Original Paper

# Stress Fractures in Female Cadets in Bangladesh Naval Academy during Training

Uddin MB<sup>1</sup>, Rahman MA<sup>2</sup>

# Abstract

**Introduction:** Stress fractures occur due to repetitive cumulative micro trauma on the bone over a period of time. These are common overuse injuries in military cadets and sports personnel during training. Stress fracture in female cadets is a rising cause of concern for its high prevalence. It increases morbidity, long term absence from training and significant economic loss.

**Objective:** To find out the prevalence, time of occurrence and sites involved among the fresh female cadets during their basic training.

*Materials and Methods:* A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted during January 2011 to April 2017 in female cadets undergoing basic training at Bangladesh Naval Academy, Chittagong. A detailed history and physical assessment was performed of those presenting with pain, swelling or limping. Radiographic imagings were done to evaluate the symptoms. All cases of stress fractures were finally diagnosed on the basis of findings and were appropriately managed and followed up every two weeks till the time of union.

**Results:** Eighteen cases out of 82 had clinical and radiological signs of stress fractures with an overall prevalence of 21.95%. Average age was 19 years (range 18-20). Highest prevalence was around 12 weeks of training. Common sites were tibia (40.90%), pelvis (40.90%) and fibula (9.09%). There was one metatarsal and one femoral neck fracture. All the fractures were treated conservatively and were healed in an average period of 7 weeks. All cadets returned to their full activity in an average period of ten weeks.

**Conclusion:** Prevalence among the female naval cadets is high and probably underrated in this setting. For prevention, modifiable causes and risks factors must be evaluated and identified. A protractive approach for early detection and immediate management is indispensible to reduce the morbidity and early return to full activity.

*Key-words:* Stress fractures, Female cadets, Basic training.

#### Introduction

Since the 1980s, women's participation in competitive sports and military training has increased globally<sup>1</sup>. Following the trend and for nation's demand, in recent decade Bangladesh armed forces have recruited female cadets. The increased participation has led to a concomitant increase in the risk of musculoskeletal injury within this population. Musculoskeletal injuries are a serious problem for recruits participating in military training but may be especially important for the women<sup>2</sup>.

Prospective studies of military populations participating in different entry level programs have consistently higher injury rates among women than among men<sup>3,4,5</sup> In particular, estimates of stress fractures rates from 5% to 12% have been reported among women undergoing various entry level military programs which are about 1.5-5 times higher than for men undergoing similar training<sup>5,6.</sup> Stress fractures have recently been a matter of concern in military cadets undertaking basic training since it results in attrition as well as significant economic loses. Basically an overuse injury, these are the result of repeated application of stress lower than that requires to fracture the bone in a single loading situation<sup>7</sup>. The activities involved in the diverse types of military training may put personnel at different injury risks. The most frequently reported cause of these fractures is repetitive weight bearing activities such as running and marching, a recent increase in physical activity, beginning of a new activity or some other change in their routine<sup>8</sup>. Briethaupt<sup>9</sup>, a Prussian military physician first described stress fractures in 1855 and first imaging of this fracture recorded by Stechow<sup>10</sup> in 1897. Symptoms include pain which increases on weight bearing and swelling. Typical findings include localized tenderness, swelling and erythema.

48

1. Lt Col Md. Borhan Uddin, MBBS, FCPS (Surgery), Surgical Specialist, BNS Patenga, Bangladesh Naval Academy, Chittagong 2. Capt Md. Anisur Rahman, MBBS, Senior Medical Officer, Bangladesh Naval Academy, Chittagong.

Preliminary diagnosis of these fractures is done by thorough history and clinical assessment. Radiological diagnosis provides a reliable confirmation of these fractures and sites involved. Stress fractures may heal completely, slowly or incompletely<sup>11</sup>. Prevention, however difficult is the best approach for avoiding stress fractures. Management strategies include early identification of the symptoms, early diagnosis, a sufficient long training pause and in special cases consultation of experts in this field. Surgical treatment may be required in some cases<sup>8,12</sup>. Besides the knowledge about the occurrence of these fractures, their effect on economy and loss of training hours, there are relatively very few studies that provide actual prevalence and subsequent sequale in Bangladesh that are very much required to provide a basis for recommending future management and prevention strategies. Still there is no epidemiological study on stress fractures among the female cadets in Bangladesh Navy during their training. The purpose of the present study was to find out the prevalence, time of occurrence and site of the fractures in the female cadets in Bangladesh Naval Academy.

#### **Materials and Methods**

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among the naval female cadets at Bangladesh Naval Academy, Chittagong and the period was from January 2011 to April 2017. Total of 62 female cadets were trained there during this tenure. The age group was 18 to 20 years and the training period was for three years. Fresh trainees from this academy, who reported to hospital (BNS Patenga, Chittagong) with complaints of gradual onset of localized bone pain, swelling and limping, were evaluated clinically and radiologically.

Clinical evaluation included a thorough clinical history regarding activities involved and interpretation of pain and tenderness at specific region. Anyone having acute history of trauma was excluded from the study. Radiograph included anteroposterior and lateral projection of the involved anatomical region. CT scan was only performed for femoral neck fracture. All cases diagnosed as stress fractures were treated with splintage in the form of plaster of Paris (POP) slab except for fibular and pelvic fractures. All were refrained from physical activities and were kept on non weight bearing mobilization. The POP slab was removed after 6 weeks. Patients were assessed clinically and radiologically. Mobilization and activities were increased gradually over a period of 6 weeks.

### Results

During the study period, 18 out of 82 female (21.95%) cadets suffered a total 22 stress fractures diagnosed on the basis of clinico-radiological evaluation. The age range was 18 to 20 years with an average of 19 years. The fracture distribution is illustrated in Table-1.

**Table-I:** Stress fractures sites (N=22) in 18 female Naval

 Cadets in Bangladesh Naval Academy, Chittagong

Fractures	Number	Percentage (%)
Tibia	09	40.90
Fibula	02	9.09
Femur	01	4.54
Pelvis	09	40.90
Metatarsal	01	4.54
Total	22	100

Out of total 09 fracture sites in the tibia 55% were found in the lower third, 33.33% in the upper third and 11.11% in middle third. For the pelvic fractures (total 09) most of these were at inferior ramus of pubic bone (60%). Other sites were ischio pubic ramus and ischium. Out of 02 fibular fractures, all were at the junction of middle and proximal third. There was single stress fracture at the neck of femur and 2nd metatarsal bone.

All cases presented with pain at involved sites with difficulties in walking and running. The prevalence of stress fractures was more during initial period and reached maximum around 12 weeks of training schedule. The pain was typically aggravated with physical activities and progressively worsen with continuation of activities. Pain was relieved with rest. The radiological findings were faint fracture line (lucency), sometimes heaped up callus and periosteal reactions in the form of sclerosis at the stress fracture sites. All cases of stress fractures were hospitalized and managed conservatively. The average duration of hospitalization was 20 days and all the stress fractures were healed uneventfully in an average period of 7 weeks. All cadets returned to full activity in an average period of 10 weeks.





Fig-1: Stress fracture of tibia.



Fig-2: Stress fracture of 2nd metatarsal.



Fig-3: Stress fracture of pelvis.



Fig-4: Stress fracture of fibula.

# Discussion

Stress fracture is usually a hairline fracture of bone caused by rapid and repeated application of a heavy load, such as constant pounding on a surface during running, route march, parade, drill, games and weapon training<sup>13</sup>. It occurs when muscles become fatigued and are unable to absorb added shock. Eventually the fatigued muscles transfer the overload of stress to the bone causing tiny cracks<sup>13</sup>. Women cadets have been reported to sustain a disproportionately higher incidence of stress fractures than men. The relative risk of stress fractures among women in military populations undergoing identical training program as their male counterparts has been reported to be as high as 10 times<sup>14</sup>.

Various studies of stress fractures in military recruits have found an incidence ranging from less than 2% to an unusually high incidence of 31%<sup>15</sup>. In this study, the prevalence of stress fractures in female cadets of Bangladesh Naval Academy is 21.95%. It is found 31% in a prospective study in male Israeli military recruits<sup>15</sup>. Incidence ranging from 12% among female Caucasian American recruits to less than 2% for their male counter parts<sup>16</sup>. In India two studies by Agarwal PK and Dash N et al, reported high incidence of 11.4% and 7.04% in military training centers<sup>17,18</sup>. It was 15% in a study done on cadets of Border Safety Force (BSF) in India<sup>11</sup>. An American study showed incidence of stress fractures from 5% to 12% among women underwent entry level military training programs<sup>5,6</sup>.

During 13 weeks of Marine Corps basic training, 5-7% suffered stress fractures<sup>2</sup>. Another study<sup>19</sup> in female officer cadets of US military, the rate was reported higher about 9.6%. In Indian Air force Academy, the rate of stress fractures in female cadets was 7.3% during 24 weeks basic training<sup>20,21</sup>. A result from research in US and UK Armies, the rate of stress fractures in women was 12.3% and 10.9% during basic training<sup>22,23</sup>. Within the anti aircraft combat units of Israeli Defense Force (IDF), 23.9% females develop stress fractures over the 10 weeks of basic training<sup>24</sup>. Apparently the prevalence of this study far exceeds most of the previous studies.

The significant higher incidence of stress fractures has been attributed to training with maximum stress on running, jumping, parade on hard ground and gymnastics<sup>25</sup>. It can be also due to relative long training

period in comparison to other and sudden increase in amount and intensity of physical activities along with repeated impact due to running on hard surface, improper technique and equipments<sup>8,26</sup>. Moreover the cadets partly due to their initial entry level fitness, nutritional status and partly their unaccustomed training regimen suffer a lot of impact on the bone. The most common sites of stress fractures in these cases were tibia (40.90%) and pelvis (40.90%) having the same distribution followed by fibula (4.54%). It is almost similar with the study done in female Marine Corps recruits in USA<sup>1</sup> but the tibial frequency is higher (57.6%) then pelvis (15.2%). Another study<sup>2</sup> among the female marine recruits in Parris Island, USA, the commonest sites were tibia (24.9%), metatarsal (22.1%) and pelvis (21.6%). The maximum occurrence of stress fractures in this study at around 12 weeks of training which is similar to the study done by Shaffer RA et al<sup>2</sup>. However in another study, the maximum occurrence was between 9 weeks and 27 weeks<sup>25</sup>.

# Conclusion

The female cadets have high rate of stress fractures during their basic training in all countries but there is variation in site of occurrence. The principal causative factor is rigorous and sustained physical activities over a relatively short period in unaccustomed cadets. The protractive approach for the prevention is to start at a lower level activity and gradually progress to the full scale. Training program should include endurance training to help the cadets withstand intense physical activities. Proper education of all concern, use of better equipments and appropriate running surface can reduce the incidence. Early detection by high index of suspicion to the complaints of pain by the cadets with proper evaluation is indispensible to reduce the morbidities. Since complete elimination of stress fractures is impractical, an objective approach to minimize the loss of training hours should be the goal of all training establishments.

#### References

1. Rauh MJ, Macera CA, Trone DW et al. Epidemiology of stress-fracture and lower-extremity overuse injury in female recruits . Med Sci Sports Exerc 2006; 38:1571–7.

2. Shaffer RA, Rauh MJ, Brodine SK et al. Predictors of Stress fracture susceptibility in young female recruits. Am J Sports Med 2006; 34:108–15.

3. Beck TJ, Ruff CB, Shaffer RA et al. Stress fracture in military recruits: Gender differences in muscle and bone susceptibility factors. Bone 2000; 27:437–44.



4. Bennell KL, Brukner PD. Epidemiology and site specificity of stress fractures. Clin Sports Med 1997; 16:179–96.

5. Jones BH, Bovee MW, Harris JM III et al. Intrinsic risk factors for exercise related injuries among male and female Army trainees. Am J Sports Med 1993; 21:705-10.

6. Beck TJ, Ruff CB, Mourtada FA et al. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry derived structural geometry for stress fracture prediction in male U.S. Marine Corps recruits. J Bone Miner Res 1996; 11:645-53.

7. Iwamoto J, Takeda T. Stress fractures in athletes: review of 196 cases. J Orthop Sci 2003; 8:273–8.

8. Jones BH, Thacker SB, Gilchrist J et al. Prevention of lower extremity stress fractures in athletes and soldiers: a systematic review. Epidemiol Rev 2002; 24(2):228-47.

9. Lacroix H and Keeman JN, "An unusual stress fracture of the fibula in a long distance runner," Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma 2010; 111(5):289–90.

10. Thomas R, Wood AM, Watson J et al. "Delay in diagnosis of neck of femur stress fracture in a female military recruit," Journal of the Royal Naval Medical Service 2012; 98(2):3–5.

11. Bhatnagar A, Kumar M, Shivanna D et al. High Incidence of Stress Fracture in Military Cadets During Training: A point of concern. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 2015; 9(8):RC01–RC03.

12. Wood A M, Hales R, Keenan A et al. Incidence and time to return to training for stress fractures during military basic training. Journal of Sports Medicine 2014; Article ID 282980, 5 pages. available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/282980.

13. American Association of Orthopedic Surgeons Recommendation. Prevention of stress fractures in athletes. Retrieved on 26 Oct 04 from the world wide web: http:// www.nap.edu.

14. Sallis RE, Jones K. Stress fractures in athletes. How to spot this underdiagnosed injury. Postgrad Med 1991; 89:185–8,191–2.

15. Milgrom C, Giladi M, Stein M et al. Stress fractures in military recruits: A prospective study showing an unusually high incidence. J Bone Joint Surg 1985; 67(B):732–5.

16. Brudvig TJS, Gudger TD, Obermeyer L. Stress fractures in 295 trainees: A one year study of incidence as related to age, sex and race. Milit Med 1983; 148:666–7.

17. Agarwal PK. Stress fractures: Management using a new classification. Indian J Orthop 2004; 38:118–20.

18. Dash N, Kushwaha AS. Stress fractures: A prospective study amongst recruits. MJAFI 2012; 68:118–22.

19. Shaffer RA, Brodine SK, Almeida SA et al. Use of simple measures of physical activity to predict stress fractures in young men undergoing a rigorous physical training program. Am J Epidemiology 1999; 149:236–42.

20. Agarwal PK. Stress fractures: Management using a new classification. Indian Journal of Orthopedics 2004; 38(2): 112–20.

21. Gozna ER, Harrington IJ, Evans DC. editors. Biomechanics of musculoskeletal injury. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1982.

22 Jones BH, Bovee MW, Harris J et al. Intrinsic risk-factors for exercise-related injuries among male and female army trainees . Am J Sports Med 1993; 21:705–10.

23. Macleod MA, Houston AS, Sanders L et al. Incidence of trauma related stress-fractures and shin splints in male and female army recruits: retrospective case study. BMJ 1999; 318:29.

24. Gam A, Goldstein L, Karmon Y et al. Comparison of stress-fractures of male and female recruits during basic-training in the Israeli Anti-Aircraft Forces. Mil Med 2005; 170:710–2.

25. Wentz L, Liu PY, Haymes E et al. Females have a greater incidence of stress fractures than males in both military and athletic populations: A systemic review. Mil Med 2011; 176(4): 420–30.

26. Knapik JJ, Graham B, Cobbs J et al. A prospective investigation of injury incidence and risk factors among army recruits in combat engineer training. J Occup Med Toxicol 2013; 8(1):5. doi:10.1186/1745-6673-8-5.