

## CONTROL OF PHOMOPSIS BLIGHT OF EGG PLANT THROUGH FERTILIZER AND FUNGICIDE MANAGEMENT

M.I. Hossain<sup>1</sup>, M.R. Islam<sup>2</sup>, M.N. Uddin<sup>3</sup>, S.M. Arifuzzaman<sup>4</sup> and G.N. Hasan<sup>5</sup>

Received 30 April 2013, Revise 31 May 2013, Accepted 20 June 2013, Published online 30 June 2013

### Abstract

The experiments were conducted at Laboratory of the Department of Plant Pathology and in the farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh during Rabi season of the year 2007-2008. Four fungicides viz. Bavistin 50 WP (Carbendazim), Tilt 250 EC (Propiconazole), Cupravit 50 WP (Copperoxychloride) and Dithane M-45 (Mancozeb) and micronutrients (Gypsum, ZnO and Boric acid) were evaluated against *Phomopsis vexans* causing Phomopsis blight and fruit rot of eggplant. The fungicides and micronutrients either applied individually or in combination showed significant effect in terms of per cent leaf infection, fruit infection, leaf area diseased and fruit area diseased in comparison to control. Effect of each fungicide applied in combination with micronutrients always showed better performance in reducing disease incidence and disease severity than the fungicides applied alone. Among the fungicides, Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) proved to be effective arresting the spore germination and mycelia growth of *Phomopsis vexans* assayed in *in vitro* test. Reduction of leaf area diseased caused by Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) in combination with micronutrients were 58.17, 67.37, 78.41 and 85.25%, respectively at pre-flowering, post-flowering, fruiting and fruit ripening stages while Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) alone reduced by 52.22, 58.67, 74.19 and 83.09%, respectively at those stages. Similarly reduction of fruit area diseased caused by Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) in combination with micronutrients were 57.93 and 79.79%, respectively at fruiting and fruit ripening stages while Bavistin 50WP (0.1%) alone reduced by 56.93 and 76.14%, respectively at those stages. Micronutrients had little effect against the disease but significantly better than control.

**Keywords:** Phomopsis Blight, Egg Plant, Fertilizer and Fungicide Management

<sup>1</sup>Training, Implementation & Monitoring Officer, International Fertilizer Development Centre, Dhaka, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup>Agriculture Extension Officer, Department of Agricultural Extension, Bangladesh

<sup>4</sup>MS, Department of Soil Science, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

<sup>5</sup>Scientific Officer, On-Farm Research Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Patuakhali, Bangladesh

\*Corresponding author's email: [kbd.iqbal82@gmail.com](mailto:kbd.iqbal82@gmail.com) (M.I. Hossain)

### Introduction

Eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) is an important vegetable in Bangladesh cultivated round the year in all districts of the country (Anonymous, 2001). The eggplant is of much important in the warm areas of Far East and grown extensively in India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, China and Philippines. It is thought to be originated in Indian sub-continent with the secondary centre of origin in China (Zeven and Zhukovsky, 1975). Eggplant is locally known as "Begun" and its early European name is Aubergine or Egplant.

Eggplant is nutritious and widely grown vegetable in Bangladesh as well as in the world and has multifarious use as a dish item (Bose and Som, 1986; Rashid, 1993). About 8 million farm families are involved in eggplant cultivation. Its position in terms of acreage production is second in vegetable crops (BBS, 2003). It is grown round

the year both as winter (Rabi) and summer (Kharif) crops. Eggplant is thus regarded as a cash crop. The total acreage of eggplant is 44,377 acres with total annual production of 1,25,080 metric tons (BBS, 2010). A large number of cultivars are grown in Bangladesh, which show a wide range of variation in yield performance. This gives small, marginal and landless farmers a continuous source of income and provides employment facilities for the rural people. For most of the time, except peak production period, market price of eggplant compared to other vegetables remains high which is in favor of the farmer's solvency. Therefore, it plays a vital role to boost our national economy.

Eggplant suffers from 12 diseases of which Phomopsis blight and fruit rot caused by *Phomopsis vexans* has been treated as major constraints of its cultivation in our country (Das, 1998). The causal organism of the disease,

*Phomopsis vexans* viable for about 14 months in soil debris and in the seed from infected fruits. The pathogen is reported both externally and internally seed borne. The disease was first reported from Gujrat in 1914 and since then from many parts of India. Fakir (1983) and Ahmad (1987) have reported occurrence of the disease in Bangladesh. The disease has become a major constraint in case of intensive cultivation of eggplant. The disease affects the crops from seedling to maturity (Singh, 1992).

Crop losses due to this disease are evident, loss ranges from 15-20% in general but 30-50% in severe case which estimated as a losses equivalent to TK. 1255 million (\$20 million) per annum (BBS, 2003; Das, 1998). It is a serious disease, which may cause damping off symptoms if attacked at seedling stage. When the leaves are infected, small circular spots appear which become grey to brown with a light color centre. The infected leaves may turn yellow and die. Lesion may also develop on petiole and stem cause blighting of affected portions. In course of time, the spot enlarges and produces concentric circular area. Ultimately, the fruits become mummified and rotten (Kumar *et al.*, 1986). There is no recognized resistant variety of eggplant against fruit rot disease. A very few works have been made by different workers to control this disease (Khan, 1999; Hawlader, 2003; Nazimuddin, 2004). Therefore, the experiment was undertaken to find out the effective fungicides or micronutrients in controlling phomopsis blight of eggplant.

## Materials and Methods

The experiment were conducted at M.S. Laboratory during September- November' 2007 and in the farm allotted for the Department of Plant Pathology, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh during Rabi season of the year 2007-2008. In the laboratory experiments completely randomized design (CRD) was followed and the field experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. There were 30 unit plots altogether in the experiment. The size of the plot was 3.0 m × 1.0 m, plant to plant distance was 0.75 m and row to row distance 1.0 m. Four fungicides viz. Bavistin 50 WP (Carbendazim), Tilt 250 EC (Propiconazole), Cupravit 50 WP (Copperoxychloride) and Dithane M-45 (Mancozeb); three fertilizers viz. Urea, TSP and MP; three micronutrients viz. Gypsum, ZnO & Boric acid and control were considered as treatments. Each treatment was replicated thrice. Ten treatments including control were used. T<sub>1</sub> = Normal fertilization (Cowdung + Urea + TSP + MP) – Control, T<sub>2</sub> = Normal fertilization + Micronutrients (Gypsum + ZnO + Boric acid), T<sub>3</sub>

= Normal fertilization + foliar spraying with Bavistin 50 WP, T<sub>4</sub> = Normal fertilization + foliar spraying with Cupravit 50 WP, T<sub>5</sub> = Normal fertilization + foliar spraying with Tilt 250EC, T<sub>6</sub> = Normal fertilization + foliar spraying with Dithane M-45, T<sub>7</sub> = Normal fertilization + Micronutrients + foliar spraying with Bavistin 50 WP, T<sub>8</sub> = Normal fertilization + Micronutrients + foliar spraying with Cupravit 50 WP, T<sub>9</sub> = Normal fertilization + Micronutrients + foliar spraying with Dithane M-45 and T<sub>10</sub> = Normal fertilization + Micronutrients + foliar spraying with Tilt 250 EC. The significance of the difference among the treatment means was estimated by DMRT (Duncan's Multiple Range Test) at 5% level of probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Fertilizers and manures were applied as per recommendation of BARI (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute) ((Anonymous, 1997). Watering and intercultural operations were done when necessary. In the laboratory, Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) was made and poured into each Petri-plate (9 cm diameter) and allowed to solidify. The pathogen, *Phomopsis vexans* was isolated by tissue plating method from naturally infected brinjal fruit collected from the Horticulture garden of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka (Islam, *et al.*, 2004). Infected tissues were cut and placed in PDA media and incubated at 22 ± 2°C for 10 days and pycnidia were formed. Spore suspension inocula<sup>-1</sup> of *Phomopsis vexans* was prepared and suspension was adjusted to 10<sup>5</sup> conidia ml<sup>-1</sup> solution and stored at 5°C. Bioassay of fungicides was done by Cup/Groove method and Disc/Zone inhibition method. Seeds of eggplant cultivar Singnath were collected from Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Joydebpur, and Gazipur and were treated with Vitavax-200 (0.2%) were sown in plastic trays containing sterilized sandy soil on 18th November 2007. Main field was prepared and seedlings were transplanted on 16 January 2008 in accordance with the experimental design. Plant to plant distance was maintained 75 cm and each plot contain five plants. *Phomopsis vexans* was inoculated by spraying to the eggplant. After spraying, the seedlings of eggplant were covered with moistened polythene with the help of bamboo. Symptoms were developed on leaves and fruits in brinjal plants. Fungicidal suspensions were prepared and sprayed at flowering, fruiting, and post fruiting stages. After inoculation and spraying of fungicides, data were recorded at every 10 days intervals on % Leaf infection, % LAD (Leaf area diseased), % Fruit infection and % FAD (Fruit area diseased).

Per cent LAD (Leaf area diseased) and per cent FAD (Fruit area diseased) were measured by eye

estimation. Area of single leaf/fruit was considered as 100%. Deducting the healthy area, the diseased area was estimated. Average % LAD/FAD was then calculated by dividing the total diseased areas by the investigated leaves/fruits (Islam, 2005a). The significance of the difference among the treatment means was estimated by DMRT (Duncan's Multiple Range Test) at 5% level of probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## Results and Discussion

### *Isolation and identification of causal agent*

The causal fungus was isolated from both infected leaves and fruits. Symptoms of Phomopsis blight and fruit rot caused by *Phomopsis vexans* as observed in the experimental plot conform with those reported by Walker (1952) from United States of America; Kumar *et al.* (1986) and Singh (1992) from India; Das (1998) and Islam (2005b) from Bangladesh. Walker (1952) described the first phase of the disease as blight of young

seedlings. Islam (2005a) observed the fungus as whitish mycelial growth in cultured media with the presence of concentric growth sporadic pycnidia partially emerges in the media. Islam (2005b) also reported that pycnidia possessed both  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  conidia.

### *Laboratory experiment*

Bavistin 50 WP (Carbendazim) was found promising against *Phomopsis vexans* in the laboratory assay. Bavistin (0.1%) completely arrested the mycelial growth and produced largest inhibition zones (6.29 cm) in culture media while assayed by food poisonous techniques following cup and disc method. The performance of Tilt 250 EC in controlling the mycelial growth of *Phomopsis vexans* was also promoting next to Bavistin 50WP. The performances of rest of the fungicides were less promising but significantly better than control. The results of the laboratory assay corroborate with the reports of Mohanty *et al.* (1994) and Islam (2005a).

Table 1. Effect of different fungicides on inhibition of mycelia growth of *Phomopsis vexans* in the laboratory

Fungicides	Active ingredients	Cup method	Disk method
		Radial mycelial growth (cm)	Diameter of inhibition zone (cm)
Bavistin 50WP	50% Carbendazim	0.00 d	6.29 a
Tilt 250EC	25% Propiconazole	4.26 c	4.31 b
DithaneM-45	80% Mancozeb	5.43 b	2.78 c
Cupravit 50WP	50% Copperoxychloride	6.61 a	1.45 d
Control	-	7.71 a	0.00 e
LSD (P=0.05)		0.30	0.45

Figures with common letters do not differ at  $p=0.05$

### *Field experiment*

#### **Effect of fungicides and micronutrients at pre-flowering stage in the field condition**

Effect of each fungicide applied in combination with micronutrients always showed better performance in reducing disease incidence and disease severity than the fungicides applied alone. Significantly the lowest leaf infection (14.15%) was recorded in case of application of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) followed by application of Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>) and application of Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>). The highest leaf infection (31.09%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) proceeded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>),

application of Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and application of Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

The lowest leaf area diseased (6.12%) was recorded in case of application of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) followed by application of Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>) and application of Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>). The highest leaf area diseased (14.63%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) proceeded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), application of Cupravit alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

Table 2. Efficacy of fungicides and micronutrients in controlling *Phomopsis vexans* causing leaf blight and fruit rot of eggplant at pre-flowering stage in the field condition

Treatments	% Leaf Infection (LI)	% Leaf Area Diseased (LAD)
T <sub>1</sub>	31.09a	14.63 a
T <sub>2</sub>	27.40b	12.21b
T <sub>3</sub>	16.16e	6.99f
T <sub>4</sub>	27.91b	12.37b
T <sub>5</sub>	20.62d	8.94de
T <sub>6</sub>	24.52c	10.49c
T <sub>7</sub>	14.15e	6.12f
T <sub>8</sub>	27.51b	11.79b
T <sub>9</sub>	24.19c	10.16cd
T <sub>10</sub>	19.31d	8.73e
LSD (0.05)	2.523	1.239

Figures with common letters do not differ at  $p=0.05$

#### **Effect of fungicides and micronutrients at post-flowering stage in the field condition**

The fungicides and micronutrients either applied individually or in combination showed significant effect in terms of disease incidence (per cent Leaf Infection) and disease severity (per cent Leaf Area Diseased) in comparison to control. Significantly, the lowest leaf infection (13.65%), was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>). The highest leaf infection (39.51%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>),

Cupravit alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

The lowest leaf area diseased (6.23%) was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>). The highest leaf area diseased (19.09%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

Table 3. Efficacy of fungicides and micronutrients in controlling *Phomopsis vexans* causing leaf blight and fruit rot of eggplant at post-flowering stage in the field condition

Treatments	% Leaf Infection (LI)	% Leaf Area Diseased (LAD)
T <sub>1</sub>	39.51a	19.09a
T <sub>2</sub>	30.63b	16.57b
T <sub>3</sub>	14.49e	7.89e
T <sub>4</sub>	31.09b	16.11b
T <sub>5</sub>	21.87d	10.11d
T <sub>6</sub>	28.79bc	13.50c
T <sub>7</sub>	13.65e	6.23f
T <sub>8</sub>	29.71b	15.15b
T <sub>9</sub>	26.05c	12.73c
T <sub>10</sub>	21.31d	9.78d
LSD (0.05)	2.977	1.407

Figures with common letters do not differ at  $p=0.05$

#### **Effect of fungicides and micronutrients at fruiting stage in the field condition**

Effect of each fungicide applied in combination with micronutrients always showed better performance than the fungicides applied alone in reducing disease incidence and disease severity. Significantly the lowest leaf infection (14.24%) was recorded in case of application of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) that was followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>), Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>)

and Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>). The highest leaf infection (47.03%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

The lowest leaf area diseased (4.60%) was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) which was followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>), Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>) and

Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>). The highest leaf area diseased (21.31%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

The lowest fruit infection (16.02%) was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>). The second highest performance in reducing fruit infection was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>) followed by Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>). The maximum fruit infection (34.35%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50

WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

In case of per cent Fruit Area Diseased (%LAD), the lowest fruit area diseased (4.89%) was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP applied in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) which was followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>), Tilt 250 EC applied in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>). The highest fruit area diseased (14.00%) was noticed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

Table 4. Efficacy of fungicides and micronutrients in controlling *Phomopsis vexans* causing leaf blight and fruit rot of eggplant at fruiting stage in the field condition

Treatments	% Leaf Infection (LI)	% Leaf Area Diseased (LAD)	% Fruit Infection (FI)	% Fruit Area Diseased (FAD)
T <sub>1</sub>	47.03a	21.31a	34.35a	14.00a
T <sub>2</sub>	38.47b	12.02b	29.93b	10.92b
T <sub>3</sub>	16.50e	5.50f	17.00e	6.03f
T <sub>4</sub>	37.65b	12.00b	28.40b	9.83b
T <sub>5</sub>	24.21d	7.83de	20.77d	7.45de
T <sub>6</sub>	31.03c	9.23c	24.46c	8.78c
T <sub>7</sub>	14.24e	4.60f	16.02e	5.89f
T <sub>8</sub>	35.51b	11.12b	27.58b	10.03b
T <sub>9</sub>	29.18c	8.70cd	24.50c	8.19cd
T <sub>10</sub>	22.50d	7.30e	20.44d	7.10e
LSD (0.05)	3.775	0.9474	2.647	0.9427

Figures with common letters do not differ at p=0.05

#### **Effect of fungicides and micronutrients at fruit ripening stage in the field condition**

The fungicides and micronutrients applied either individually or in combination showed significant effect in terms of disease incidence (%LI and %FI) and disease severity (%LAD and %FAD) in comparison to control. Effect of each fungicide applied in combination with micronutrients always showed better performance in reducing disease incidence and disease severity than the fungicides applied alone. Significantly the lowest leaf infection (15.03%), was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP applied in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) that was followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>), Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>). The highest leaf infection (90.31%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>). The application of micronutrients alone (T<sub>2</sub>) showed significantly lower leaf infection than control preceded by Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

The effect of fungicides and micronutrients in reducing percent fruit infection (%FI) was more or less similar to that of percent leaf infection (%LI). The lowest fruit infection (15.57%) was

recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP applied in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) which was followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>), Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>). The highest fruit infection (68.89%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

In case of per cent leaf area diseased (%LAD), the lowest leaf area diseased (4.38%) was recorded in case of Bavistin 50 WP applied in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>) that was followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>), Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>). The highest leaf area diseased (29.69%) was observed in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

Similar trend of effect of fungicides and micronutrients was observed in case of %LAD. The lowest fruit area diseased (9.09%) was recorded in case of application of Bavistin 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>7</sub>)

followed by Bavistin 50 WP alone (T<sub>3</sub>), Tilt 250 EC in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>10</sub>) and Tilt 250 EC alone (T<sub>5</sub>), Dithane M-45 in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>9</sub>). The highest fruit area diseased (25.19%) was observed

in case of control (T<sub>1</sub>) preceded by application of micronutrients alone (T<sub>2</sub>), Cupravit 50 WP alone (T<sub>4</sub>) and Cupravit 50 WP in combination with micronutrients (T<sub>8</sub>).

Table 5. Efficacy of fungicides and micronutrients in controlling *Phomopsis vexans* causing leaf blight and fruit rot of eggplant at fruit ripening stage in the field condition

Treatments	% Leaf Infection (LI)	% Leaf Area Diseased (LAD)	% Fruit Infection (FI)	% Fruit Area Diseased (FAD)
T <sub>1</sub>	90.31a	29.69a	68.89a	25.19a
T <sub>2</sub>	52.51b	14.93b	43.51b	18.43b
T <sub>3</sub>	17.82e	5.02e	16.82e	6.01e
T <sub>4</sub>	52.06b	13.40b	42.31b	17.19b
T <sub>5</sub>	28.69d	8.05d	23.39d	8.89d
T <sub>6</sub>	37.55c	10.24c	32.13c	13.77c
T <sub>7</sub>	15.03e	4.38e	15.57e	5.09e
T <sub>8</sub>	48.21b	13.12b	38.63b	16.48b
T <sub>9</sub>	35.67c	9.19cd	29.66c	11.79c
T <sub>10</sub>	25.04d	7.55d	20.22de	8.05d
LSD (0.05)	4.350	1.904	4.962	2.022

Figures with common letters do not differ at  $p=0.05$

In field condition, Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) in combination with micronutrients and Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) showed promising performance in leaf infection, fruit infection, leaf area diseased and fruit area diseased applied as post inoculation spray at pre-flowering, post flowering, fruiting and fruit ripening stages. Reduction of leaf area diseased caused by Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) in combination with micronutrients were 58.17, 67.37, 78.41 and 85.25%, respectively at pre-flowering, post-flowering, fruiting and fruit ripening stages while Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) alone reduced by 52.22, 58.67, 74.19 and 83.09% LAD, respectively at those stages. Similarly reduction of fruit area diseased caused by Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) in combination with micronutrients were 57.93 and 79.79%, respectively at fruiting and fruit ripening stages while Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) alone reduced by 56.93 and 76.14% FAD, respectively at those stages. Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) in combination with micronutrients control *Phomopsis* blight and fruit rot of eggplant somewhat more than Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) alone but both are statistically similar.

## Conclusion

Effect of each fungicide applied in combination with micronutrients always showed better performance in reducing disease incidence and disease severity than the fungicides applied alone. Bavistin 50 WP (0.1%) alone or in combination with micronutrients (Gypsum, ZnO and Boric acid) could be used for management of *Phomopsis* blight and fruit rot of eggplant. The enthusiastic researchers can be motivated to experiment on other fertilizers and fungicides for control of *phomopsis* blight of eggplant.

## References

- Ahmad. 1987. Sources of resistance in brinjal to *Phomopsis* fruit rot. *Indian Phytopath.* 40 (1): 89.
- Anonymous. 1997. Fertilizer Recommendation Guide, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Farmgate, Dhaka. pp. 70-71.
- Anonymous. 2001. Molecular Cloning: a laboratory manual. (Eds. Sambrook, Joseph and David W. Russel, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Vol. I, II and III). Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- BBS. 2003. Year Book of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Statistics Division, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (Monthly Statistical Bulletin, Bangladesh, December 2003). Ministry of Planning, Government of the Peoples Republic of Bangladesh. p. 55
- BBS. 2010. Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.
- Bose, T.K. and Som, M.G. 1986. Vegetable Crops in India. Naya Prokash, Calcutta. India. p. 293.
- Das, B.H. 1998. Studies on *phomopsis* fruit rot of Brinjal. MS Thesis, Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. pp. 29-64.
- Fakir, G.A. 1983. Root and stem rot of brinjal caused by *Phomopsis vexans*. In: Proc. of 8<sup>th</sup> Bangladesh Sci. Con. Section 1, pp. 67-68.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Int. Rice Res. Inst., A Willey Int. Sci., Pub. pp. 28-192.

- Howlader, A.N. 2003. Effect of seed selection and seed treatment on the development of *Phomopsis* blight and fruit rot of eggplant. MS Thesis, Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. pp. 35-37.
- Islam, M.M. 2005a. Efficacy of fungicides and plant extracts for management of *Phomopsis* blight and fruit rot of eggplant. MS Thesis, Department of Plant Pathology, Shere-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka. pp. 56-57.
- Islam, M.R. 2005b. An integrated approach for management of *Phomopsis* blight and fruit rot of eggplant. PhD Thesis, Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. 166p.
- Islam, M.R., Islam, M.M. and Meah, M.B. 2004. Physiological features of different isolates of *Phomopsis vexans* isolated from different eggplant cultivars of major growing regions of Bangladesh. 6<sup>th</sup> Biennial Con. Bangladesh Phytopathol. Soc. p. 2. Dated. 29 July 2004.
- Khan, N.U. 1999. Studies on epidemiology, seed-borne nature and management of *Phomopsis* fruit rot of brinjal. MS Thesis, Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. 134p.
- Kumar, A., Aulakh, K.S. and Grewal, R Kaur. 1986. Incidence of fruit rot of brinjal in Punjab. *Indian Phytopath.* 39 (3): 482
- Mohanty, A.K., Panda, R.N., Sethi, P.N. and Kar, A.K. 1994. Efficacy of certain fungicides in controlling *Phomopsis vexans* causing fruit rot of Brinjal. *Orissa J. Agril. Res.* 7 (suppl.): 85-86.
- Nazimuddin, M. 2004. Prevalence of *Phomopsis* blight and fruit rot of eggplant in some selected areas of Bangladesh. MS Thesis, Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. pp. 57-58.
- Rashid, M. 1993. Sabjee Bijhan. Published by Bangla Academy, Dhaka. pp. 137-144.
- Singh, R.S. 1992. Diseases of vegetable crops, second edn. Oxford and IBH publishing company Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, Bombay, Calcutta. pp. 119-121.
- Walker, J.C. 1952. Diseases of vegetable crops. Mc Graw Hill Company. Inc. New York. pp. 306-308.
- Zeven, A.C. and Zhukovsky, P.M. 1975. Dictionary of cultivated plants and their centres of diversity. Wageningen, Netherlands. 219p.