

COMMUTATIVITY OF PRIME AND SEMIPRIME X-RINGS WITH SYMMETRIC BI-DERIVATIONS

Kalyan Kumar Dey¹ and Akhil Chandra Paul²

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh
¹kkdmath@yahoo.com; ²acpaulrubd_math@yahoo.com

Received 28.05.2013

Accepted 07.12.2014

ABSTRACT

Let M be a Γ -ring and let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric bi-derivation with the trace $d: M \rightarrow M$ denoted by $d(x) = D(x, x)$ for all $x \in M$. The objective of this paper is to prove some results concerning symmetric bi-derivation on prime and semiprime Γ -rings. If M is a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring and $D = 0$ be a symmetric bi-derivation with the trace d having the property $d(x)\alpha x - x\alpha d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative. We also prove another result in Γ -rings setting analogous to that of Posner for prime rings.

Keywords: Γ -ring, derivation, bi-derivation, commutativity

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of a Γ -ring was first introduced by Nobuswa [5], and afterwards it was generalized by Barnes [1] in more natural sense. Maksa [14] worked on the trace of symmetric bi-derivation on classical rings theories and developed some fruitful results concerning bi-derivations. Vukman [10] proved some results relating symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semiprime rings. Ozturk, Sapanci, Soyuturk and Kim [7] worked on the trace of symmetric bi-derivations in Γ -rings and extended some results of Vukman [10] to ideals of prime and semiprime Γ -rings.

In this paper, we extend some results of Vukman [10] to prime and semiprime Γ -rings. Our results are quite different from the results obtained in [9].

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups. If there exists a mapping $(x, \alpha, y) \rightarrow x\alpha y$ of $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies the conditions:

- (i) $x\alpha y \in M$,
- (ii) $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$, $x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y$, $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$,
- (iii) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

then M is called a Γ -ring in the sense of Barnes [1]. Throughout this paper M denotes a Γ -ring with center $Z(M)$. For any $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the symbol $[x, y]_\alpha$ (resp. $\langle x, y \rangle_\alpha$) will denote the commutator $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$ (resp. the anti-commutator $x\alpha y + y\alpha x$). A Γ -ring M is called commutative if $[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We know that

$$[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = [x, z]_\alpha \beta y + x\beta [y, z]_\alpha + x[\beta, \alpha]_y$$

and

$$[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z + y[\beta, \alpha]_x z.$$

We make the assumption (*) $x\beta z\alpha y = x\alpha z\beta y$ for all $x, y, z \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Using this assumption the basic commutator identities reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} [x\beta y, z]_\alpha &= [x, z]_\alpha\beta y + x\beta[y, z]_\alpha \\ [x, y\beta z]_\alpha &= y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a Γ -ring M is prime if $x\Gamma M\Gamma y = 0$ implies that $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, and is semiprime if $x\Gamma M\Gamma x = 0$ implies $x = 0$. An additive mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ is called a derivation if $d(x\alpha y) = d(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. A derivation d is inner if there exists $a \in M$ such that $d(x) = [a, x]_\alpha$ holds for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. The mapping $B: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is said to be symmetric if $B(x, y) = B(y, x)$ holds for all $x, y \in M$. A mapping $f: M \rightarrow M$ defined by $f(x) = B(x, x)$, where $B: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is a symmetric mapping, is called the trace of B . In case $B: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is a symmetric mapping which is also bi-additive (i.e. additive in both arguments), the trace of B satisfies the relation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2B(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in M$. We shall use also the fact that the trace of a symmetric bi-additive mapping is an even function. A symmetric bi-additive mapping $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is called a symmetric bi-derivation if $D(x\alpha y, z) = D(x, z)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y, z)$ is fulfilled for all $x, y, z \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Obviously, in this case also the relation $D(x, y\alpha z) = D(x, y)\alpha z + y\alpha D(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, holds. A mapping $f: M \rightarrow M$ is said to be commuting on M if $[f(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ holds for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. A mapping $f: M \rightarrow M$ is centralizing on M if $[f(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$ holds for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

2. Bi-derivations on χ -rings

We shall need the following well-known and frequently used lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. ([2, Lemma 3.2]) Let $d: M \rightarrow M$ be a derivation, where M is a prime Γ -ring. Suppose that either (i) $a\Gamma d(x) = 0$, for all $x \in M$ or (ii) $d(x)\Gamma a = 0$, for all $x \in M$ holds. Then we have (i) $a = 0$ or (ii) $d = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. ([7, Lemma 3]) Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring and let $a, b \in M$ be fixed elements. If $a\alpha x\beta b + b\alpha x\beta a = 0$ is fulfilled for all $x \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

We start our investigation of symmetric bi-derivations with the following results.

Theorem 2.3. Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*). Let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ and d be a symmetric bi-derivation and the trace of D , respectively. Suppose that d is commuting on M , then M is commutative or $D = 0$.

Proof. We have

$$[d(x), x]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (1)$$

The linearization of (1) gives us $[d(x) + d(y) + 2D(x, y), x + y]_\alpha = 0$,

which leads to

$$[d(x), y]_\alpha + [d(y), x]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), x]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (2)$$

Substituting $-x$ for x in the relation above, we arrive at

$$[d(x), y]_\alpha - [d(y), x]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), x]_\alpha - 2[D(x, y), y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we obtain

$$[d(x), y]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (4)$$

Replacing y in (4) by $x\beta y$. Then by using the condition (*),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [d(x), x\beta y]_\alpha + 2[d(x)\beta y + x\beta D(x, y), x]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta[d(x), y]_\alpha + 2d(x)\beta[y, x]_\alpha + 2x\beta[D(x, y), x]_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

which, according to (4), implies

$$d(x)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \quad (5)$$

From the relation (5) and Lemma 2.1 one can conclude that $d(x) = 0$ or $[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. If $[x, y]_\alpha = 0$, then M is commutative. On the other hand, for any $x \notin Z(M)$, we have $[x, y]_\alpha \neq 0$. Therefore $d(x) = 0$ (note that for any fixed $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$, a mapping $y \rightarrow [x, y]_\alpha$ is a derivation). Let $x \in Z(M), y \notin Z(M)$. Then $x + y \notin Z(M)$ and $x - y \notin Z(M)$. Thus $0 = d(x + y) = d(x) + 2D(x, y)$ and $0 = d(x - y) = d(x) - 2D(x, y)$. From these two relations, we have $4D(x, y) = 0$. By the 2-torsion freeness of M , we have

$$D(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M. \text{ The proof of the theorem is complete.}$$

Theorem 2.4. Let M be a 2 and 3-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*). Let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ and d be a symmetric bi-derivation and the trace of D , respectively. Suppose that d is centralizing on M , then M is commutative or $D = 0$.

Proof We have

$$[d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (6)$$

By linearization we obtain

$$[d(x) + d(y) + 2D(x, y), x + y]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$

$$\Rightarrow [d(y), x]_\alpha + [d(x), y]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), y]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), x]_\alpha \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (7)$$

since (6) holds. Replacing x in the relation (7) by $-x$, we obtain

$$-[d(y), x]_\alpha + [d(x), y]_\alpha - 2[D(x, y), y]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), x]_\alpha \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (8)$$

Now (7) and (8) give us

$$[d(x), y]_\alpha + 2[D(x, y), x]_\alpha \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (9)$$

Replacing y in (9) by $x\beta x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &[d(x), x\beta x]_\alpha + 2[d(x)\beta x + x\beta d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M) \\ &\Rightarrow [d(x), x]_\alpha\beta x + x\beta[d(x), x]_\alpha + 2[d(x), x]_\alpha\beta x + 2x\beta[d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M) \\ &\Rightarrow 6[d(x), x]_\alpha\beta x \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using (10), (6) and the assumptions that M is 2 and 3-torsion free, we obtain

$$[d(x), x]_\alpha \beta [x, y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Now, the relation above makes it possible to conclude, using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, that for any $x \notin Z(M)$ we have $[d(x), x]_\alpha = 0$.

In view of Theorem 2.3, the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.5. Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*). Suppose there exist symmetric bi-derivations $D_1: M \times M \rightarrow M$ and $D_2: M \times M \rightarrow M$, such that $D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0$ holds for all $x \in M$, where d_2 denotes the trace of D_2 .

Then $D_1 = 0$ or $D_2 = 0$.

Proof. By linearization of the relation

$$D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M. \quad (11)$$

we obtain according to (11),

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(d_2(x) + d_2(y) + 2D_2(x, y), x + y) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow D_1(d_2(y), x) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), x) + D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), y) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing x by $-x$ and comparing this new equation with the preceding equation we get

$$D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2D_1(D_2(x, y), x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M. \quad (12)$$

Let us replace y by $x\alpha y$ in (12). Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_1(d_2(x), x\alpha y) + 2D_1(D_2(x, x\alpha y), x) \\ &= D_1(d_2(x), x)\alpha y + x\alpha D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2D_1(d_2(x)\alpha y + x\alpha D_2(x, y), x) \\ &= x\alpha D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2D_1(d_2(x), x)\alpha y + 2d_2(x)\alpha D_1(y, x) + 2d_1(x)\alpha D_2(x, y) + 2x\alpha D_1(D_2(x, y), x) \\ &= x\alpha D_1(d_2(x), y) + 2x\alpha D_1(D_2(x, y), x) + 2d_2(x)\alpha D_1(x, y) + 2d_1(x)\alpha D_2(x, y) \\ &= 2d_2(x)\alpha D_1(x, y) + 2d_1(x)\alpha D_2(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

In the above calculation we used (11) and (12). Thus we have

$$d_2(x)\alpha D_1(x, y) + d_1(x)\alpha D_2(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (13)$$

Let us replace y in (13) by $y\beta x$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_2(x)\alpha D_1(y\beta x, x) + d_1(x)\alpha D_2(y\beta x, x) \\ &= d_2(x)\alpha (D_1(y, x)\beta x + y\beta d_1(x)) + d_1(x)\alpha (D_2(y, x)\beta x + y\beta d_2(x)) \\ &= (d_2(x)\alpha D_1(x, y) + d_1(x)\alpha D_2(x, y))\beta x + d_1(x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) + d_2(x)\alpha y\beta d_1(x) \\ &= d_1(x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) + d_2(x)\alpha y\beta d_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$d_1(x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) + d_2(x)\alpha y\beta d_1(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \quad (14)$$

Let us assume that d_1 and d_2 are both different from zero. In other words there exist elements $x_1, x_2 \in M$ such that $d_1(x_1) \neq 0$ and $d_2(x_2) \neq 0$. From (14) and Lemma 2.2, it follows that $d_1(x_2) = d_2(x_2) = 0$. Since $d_1(x_2) = 0$, the relation (13) reduces to $d_2(x_2)\alpha D_1(x_2, y) = 0$. Using this relation and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $D_1(x_2, y) = 0$ holds for all $y \in M$ since $d_2(x_2) \neq 0$ (recall that a mapping $y \rightarrow D_1(x_2, y)$ is a derivation). In particular we have $D_1(x_2, x_1) = 0$. Similarly, we obtain $D_2(x_1, x_2) = 0$ holds as well. Let us write y for $x_1 + x_2$. Then $d_1(y) = d_1(x_1 + x_2) = d_1(x_1) + d_1(x_2) + 2D_1(x_1, x_2) = d_1(x_1) \neq 0$. Similarly, we obtain $d_2(y) \neq 0$. But $d_1(y)$ and $d_2(y)$ cannot be both different from zero according to (14) and Lemma 2.2. Therefore we have proved that $d_1 = 0$ or $d_2 = 0$ which is the assertion of the theorem.

In case $D_1 = D_2$ Theorem 2.5 can be proved for semiprime Γ -rings.

Theorem 2.6. Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring. Suppose there exists such a symmetric bi-derivation $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ that $D(d(x), x) = 0$ holds for all $x \in M$, where d denotes the trace of D . Then $D = 0$.

Proof. In this case (14) reduces to $d(x)\alpha y\beta d(x) = 0$ for $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, which implies that $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$, by semiprimeness of Posner [10] has proved a result which states that in case M is a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring and D_1, D_2 are nonzero derivations on M , then the mapping $x \rightarrow D_1(D_2(x))$ cannot be a derivation.

The result below was motivated by Posner's result mentioned above.

Theorem 2.7. Let M be a 2 and 3-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*). Let $D_1: M \times M \rightarrow M$ and $D_2: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be symmetric bi-derivations. Suppose further that there exists a symmetric bi-additive mapping $B: M \times M \rightarrow M$ such that $d_1(d_2(x)) = f(x)$ holds for all $x \in M$, where d_1 and d_2 are the traces of D_1 and D_2 , respectively, and f is the trace of B . Then $D_1 = 0$ or $D_2 = 0$.

Proof. The linearization of the relation

$$d_1(d_2(x)) = f(x) \text{ for all } x \in M. \quad (15)$$

gives us

$$d_1(d_2(x) + d_2(y) + 2D_1(x, y)) = f(x) + f(y) + 2B(x, y)$$

and

$$d_1(d_2(x)) + d_1(d_2(y)) + 4d_1(D_2(x, y)) + 2D_1(d_2(x), d_2(y)) + 4D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) + 4D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = f(x) + f(y) + 2B(x, y).$$

Using (15) we arrive at

$$2d_1(D_2(x, y)) + D_1(d_1(x), d_1(y)) + 2D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) + 2D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = B(x, y).$$

Substituting in the equation above x by $-x$ we obtain by comparing this new equation with the equation above that

$$2D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) + 2D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = B(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M. \quad (16)$$

Let us replace in (16) x by $2x$. We have

$$8D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) + 2D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y)) = B(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M. \quad (17)$$

By comparing (16) and (17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 6D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

since M is 2 and 3-torsion free. From (18) it follows that both terms on the left side of the relation (16) are zero, which means that $B = 0$. Hence (15) reduces to

$$d_1(d_2(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M. \quad (19)$$

Let in (18) y be $y\alpha x$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y\alpha x)) \\ &= D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)\alpha x + y\alpha d_2(x)) \\ &= D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y)\alpha x) + D_1(d_2(x), y\alpha d_2(x)) \\ &= D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, y))\alpha x + D_2(x, y)\alpha D_1(d_2(x), x) + D_1(d_2(x), y)\alpha d_2(x) + y\alpha d_1(d_2(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

This implies

$$D_1(d_2(x), y)\alpha d_2(x) + D_2(x, y)\alpha D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (20)$$

according to (18) and (19). Let us replace in (20) y by $x\beta y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_1(d_2(x), x\beta y)\alpha d_2(x) + D_2(x, x\beta y)D_1(d_2(x), x) \\ &= D_1(d_2(x), x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) + x\beta D_1(d_2(x), y)\alpha d_2(x) + d_2(x)\alpha y\beta D_1(d_2(x), x) \\ &\quad + x\alpha D_2(x, y)\beta D_1(d_2(x), x) \\ &= D_1(d_2(x), x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) + d_2(x)\alpha y\beta D_1(d_2(x), x) + x\beta(D_1(d_2(x), y)\alpha d_2(x) \\ &\quad + D_2(x, y)\alpha D_1(d_2(x), x)) \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (20), we arrive finally at

$$D_1(d_2(x), x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) + d_2(x)\alpha y\beta D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \quad (21)$$

From the relation above one can conclude that $D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0$ is fulfilled for all $x \in M$. Namely, if $D_1(d_2(x), x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in M$, then $d_2(x) = 0$ according to (21) and Lemma 2.2, contrary to the assumption $D_1(d_2(x), x) \neq 0$. Therefore, since $D_1(d_2(x), x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$, the proof of the theorem is complete since all the requirements of Theorem 2.5 are fulfilled.

In case $D_1 = D_2$ Theorem 2.7 can be proved for semi-prime Γ -rings.

Theorem 2.8. Let M be a 2, 3-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*). Let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ and $B: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric bi-derivation and a symmetric bi-additive mapping, respectively. Suppose that $d(d(x)) = f(x)$ holds for all $x \in M$, where d is the trace of D and f is the trace of B . Then $D = 0$.

Proof. Obviously, we can use the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2.5. In this case relations (18) and (19) can be written in the form

$$D(d(x), D(x, y)) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M. \quad (22)$$

and

$$d(d(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M. \quad (23)$$

Let us write in (22) $y\alpha z$ instead of y . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(d(x), D(x, y\alpha z)) \\ &= D(d(x), D(x, y)\alpha z + y\alpha D(x, z)) \\ &= D(d(x), D(x, y)\alpha z) + D(d(x), y\alpha D(x, z)) \\ &= D(d(x), D(x, y))\alpha z + D(x, y)\alpha D(d(x), z) + D(d(x), y)\alpha D(x, z) + y\alpha D(d(x), D(x, z)) \text{ for} \\ &\text{all } x, y, z \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (22) we have

$$D(x, y)\alpha D(d(x), z) + D(d(x), y)\alpha D(x, z) = 0$$

and, in particular, for $z = d(x)$ we obtain

$$D(d(x), y)\alpha D(x, d(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (24)$$

according to (23). Replace in (24) y by $x\beta y$. We have $0 = D(d(x), x\beta y)\alpha D(x, d(x)) = D(d(x), x)\beta y\alpha D(x, d(x)) + x\beta D(d(x), y)\alpha D(x, d(x))$ which leads to

$D(d(x), x)\alpha y\beta D(d(x), x) = 0; x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$; and we obtain $D(d(x), x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$ by the semiprimeness of M . Thus by Theorem 2.6 the proof is complete.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referee for valuable suggestions and helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barnes, W. E., On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa, *Pacific J. Math.*, 18 (1966), 411- 422.
- [2] Dey, K. K., Paul, A. C. and Rakhimov, I. S., On Commutativity in Gamma-near-ring with Symmetric Bi- (σ, τ) -derivations, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 65(2) (2012), 211-225.
- [3] Maksa, G., A remark on symmetric bi-additive functions having nonnegative diagonalization, *Glasnik Mat.*, 15(35) (1980), 279-282.
- [4] Maksa, G., On the trace of symmetric bi-derivations. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, 9(6) 1987, 303-307.
- [5] Nabusawa, N., On a generalization of the Ring Theory, *Osaka J. Math.*, 1, (1964), 65-75.
- [6] Ozturk, M. A., Sapanci, M., On generalized symmetric bi-derivations in prime ring, *East Asian Math. J.*, 15(2) (1999), 165-176.
- [7] Ozturk, M. A., Sapanci, M., Soyuturk, M. and Kim, K. H., Symmetric bi-derivations on prime gamma ring, *Scientiae Math.*, 3(2) (2000), 273- 281.
- [8] Posner, E., Derivations in Prime Rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- [9] Sapanci, M., Ozturk, M.A. and Jun, Y. B., Symmetric bi-derivations on prime rings, *East Asian Math. J.*, 15(1) (1999), 105-109.
- [10] Vukman, J., Symmetric bi-derivation on prime and semiprime rings, *Aequationes Mathematicae*, 38 (1989) 245-254.