Mini Review

**AFFILIATIONS** 

• **Pravas Ranjan Sahoo** Department of Veterinary Biochemistry,

CVSc &AH, Bhubaneswar, India.

Parthasarathi Swain

Department of Veterinary Clinical

Management, CVSc &AH,

Bhubaneswar, India.

Debasish Kar

Bareilly, India.

India.

Department of Livestock Production and

Sudhanshu Mohan Nayak

Medicine, CVSc &AH, Bhubaneswar,

Division of Veterinary Medicine, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar,

• Smruti Ranjan Mishra Department of Veterinary Physiology, CVSc & AH, Bhubaneswar, India.

CORRESPONDENCE Pravas Ranjan Sahoo

Department of Veterinary Biochemistry, CVSc &AH, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, India. E-mail: <u>pravasvet86@gmail.com</u>

# Cystatin C: A new biochemical marker in livestock sector

Pravas Ranjan Sahoo, Parthasarathi Swain, Sudhanshu Mohan Nayak, Debasish Kar and Smruti Ranjan Mishra

• Received: June 20, 2016 • Revised: July 7, 2016 • Accepted: July 9, 2016 • Published Online: July 12, 2016

## ABSTRACT

The livestock sector contributes largely to the economy of India. Different systemic diseases like renal diseases, neurological and cardiovascular diseases cause huge loss in production and productive potential of livestock in India, which is considered as a major concern for both small and large ruminants. Early detection of disesses is essential to combat the economic loss. An efficient biochemical marker can be developed which would provide more specific, sensitive and reliable measurement of functions of different organs. Determination of endogenous marker Cystatin C may fulfill the above need which can provide a detection platform not only for Kidney function but also for assaying other organs' function. Cystatin C is a low molecular weight protein which is removed from the bloodstream by glomerular filtration in the kidneys. Thus, it may act as a potential biological tool in diagnosis of renal and other systemic diseases in livestock. This mini-review focuses on the Cystatin C and its clinical importance which can be extensively employed in the livestock sector.

## **KEYWORDS**

Biochemical marker, Cystatin C, Glomerular filtration, Livestock sector

How to cite: Pravas Ranjan Sahoo, Parthasarathi Swain, Sudhanshu Mohan Nayak, Debasish Kar and Smruti Ranjan Mishra (2016). Cystatin C: A new biochemical marker in livestock sector. Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research, 3(3): 200-205.

## http://bdvets.org/javar/





**September 2016** Vol 3 No 3, Pages 200-205.

#### INTRODUCTION

The livestock sector of India is one of the largest populations in the world and contributes the largest economy to the India. Different systemic diseases like kidney diseases, neuronal diseases and cardiovascular diseases are being emerged widely not only in human sector but also in small animal. Proper diagnosis is the major problem to combat these diseases in veterinary sector. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is an important emerging disease not only in human being but also in veterinary sector (Coresh et al., 2007). Early detection and treatment of this disease is very important which increases the survival time by preventing the additional renal damage (Boyd et al., 2008). Evaluation of kidney function is done by direct measurement of Glomerular Filtration rate (GFR), but it is very labor-intensive and time-consuming (Paepe and Daminet, 2013). Indirect markers of GFR i.e. serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen [BUN] can be easily measured but the only disadvantage is that they are influenced by nonrenal factors, such as age, diet, hydration status, and muscle mass (Braun and Lefebvre, 2008). To overcome this problem, an ideal endogenous marker should be evaluated to assay the kidney function. Cystatin C, having many properties like constant production and plasma concentration in the absence of GFR variation, low intra individual variability, no plasma protein binding, no tubular secretion, no tubular reabsorption without catabolism, and no extrarenal clearance make it a suitable endogenous GFR marker (Seronie-Vivien et al., 2008). Cystatin C, a low molecular weight (approximately 13.3 kilodaltons) protein, is removed from the bloodstream by glomerular filtration in the kidneys which can be used as a biochemical marker for proximal tubular damage superior to serum creatinine [sCr] (Conti et al., 2006). This can be used as new biological tool for other diseases like neuronal, cardiovascular and also different metabolic diseases in livestock sector. There should be adequate information regarding the structure of cystatin C and its potential role in the clinical veterinary medicine. So the objective of this article is to describe all the above characteristics which would provide a good plat form for reviewers to understand the clinical role of cystatin C which can lead it to a precise biochemical marker in livestock sector.

#### CYSTATIN C

It is a non glycosylated, neuroendocrine basic protein (isoelectric pH 9.3) encoded by the CST3 gene, mainly measured in cerebrospinal fluid, followed by plasma, saliva, and urine which indicates its production in the central nervous system and catabolism by the kidney (Ghys et al., 2014). It is expressed virtually in all organs of the body which indicates CST3 is a housekeeping gene. It is single chain polypeptide (molecular weight 13.260 kDa) constituted of 120 amino acids characterized by a short alpha helix and a long alpha helix which lies across a large antiparallel, five-stranded beta sheet with two disulfide bonds. This protein protects host tissue against destructtive proteolysis by inhibiting the activity of cysteine proteinases (Bobek and Levine, 1992). There are three divisions of inhibitory families which includes type 1 cystatins (stefins), type 2 cystatins and the kininogens. The type 2 cystatin proteins are a class of cysteine proteinase inhibitors that are mainly used as a biomarker for kidney function than serum creatinine based on the findings in both cross-sectional as well as longitudinal studies (Premaratne et al., 2008). A better correlation between the reciprocal of serum CysC and GFR makes it as a potential marker for GFR as compared to other the serum low molecular weight proteins such as beta-2 microglobulin, retinol binding protein and factor D (Grubb et al., 1985). The mechanisms of occurrence renal dysfunction, inflammation, atherogenesis, and cardiovascular events linked with CysC are shown in (Figure 1).



**Figure 1:** Mechanisms linked with CysC leading to renal dysfunction, inflammation, atherogenesis, and cardiovascular events. Adopted from <u>Taglieri et al (2009)</u>

#### CysC AND GFR

The function of kidney is evaluated by GFR which is assayed by measuring renal clearance value of different exogenous markers like Inulin different isotopically labeled compounds including iothalamate, iodothalamate, chromium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (Cr-EDTA) and technetium diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (<sup>99m</sup>TcDTPA) (<u>Ghys et al., 2014</u>). Due to time-consuming and labor-intensive of these clearance tests, the measurement of indirect markers BUN, and sCr is routinely used to estimate GFR but these are influenced by muscle mass, age, feeding status, sex, and intraindividual variation. The reciprocal of sCysC correlates more closely with GFR, as measured by exogenous clearance tests, than the reciprocal of sCr has been described in humans in several studies. It is found that the level of sCysC is influenced by body composition (Shlipak, 2007). It might predict the risk of developing CKD, thereby indicates the state of 'preclinical' kidney dysfunction (Shlipak et al., 2006). It is also investigated that in the adjustment of medication dosages it acts as a marker for kidney function (Hermida and Tutor, 2006). It has been reported that the level of sCysC of patients is altered in case of cancer (Nakai et al., 2008), thyroid dysfunction (Manetti et al., 2005) and glucocorticoid therapy in some situation (Risch et al., 2001). Its levels seem to be increased in HIV infection, which may or may not reflect actual renal dysfunction (Jaroszewicz et al., 2006). During pregnancy, the role of it to monitor GFR remains still controversial (Akbari et al., 2005). The CysC can be eliminated other than the kidney route indicating worsening of GFR (Sjostrom et al., 2005).

#### CysC IN CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE

Increased levels of CysC are linked with the risk of death, several types of cardiovascular disease (including myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, peripheral arterial disease and metabolic syndrome) and healthy aging (Djousse et al., 2008). The basal metabolic rate may affect the level of CysC (Delanaye et al., 2008). Its levels are decreased in atherosclerosis and aneurismal lesions of the aorta (Abisi et al., 2007).

#### CysC IN NEUROLOGIC DISORDERS

It has been reported that CysC levels are higher in Alzheimer's disease (<u>Chuo et al., 2007</u>). The role of cysC in multiple sclerosis and other demyelinating diseases remains controversial (<u>Del Boccio et al., 2007</u>).

#### CysC IN OTHER CLINICAL CONDITIONS

## DIABETES MELLITUS

It has been seen that sCysC is a better GFR marker than sCr for the early detection of incipient diabetic nephropathy (<u>Mussap et al., 2002</u>)

## ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY (AKI)

The development of AKI can be detected by measuring Serum CysC concentration 2 days earlier than sCr concentration in intensive care patients with  $\geq 2$  predisposing factors of AKI (<u>Herget-Rosenthal et al., 2004a</u>).

## THYROID FUNCTION

The impact of thyroid dysfunction on sCysC was investigated. sCysC concentration is increased in patients with hypothyroidism and decreased in patients with hyperthyroidism with treatment (Manetti et al., 2005).

## CARDIOVASCULAR RISK

It has been shown that CysC was associated more with an increased risk of heart failure in contrast with sCr (Shlipak et al., 2005). It tends to be a stronger predictor of mortality than sCr in elderly individuals with heart failure.

### CANCER

It was investigated that individuals with untreated carcinomas and leukemia had significantly higher sCysC concentrations compared with patients after treatment (Demirtas et al., 2006) due to its antitumor effect (Sokol et al., 2005).

## **INFLAMMATION**

As interleukin-10 controls the synthesis of CysC in response to inflammation in vitro, it regulates certain aspects of immune function (Xu et al., 2011). CysC secretion is increased on administration of dexamethasone in vitro and it is influenced by administration of prednisolone in vivo (Bokenkamp et al., 2002).

#### Cys C IN SMALL ANIMAL MEDICINE

The role of Cystatin C in small animal medicine has been emerged recently with wide range application in dog and cat. It has been shown that there is no influence of inflammation on sCysC in dogs (Wehner et al., 2008). In a study comprising 10 volume-depleted dogs and 1 dog with AKI, a weaker correlation between sCysC and GFR than sCr and GFR was observed (Almy et al., 2002). In critically ill dogs, sCysC concentrations were significantly higher in dogs in shock compared with healthy dogs, but this result was not observed in multiple-trauma dogs (Pasa et al., 2008). A correlation between GFR and sCysC was performed to identify the most appropriate marker for screening for renal damage in dogs with babesiosis (de Scally et al., 2006). This protein can be used as marker in dogs with visceral leishmaniasis, characterized by immune-complex disposition and glomerular injury. In cat, increased or decreased sCysC concentrations are influenced with hyper- and hypothyroidism. The effect of neoplasia on sCysC in small animals needs to be evaluated due to its antitumor activity.

#### **BIOLOGICAL VARIATION OF CYS C**

In human, the variation of Cys C due to different biological factors (age, sex, body weight,) was extensively studied but contradictory results were reported regarding the effect of age and body weight on sCysC in dogs. Plasma CysC was shown to be lower in adult dogs compared with younger and older dogs and lower in dogs with body weight <15 Kg compared with heavier dogs (Braun et al., 2002). Wehner et al. (2008) included 99 healthy dogs with an equal sex distribution (52 female, 47 male dogs) and a wide range in age and body weight (3 m-13 years; 5-42 Kg). In contrast, the study of Pagitz was limited by including only 24 healthy dogs (16 female and 8 male) with an age range of 10-97 months (Pagitz et al., 2007). So, additional studies in a larger number of healthy dogs, preferably in which GFR is measured, are required. The effect of meal on plasma CysC concentration was studied in dog. The concentration of plasma CysC showed a dramatic decrease during the first hour after a meal and this decrease lasted for 9 h and then returned to baseline after 12 h (Braun et al., 2002) in contast to plasma Cr concentration, which increases in dogs during the first 12 h after a meal (Braun et al., 2003). The increased clearance of CysC could explain it's decreased concentration after a meal because its concentration is mainly determined by GFR (Gislefoss et <u>al., 2009</u>).

#### LABORATORY MEASUREMENT

Currently, measurement of CysC is not available in veterinary sector. It can be measured in a random sample of serum using immunoassays such as nephelometry or particle enhanced turbidimetry (Croda Todd et al., 2007). In case of human a fully automated particle-enhanced turbidimetric immuno-assay (PETIA) for CysC was developed and validated in serum and urine (Sohrabian et al., 2012). After a few years later, another assay *i.e.*, a particle-enhanced nephelometric immuno-assay (PENIA) was validated in serum and urine (Herget-Rosenthal et al., 2004b). The major difference between these two methods is that PENIA can only be used with a specialized automated immunonephelometer, whereas PETIA can be used with several analyzers, including the Cobas Fara analyzer (Garrido et al., 2002), Hitachi analyzer (Al-Turkmani et al., 2008), Cobas 6000 analyzer and Abbott Architect ci8200. Newer devices are available but are limited in livestock due to its high cost.

#### CONCLUSION

Cys C may be regarded as a suitable biochemical marker for diagnosis of renal and other systemic diseases not only in human sector but also in livestock sector. But the validation in respect with analytical, biological and clinical testing is still to be needed for widespread use. A thorough analytical validation of the nephelometric and turbidimetric assays for determining CysC in serum and urine in both cats and dogs is needed for further clinical application. This review provides the significant information about CysC which would provide an important biological tool for diagnosis of various diseases in livesock sector in recent advances.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest with any other people or organizations in any financial or personal relationship.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors really appreciate the immense contribution of the Dean, CVSc & A.H, OUAT, BBSR for providing facilities to carry on this study, thereby making it an invaluable review manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- Abisi S, Burnand KG, Waltham M, Humphries J, Taylor PR, Smith A (2007). Cysteine protease activity in the wall of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 46: 1260–1266.
- Akbari A, Lepage N, Keely E, Clark HD, Jaffey J, MacKinnon M, Filler G (2005). Cystatin C and beta trace protein as markers of renal function in pregnancy. BJOG, 112: 575–578.
- Almy FS, Christopher MM, King DP, Brown SA (2002). Evaluation of cystatin C as an endogenous marker of glomerular filtration rate in dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 16: 45–51.
- Al-Turkmani MR, Law T, Kellogg MD (2008). Performance evaluation of a particle-enhanced turbidimetric cystatin C assay on the Hitachi 917 analyzer. Clinical Chimica Acta, 398: 75–77.
- Bobek LA, Levine MJ (1992). Cystatins-inhibitors of cysteine proteinases. Critical Reviews in Oral Biology and Medicine, 3: 307–332.
- Bokenkamp A, Van Wijk JAE, Lentze MJ, Stoffel-Wagner B (2002). Effect of corticosteroid therapy on serum cystatin C and beta2-microglobulin concentrations. Clinical Chemistry, 48: 1123–1126.
- Boyd LM, Langston C, Thompson K, Zivin K, Imanishi M (2008). Survival in cats with naturally occurring chronic kidney disease. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 22: 1111–1117.
- Braun JP, Lefebvre HP (2008). Kidney function and damage. In: Kaneko JJ, Harvey JW, Bruss ML, ed.

Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals, 6th Edn., London: Elsevier; pp 485–528.

- Braun JP, Lefebvre HP, Watson ADJ (2003). Creatinine in the dog: A review. Veterinary Clinical Pathology, 32: 162–179.
- Braun JP, Perxachs A, Pe chereau D, De La Farge F (2002). Plasma cystatin C in the dog: Reference values and variations with renal failure. Comparative Clinical Pathology, 11: 44–49.
- Chuo LJ, Sheu WH, Pai MC, Kuo YM (2007). Genotype and plasma concentration of cystatin C in patients with late onset Alzheimer disease. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders, 23: 251–257.
- Conti M, Moutereau S, Zater M, Lallali K, Durrbach A, Manivet P, Eschwège P, Loric S (2006). Urinary cystatin C as a specific marker of tubular dysfunction. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 44: 288–291.
- Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, Manzi J, Kusek JW, Eggers P, Van Lente F, Levey AS (2007). Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. The Journal of the American Medical Association, 298: 2038–2047.
- Croda-Todd MT, Soto-Montano XJ, Hernandez-Cancino PA, Juarez-Aguilar E (2007). Adult cystatin C reference intervals determined by nephelometric immunoassay. Clinical Biochemistry, 40: 1084–1087.
- de Scally MP, Leisewitz AL, Lobetti RG, Thompson PN (2006). The elevated serum urea: Creatinine ratio in canine babesiosis in South Africa is not of renal origin. Journal of the South African Veterinary Association, 77: 175–178.
- Del Boccio P, Pieragostino D, Lugaresi A, Di Ioia M, Pavone B, Travaglini D, D'Aguanno S, Bernardini S, Sacchetta P, Federici G, Di Ilio C, Gambi D, Urbani A (2007). Cleavage of cystatin C is not associated with multiple sclerosis. Annals of Neurology, 62: 201–204.
- Delanaye P, Cavalier E, Krzesinski JM (2008). Cystatin C, renal function and cardiovascular risk. Annals of Internal Medicine, 148: 323.
- Demirtas S, Akan O, Can M, Elmali E, Akan H (2006). Cystatin C can be affected by no renal factors: A preliminary study on leukemia. Clinical Biochemistry, 39: 115–118.
- Djousse L, Kurth T, Gaziano JM (2008). Cystatin C and risk of heart failure in the Physicians Health Study (PHS). American Heart Journal, 155: 82–86.
- Garrido MJ, Hermida J, Tutor JC (2002). Immunoturbidimetric assay for serum cystatin C using the Cobas Fara analyzer. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 40: 853–854.

- Ghys L, Paepe D, Smets P, Lefebvre H, Delanghe J, Daminet S (2014). Cystatin C: a new renal marker and its potential use in small animal medicine. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 28: 1152–1164.
- Gislefoss RE, Grimsrud TK, Morkrid L (2009). Stability of selected serum proteins after long-term storage in the Janus Serum Bank. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 47: 596–603.
- Grubb A, Simonsen O, Sturfelt G, Truedsson L, Thysell H (1985). Serum concentration of cystatin C, factor D and beta 2-microglobulin as a measure of glomerular filtration rate. Acta Medica Scandinavica, 218: 499–503.
- Herget-Rosenthal S, Feldkamp T, Volbracht L, Kribben A (2004a). Measurement of urinary cystatin C by particle-enhanced nephelometric immunoassay: Precision, interferences, stability and reference range. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry, 41: 111–118.
- Herget-Rosenthal S, Marggraf G, Husing J, Göring F, Pietruck F, Janssen O, Philipp T, Kribben A (2004b).An Early detection of acute renal failure by serum cystatin C. Kidney International, 66: 1115–1122.
- Hermida J, Tutor JC (2006). Serum cystatin C for the prediction of glomerular filtration rate with regard to the dose adjustment of amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, and vancomycin. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, 28: 326–331.
- Jaroszewicz J, Wiercinska-Drapalo A, Lapinski TW, Prokopowicz D, Rogalska M, Parfieniuk A (2006). Does HAART improve renal function? An association between serum cystatin C concentration, HIV viral load and HAART duration. Antiviral Therapy, 11: 641–645.
- Manetti L, Pardini E, Genovesi M, Campomori A, Grasso L, Morselli LL, Lupi I, Pellegrini G, Bartalena L, Bogazzi F, Martino E (2005). Thyroid function differently affects serum cystatin C and keratinizes concentrations. Journal of endocrinological investigation, 28: 346–349.
- Mussap M, Dalla Vestra M, Fioretto P, Saller A, Varagnolo M, Nosadini R, Plebani M (2002). Cystatin C is a more sensitive marker than creatinine for the estimation of GFR in type 2 diabetic patients. Kidney International, 61: 1453–1461.
- Nakai K, Kikuchi M, Fujimoto K, Kaneko Y, Omori S, Nakai K, Suwabe A (2008). Serum levels of cystatin C in patients with malignancy. Clinical and Experimental Nephrology, 12: 132–139.
- Paepe D, Daminet S (2013). Feline CKD Diagnosis, staging and screening - what is recommended? Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 15: 15–27.
- Pagitz M, Frommlet F, Schwendenwein I (2007). Evaluation of biological variance of cystatin C in comparison with other endogenous markers of

glomerular filtration rate in healthy dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 21: 936–942.

- Pasa S, Kilic N, Atasoy A, Derincegoz OO, Karul A (2008). Serum cystatin C concentration as a marker of acute renal dysfunction in critically ill dogs. Journal of Animal and Veterinary advances, 7: 1410–1412.
- Premaratne E, MacIsaac RJ, Finch S, Panagiotopoulos S, Ekinci E, Jerums G (2008). Serial measurements of cystatin C are more accurate than creatinine-based methods in detecting declining renal function in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care, 31: 971–973.
- Risch L, Herklotz R, Blumberg A, Huber AR (2001). Effects of glucocorticoid immunosuppressant on serum cystatin C concentrations in renal transplant patients. Clinical Chemistry, 47: 2055–2059.
- Seronie Vivien S, Delanaye P, Pieroni L, Mariat C, Froissart M, Cristol JP (2008). Cystatin C: Current position and future prospects. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 46: 1664–1686.
- Shlipak MG (2007). Cystatin C as a marker of glomerular filtration rate in chronic kidney disease: influence of body composition. Nature Clinical Practice. Nephrology, 3: 188–189.
- Shlipak MG, Katz R, Sarnak MJ (2006). Cystatin C and prognosis for cardiovascular and kidney outcomes in elderly persons without chronic kidney disease. Annals of Internal Medicine, 145: 237–246.
- Shlipak MG, Sarnak MJ, Katz R, Fried LF, Seliger SL, Newman AB, Siscovick DS, Stehman-Breen C

(2005). Cystatin C and the risk of death and cardiovascular events among elderly persons. The New England Journal of Medicine, 352: 2049–2060.

- Sjostrom P, Tidman M, Jones I (2005). Determination of the production rate and non-renal clearance of cystatin C and estimation of the glomerular filtration rate from the serum concentration of cystatin C in humans. Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation, 65: 111–124.
- Sohrabian A, Noraddin FH, Flodin M, Fredricsson A, Larsson A (2012). Particle enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay for the determination of urine cystatin C on Cobas c501. Clinical Biochemistry, 45: 339–344.
- Sokol JP, Neil JR, Schiemann BJ, Schiemann WP (2005). The use of cystatin C to inhibit epithelial mesenchymal transition and morphological transformation stimulated by transforming growth factor-β. Breast Cancer Research, 7: R844–R853.
- Taglieri N, Koenig W, Kaski JC (2009). Cystatin C and cardiovascular risk. Clinical Chemistry, 55: 1932–43.
- Wehner A, Hartmann K, Hirschberger J (2008). Utility of serum cystatin C as a clinical measure of renal function in dogs. The Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association, 44: 131–138.
- Xu Y, Schnorrer P, Proietto A Kowalski G, Febbraio MA, Acha-Orbea H, Dickins RA, Villadangos JA (2011). IL-10 controls cystatin C synthesis and blood concentration in response to inflammation through regulation of IFN regulatory factor 8 expressions. Journal of Immunology, 186: 3666–3673.

\*\*\*\*