

Prevalence of Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases (ESBL) Producers Among Gram-Negative Bacilli in Wound Infection

Abu Hena Md. Saiful Karim Chowdhury^{1*}
Md Anwar Husain²
Nasima Akter²
Khan Mashreque Alam²
Arup Kanti Dewanjee²
Shakeel Ahmed²
Zakir Hossain²
Arifur Rahman²
Towhida Naheen³
Md Abdul Mazed⁴
Sanjoy Kanti Biswas⁵
Md Ehsanul Hoque⁶

¹Department of Pathology
Chittagong Medical College
Chittagong, Bangladesh.

²Department of Microbiology
Chittagong Medical College
Chittagong, Bangladesh.

³Department of Anatomy
University of Science &
Technology Chittagong (USTC)
Chittagong, Bangladesh.

⁴Department of Microbiology
Cox's Bazar Medical College
Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh.

⁵Department of Microbiology
Chattagram Maa-O-Shisu Hospital
Medical College
Chittagong, Bangladesh.

⁶Department of Microbiology
Rangamati Medical College
Rangamati, Bangladesh.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Abu Hena Md Saiful Karim Chowdhury
Clinical Pathologist
Department of Pathology
Chittagong Medical College
Chittagong, Bangladesh.
Mobile: +88 01819 636883
E-mail: drsaifulkarim@yahoo.com

www.banglajol.info/index.php/CMOSHMCJ

Abstract

Background: Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases Producing Organisms (ESBLs) are increasing in number and causing more severe infections because of their continuous mutation and multidrug resistance property with limited therapeutic option. **Aims and Objectives:** Present study was undertaken to detect the prevalence of the ESBLs producing bacteria in wound infection, so as to provide a base line data in treating them & prevent unnecessary use of antibiotics. **Methods:** Isolated gram-negative bacteria initially screened by Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) ESBLs breakpoints. Then suspected ESBLs producers were confirmed by Phenotypic confirmatory test. **Results:** 105 (One hundred five) (91.30%) bacterial strains were isolated from 115 samples of wound swab & pus from different patients were studied of which 84(80.00%) were Gram-negative and 21(20.00%) were Gram-positive. Among the isolated Gram-negative bacteria 79(94.05%) were found suspected ESBLs producers of which 54(68.35%) were found as confirmed ESBL producers. The prevalence of ESBLs producing organisms in the present study were found to be 64.29% and Klebsiella spp as most prevalent ESBLs producers. **Conclusion:** It is essential to report ESBL production along with routine sensitivity reporting, which will help the clinician in prescribing the proper antibiotics.

Key words : Gram-negative bacilli; ESBL; Klebsiella Species; Infection.

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance is emerging as one of the major public health threats at the beginning of the 21st century worldwide including Bangladesh. The wide spread use and in some cases, misuse of antimicrobials in all health care settings over the past several decades has been cited as a contributing factor in the development of drug resistance in virtually all bacterial species. The accelerated emergence of antibiotic resistance among the prevalent pathogens is the most serious threat to the management of infectious diseases¹. Infections by extended spectrum beta lactamases (ESBLs) producing organisms are causing significant diagnostic and therapeutic problems in affected patients². ESBLs are mutant forms of β -lactamases enzymes coded by genes located on transferable plasmids, which can easily spread from one organism to another. The ESBL producing organisms are often multi drug resistant, as the plasmids producing ESBLs can carry resistance to other antibiotics³. Extended spectrum β -lactamases producing bacteria produce Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases (ESBLs) enzymes that mediate resistance to extended spectrum (Third generation) cephalosporins and monobactams but do not affect cephamycins (e.g. Cefoxitin and cefotetan) or carbapenems and are inhibited by β -lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanate, sulbactam and tazobactam^{1,4,5}.

The ESBL producing bacteria are increasingly becoming a major threat for patients in the hospital, long term care facilities and community. The increasing drug resistance among these bacteria has made therapy difficult and has led to a greater use of expensive broad spectrum antibiotics⁶. Inappropriate antibiotic selection in infections caused by these organisms is associated with treatment failures, poor clinical outcomes, prolonged hospital stay, increased morbidity, mortality and health care costs. The widespread use of the third generation cephalosporins and aztreonam is believed to be the major cause of the mutations in these enzymes⁷. Drug resistance of this form is often difficult to recognize using conventional antimicrobial susceptibility methods. Failure to identify ESBL producing organisms also contributes to their uncontrolled spread. Therefore, identification of the resistant phenotypes is important, particularly in developing countries where there is excessive use of antibiotics and lack of adequate antimicrobial resistance surveillance^{8,9}.

This study was designed to investigate the prevalence of ESBLs producing organisms among wound infections which would guide clinicians and microbiologists for proper handling of these pathogens & prevent unnecessary use of antibiotics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology, Chittagong Medical College, during the period of June 2008 to May 2009. Samples were collected after taking informed written consent from patients admitted in different wards of Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Chittagong.

Inclusion criteria: The following categories of patients were included in this study:

- i. Patients with infected wound.
- ii. Infected burn patients.

Exclusion criteria: Newly admitted burn/surgical cases.

A sterile technique was applied to aspirate or collect pus or wound swab from abscess or wound infection, either by disposable syringe or by sterile swab stick and inoculated in Blood agar and Mac Conkey agar media. After overnight incubation at 37°C, plates were checked for presence of any suspected pathogens. Identification of organisms were done as per standard laboratory methods of identification and antimicrobial sensitivity of the isolates were tested against different antibiotics.

ESBL detection: The method recommended by Clinical Laboratories Standard Institute (CLSI) requires a two step approach of initially screening for ESBL production and then performing confirmatory tests on screen positive isolates¹⁰.

Screening for ESBL producers by dilution method

Agar dilution method: The screening for ESBL producers was done by agar dilution method as was recommended by CLSI. Any of the isolated organisms found to be grown at this stated screening antibiotics concentration (That is Minimum

Inhibitory Concentration [(MIC)] of third generation cephalosporins, namely ceftriaxone, ceftazidime and cefotaxime $>2\mu\text{g/ml}$) according to CLSI, 2007 was considered as possible ESBL producers and spelled for the confirmatory tests. The use of more than one antimicrobial agent for screening improves the sensitivity of detection¹⁰.

Detection of ESBLs by the confirmatory tests

Phenotypic confirmatory test: Confirmation of the ESBL producing isolates was done by the phenotypic confirmatory test according to CLSI recommendation. In this test, third generation cephalosporin i.e. ceftazidime (30 μg) and cefotaxime (30 μg) disc alone and in combination with clavulanic acid (10 μg) were used. Ceftazidime, cefotaxime discs were placed on one side and ceftazidime, cefotaxime discs combined with clavulanic acid (30/10 μg) were placed on other side of the inoculated plate. After overnight incubation at 37 C, diameter of zone of inhibition was measured. A 5 mm or more increases in diameter of zone of inhibition for ceftazidime and cefotaxime tested in combination with clavulanic acid versus its zone when ceftazidime and cefotaxime tested alone confirms an ESBLs producing organism¹⁰.

Reference strain for quality control used for ESBL detection

E. coli BB-32327 (CTX-M9) was used as positive control and *E. coli* ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) 25922 was used as negative control of ESBL detection test.

RESULTS

A total 115 samples of wound swab & pus from different patients were studied, of which 105 (91.30%) bacterial strains were isolated & 10(8.70%) found no growth (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Frequencies of isolates from wound infection.

Among the 105 bacterial isolates 84(80.00%) were Gram-negative and 21(20.00%) were Gram-positive, of which majority were *Klebsiella* spp 26(24.76%) followed by *E. Coli* 25(23.81%) *Pseudomonas* spp 23(21.90%) *Staphylococcus aureus* 18(17.14) *Proteus* spp 10(9.53%) & Coagulase negative *Staphylococci* 03(2.86). (Table 1).

Table 1 : Distribution of bacterial species among the isolates (n = 105).

Name of bacterial species	Number(n=105)	Percentage
Gram-negative organism		
<i>Klebsiella</i> species	26	24.76
<i>E. Coli</i>	25	23.81
<i>Pseudomonas</i> species	23	21.90
<i>Proteus</i> species	10	09.53
Total	84	80.00
Gram-positive organism		
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	18	17.14
Coagulase negative		
Staphylococci	03	02.86
Total	21	20.00
Grand Total	105	100.00

It appears from Figure 2 that total 84 isolated gram-negative bacteria were screened for suspected ESBLs producers on the basis of MIC ESBL breakpoints by agar dilution method, out of which 79(94.05%) were found suspected ESBLs producers & 05(05.95%) gave negative result.




Figure 2 : Detection of ESBL producing bacteria on the basis of MIC (Screening test) by agar dilution method.

Screening positive 79 suspected ESBLs producing bacteria were subjected to phenotypic confirmatory test, 54(68.35%) were found as confirmed ESBL producers & 25(31.65%) showed negative result (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Detection of ESBL producing organisms on the basis of phenotypic confirmatory test.

Out of 84 gram-negative bacteria 54(64.29%) were found to ESBLs producer. Higher rate of ESBLs was observed in *Klebsiella* spp 19 (73.03%) out of 26, followed by *E. Coli* 17(68.00%) out of 25, *Pseudomonas* spp 13(56.52%) out of 23 & *Proteus* spp 05(50.00%) out of 10 (Table 2).

Table 2 : Distribution of ESBLs producers among gram-negative bacteria (n=84).

Name of bacteria	Total no. of gram-negative bacteria	Number of ESBL producers
<i>Klebsiella</i> species	26	19 (73.03)
<i>E. Coli</i>	25	17 (68.00)
<i>Pseudomonas</i> species	23	13 (56.52)
<i>Proteus</i> species	10	05 (50.00)
Total	84	54 (64.29)

● Figures within parentheses indicate percentages

DISCUSSION

In the present study, 105(91.30%) bacteria were isolated from 115 wound swab & pus samples from different patients (Figure 1). This result is closely related to that of Rahman in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University(BSMU) Dhaka, who found 93.92% bacterial isolates from wound swab & pus samples¹¹.

Among the bacterial isolates, 84(80.00%) were gram-negative and 21(20.00%) were gram-positive in our study (Table 1). Similar to present study, Rahman found 90% gram-negative & 10% gram-positive isolates¹¹. Amongst the isolates, *Klebsiella* spp (24.76%) was the predominant organism, followed by *E. Coli* (23.81%) *Pseudomonas* spp (21.90%) *Staphylococcus aureus* (17.14%) *Proteus* spp (9.53%) and Coagulase-negative staphylococci (2.86%). Sule et al. in Nigeria also found *Klebsiella* spp (25.3%) as the most common bacterial isolates from wound swab¹². In contrast to present study Rahman et al. of Dhaka, found *Staphylococcus aureus* (39.44%) was the principal organism, followed by *Klebsiella* spp (21.13%) *E. Coli* (11.27%) *Proteus* spp (08.45%) and *Pseudomonas* spp (05.63%) in surgical wound¹³. These different findings may be due to that etiological agents may however, vary from country to country, from hospital to hospital and from one community to another¹⁴.

As of now, no country wide study has been conducted for the detection of the prevalence of ESBL production in Bangladesh, individual studies which were done in different parts of the country showed a varying prevalence, based on various risk factors and local reasons.

In the present study, we found 79(94.05%) suspected ESBLs producers from 84 gram-negative isolates, based on Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) ESBLs screening breakpoints (Figure 2). As using more than one antibiotic increase the sensitivity, we used three third generation cephalosporins (Ceftriaxone, ceftazidime & cefotaxime) for the screening¹⁰.

Our finding is closely related to that of Metri et al. in North Karnataka, India, who found 91.74% suspected ESBLs producers by screening test⁷. When these 79 screening positive isolates were subjected to the confirmatory tests, 54(68.35%) were confirmed as ESBL producers by phenotypic confirmatory test (Figure 3).

The prevalence of ESBLs producing organisms in the present study were found (Table II) to be 64.29%, which is higher than that of Alim 23.19% and Rahman 30.90% both in BSMMU but lower than that of Biswas of BSMMU 80% and Yasmin of Mymensingh 71.30%^{15, 11, 16, 17}. Ullah et al. in Pakistan found 58.7% ESBL producers¹⁸. The prevalence of ESBLs producers in India ranges from 6.6% to 91%, in Europe from 23-25% for *Klebsiella* spp and 5.4% for *E. Coli* and in United States from 0 to 25%, depending on the institution^{7,3}.

The variation on ESBL positivity might be due to the number of isolates studied, variation in institution to institution & geographic location^{5,8}. The prevalence of ESBL production is high in the referral centers and the intensive care units where the patients are referred from the peripheral centers and where the antibiotic use is profuse⁷. The uncontrolled use of 3rd generation cephalosporins at the hospital could be a leading contributory factor to the high ESBLs prevalence¹⁹.

ESBLs are most commonly recognized in *Klebsiella* spp and *E. Coli* and most prevalent in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*^{20,21}. We also found *Klebsiella* spp (73.03%) as the leading ESBLs producers followed by *E. Coli* (68.00%) *Pseudomonas* spp (50.00%) and *Proteus* spp (50.00%) in our study, which correlates with those of Rahman & Alim both in BSMMU, Yasmin of Mymensingh who also found *Klebsiella* spp as the most common ESBL producers^{11,15,17}.

The high occurrence of ESBLs in *Klebsiella* spp is of great concern since infections caused by this bacterium are very common and resistance of the organism may be due to the presence of capsule & multidrug resistance efflux pump, easy spreading nature, pathogenic and efficient at acquiring and disseminating resistance plasmid and production of endotoxin, carbapenemases, which make it more resistant²².

CONCLUSION

Existing of extended spectrum β -lactamases in bacteria and their potential multidrug resistance will create serious problem in the future as their continuous mutation and limited therapeutic option. Indiscriminate use of antibiotics especially 3rd generation cephalosporins and monobactams should be avoided. The regular detection of ESBLs producing organisms should be carried out in every laboratory.

DISCLOSURE

All the authors declared no competing interest.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Jasser AM. Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamases (ESBLs): A global problem. *Kuwait Med J*. 2006; 38: 171-185.
2. Livermore DM. β -lactamases in laboratory and clinical resistance. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 1995; 8(4): 557-584.
3. Sirot D. Extended-spectrum plasmid mediated beta-lactamases. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1995; 36 (Suppl A): 19-34.
4. Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum β -lactamases: A clinical update, *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2005; 18(4):657-686.
5. Bradford PA. Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases in the 21st century: Characterization, epidemiology, and detection of this important resistant threat. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2001; 14(4): 933-951.
6. Kader AA, Angamuthu K, Islam KMS. Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases in urinary isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other gram-negative bacteria in a hospital in Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J*. 2005; 26(6): 956-959.
7. Metri BC, Jyothi P, Peerapur BV. The prevalence of ESBL among Enterobacteriaceae in a tertiary care hospital of North Karnataka, India. *J of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2011; 5(3): 470-475.
8. Kader AA, Kumar A, Krishna A, Zaman MN. An Accelerated method for the detection of Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases in urinary isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2006; 17(4): 535-539.
9. Sobel JD, Kaye D. Urinary Tract Infections in GL Mandell, JE Bennett & R Dolin (eds), *Mandell, Douglas and Bennett's principles and practice of infectious diseases*, Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia. 2010; 7:957-985.
10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, seventeenth informational supplement*. CLSI Document M100-S17, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA. 2007; 27(1): 1-177.
11. Rahman M. Rapid detection of extended-spectrum β -lactamases production directly from primary culture. M. Phil. Thesis, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka. 2007.
12. Sule AM, Thanni LOA, Sule-Odu OA, Olusanya O. Bacterial pathogens associated with infected wounds in Ogun State University Teaching Hospital, Saganu, Nigeria. *African J of Clinical & Experimental Microbiology*. 2001; 3(1): 13-16.
13. Rahman MM, Haq JA, Hossain MA, Sultana R, Islam F, Islam AHMS. Prevalence of Extended Spectrum β -Lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in an urban hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *International J of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2004; 24(5): 508-510.
14. Kuruvilla AC. Neonatal septicemia. *Indian J Pediatr*. 1988; 55: 225-233.
15. Alim R. Detection of Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases (ESBLs) producing bacteria. M. Phil. Thesis, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka. 2005.
16. Biswas SM. Comparison of three dimensional test and double disc synergy test for detection of Extended Spectrum β -Lactamase (ESBL) producing gram-negative bacteria. M.Phil.Thesis, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka. 2009.
17. Yasmin T. Prevalence of ESBL among *Esch. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp in a tertiary care hospital and molecular detection of important ESBL producing genes by multiplex PCR. M.Phil. Thesis, Mymensingh Medical College, Mymensingh. 2012.
18. Ullah F, Malik SA, Ahamed J. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of ESBL prevalence in nosocomial *Escherichia coli* from urinary tract infections in Pakistan. *African J of Biotechnology*. 2009; 8(16): 3921-3926.
19. Ahamed K, Thokar MA, Toboli AS, Fomda BA, Bashir G, Maroof P. Extended Spectrum β -Lactamase mediated resistance in *Escherichia coli* in a tertiary care hospital in Kashmir, India. *African J of Microbiology Research*. 2010; 4(24):2720-2728.
20. Bush K, Jacoby GA, Medeiros AA. A functional classification scheme for β -Lactamases and its correlation with molecular structure. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 1995; 39(6): 1211-1233.
21. Tribuddharat C, Srfuengfung S, Chiangjong W. A correlation between phenotypes and genotypes of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a university hospital, Thailand. *J Infect Dis Antimicrob Agents*. 2007; 24(3): 117-123.
22. Lin Y, Lu M, Tang H, Liu H, Chen C, Liu K et al. Assessment of hypermuco viscosity as a virulence factor for experimental *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections: Comparative virulence analysis with hypermuco viscosity negative strain. *BMC Microbiology*. 2011; 11(50) viewed on 15 April 2012, <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2180/11/50>.