Author contributions: Use the initials of the authors' names. Full names are not needed.	Modified as per instructions in line 105-112, page 5.
2.	Figure 1: Please submit the ten high-resolution images separately. We shall group them as per your text descriptions. Please revise your figure legends. Group the text into three according to your description in the main text (i-ii, iii-vi, and vii-x).	Images with better resolution have been attached in three groups as advised in page 8-10.
Executive editor's decision: Revision required		

C. Editorial decision

Final editorial decision: **Accepted on 24-Jul-24**