

# Nosocomial Bloodstream Infections in Children in Intensive Care Unit: Organisms, Sources, Their Sensitivity Pattern and Outcome of Treatment

SA TAUHID<sup>a</sup>, MAKA CHOWDHURY<sup>b</sup>, MM HOQUE<sup>c</sup>, MA KAMAL<sup>d</sup>, E HAQUE<sup>e</sup>

## Summary:

**Background:** Nosocomial bloodstream infection in paediatric ICU is a leading, preventable infectious complication in critically ill patients and has a negative impact on patient's outcome. This study was done to determine the type of pathogens responsible for nosocomial infections and its sensitivity pattern, to evaluate the probable sources (fomites) of nosocomial infections and also to compare the outcome of treatment between children with and without nosocomial bloodstream infections in terms of length of ICU stay and mortality

**Material and methods:** This study was conducted in the intensive care unit of Dhaka Shishu(children) hospital. Children between 0-5 years of age were included in the study. Blood culture positive case at the time of admission and Children discharged or died within 48 hours of admission were excluded. When children clinically suspected to have nosocomial infections, their blood culture and swab culture of probable sources were done.

**Results:** Out 110 patients, 23(20.9%) patients developed nosocomial BSI. Neonates were found to be more susceptible to develop nosocomial BSI. Most of the organisms (86%) were Gram negative bacilli. *Klebsiella* was

the most common pathogens (30.78%) followed by *acinetobacter* (21.73%), *E-coli* (13.04%), *Pseudomonas* (8.7%). Type of micro-organisms and their sensitivity pattern obtained from blood culture and sources culture of corresponding patient were almost similar which indicate the clue for probable source of nosocomial infection. Microorganisms were almost sensitive to Imipenem but there were high resistance to commonly used antibiotics including third generation cephalosporins. ICU acquired infections increase hospital mortality and duration of hospital stay.

**Conclusion:** Nosocomial bloodstream infections in children in ICU are associated with high mortality rate and prolong hospital stay. Neonates are more susceptible to develop nosocomial BSI than children aged above 28 days. Gram negative organisms are predominant isolates and are developing resistance to commonly used antibiotics including third generation cephalosporin. Imipenem is the most effective and reliable antibiotic option. Fomites especially health care device including IV canula, suction catheter, endotracheal tubes, oxygen mask are the important probable sources of nosocomial infections.

(J Bangladesh Coll Phys Surg 2017; 35: 115-122)

## Introduction:

Nosocomial infections (NI) constitute a major health problem associated with high morbidity, mortality and increase of health cost, especially in pediatric intensive care unit (PICU).<sup>1</sup> Nosocomial infections or hospital

acquired infections defined as those not present or incubating at the time of hospital admission and developing 48 hours or more after admission.<sup>2</sup> The most NI occurred in pediatric intensive care unit.<sup>3</sup> A Majority of it occur in preterm and term infant that require intensive care.<sup>4</sup> Blood stream infections (BSI) is the most common nosocomial infections in PICU.<sup>5</sup> It is independently associated with a three-fold increased risk of death.<sup>6</sup>

Device associated nosocomial infections frequently occur in pediatric and neonatal intensive care unit.<sup>7</sup> The isolation of known pathogens from some of the equipment and other fomites shows that they can be sources of infection to patients.<sup>8</sup> Health care-associated infections are often due to multidrug resistant pathogens and are different from those encountered in community-acquired infections.<sup>2</sup> Empiric antibiotic treatment from

- Dr. Syed Ahsan Tauhid, Junior Consultant (ped), Infectious Diseases Hospital, Mohakhali, Dhaka.
- Prof. MA K Azad Chowdhury, Professor and Head, Department of Neonatology, Bangladesh Institute of Child Health, Dhaka.
- Prof. MD. Mahbubul Hoque, Professor, Bangladesh Institute of Child Health, Dhaka
- Dr. M A KAMAL, Registrar, Dhaka Shishu Hospital, Dhaka.
- Dr. Emdadul Haque, Registrar, Dhaka Shishu Hospital, Dhaka

**Address of Correspondence:** Syed Ahsan Tauhid, Junior Consultant (ped), Infectious Diseases Hospital, Mohakhali, Dhaka, Ph: 01711239150, e-mail: dr\_tauhid@yahoo.com

**Received:** 27 Nov. 2015

**Accepted:** 21 June 2017

the first hour reduces mortality in severe sepsis and septic shock.<sup>9</sup> But in empiric treatment, Knowledge about local pathogens and their sensitivity is essential. Pourakabari et al<sup>5</sup> have shown, Gm negative bacilli are the most frequent pathogen in nosocomial infections in pediatric patients. But in according to NNISS (USA) report<sup>10</sup>, coagulase negative Staphylococcus were the most common isolates. The antibiotic resistance of nosocomial infections is rapidly increasing.<sup>11</sup> Nosocomial BSI with multidrug resistant pathogens are difficult to treat and are associated with increased mortality.<sup>12</sup> Progressive antimicrobial resistance threatens the previous knowledge of our primary treatment approach against bacterial pathogens.<sup>13</sup>

To minimize the infection in PICU with optimal cost effective care, every ICU should have its own strategy for prevention and treatment of BSI.<sup>14</sup> There is very little data from Bangladesh documenting the prevalence and trend of nosocomial blood stream infections in children in intensive care unit. This study was done to determine the type of pathogens responsible for nosocomial infections and its sensitivity pattern, to evaluate the probable sources (fomites) of nosocomial infections and also to compare the outcome of treatment between children with and without nosocomial bloodstream infections in terms of length of ICU stay and mortality which would serve as a reference based recommendation.

#### **Material and method:**

This study was conducted in the intensive care unit of Dhaka Shishu (children) hospital which was a combined ICU for all age group of children during the study period from January 2008 to November 2008. All the children between 0-59 months of age admitted to ICU during the study period were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were (1) blood culture positive case at the time of admission, (2) children discharged or died within 48 hours of admission, (3) attendants of those children not interested to continue participate in the study.

Blood samples of all study patients were sent for culture on admission. Patients who had positive blood culture on admission were excluded from the study. Remaining were followed up and examined everyday to observe the development of any sign-symptoms of nosocomial infections. When clinically suspected to have nosocomial infections, their blood sample were taken

aseptically and culture and sensitivity was done. Those children who were culture positive, considered development of nosocomial infections. Swab were taken from the probable source such as Endotracheal tube, Suction catheter, I/V canula, oxygen mask from all culture positive cases and their culture and sensitivity test were done. Other investigations also were done as necessary.

PICU acquired nosocomial infections were defined according to the centre for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC).<sup>15</sup> Infections that commenced at or after 48 hrs of admission to the PICU were included as PICU acquired infections. Bloodstream infections were defined as the biological documentation of infection, i.e., the result of a positive blood culture.

Permission of ethical board of Dhaka Shishu Hospital was taken. Informed written consents were taken from the parents/attendance after explanation.

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 12. Association between nosocomial BSI status and socio-demographic factors were sought through cross tabulation and chi square test. Quantitative variables were compared by comparison of mean through independent t test. The association was considered significant at p value < 0.05.

#### **Result:**

During the study total 110 patients were enrolled and analyzed for the study. Out of 110 patients, neonates were 67 (60.9%), 31 (28.2%) were aged between 29-365 days 12 (10.9%) aged above 365 days. About two third (67.3%) of the patients were male and rest (32.7%) of the patients were female giving a male-female ratio about 2:1. Out of 110 patients, 73 (66.4%) patients were from urban area and remaining 37 (33.6%) were from rural area. Majority of the patients (71.8%) were belonging to middle income group. Lower income group were 19 (17.3%) and upper income group were the least (10.9%). (Table-I)

During study period 23 (20.9%) patients out 110 patients developed nosocomial BSI confirmed by blood culture and rest of 87 (79.1%) were found to be culture negative (Table-II). Out of 23 patients found positive for blood culture, predominate isolates (20, 86.95%) of were Gram negative Bacteria. Klebsiella pneumoniae was the most common pathogens (8, 34.78%) in blood culture followed by acinetobacter spp (5, 21.73%), E-

**Table-I**

| <i>Demographic characteristics of the study patients</i> |           |         |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Age of the child   | Frequency | Percent |
| 0 - 28 days  | 67        | 60.9    |
| 29 - 365 days  | 31        | 28.2    |
| >365 days  | 12        | 10.9    |
| Total  | 110       | 100     |
| Sex  |           |         |
| Male   | 74        | 67.3    |
| Female   | 36        | 32.7    |
| Total  | 110       | 100.0   |
| Place of Residence                                       |           |         |
| Urban  | 73        | 66.4    |
| Rural  | 37        | 33.6    |
| Socio-economic status                                    |           |         |
| Lower income group                                       | 19        | 17.3    |
| Middle income group                                      | 79        | 71.8    |
| Higher income group                                      | 12        | 10.9    |
| Total  | 110       | 100.0   |

**Table-II**

| <i>Distribution of the children by Blood culture status during the study period</i> |           |         |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Blood culture status  | Frequency | Percent |
| Positive  | 23        | 20.9    |
| Negative  | 87        | 79.1    |
| Total   | 110       | 100.0   |

coli (3, 13.04%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (2, 8.7%), Serratia spp (2, 8.7%), Candida (2, 8.7%) and Staphylococcus spp (1, 4.35%) (Table-III). Swab culture from the probable sources (fomites) used by the culture positive children as endotracheal tube, Suction catheter, I/V canula, oxygen mask showed that Gram negative Bacteria were the predominate microorganisms. The most common pathogens in swab culture were Klebsiella pneumoniae (6, 30%) followed by Acinetobacter spp (4, 20%), E.coli(2, 10%),

Pseudomonas (2, 10%), Serratia (2, 10%), Staphylococcus spp (15%) and Candida (5%) (Table-IV). Type of organisms obtained from blood culture and swab cultures of probable sources (fomites) of corresponding patient were almost similar. Frequency of microorganisms obtained from blood culture and their sources culture and their sensitivity pattern were also almost similar. Most of the organisms obtained from blood culture and sources culture were almost sensitive to Imipenem but there were high resistance to commonly used antibiotics including Ceftriaxon, Ceftazidim, Amikacin, Gentamycin, Chloramphenicol and Ciprofloxacin. E. Coli, serratia, pseudomonas and Staphylococcus were 100% sensitive to Imipenem but Klebsiella were 87% sensitive to Imipenem in blood culture and 83% sensitive to sources culture. In blood culture, Ceftriaxon, 25% sensitive to Klebsiella, 20% sensitive to Acinetobacter, 50% sensitive to E-coli, 50% sensitive to Serratia, 0% sensitive to Pseudomonas and 33% sensitive to Staphylococcus and in sources culture, Ceftriaxon, 33% sensitive to Klebsiella, 25% sensitive to Acinetobacter, 50% sensitive to E-coli, 50% sensitive to Serratia, 0% sensitive to Pseudomonas and 100% sensitive to Staphylococcus. These organisms were 100% resistant to Amoxicillin, Cotrimoxazol and Cephadrin. Ceftazidim and amikacin were relatively better sensitive to Pseudomonas and E coli than Klebsiella and Acinetobacter. (Table –V).

In the study, 19 (28.35%) children aged 0-28days (neonates) developed nosocomial BSI and 4 (9.3%) children aged above 28 days developed nosocomia BSI indicating that neonates are more susceptible to develop nosocomial BSI than children aged above 28 days ( $P < 0.02$ ) (Table-VI). During the study, out of 110 patients, 6 (5.45%) patients were discharged on risk bond (DORB). Among 104 patients, 90 (86.5%) patients improved and 14 (13.5%) patients died. Among the 23 patients who developed nosocomial bloodstream infections, 6 (26.1%) died and among 81 patients without nosocomial bloodstream infections, 8 (9.9%) died. The difference is statistically significant. The proportion of fatality is significantly higher in children with nosocomial BSI ( $P < .05$ ) (Table-VII). Length of hospital stay of children with nosocomial BSI in ICU in our study was about four days more than Children without nosocomial BSI ( $P < .01$ ) (Table -VIII).

**Table-III***Pattern of micro-organisms in blood culture positive cases (n=23)*

| Micro-organisms in blood Culture |                | Number | Percent |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Gm(-)ve                          | Klebsiella     | 8      | 34.78   |
|                                  | Acinotobacter  | 5      | 21.73   |
|                                  | E-coli         | 3      | 13.04   |
|                                  | Serratia       | 2      | 08.70   |
|                                  | Pseudomonus    | 2      | 08.70   |
| Gm (+)ve                         | Staphylococcus | 1      | 04.35   |
|                                  | Candida        | 2      | 08.70   |
| Total                            |                | 23     | 100     |

**Table-IV***Micro-organisms isolated from probable sources (fomites) culture of the blood culture positive patients*

| Micro-organisms isolated from sources culture | Possible sources |                  |            |             | Total (n) |          |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
|   | ET Tube          | SuctionCath. Tip | I/V canula | Oxygen mask |           |          |
| Gm (-) ve.                                    | Klebsiella       | 2                | 2          | 1           | 1         | 06 (30%) |
|   | Acinotobacter    | 2                | 1          | 1           | 0         | 04 (20%) |
|   | E-coli           | 1                | 1          | 0           | 0         | 02 (10%) |
|   | Serratia         | 0                | 0          | 1           | 1         | 02 (10%) |
|   | Pseudomonus      | 1                | 1          | 0           | 0         | 02 (10%) |
| Gm (+)ve.                                     | Staphylococcus   | 1                | 0          | 2           | 0         | 03 (15%) |
|   | Candida          | 0                | 1          | 0           | 0         | 01 (5%)  |
| Total   |                  | 7                | 6          | 5           | 2         | 20       |

**Table-V***Sensitivity pattern of micro-organisms in blood culture and culture of the sources of corresponding patients*

| Organisms      | Culture     | Imipenem (%) | Ceftioxon (%) | Chloramphenicol (%) | Amikacin (%) | Ceftazidim (%) | Ciprofloxacin (%) | Gentamicin (%) | Cefradine (%) | Amoxicillin (%) | Cotrimoxazol (%) |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Klebsiella     | Blood(8)    | 87           | 25            | 25                  | 25           | 12.5           | 12.5              | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                |
|                | Sources(6)  | 83           | 33            | 33                  | 33           | 17             | 17                | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                |
| Acinotobacter  | Blood(5)    | 80           | 20            | 0                   | 0            | 20             | 0                 | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                |
|                | Sources(4)  | 75           | 25            | 0                   | 0            | 25             | 0                 | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                |
| E-coli         | Blood (3)   | 100          | 67            | 33                  | 33           | 33             | 0                 | 33             | 0             | 0               | 0                |
|                | Sources (2) | 100          | 50            | 0                   | 50           | 50             | 0                 | 50             | 0             | 0               | 0                |
| Serratia       | Blood (2)   | 100          | 50            | 0                   | 0            | 50             | 0                 | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                |
|                | Sources (2) | 100          | 50            | 0                   | 0            | 00             | 0                 | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                |
| Pseudomonas    | Blood (2)   | 100          | 0             | 50                  | 50           | 50             | 50                | 50             | 0             | 0               | 0                |
|                | Sources (2) | 100          | 0             | 50                  | 50           | 50             | 50                | 50             | 0             | 0               | 0                |
| Staphylococcus | Blood (1)   | 100          | 100           | 0                   | 100          | 0              | 100               | 100            | 0             | 0               | 0                |
|                | Sources (3) | 100          | 33            | 0                   | 33           | 0              | 33                | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                |

(Candida is not shown in the table)

**Table-VI***Distribution of the age of the child and nosocomial BSI status.*

| Age of the child        | Nosocomial BSI |             | Total     |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
|                         | Positive       | Negative    |           |
| 0- 28days(Neonates)     | 19 (28.36%)    | 48 (71.64%) | 67 (100%) |
| > 28 days(Non Neonates) | 4 (9.3%)       | 39 (90.7%)  | 43 (100%) |
| Total                   | 23             | 87          | 110       |

Chi-Square=5.75 df=1 P&lt;0.02

**Table-VII***Outcome of treatment between with and without nosocomial BSI.*

| Outcome of treatment | Status of nosocomial BSI |            | Total       |
|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|
|                      | Positive                 | Negative   |             |
| Improved             | 17 (73.9%)               | 73(90.1%)  | 90(86.5%)   |
| Deceased             | 06(26.1%)                | 08(9.9%)   | 14(13.5%)   |
| Total                | 23(100.0%)               | 81(100.0%) | 104(100.0%) |

Chi-Square=4.014 df=1 P=.044

**Table-VIII***Comparison of hospital stays in children with and without nosocomial BSI .*

| Nosocomial BSI | N  | Days Mean | SD   | T    | P Value |
|----------------|----|-----------|------|------|---------|
| Positive       | 23 | 12.2      | 4.24 | 2.94 | 0.004   |
| Negative       | 87 | 8.8       | 5.02 |      |         |

**Discussion:**

Nosocomial infections and antimicrobial resistance in the ICU is a major deterrent to patients outcome, increasing duration of patients stay in hospital as well as expense.<sup>16</sup> The risk of nosocomial infections depend on the host characteristics, the number of interventions, invasive procedure, asepsis of techniques, the duration of stay in PICU, and inappropriate use of antimicrobials.<sup>17</sup> First four weeks are the most susceptible period of getting nosocomial infection.<sup>4,18,19</sup> In our study showed neonates are more susceptible to develop nosocomial bloodstream infection than children age above 28 days.

Patients in ICU acquire nosocomial bloodstream infection faster than that of non ICU, probably it is due to the fact that patients in ICU are exposed to a greater

number of reservoirs and sources of microorganisms.<sup>20</sup> In children those are likely to be more frequent and serious in developing countries. Some of possible factors for this may be malnourished state of patients, delayed presentation to referral centers and multi-organ involvement at admission.<sup>21</sup> The prevalence of nosocomial infections n ICU in our study is higher than the study of Dugupta et al<sup>22</sup> (11.98%). Our study was comparable with the study with Porto et al<sup>1</sup> (22.1%) and Wahab et al<sup>23</sup> (21.4%).

According to the NNISS report<sup>10</sup>, Gram positive pathogens responsible for majority of the nosocomial bloodstream infections. Common pathogens in pediatric intensive care units are- Coagulase-negative staphylococci followed by Enterococcus, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterobacter spp. Candida

albicans, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Acinetobacter* spp. *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Citrobacter*, Fungi, Group B streptococcus. But most studies especially in developing countries showed, Gram negative organisms are the major pathogens in nosocomial BSI.<sup>1,3,5,11,18</sup> *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli* and *Acinetobacter* are the leading Gram negative isolates.<sup>1,3,5,11,18</sup> Dal-Bo et al showed,<sup>19</sup> in neonatal ICU coagulase-negative staphylococci is common isolate in blood culture. Gram-negative bacteria, especially *Klebsiella* spp., were the predominant causes of neonatal NI, as has been described in other studies from developing countries.<sup>23</sup> In our study most of the isolated in culture were Gram negative organisms. *Klebsiella* were the common pathogen followed by *Acinetobacter*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Serratia*, *Candida* and staphylococcus which has similarity with the result of Chowdhury et al.<sup>18</sup> and Wahab et al.<sup>23</sup>

According to WHO antimicrobial resistance global report 2014, antimicrobial resistance to common bacteria has reached alarming levels in many parts of the world.<sup>24</sup> Systematic reviews of the scientific evidence showed that antibacterial resistance had a negative impact on outcomes for patients and health-care expenditures.<sup>24</sup> Most bacteria isolated from ICU of Fatmawati hospital in Indonesia were shown resistant to the third generation cephalosporins and quinolone antibiotics.<sup>25</sup> Ahmed et al<sup>12</sup> showed of all available antimicrobial agents, carbapenems are the most active and reliable treatment options for infections which are similar with our study. According to WHO report,<sup>24</sup> *Klebsiella* was 100% sensitive to Carbapenem in Bangladesh. *E-coli* are 59% resistant (41% sensitive) and *Klebsiella* was 97.8% resistant (2.2% sensitive) to Ceftriaxon in Bangladesh. In our study 25-33% *Klebsiella* (blood and swab culture) and 50% *E-coli*, were sensitive to Ceftriaxon. Cefazidim and amikacin were relatively better sensitive to *Pseudomonas* and *E coli* than *Klebsiella* and *Acinetobacter*.

The possible contributory role of fomites in the spread of nosocomial infections in ICU patients has been demonstrated in different literature.<sup>7,8,26</sup> International Infection Control Consortium (INICC) study<sup>26</sup> conducted in Turkey showed that device-associated healthcare-acquired infections (DA-HAI) pose a threat

to patient safety, particularly in the intensive care unit (ICU). Common device-associated healthcare-acquired infections (DA-HAI) were central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs), ventilator-associated pneumonias (VAPs) and catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs).<sup>26</sup> The pathogenesis of device-related infection is not completely defined, but these infections are related to the development of biofilms, organized communities of microorganisms protected from the immune system and antimicrobial therapy, host susceptibility, device composition, duration of implantation, and exposure to colonizing organisms.<sup>27</sup> In our study fomites especially health care device including IV canula, suction tubes, endotracheal tubes, oxygen mask are considered to be important sources of nosocomial bloodstream infection in intensive care unit. Similarity of the types of micro organisms, frequency of micro organisms, and similarity of sensitivity pattern of the micro organisms obtained from blood culture and swab culture of probable sources (fomites) of corresponding patient indicating that fomites like ET tube, suction catheter, I/V canula, oxygen mask etc may be the probable sources of nosocomial bloodstream infections.

Nosocomial infections in PICU are associated with increased mortality and length of stay in hospital.<sup>3</sup> Crude mortality rate of children (median age 2.8 years) in a pediatric ICU was shown 12.9%.<sup>28</sup> Ahmed et al<sup>12</sup> showed, crude mortality rate with bloodstream infections in ICU was 38%. Our study found 26.1% fatal outcome of children from ICU acquired bloodstream infection. The outcome of treatment is significantly better in children without nosocomial infection (9.9%). Mortality rate strongly correlate with nosocomial BSI. Prowly et al<sup>6</sup> showed that BSI was associated with an 18.7% increase in crude hospital mortality which is similar with our study (16.2%) Nosocomial infections leads to extra hospital stay.<sup>26</sup> In patients with nosocomial infection in a tertiary care teaching hospital in India, the mean PICU stay was 17.31 days which was higher than our study.<sup>29</sup> Length of hospital stay of children with nosocomial BSI in ICU in our study was about four days more than Children without nosocomial infection. It increases the treatment cost of patient. The prevention of these infections in ICU through specific intervention may reduce the ICU treatment cost.

**Limitations of the study**

- Study was done in only one centre for a short period of time.
- Small sample size.
- Randomization in recruitment of subjects could not be achieved.
- Possible confounding factors were not adjusted for the study.

**Conclusion:**

Nosocomial bloodstream infections in children in ICU are associated with high mortality and hospital stay. Neonates are more susceptible to develop nosocomial BSI than children aged above 28 days. Gram negative Organisms are most common isolates and are developing resistance predominantly to commonly used antibiotics including third generation cephalosporins. Imipenem is the most effective and reliable antibiotic option. Fomites especially health care device including IV canula, suction catheter, endotracheal tubes, oxygen mask are the important probable sources of nosocomial bloodstream infections.

**Recommendations**

- Standard operating procedure with adequate protective protocol should be maintained in the ICU to reduce nosocomial infection and its consequences.
- The choice of antimicrobial agents in initial empirical treatment should be depend on the knowledge of local pathogens and their susceptibility.
- Large multi centre study can be done for further evaluating of these findings.

**References:**

1. Porto JP, Mantese OC, Arantase A, Freitas C, Filho PPG, Ribas RM. Nosocomial infections in a pediatric intensive care unit of a developing country : NHSN surveillance. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* 2012; 45(4). Available from: doi.org/ 10.1590/S0037-86822012005000003. [Accessed 10<sup>th</sup> July 2016].
2. Chin-Hong PV, Guglielmo BJ. Common Problems in Infectious Diseases &Antimicrobial Therapy. In: Papadakis MA, Mcphee SJ, editors. *Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment* 2016. 55<sup>th</sup> edition. USA: Mc Graw-Hill education; 2016. p 1267-1309.
3. Hamed AK, Amirian MA, Kouzegaran S. Nosocomial infections and antibiotic administration in pediatric department, Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad,Iran. *International Journal of Pediatrics* 2014; 2(2): 157-161.
4. Chusid MJ, Rotar MM. Infection prevention and control. In: Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, Geme JMS, Schor NF, editors. *Nelson textbook of pediatrics*. 20th edition. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Elsevier; 2016. P 1260-1263.
5. Pourakabari B, Rezaizadeh G, Mahmoudi S, Mamishi S. Epidemiology of nosocomial infections in pediatric patients n Iranian referral hospital 2012; 53: 204-206.
6. Prowle JR, Echeverri JE, Ligabo EV, Sherry N, Taori GC, Crozier TM et al. Acquired bloodstream infection in the intensive care unit: incidence and attributable mortality. *Critical Care* 2011;15(2):100.
7. Navaeifar MR, Rezaei MS. Device associated nosocomial infection in children. *Journal of Pediatric Review* 2013; 1(2): 25-41.
8. Ikeh EI, Isamade ES. Bacterial Flora of Fomites in a Nigerian Multi disciplinary Intensive Care Unit. *Lab Medicine* 2011; 42: 411-413.
9. Ferrer R, Loeches MI, Phillips G, Osborn TM, Townsend S, Dellinger RP et al. Empiric antibiotic treatment reduces mortality in severe sepsis and septic shock from the first hour: results from a guideline-based performance improvement program. *Critical Care Medicine* 2014; 42(8):1749-55.
10. Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP. Nosocomial infections in pediatric intensive care units in the United States. *National nosocomial infections surveillance system. Critical care Medicine* 1999; 27(5): 887-92.
11. Davoudi AR, Najafi N, Hoseini SM, Abangarkari F. Frequency of bacterial agents isolated from patients with nosocomial infection in teaching hospitals of Mazandaran University of medical sciences in 2012. *Caspian Journal of international medicine* 2014; 5(14): 227-31.
12. Ahmed SH, Daef EA, Badary MS, Mahmoud MA, Abd-Elseyed AA. Nosocomial bloodstream infection in intensive care units at Assiut UniversityHospitals with special reference to extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing organisms. *BMC research notes* 2009; 2:76. Available from : doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-2-76. [Accessed 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2016].
13. Ahmed B, Alam A, Nessa R, Yasmin R,Selimuzzaman M, Ehsan A. (eds.) *Standard management guideline for Infectious diseases in Bangladesh*. Dhaka: Directorate General of Health Services, Bangladesh; 2013.
14. Hamid MA, Zafar A, and Maqbool S. Nosocomial bloodstream infection in a tertiary care paediatric intensive care unit. *Journal of the college of physicians and surgeons* 2007; 17: 410-419.
15. Garner JS, Jarvis WR, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughes JM. CDC definition for nosocomial infections. In: Olmsled RN, editor. *APIC infection control and applied epidemiology: Principles and practice*. St Louis: Mosby; 1996. p. 1-20.

16. Zaveri JR, Patel SM, Nayak SN, Desai K, Patel P. A study of bacteriological profile and drug sensitivity & resistance pattern of isolates of the patients admitted in intensive care units of a tertiary care hospital in ahmedabad. *National journal of Medical Research* 2012; 2(3): 330-334.
17. Lodha R, Chandra U, Natchu M, Nanda M, And Kabra K. Nosocomial infections in pediatric intensive care units. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*. 2001; 68:1-4.
18. Chowdhury CB, Barua S, Ferdous J, Chowdhury N. Sensitivity pattern of micro organisms of septicemia in neonatal intensive care unit of a tertiary hospital, Bangladesh. *Academic Journal of pediatrics & neonatology* 2016; 2(2). Available from: <http://www.AcadJPedNeonol>. 2016; 2(2): 555585. [Accessed on 19<sup>th</sup> December 2016].
19. Die-Bo K, Silva RM, Sakae TM. Nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive care unit in south Brazil. *Revista Brasileira ter intensiva* 2012; 24(4):381-385.
20. Suljagic V, Cobeljic M, Jankovic S, Mikic D. Nosocomial bloodstream infection in ICU and non ICU patient. *American journal of infections control* 2005; 35: 333-34.
21. Singhi S, Ray P, Joseph L, Methew M, Joyasree and Dhanalaxmi. Nosocomial bloodstream infection in a pediatric intensive care unit. *Indian journal of pediatrics* 2008; 75: 25-30.
22. Dasgupta S, Das S, Neeraj S, Chawan NS, Hazra A. Nosocomial infections in the intensive care unit: Incidence, risk factors, outcome and associated pathogens in a public tertiary teaching hospital of Eastern India. *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine* 2015; 19(1): 14-20.
23. Wahab FA, Ghoneim M, Khashaba M, Gilany AH, Hady DA. Nosocomial infection surveillance in an Egyptian neonatal intensive care unit. *The Journal of Hospital Infection* 2013; 83(3): 196-199
24. WHO. Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance. 2014. ISBN 978 92 4156478. Available on the WHO website [www.who.int](http://www.who.int).
25. Radji M, Fauziah S, Aribinuka N. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of bacterial pathogens in the intensive care of Fatmawati Hospital, Indonesia. *Asia pacific Journal of tropical Biomedicine* 2011; 1(1): 39-42.
26. Leblebicioglu H, Erben N, Rosenthal VD, Atasay B, Erbay A, Serhat Unal S, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) national report on device associated infection rates in 19 cities of Turkey, data summary for 2003-2012. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials* 2014;13:51.
27. Wolf J, Flynn PM. Infection associated with medical devices. In: Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, Geme JMS, Schor NF, editors. *Nelson textbook of pediatrics*. 20th edition. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Elsevier; 2016. p 1295-1297.
28. Siddiqui NR, Ashraf Z, Humaira Jurair H, Haque A. Mortality patterns among critically ill children in a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit of a developing country. *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine* 2015;19(3):147-150.
29. Ahirrao VS, Mauskar A, Ravi T. Incidence of nosocomial infection in the pediatric intensive care unit of a teaching hospital delivering tertiary level care. *International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics* 2017;4(2):1-5.