HbA1_C in Patients with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

Farhena Ahmed^{1*}
Mahmudul Hoque¹
A.S.M. Towhidul Alam¹
Shaheda Ahmed¹
Nayeema Tasnim²

¹Department of Biochemistry Chittagong Medical College Chittagong, Bangladesh.

²BITID, Fouzderhat Chittagong, Bangladesh.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Farhena Ahmed

Department of Biochemistry Chittagong Medical College Chittagong, Bangladesh. dr.farhana.ahamed@gmail.com.

www.cmoshmcj.org

Abstract

Introduction: Approximately 7% of all pregnancies are complicated by Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.. The study was designed to determine the relationship between HbA1c & Gestational Diabetes Mellitus to reduce complications & monitoring treatment. Methods: The present cross-sectional comparative study was carried out in departments of Biochemistry and Obstetrics & Gynecology, Chittagong Medical College, Chittagong, Bangladesh. The data were collected by a structured questionnaire including age, socioeconomic condition, gravida, para , blood pressure, BMI, FBS, PPBS & Family H/O diabetes mellitus. Patients suffering from shortened red blood cell life span, sickle cell disease or any other condition that could result in premature red blood cell death and longer red blood cell life span, such as vitamin B12 or folate deficiency were excluded. Total 110 pregnant women were included in this study. Among them 70 were considered as case (whose FBS &PPBS according to ADA criteria of FPG value of ≥5.3mmol/l and the WHO criteria of a 2-h PPPG value of ≥7.8mmol/l) and 40 were considered as control (whose FBS <5.3mmol/L & PPBS <7.8mmol/L). HbA_{1c} were measured in all sample. Results: Study showed that HbA_{1c}(6%) were more increased in GDM patients than that of the normal pregnancy (6.95±1.38% Vs 5.05 ±0.27 %, p<0.001). Result showed that percentage of raised plasma HbA_{1C} is more in GDM patients than that of the normal pregnancy (57.1%,P=<0.001).Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) showed that there are positive correlation between Blood Glucose Level & HbA_{1c} (Fasting Blood Glucose & HbA_{1c} ,r=0.869,p=<0.001 and Post Prandial Blood Sugar & HbA_{1c}, r=0.507, p=<.0.001). Conclusion: Significantly raised level HbA_{1C} in GDM help to formulate a management plan and thereby reduce the complications of this disease.

Key words: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus; Fasting blood sugar; Post-prandial blood sugar; Glycated hemoglobin.

INTRODUCTION

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) is defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy¹⁻⁵. It does not exclude the possibility that unrecognized glucose intolerance may have antedated or begun concomitantly with pregnancy¹. Approximately 7% of all pregnancies are complicated by GDM, though the prevalence range from 1% to 14% of total pregnancies, depending on the population studied & the diagnostic tests employed.³ The prevalence of GDM in rural Bangladesh is comparable with any other population is higher⁶.

Pregnancy is characterized by a complex endocrine-metabolic process, including impaired insulin sensitivity, increased β -cell response, moderate increase in blood glucose level particularly following ingestion of meal and changes in the levels of circulating free fatty acids, triglycerides, cholesterol and phospholipids. All of these changes represent a necessary, possibly indispensable adaptation to ensure the energy demand of the fetus and to prepare the maternal organism for delivery and lactation^{7-,9}. Placental secretion of hormones, such as estrogen, progesterone, human chorionic somatotropin (hCS) or placental lactogen, prolactin and growth hormone is major contributors to the insulin resistant state seen in pregnancy. Insulin resistance develops in the second trimester and progresses throughout the remainder of pregnancy⁸⁻⁹. Insulin sensitivity is reduced by as much as 80% ⁹. The impairment of insulin sensitivity however, makes pregnancy a diabetogenic condition ⁷.

HbA_{1c}(glycated hemoglobin) is a form of hemoglobin which is measured primarily to identify average plasma glucose concentration over a period of time (12 weeks)⁷. HbA_{1c} is formed in a non-enzymatic pathway by addition of glucose to the N-terminal of the hemoglobin beta chain. As average amount of plasma glucose increases, the fraction of glycated Hb also increases⁹. For every increase of A1c of 1%, mean plasma glucose increases by 35 mg/dl¹⁰.

In the fetus or neonate, GDM is associated with higher rate of perinatal mortality, macrosomia, birth trauma, hyperbilirubinemia, polycythemia, hypocalcaemia, shoulder's dystosia and neonatal hypoglycemia⁶⁻⁷. In the later life, the children born to mother with GDM have shown to have a higher incidence of obesity, IGT and DM in late adolescence and young adulthood^{3,6}. In the setting of extremely poor control of diabetes, still birth is an important complication⁶. So GDM remain among the leading problems that threatened for fetus.

As HbA_{1C} levels closely correlates to blood sugar level in GDM it is therefore a reliable indicator of overall glycemic control among the patients of diabetes in pregnancy¹¹ The study showed that HbA_{1c} levels were increased in GDM patients than that of the normal pregnancy, so increased HbA_{1c} indicates poor glucose control. Therefore HbA_{1c} is a useful indicator of blood glucose controlled in the recent past & may be used to monitor the effect of drug therapy on blood glucose level and thus reduce the complications due to GDM both in mother & fetus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The cross sectional comparative study was carried out in the department of Biochemistry and department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Chittagong Medical College Hospital from January 2010 to December 2010. Proper permission was taken from the Ethical Committee of Chittagong Medical College. Total 110 pregnant women were included in this study. Among them 70 were Case (Group A) GDM patients(whose FBG≥5.3mmol/l &PPBG≥7.8mmol/l) and 40 were Control (Group B) normal pregnant women (whose FBG<5.3mmol/l &PPBG<7.8mmol/l). The study group was subdivided into three groups on the basis of level of FPG & PPPG. In Group-I, FPG is ≥5.3mmol/l and PPPG is <7.8mmol/l. In Group-ll, PPPG is ≥7.8mmol/l and FPG is <5.3mmol/l. **In Group-Ill,** FPG is ≥5.3mmol /l and PPPG is ≥7.8mmol/l.Women with shortened red blood cell life span, sickle cell disease or any other condition that could result in premature red blood cell death, longer red blood cell life span, such as vitamin B12 or folate deficiency were excluded from the study. Data were collected by interview of the study population by using research instruments.

The blood sample was analyzed for FBS , PPBS & $\mathrm{HbA}_{\mathrm{1c}}$. Fasting blood glucose & post prandial blood glucose were determined by Glucose Oxidase Method & plasma $\mathrm{HbA}_{\mathrm{1c}}$ level by High Performance Liquid Chromatography(HPLC21).FBS & PPBS were analyzed in the semi autoanalyzer SPECTROPHOTOMETER-5010.

Normal level of blood glucose according to ADA criteria of FPG value is <5.3mmol/l and the WHO criteria of a 2-h PPPG value is < 7.8mmol/l. & HbA1C < $6\%^{12}$. Above which marked as raised level.

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

GDM is defined in this study on the basis of fasting and 2-h post prandial serum glucose values equal to or greater than 5.3 m mol/l and 7.8 m mol /l respectively. If any one or both of the criteria were fulfilled, the diagnosis of GDM was made.

Data Analysis

Statistical processing of data is done by Appropriate Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS).Data were expressed as mean ±SD. Confidence was fixed at 95% level and "p" value of 0.05 or less considered significant. Students 't test for quantitative variable and Chi-square test for categorical variable were done where applicable.

RESULTS

Total 110 pregnant patients were included in this study. Among them 70 (63.6%) were cases who were GDM patients,40(36.4%) were control, as normal pregnant women. 23% cases both FBG & PPBG both were increased (g lll). FBG increased in 13.6% cases (g-l), PPBG increased in 27.3% cases(g-ll).(Table l).

72.7% residing in urban area,69.1% were house wife, 13% are service holder. 49% of the participants are below SSC,27% under Graduate,18% Graduates, 3.6% post graduates,1.8% illiterates. 47.3% of the participants are from average socioeconomic status,29.1% from poor socio-economic status,23.6% from affluent status. (Table II).

FBG is increased(≥5.3mmol/l) in57.1% cases, in group l&lll it is 100% increased. PPBG is increased in (≥7.8%mmol/l) in78.6%, in group ll & lll it is 100% increased. FBG & PPBG both are highly significant(P=<0.001).(Table lll)

 ${\rm HbA_{1c}}$ in GDM patients are more than that of normal pregnancy $(6.95\pm1.38\%~{\rm Vs}~5.05\pm0.27\%)$ which is statistically highly significant(p=<0.001). (Table IV)

FBG & HbA_{1C} both are increased in Group 1 & III. PPBS & HbA_{1C} both are increased in group III. (Table V).

There is appositive correlation between FBG & PPBG with HbA_{1c} . As FBG & HbA_{1c} (r=0.869). PPBG & HbA_{1c} (r=0.507) and in both P=<0.001.(Table VI)

Table 1: Distribution of study groups (n = 110)

Study Groups	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Group-A(Group – I,II,III)-case.		
Group I [FBS≥5.3; PPBS < 7.8]	15	13.6
Group II [FBS < 5.3 ; PPBS ≥ 7.8]	30	27.3
Group III [FBS \geq 5.3; PPBS \geq 7.8]	25	22.7
Group –B(Group IV) Control .		
[FBS < 5.3; PPBS < 7.8]	40	36.4
Group-A(case)-GDM patient	ts 70	63.6
Group –B(Control)-Normal	40	36.4
Total	110	100.0

Table 2 : Distribution of socio-demographic variables (n = 110)

Socio-demographic Variables		Frequency	Percentage (%)	
Inhabitance	Urban	80	72.7	
	Rural	30	27.3	
Occupation	House Wife	76	69.1	
-	Student	20	18.2	
	Service Holder	14	12.7	
Educational	Illiterate	02	1.8	
Status	Below SSC	54	49.1	
	Under Graduate	30	27.3	
	Graduate	20	18.2	
	Post Graduate	04	3.6	
Socio-economic	Poor	32	29.1	
Status	Average	52	47.3	
	Affluent	26	23.6	
Total		110	100.0	

Table 3: Distribution of blood sugar status among the study groups (with X² test significance)

Blood Sugar Status		Study Groups					X ² Test	
		Group-		Grou	р-в	Tota		Significance
		N	%	N	%	n	%	
FBS								
Status	Increased							
	$(\geq 5.3 \text{ mmol/L})$	40	57.1	00	0.0	40	36.4	$P = 0.000^{\mathbf{HS}}$
	Normal	10	37.1	00	0.0	10	50.1	1 0.000
	(< 5.3 mmol/L)	30	42.9	40	100.0	70	63.6	
	(< 3.3 mmol/L)	30	72.7	40	100.0	70	03.0	
PPBS Status	Increased							
	$(\geq 7.8 \text{ mmol/L})$	55	78.6	00	0.0	55	50.0	$P = 0.000^{HS}$
	Normal							
	(< 7.8 mmol/L)	15	21.4	40	100.0	55	50.0	
	(· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				130.0		23.0	

HS = Highly Significant (P < 0.001)

Blood Sugar Status				S	tudy Gro	oups				X ² Test
		Gr	oup I	Grou	ıp II	Grou	ıp III	Grou	p IV	Significance
		N	%	n	%	N	%	N	%	
FBS	Increased	15	100.0	00	0.0	25	100.0	00	0.0	$P = 0.000^{\mathbf{HS}}$
	Normal	00	0.0	30	100.0	00	0.0	40	100.0	
PPBS	Increased	00	0.0	30	100.0	25	100.0	00	0.0	$P = 0.000^{\mathbf{HS}}$
	Normal	15	100.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	40	100.0	

HS = Highly Significant (P < 0.001)

Table 4 : Statistics of **HbA1c** among the study groups (n = 110)

	Study Groups	N	Mean	± SD	Median	Range	Sign.
	Group I	15	7.87	0.67	8.00	7.0 - 9.0	*P = 0.000
	Group II	30	5.51	0.21	5.55	5.2 - 5.8	Highly
HbA1c	Group III	25	8.12	0.80	8.00	7.0 - 9.0	Significant
(%)	Group IV	40	5.05	0.27	5.00	4.5 - 5.6	
	Group-A	70	6.95	1.38	7.00	5.2 - 9.0	**P = 0.000
	Group-B	40	5.05	0.27	5.00	4.5 - 5.6	Highly Significant
	TOTAL	110	6.26	1.44	5.60	4.5 - 9.0	~15iount

Significance; **

Table 5: Distribution of blood sugar status according to HbA1c status among the study groups (n = 110)

Study Groups	Fasting Blood Sugar Status	HbA1c Increased	Status Normal	Total
Group I	Increased Normal	15 0	0	15 0
Group II	Increased Normal	0	0 30	0 30
Group III	Increased Normal	25 0	0	25 0
Group IV	Increased Normal	0	0 40	0 40
	Total	40	70	110

It was evident that increased FBS & HbA_{1c} observed in group-l&lll

Study Groups	Post Prandial Post prandial Blood Suga Status	HbA1c Status r Increased	Normal	Total
Group I	Increased	0	0	0
Group I	Normal	15	0	15
Group II	Increased	0	30	30
	Normal	0	0	0
Group III	Increased	25	0	25
	Normal	0	0	0
Group IV	Increased	0	0	0
	Normal	0	40	40
	Total	40	70	110

It was evident that increased PPBS & increased HbA_{1c} observed in group-lll

Table 6: Correlations between blood sugar levels & HbA_{1c} (n = 110)

Correlations between	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r)	Significance (P Value)
FBS & HbA _{1c}	0.869	P = 0.000
PPBS & HbA _{1c}	0.507	P = 0.000

Highly Significant

DISCUSSION

The present study provide the data on the relationship of Glycated Haemoglobin with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and normal pregnancy .

Among the study group 73% live in urban area, 27% live in the rural areas.69% of the participants are housewife,18% are students,

13% are service holder. 49% of the participants are below SSC,27% under Graduate,18% Graduates, 3.6% post graduates,1.8% illiterates. 47.3% of the participants are from average socio-economic status,29.1% from poor socio-economic status,23.6% from affluent status.

In present study it is observed that HbA_{1c} in GDM patients are more than that of normal pregnancy (6.95±1.38% Vs 5.05±0.27%) which is statistically highly significant(p=<0.001). The finding is similar to the another study¹³.

In the study FBS level is increased (\geq 5.3mmol/L) in 57.1% cases(group 1 &lll) but in control 100% normal, which is highly significant(P=<0.001). PPBS is increased (\geq 7.8 mmol/L.) in 78.6% cases(group II & III) but in control 100% normal, which is highly significant (P=<0.001)¹¹.

In the obtained result of the study we observe that FBS & ${\rm HbA_{1c}}$ both are increased in increased in Group I & III. PPBS & ${\rm HbA_{1c}}$ both are increased in group III.. Again PPBS & ${\rm HbA_{1c}}$ both are increased in 62.5% (group III) cases, which is highly significant (p=<0.001).

In the present study, Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) showed that there was a positive correlation between Fasting Blood Sugar and HbA_{1c} (r=0.869, P=<0.001),Post Prandial Blood Sugar and HbA_{1c} (r=0.507,P=<0.001) is significant. This study was consistent with that of other studies^{11,14}.

Higher amounts of glycated hemoglobin ,indicating poorer control of blood glucose levels, have been associated with cardiovascular disease, nephropathy and retinopathy. The ${\rm HbA}_{\rm 1c}$ strongly associated with the risk of development & progression of microvascular and nerve complications. High ${\rm HbA}_{\rm 1c}$ (>9.0-9.5%) is associated with very rapid progression of microvascular complications⁹.

As HbA_{1C} levels are closely correlate to blood sugar level in GDM. It is therefore a reliable indicator of overall glycaemic control among the patients of diabetes in pregnancy¹¹. Levels of HA_{1c} are not influenced by daily fluctuations in the blood glucose concentration, reflect the average glucose level over the prior 6 to 8 weeks. Therefore HbA_{1c} is a useful indicator of blood glucose controlled in the recent past & may be use to monitor the effect of drug therapy on blood glucose level¹¹.

HbA_{1c} on other hand, is a spot test with no requirement for prior preparation, no risk of misinterpretation owing to recent meal or drug¹⁴. So by monitoring HbA_{1c} we can reduce the complications both in mother & foetus due to GDM.

CONCLUSION

GDM causes serious complications both in mother & baby. So early & easy diagnosis is necessary. HbA1C level is increased in GDM. This can be used as a measure of glycemic control in patient with GDM & design dosage regimen for GDM.

Levels of HA1c are indicator of blood glucose controlled in the recent past. Increased HbA1C level in early pregnancy indicates the patient is pre Diabetic. Early initiation of treatment may prevent complication.

DISCLOSURE

All the authors declared competing interest.

REFERENCES

- The Expert Committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus: Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mallitus. Diabetes Care, 2003;26:S5-S20.
- 2. Buchanan TA and Xiang AH: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus .Clin.Invest. 2005;115:485-491.
- American Diabetes Association: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus .Diabetes Care, 2002;25:S94-S96.
- WHO Consultation: Definition Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complication: report of a WHO consultation. Part 1:Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Geneva ,WHO/NCD /NCS /99.2. World Health Organization, 1999.
- Schmidt MI, Duncan BB, Reichelt AJ, Branchtein L, Yamashita T: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Diagnosed with a 2-h 75-g Oral GlucoseTolerance Test and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. Diabetes Care, 2001;24(7): 151-1155.
- 6. Mumtaz M: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Malays J Med Sci 2000;7(1):4-9.
- U.S. Preventive Service Task Force .Screening for gestational diabetes . In: Guide to Clinical Preventive Services .2nd ED. Washington ,DC: Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion;1996.
- 8. ExecutiveSummery: Standarads of Medical Care in Diabetes—2010: Current Criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes. Diabetes Care .2010;33:S4-S10.
- Michigan Diabetes Research & Training Center. Hemoglobin A1c Fact Sheet. Michigan Diabetes Research & Training. Centre.center.http://www.med.umich.edu/mdrtc/cores/ChemCore/hemoa1c.htm.Retrieved2007-12-26.
- 10. Murthy EK, Renar IP and Metelko Z: Diabetes and Pregnancy .Diabetologia Croatica, 2002;31:3.
- 11. Gandhi R.A., Brown J, Simm A, Page R.C., Idris I.:HbA_{1C} during pregnancy: Its relationship to meal related glycaemia and neonatal birth weight in patients withdiabetes. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2008;138 (1):45-48.
- 12. Alam T, Haque M, Begum IA.Screening and Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Journal Of Chittagram Maa-Shishu-O General Hospital. 2009;8(2):57-58.
- 13. Vijayam Balaji, MD.et al A1c in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Asian Indian Women. Diabetes Care 2007;30: (7):1865-1867...
- 14. Azim W., Omair M., Alam Khan M.Q., Shaheen N., Azim S. (2010) Correlation between glycated haemoglobin and random plasma glucose levels for the screening of diabetes mellitus.Int. J. Path.8: 59-62