ISSN 1810-3030 (Print) 2408-8684 (Online)

Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University



Journal home page: http://baures.bau.edu.bd/jbau, www.banglajol.info/index.php/JBAU

Impacts of planting spacing and nitrogen level on growth, yield and quality of baby corn and green fodder from the same crop

Sanjoy Kumar Sarker, Swapan Kumar Paul[™], Md. Abdur Rahman Sarkar, Shubroto Kumar Sarkar

Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh 2202, Bangladesh

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 09 January 2020 Accepted: 04 February 2020 Published: 31 March 2020

Keywords: Baby corn, Fodder, Yield, Protein

Correspondence: Swapan Kumar Paul ⊠: skpaul@bau.edu.bd



ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh to investigate the effect of planting spacing and nitrogen levels on yield and quality of baby corn and green fodder. The experiment comprised three plant spacing viz. 45 cm \times 30 cm, 45 cm \times 20 cm, 45 cm \times 10 cm and five nitrogen levels viz. 0, 80, 120, 160 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block (RCB) design with three replications. The highest number of leaves plant⁻¹, total dry matter plant⁻¹ at 30 DAS, chlorophyll content at 65 DAS were observed by at 45 cm \times 20 cm spacing fertilized with 200 kg N ha⁻¹. The highest number of cobs plant⁻¹ and cob length without husk were recorded in 45 cm × 30 cm which was at par with 45 cm × 20 cm. The highest cob length with husk, cob diameter with husk, cob diameter without husk, cob yield with husk, cob yield without husk, fodder yield and protein content of cob were found at 45 cm \times 20 cm spacing while the lowest values were recorded at 45 cm \times 10 cm spacing. The highest number of cobs plant⁻¹, cob length with husk, cob length without husk, cob diameter with husk, cob yield with husk, cob yield without husk, fodder yield and protein content of cob were observed by at 45 cm × 20 cm spacing fertilized with 200 kg N ha⁻¹. Therefore, it may be concluded that planting of baby corn at 45 cm × 20 cm spacing along with application of 200 kg N ha⁻¹ could be considered for obtaining higher cob yield, cob protein content (%) and green fodder from the same plant.

Copyright ©2020 by authors and BAURES. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC By 4.0).

Introduction

Maize is one of the most important cereal crops in the world and is used as food, feed, and fodder and in industrial applications. Baby corn is the ear of maize (Zea mays L.) plant harvested young, especially when the silks have either not emerged or just emerged and no fertilization has taken place, depending on the cultivar grown. It is referred to as the "Queen of Cereals", which has a wide adaptability and is grown almost throughout the world. In the real sense, the tender dehusked young ear of the female inflorescence of maize plant harvested 3-4 days after silking and before fertilization is sweet and crispy in taste called baby corn. It is a profitable crop that allows a diversification of production, aggregation of value and increased income (Dutta et al., 2015). This venture proved enormously successful in countries like Thailand, Taiwan, Srilanka and Myanmar. The countries like Guatemala, Zambia, Zimbabwe and South Africa have also started its cultivation. Now, Thailand and China are the world leaders in baby corn production. It is widely accepted and habituated as a cereal vegetable in USA, Europe and in some Asian countries. In Bangladesh, maize is mainly grown as

fodder and feed crop in many areas, but it is not commercially grown as baby corn yet. The crop has multipurpose uses. It is used as vegetables, fodder feed, fuel etc. It is mainly used as vegetable in different chinese hotel and restaurants in our country. It is consumed through preparation of several recipies viz. soup, salad, pakora, pickles, candy, murabba, jam, laddoo, burfy etc. (Das et al., 2008). Baby corn has a great potential both for internal consumption as well as for export. It is being used by the Chinese as vegetables and this practice has spread to other Asian countries. It is becoming popular very rapidly as vegetables, salad, pasta, soup, pakora, chutney, cutlets chat, vegetables, koftacurry, masala, manchurian, chilly, raita, pickle, candy, jam, murabba, burfi, halwa, kheer, laddo and other favorite dishes for different chinese hotels and restaurants in Bangladesh. Baby corn shows great adaptability to wide range of agro-climatic regions (Roy et al., 2015) and can be grown in Bangladesh year round (Salahuddin and Ivy, 2003). It is suited for peril-urban Agriculture. It has short growth duration of about 70-80 days, thus the farmer can grow baby corn three or four times a year. Generally, farmers have to wait for a longer time if they grow maize as a grain crop.

On the other hand, it is a short duration crop; farmers can earn money in the shortest possible time by cultivating baby corn. Crop yield depends on many factors such as light, water and nutrients etc. The availability of these inputs for the plants may be influenced by planting space. To achieve higher productivity and resource-use efficiencies optimum plant stand is the key factor. Thus the optimum nitrogen rate and appropriate plant spacing has to be ensured with a view to maximizing baby corn yield. With the above view, the study was carried out to find out the effect of spacing, nitrogen level and their interaction on yield and quality of baby corn and green fodder.

Materials and Methods

The present piece of research was carried out at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, during the period from October 2016 to January 2017 to study the effect of planting spacing and nitrogen on yield and quality of baby corn. The experimental site belongs to the Old Brahmaputra Floodplain Agro-ecological Zone (AEZ-9) having non-calcareous dark gray floodplain soils with silty-loam texture (UNDP and FAO, 1988). The plot was designed with RCB having three plant spacings viz. 45 cm \times 30 cm, 45 cm \times 20 cm, 45 cm \times 10 cm and five nitrogen levels viz. 0, 80, 120, 160 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹. Thus the number of total plots was 45. The size of each unit plot was 2.7 m \times 3.0 m. The distance between plot to plot and block to block was 0.50 m, 0.75 m, respectively. The land was first opened with power tiller on 10 October 2016 and laid out in the field on 28 October 2016. Seeds were sown on 2 November 2016 as per experimental spacing by opening 3-4 cm deep furrows and seedlings emerged out within 6-8 days after sowing (DAS). Gap filling was done at 25 DAS maintaining desired number of plant(s) hill⁻¹. Nitrogen was applied as the form of urea. One third urea was applied as basal during final land preparation and the rest part was top dressed in two equal splits at 25 and 45 DAS, respectively. Weeding was done two times, first at 25 DAS, second at 40 DAS. Irrigation was done twice after topdressing of urea at 25 and 45 DAS. Row-wise detasseling was carried soon after tassel emergence in order to maintain quality of baby corn. The green cobs were removed on alternate days within 2-3 days of silk emergence from each plot by leaving border and penultimate rows. Baby corn was harvested on 25 January 2017 (82 DAS). Data on the following plant characters, yield and yield components were collected from the sample plants of each plot. At harvest, the heights of five randomly selected plants were measured from the base of the plant to the tip of the flag leaf. Plant height was recorded in cm and mean value of five plants for each plot was calculated. Number of fresh leaves plant⁻¹ were recorded from five sample plants from each plot and counted. One plant was randomly selected in the sampling row from each plot. These samples were washed and dried in an electric oven for 72 hours

maintaining a constant temperature of 70° C. After drying, weight of each sample was recorded. Total dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹ was measured at 30, 50 and Determination of Soil-Plant-Analysis DAS. Development (SPAD) value has been used as an indirect indicator of crop N status. Chlorophyll meter values (SPAD) were taken using a portable SPAD meter (Model SPAD-502, Minolta crop, Ramsey, NJ) starting from 30 DAS with 20-day interval from the marked plants and taken thrice as 30, 50, and 65 DAS. The number of young cobs harvested from the five randomly selected plants in each plot was recorded separately and then average number of baby corn cobs plant⁻¹was worked out. The length of five randomly selected young cobs of each plot was measured with and without husk from top to bottom of the cob with meter scale and the mean length cob⁻¹ with and without husk was determined in centimeters (cm). The cumulative weight of young cobs with husk and without husk harvested from each plot in all pickings was recorded in kilograms and then converted on hectare basis in t ha⁻¹. The baby corn plants were then cut immediately from ground level with the help of sickles and then weighed to determine the green fodder yield in t ha⁻¹. Protein percent of leaf and cob are measured by chemical analysis. Total N content was determined from the various varieties of maize (hybrid and composite) of each treatment by modified Micro-Kjeldahl method. The method consists of three steps; digestion, distillation, titration. The collected data were compiled and tabulated in proper form and were subjected to statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique with the help of computer package program MSTAT-C and mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and Discussion

Growth parameters

Interaction between spacing and levels of nitrogen exerted significant effect on the growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹, dry matter production and chlorophyll content (SPAD value) of baby corn (Table 1). The tallest plant at 30 DAS (57.21 cm) and at 50 DAS (112.2 cm) were found at $S_3 \times N_0$ (45 cm \times 10 cm spacing with 0 kg N ha⁻¹) and S₃ \times $N_1(45 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm} \text{ spacing with } 80 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}),$ respectively, whereas, the interaction effect was nonsignificant at 65 DAS. The lowest plant height at 30DAS (48.33 cm) and 50 DAS (96.31 cm) was found in the treatment $S_1 \times N_2$ (45 cm \times 30 cm spacing with 120 kg N ha⁻¹) (Table 1). The highest number of leaves plant⁻¹ at different DAS were found at different interaction treatments. However, the highest number of leaves plant (9.43) at 30 DAS was found in $S_2 \times N_4$ (45 cm \times 20 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹) which later on appeared as one of the highest at 50 DAS (11.30) and 65 DAS (13.20) for the same. On the other hand, the lowest number of leaves plant at 30 DAS (8.5) was found at $S_1 \times N_2$ (45 cm \times 30 cm spacing with 120 kg N ha⁻¹)

which was at par with $S_3 \times N_4$ (45 cm \times 10 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹). The interaction treatment $S_1 \times N_1$ $(45 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm spacing with } 80 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}) \text{ and } S_3 \times N_0$ $(45 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm spacing with } 0 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1})$ gave the lowest number of leaves plant⁻¹ at 50 DAS (9.93) and at 50 DAS (11.10), respectively (Table 1). Dry matter production increased sharply over time in all the interaction treatments. The highest total dry matter at 30 DAS (3.63g) was found in $S_2 \times N_4$ (45 cm \times 20 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹) but the treatment $S_1 \times N_4$ (45 cm × 30 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹) gave the highest values at 50 DAS (55.32g) and 65 DAS (107.2 g) for the same. Differential chlorophyll content (SPAD value) for the same interaction treatment was found at different DASs. At 30 DAS, the highest chlorophyll content (SPAD value) (47.43) was found in $S_1 \times N_1$ (45 cm × 30 cm spacing with 80 kg N ha⁻¹) which was statistically identical with that of $S_1 \times N_4$ (45 cm \times 30 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹). The highest chlorophyll content (SPAD value) at 50 DAT (58.43) and 65 DAT (67.47) was found in $S_1 \times N_4$ (45 cm \times 30 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹) and $S_2 \times N_4$ (45 cm \times 20 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹), respectively. The lowest chlorophyll content (SPAD value) at 30 DAT (38.67), 50 DAT (31.97) and 65 DAT (26.03) were found at $S_3 \times$ N_4 (45 cm × 10 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹), $S_2 \times N_0$ (45 cm \times 20 cm spacing with 0 kg N ha⁻¹) and S₃ \times N₀ $(45 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm spacing with } 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1})$, respectively (Table 1). Rathika et al. (2009) also found significant increase in growth parameters of baby corn viz., plant height and dry matter percentage with increase in the level of nitrogen application. Similarly, Singh et al. (2010) reported considerable improvement in growth factors of baby corn with the increased level of N, P and K. On the other hand, Kunjir et al. (2007) also observed that the spacing of 45 cm \times 20 cm produced significantly higher plant height of maize (sweet corn), which coincides with the results of this study. Zarapkar (2006) reported that the plant height of baby corn was

significantly higher under the closer spacing than wider spacing. Similarly, Gozubenli *et al.* (2003) experimented out that higher plant densities produced taller plants and greater ear length with lower stem diameter. Verma *et al.* (2012) also reported that variable plant height was found due to differences in crop geometry in maize.

Yield components, yield and quality

The effect of plant spacing on number of cobs plant⁻¹, cob length (cm), cob diameter (cm) with and without husk, cob yield (t ha⁻¹) with husk and without husk, fodder yield (t ha⁻¹) and protein content (%) was significant (Table 2). The highest values for number of cobs plant⁻¹ (1.87), cob length with husk (24.11 cm) and without husk (9.34 cm), cob diameter with husk (2.71 cm) and without husk (1.35 cm), cob yield with husk (19.45 t ha⁻¹) and without husk (2.41 t ha⁻¹), fodder yield (59.99 t ha⁻¹) and protein content of cob (22.27 %) were observed in 45 cm \times 20 cm spacing (Table 2). The lowest values for number of cobs plant⁻¹ (1.82), cob length with husk (21.88 cm) and without husk (8.32 cm), cob diameter with husk (2.42 cm), cob diameter with husk (2.42 cm) and without husk (1.21 cm), and protein content of cob (20.25 %) were found in 45 cm \times 10 cm spacing. The lowest cob yield with husk (16.61 t ha⁻¹) and without husk (1.69 t ha⁻¹) and the lowest fodder yield (39.46 t ha⁻¹) were found in 45 cm \times 30 cm spacing (Table 2). Sangoi et al. (2001) also found that the closer spacing produces less number of cobs plant⁻¹ than the larger spacing. Mathukia et al. (2014) concluded that the larger spacing produces more cob yield than the closer spacing. Nand (2015) evaluated the effect of spacing and fertility levels on protein content and yield of hybrid and composite maize (Zea mays) and found the higher protein level in wider spacing.

Table 1. Effect of interaction between spacing and levels of nitrogen on plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹, dry matter production and chlorophyll content (SPAD value) of baby corn

| Interaction (spacing × levels of N) | Plant height (cm) | | | Number of leaves plant ⁻¹ | | | Dry matter production plant ⁻¹ | | | Chlorophyll content (SPAD | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|--------|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------|---|---------|----------|---------------------------|----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | (g) | | | value) | | |
| | Days after sowing (DAS) | | | Days after sowing (DAS) | | | Days after sowing (DAS) | | | Days after sowing (DAS) | | |
| levels of IN) | 30 | 50 | 65 | 30 | 50 | 65 | 30 | 50 | 65 | 30 | 50 | 65 |
| $S_1 \times N_0$ | 53.42cd | 98.42f | 127.55 | 9.16a-c | 10.63cde | 11.89ef | 3.40bc | 43.73d | 84.05 e | 39.87c | 37.43g | 37.37e |
| $S_1 \times N_1$ | 54.44a-d | 104.4 b-e | 147.76 | 8.53d | 9.93f | 12.21cde | 3.55ab | 21.26i | 38.95 j | 47.43a | 45.73de | 51.20c |
| $S_1 \times N_2$ | 48.33e | 96.31f | 146.97 | 8.50d | 10.73bcde | 12.97abcd | 2.25g | 49.59b | 96.92 b | 38.70c | 49.20c | 48.20cd |
| $S_1 \times N_3$ | 55.55 a-d | 104.8b-e | 149.56 | 8.83cd | 10.76bcde | 13.07abc | 2.85de | 44.37 d | 85.88 de | 39.53c | 55.00b | 63.30 b |
| $S_1 \times N_4$ | 56.78ab | 106.5a-c | 159.67 | 8.86 b-d | 11.32abc | 13.40ab | 3.42abc | 55.32a | 107.2a | 46.40a | 58.43 a | 61.30 b |
| $S_2 \times N_0$ | 55.67a-d | 99.43ef | 126.11 | 9.23a-c | 10.53 def | 12.09de | 2.94d | 36.24 e | 69.52f | 41.03bc | 31.97h | 31.47f |
| $S_2 \times N_1$ | 55.44a-d | 105.4b-e | 149.99 | 9.30 a-c | 11.40 ab | 13.63a | 2.25g | 46.30 c | 90.34cd | 42.82 b | 41.10f | 45.73d |
| $S_2 \times N_2$ | 52.67d | 102.1c-f | 154.11 | 8.86 b-d | 11.42ab | 12.89abcd | 2.54f | 24.23 h | 45.95i | 38.77c | 47.63cd | 48.43cd |
| $S_2 \times N_3$ | 53.89b-d | 106.3b-d | 157.09 | 8.96a-d | 10.42def | 13.21ab | 2.72f | 28.75 f | 54.78g | 40.80bc | 52.63b | 50.70c |
| $S_2 \times N_4$ | 54.33a-d | 105.5b-d | 154.45 | 9.43a | 11.30 abc | 13.20ab | 3.63a | 26.24 g | 48.85hi | 41.17bc | 48.20cd | 67.47a |
| $S_3 \times N_0$ | 57.21a | 100.2d-f | 126.76 | 8.96a-d | 10.11ef | 11.10f | 3.60ab | 20.87i | 38.14 j | 38.70c | 35.30g | 26.03g |
| $S_3 \times N_1$ | 56.78ab | 112.2a | 161.30 | 9.40ab | 10.76 bcde | 13.00abcd | 3.28 c | 24.30 h | 45.31i | 39.33c | 38.00g | 40.07e |
| $S_3 \times N_2$ | 56.11a-c | 110.3ab | 160.33 | 8.83cd | 10.86 abcd | 12.97abcd | 3.52ab | 27.59fg | 51.65gh | 41.07bc | 46.83cde | 46.30d |
| $S_3 \times N_3$ | 52.89d | 102.2c-f | 163.32 | 9.00abcd | 11.53a | 13.43ab | 2.89de | 19.96i | 37.01j | 40.17bc | 55.10b | 63.33b |
| $S_3 \times N_4$ | 53.00d | 107.5a-c | 163.88 | 8.50d | 10.43def | 12.53bcde | 2.69ef | 48.54 b | 94.37bc | 38.67 c | 44.37e | 51.03c |
| Level of sig. | ** | ** | NS | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 2.91 | 3.04 | 3.54 | 3.19 | 3.41 | 3.92 | 3.68 | 3.26 | 4.66 | 3.71 | 3.75 | 3.38 |

In a column, figures with the same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT);

^{* =}Significant at 5% level of probability, ** =Significant at 1% level of probability, NS= Not significant;

 $S_1 = 45 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}, S_2 = 45 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}, S_3 = 45 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm},$

Effect of spacing and nitrogen on growth, yield, quality of baby corn and green fodder

 $N_0 = 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}, N_1 = 80 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}, N_2 = 120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}, N_3 = 160 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}, N_4 = 200 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$

The result showed that number of cobs plant⁻¹, cob length (cm), cob diameter (cm), cob yield (t ha⁻¹), fodder yield (t ha⁻¹) and protein content (%) were significantly influenced by nitrogen (Table 2). The highest values for number of cobs plant⁻¹ (2.05), cob length with husk (25.46 cm) and without husk (9.90 cm), cob yield with husk (19.81 t ha⁻¹), cob yield without husk (2.56 t ha⁻¹), fodder yield (57.68 t ha⁻¹) and protein content of cob (21.69 %) were observed by the application of 200 kg N ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The lowest values for number of cobs plant⁻¹ (1.39), cob length with husk (20.19 cm), cob length without husk (7.52cm), cob diameter with husk (2.46 cm), cob diameter without husk (1.15 cm), cob yield with husk (16.55 t ha⁻¹), cob yield without husk (1.63 t ha⁻¹), fodder yield (38.40 t ha⁻¹) and protein

content of cob (20.41%) were found at the application of 0 kg N ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Sakarami and Rafiee (2009) found that number of cobs plant⁻¹ increased with increasing nitrogen levels. Almaz *et al.* (2017) and Lone *et al.* (2013) reported that cob length was significantly influenced by fertilizer levels. Bhushan and Khare (2018) observed that cob breadth differed significantly among different fertilizer levels. Hussain (2014) reported that higher dose of fertilizer application resulted the increased fodder yield. Neupane *et al.* (2011) found significantly higher protein, carbohydrate and sugar content in baby corn with the application of 75% N through urea + 25% N through FYM.

Table 2. Effect of spacing of planting and levels of nitrogen on the yield components, yield and quality of baby corn and green fodder

| Treatments | No. of cob | Cob length (cm) | | Cob diameter (cm) | | Cob yield (t ha ⁻¹) | | Fodder yield | Protein (%) | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| | plant ⁻¹ | With | Without | With | Without | With | Without | (t ha ⁻¹) | Leaf | Cob |
| | | husk | husk | husk | husk | husk | husk | | | |
| Spacing of Planting | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 cm× 30 cm | 1.92a | 23.98a | 9.39a | 2.69a | 1.30b | 16.61c | 1.69c | 39.46c | 9.24 b | 21.87a |
| 45 cm× 20 cm | 1.87ab | 24.11a | 9.34a | 2.71a | 1.35a | 19.45a | 2.41a | 59.99a | 7.30 c | 22.27a |
| 45 cm× 10 cm | 1.82b | 21.88b | 8.32 b | 2.42b | 1.21c | 18.75b | 2.22b | 47.90b | 10.19a | 20.25b |
| Levels of nitrogen (kg N ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1.39c | 20.19c | 7.53d | 2.46 b | 1.15c | 16.55 e | 1.63e | 38.40 e | 6.033d | 20.41 c |
| 80 | 1.89b | 23.42 b | 8.93 c | 2.47 b | 1.23 b | 17.46d | 1.85d | 45.11d | 10.53 a | 21.31bc |
| 120 | 1.99a | 24.04 b | 9.54b | 2.79a | 1.39a | 18.18c | 2.11 c | 50.17c | 10.27ab | 21.43b |
| 160 | 2.02a | 23.51b | 9.21c | 2.79a | 1.39a | 19.35b | 2.37b | 54.23b | 7.724 c | 22.49a |
| 200 | 2.05a | 25.46a | 9.90 a | 2.53b | 1.26b | 19.81a | 2.56a | 57.68a | 10.01b | 21.69ab |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 4.11 | 4.62 | 3.56 | 6.44 | 3.39 | 1.49 | 3.42 | 3.70 | 3.12 | 4.48 |

Figures in a column under each factor of treatment having the same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT);

Table 3. Effect of interaction between spacing of planting and nitrogen on the yield components, yield and quality of baby corn and green fodder

| The state of the s | | | | | | | | | | . (0/) |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|
| Interaction | No. of cob | Cob length (cm) | | Cob diameter (cm) | | Cob yield (t ha ⁻¹) | | - Fodder yield | Protein (%) | |
| (spacing of planting | plant ⁻¹ | With | Without | With husk | Without husk | With | | (t ha ⁻¹) | Leaf | Cob |
| × nitrogen levels) | plant | husk | husk | | | husk | | | | |
| $S_1 \times N_0$ | 1.60e | 21.37ef | 8.37 g | 2.70abcd | 1.13d | 15.10 h | 1.33 i | 33.67 ј | 6.930 f | 20.79cde |
| $S_1 \times N_1$ | 1.94bcd | 23.74bcd | 8.91efg | 2.32f | 1.16d | 15.75 g | 1.48 h | 35.89ij | 13.86 a | 23.49ab |
| $S_1 \times N_2$ | 2.00bc | 24.94b | 9.90bc | 2.66 bcde | 1.33bc | 16.36 f | 1.75 g | 39.80gh | 8.470 e | 21.18cd |
| $S_1 \times N_3$ | 2.00bc | 25.25b | 10.10bc | 3.00a | 1.50a | 17.85 e | 1.87 f | 42.60fg | 5.010 i | 25.03a |
| $S_1 \times N_4$ | 2.03 bc | 24.61bc | 9.70 cd | 2.79abc | 1.39b | 18.00 e | 2.00 e | 45.36 f | 11.94c | 18.87fg |
| $S_2 \times N_0$ | 1.33f | 20.33fg | 7.30h | 2.36ef | 1.18 d | 17.89e | 1.89ef | 43.58 f | 6.160gh | 21.95bc |
| $S_2 \times N_1$ | 1.85d | 24.42bc | 9.30de | 2.69abcd | 1.34bc | 18.76d | 2.15d | 56.75 c | 5.780 h | 18.10g |
| $S_2 \times N_2$ | 2.00bc | 25.64ab | 10.40 ab | 2.96ab | 1.48a | 19.22d | 2.33 c | 61.75 b | 9.240 d | 23.10b |
| $S_2 \times N_3$ | 2.00bc | 22.85cde | 8.81 efg | 2.76abc | 1.38bc | 20.36 b | 2.69 b | 67.89 a | 6.480fg | 23.19b |
| $S_2 \times N_4$ | 2.17a | 27.31a | 10.90 a | 2.78abc | 1.39b | 21.00 a | 3.00 a | 70.00 a | 8.860 de | 25.03a |
| $S_3 \times N_0$ | 1.23f | 18.87g | 6.90 h | 2.32f | 1.16d | 16.67f | 1.67 g | 37.95 hi | 5.010 i | 18.48fg |
| $S_3 \times N_1$ | 1.89cd | 22.09def | 8.60 fg | 2.42def | 1.20d | 17.86 e | 1.91ef | 42.69fg | 11.94 c | 22.33bc |
| $S_3 \times N_2$ | 1.96bcd | 21.54ef | 8.31g | 2.75 abc | 1.37bc | 18.96 d | 2.25 cd | 48.96 e | 13.09 b | 20.02def |
| $S_3 \times N_3$ | 2.07ab | 22.43de | 8.72efg | 2.61cdef | 1.30 c | 19.84 c | 2.57 b | 52.19 d | 11.68 c | 19.25efg |
| $S_3 \times N_4$ | 1.96bcd | 24.46bc | 9.10ef | 2.02g | 1.00 e | 20.44 b | 2.67 b | 57.69 c | 9.240 d | 21.18cd |
| Level of sig. | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 4.11 | 4.62 | 3.56 | 6.44 | 3.39 | 1.49 | 3.42 | 3.70 | 3.12 | 4.48 |

In a column, figures with the same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT).

^{** =}Significant at 1% level of probability

^{* =}Significant at 5% level of probability, ** =Significant at 1% level of probability

 $S_1 = 45 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}, S_2 = 45 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}, S_3 = 45 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$

 $N_0 = 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, $N_1 = 80 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, $N_3 = 160 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, $N_4 = 200 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$

The yield and yield contributing characters were significantly influenced by the interaction between spacing and levels of nitrogen (Table 3). The highest number of cobs plant⁻¹ (2.17), cob length with husk (27.31 cm), cob length without husk (10.90 cm), cob yield with husk (21.00 t ha⁻¹), cob yield without husk (3.00 t ha⁻¹), fodder yield (70.00 t ha⁻¹) and protein content of cob (25.03 %) were observed in S₂×N₄ (45 cm \times 20 cm spacing with 200 kg N ha⁻¹) (Table 3). The performance of the yield components cumulatively resulted in the highest yield and fodder yield performance of baby corn. The result coincides with the findings of Kunjir et al. (2007), who conducted a field experiment on sweet corn and observed that close spacing of 45 cm × 20 cm resulted in significantly higher cob yield, stover yield and total biomass yield than the remaining wider spacing (60 cm × 20 cm and 75 cm \times 20 cm). Singh *et al.* (2013) reported that maize fertilized with 160 kg N/ha registered significantly higher yield attributes viz. cob length, cob girth, grain rows cob⁻¹, grains row⁻¹, grains cob⁻¹, 1000-grain weight, ten cobs weight, grain weight/ten cobs, shelling percentage, grain yield (6240 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield over 40 kg kg ha⁻¹, but it was at par with 120 N kg/ha. Similarly increase in yield attributes with nitrogen application has been reported by Kumar et al. (2014). Variable baby corn yield, total green fodder yield as well as dry fodder yield was found at different planting geometry reported by Dar et al. (2014). Kunjir et al. (2007) conducted a field experiment on sweet corn and observed that close spacing of 45 cm × 20 cm resulted in significantly higher cob yield, stover yield and total biomass yield than the remaining wider spacing.

Conclusion

The highest cob length with husk, cob diameter with husk, cob diameter without husk, cob yield with husk, cob yield without husk, fodder yield and protein content of cob were found at 45 cm × 20 cm spacing while the lowest values were recorded at 45 cm × 10 cm spacing. The highest number of leaves plant⁻¹, total dry matter (TDM) at 30 DAS, chlorophyll content at 65 DAS, number of cobs plant⁻¹, cob length with husk, cob length without husk, cob diameter with husk, cob yield with husk, cob yield without husk, fodder yield and protein content of cob were observed by at 45 cm × 20 cm spacing fertilized with 200 kg N ha⁻¹. It may be concluded that baby corn can be cultivated at 45 cm \times 20 cm spacing fertilized with 200 kg N ha⁻¹ to obtain higher cob yield, quality of cob and green fodder from the same plant.

Acknowledgement

The financial assistance of the Ministry of Science and Technology, Govt. of the People's Republic of Bangladesh to carry out the research work is thankfully acknowledged.

References

- Almaz, M. G., Halim, R. A. and Martini, M. Y. 2017. Effect of Combined Application of Poultry Manure and Inorganic Fertiliser on Yield and Yield Components of Maize Intercropped with Soybean. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 40 (1): 173 184.
- Bhushan. A and Khare, N. 2018. Effect of organic manure and spacing on the growth and yield performance of baby corn under poplar based agroforestry system. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(3): 3015-3019. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.703.349
- Dar, E. A., Harika, A. S., Datta, A. and Jat, H. S. 2014a. Growth, yield and economic returns from the dual purpose baby corn (*Zea mays*) under different planting geometry and nitrogen levels. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(3): 468-470.
- Das, S., Yadav, V.K., Kwatra, A., Jat, M.L., Rakshit, S., Kaul, J., Prakash, O., Singh, I., Singh, K.P. and Sekhar, J.C. 2008. Baby corn in India. DMR Technical Bulletin 6. Directorate of Maize Research, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Pusa campus, New Delhi. pp. 1-45.
- Dutta, D., Mudi, D.D. and Thentu, T. L. 2015. Effect of irrigation levels and planting geometry on growth, cob yield and water use efficiency of baby corn (*Zea mays L.*). *Journal Crop and Weed*, 11(2):105-110.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons, New York, second edition. p.680.
- Gozubenli, H., sener, O., Konuskan, O. and Kilinc, M. 2003. Effect of hybrid and plant density on grain yield and yield components of maize. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 48(3): 203-205
- Hussain, A. 2014. Effect of different combinations of organic and inorganic nutrients on productivity and profitability of baby corn varieties in Kashmir Valley. Ph.D. thesis, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, Division of Agronomy, Shalimar Campus, Srinagar–190025.
- Kumar, A., Meena, R. N., Yadav, L. and Gilotia, Y. K. 2014. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient on yield, yield attributes and nutrient uptake of rice cv. PRH-10. *The Biosca*, 9: 595-597.
- Kunjir, S. S., Chavan, S. A., Bhagat, S. B. and Zende, N. B. 2007. Effect of planting geometry, nitrogen levels and micronutrients on the growth and yield of sweet corn. Crop Protection and Production, 2(3): 25-27.
- Lone, A.A., Allai, B. A. and Nehvi, F. A. 2013. Growth, yield and economics of baby corn (Zea mays L.) as influenced by Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 8(37): 4537-4540. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR08.335
- Mathukia, R.K., Choudhary, R.P., Shivran, A. and Bhosale, N. 2014:
 Response of rabi sweet corn to plant geometry and fertilizer. *Current Advance in Agriculture Science*, 6(2):196-198. https://doi.org/10.5958/2394-4471.2014.00020.3
- Nand, V. 2015. Effect of spacing and fertility levels on protein content and yield of hybrid and composite maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown in rabi season. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture & Veterinary Science*, 8: 26-31.
- Neupane, M.P., Singh, R.K., Kumar, R. and Kumari, A. 2011. Quality and yield performance of baby corn (*Zea mays L.*) as influenced by nitrogen sources and row spacing. *Journal of Environment and Ecology*, 29:1215-1218.
- Rathika, S., Velayudham, K. and Thava prakash, N. 2009. Influence of nutrients and plant growth regulators on growth and yield of baby corn. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 96(1-6): 121-122.
- Roy, S., Sengupta, A., Barman, M., Puste A. M. and Gunri, S. K. 2015. Effect of irrigation and nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and water use of summer baby corn (Zea

- mays L.) in new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Journal Crop and Weed*, 11(2): 111-116.
- Salahuddin, M and Ivy, M.A. 2003. Cultivation of Maize. Production and Uses of Maize in Bangladesh (In Benglai). pp.29-35.
- Sangoi, L. 2001. Understanding plant density effects on maize growth and development: an important issue to maximize grain yield. *Ciencia Rural*, 31(1): 159-168. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782001000100027
- Shakarami G, Rafiee M. 2009. Rsponse of corn (Zea mays L.) to planting pattern and density in Iran. American Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science, 5(1): 69-73.
- Singh, M. K., Singh, R. N., Singh, S. P., Yadav, M. K. and Singh, V. K. 2010. Integrated nutrient management for higher yield, quality and profitability of baby corn (*Zea mays*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55(2): 100-104.
- Singh, P., Rana, N. S., Shukla, U. N., Singh, S., Kumar, R. and Kumar, K. 2013. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on production potential of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under indogangatic plain zone of western U.P. *The Bioscan*, 8: 777-781.
- UNDP, FAO. 1988. Land Resources Appraisal of Bangladesh for Agricultural Development. Report No. 2. Agro-Ecological Regions of Bangladesh. United Nations Development Program and Food and Agriculture Organization. Bangladesh Agril Res. Coun., Farmgate, Dhaka. pp. 212-221.
- Verma, A. K., Harika, A. S., Singh, P. K., Kaur, K. and Yadav, A. 2012. International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture for Food and Livelihood Security (701-702), Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.
- Zarapkar, D. S. 2006. Effect of spacing on growth and yield of baby corn. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli (India).