Comparison between effects of Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine as sedative in elective Caesarean section under Subarachnoid anaesthesia

**Md Enayet Karim[1], RAM Mustafijur Rashid[2],
Mohammad Saleh Akram[3], Reza Ershad[4] ,
Md. Mustafa Kamal[5], Mehdi Hassan[6]**

[1]Classified Specialist in Anaesthesiology, Combined Military Hospital, Chattogram, [2]Graded Specialist in Anaesthesiology, Combined Military Hospital, Chattogram, [3]Graded Specialist in Anaesthesiology, Combined Military Hospital, Chattogram, [4]Professor of Anaesthesiology, East West Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, [5]Associate Professor, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, [6]Assistant Professor, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University

Corresponding Author: Colonel Md Enayet Karim, Mobile: 01739988644, Email: enayet852@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: *Regional anaesthesia has become an important anaesthetic technique now a days. The use of spinal (subarachnoid) anaesthesia is often limited by the unwillingness of patients to remain awake during surgery. Pharmacologically induced tranquility improves acceptance of regional technique. This study compares Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine in terms of onset and recovery of sedation, haemodynamic effects, respiratory effects and adverse effects of both the drugs during elective Caesarian section under spinal anaesthesia.*

Materials and Methods: *This randomized clinical trial included 60 ASA (American Society of Anaesthesiologists) grade I patients between age 20-40 years undergoing elective Caesarean sections under Subarachnoid anaesthesia during the period January 2022 to June 2022. Patients were randomly allocated to one of two groups: Clonazepam group (Group C, n=30), who received Clonazepam in a single dose of 0.015mg/kg and Dexmedetomidine group (Group D, n=30), who received Dexmedetomidine in a single dose of 2mcg/kg. Spinal anaesthesia was conducted by injecting a hyperbaric solution of 0.5% bupivacaine 3ml through a 25G spinal needle at L3-4 level. All parameters were documented at 5 min intervals until arousal of the patient. The onset of sedation i.e. time from iv (intravenous) injection of Clonazepam or Dexmedetomidine to closure of eye lids (OAA/S score of 3) and the arousal time from sedation i.e. time from closing of the eye lids to OAA/S score of 5 (patient is awake clinically) were noted. Any complication during operation was documented. The patient's satisfaction with the sedation was assessed by the 5 point 'Likert verbal rating scale.'*

Results: *There was no significant difference of mean blood pressure and mean heart rate between the two groups at different time intervals (P>0.05). Time of onset of sedation was significantly delayed in Dexmedetomidine group (P<0.05). Duration of sedation was comparable between the two groups (P value 0.326). Incidence of peroperative complications were comparable between the two groups (P>0.05).*
Conclusion: *Although onset of sedation was significantly delayed in Dexmedetomidine group, duration of sedation was not significantly different between Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine in single dose technique for sedation during Caesarean section. Haemodynamic effects and adverse effects of two drugs were comparable. Thus it is recommended that either Clonazepam or Dexmedetomidine can be used for sedation during subarachnoid block for Caesarean section.*

Key words: Clonazepam, Dexmedetomidine, Sedation, Subarachnoid anaesthesia.

Introduction

Spinal anaesthesia is the method of choice for elective Caesarean section. It allows mother to be involved in the child's delivery but also exposes them to awareness related stress during the procedure. The stress intensity is higher in women undergoing a Caesarean section compared with women delivering spontaneously.[1] The use of pharmacological sedation after extraction of the foetus by Caesarean section under Subarachnoid anaesthesia is useful in some patients e.g. those presenting with high stress. Enhanced stress can result from poor foetal health after delivery, discomfort associated with immobilization on the operating table, chills that accompany anatethesia, nausea, vomiting and environment of operating room.[2]

Sedation is a valuable tool to provide general comfort for the patient. Oversedation may jeopardize the safety of the patient. While levels of sedation progress in a dose response continuum, it is not always possible to predict precisely how an individual patient will respond to a particular dose.[3] Oversedation may be associated with untoward effect of respiratory and cardiovascular depression resulting in higher chances of airway instrumentation and hypotension leading to a prolonged stay in the post anaesthetic care unit, entailing increased burden on staff, bed availability and associated costs.[4,5] Thus judicious use of sedation can make surgeries under spinal anaesthesia more comfortable for the patient, the surgeon and the anaesthesiologist. As a result, it can increase the patient's acceptance of regional anaesthetic technique.[6]

Clonazepam is a long acting benzodiazepine which is primarily used to control seizure attack. It is highly lipophilic, allowing rapid onset of effects in the brain. It is also used as premedicant drug to relieve anxiety preoperatively. However, there is still little information on the efficacy of Clonazepam as sedative in patients undergoing surgery.[7] Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective α2 agonist that has sedative, analgesic, anxiolytic and amnesic effects without a significant respiratory depression. It displays a dose dependent blood pressure response. It has a sympatholytic effect through decreasing the concentration of norepinephrine which in turn decreases the heart rate and blood pressure.[8]

There are a good number of studies regarding the use of sedative agents during regional anaesthesia but it is scarce in case of Caesarian section where a pregnant woman has anatomical and physiological changes from a non-pregnant woman. The aim of this study was to find out the time of onset and recovery from sedation with Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine, to evaluate and compare the properties of both drugs in terms of haemodynamic effects, respiratory effects and adverse effects, as adjuncts to spinal anaesthesia.

Methods and Materials

This randomized clinical trial included 60 ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) grade I patients between age 20-40 years undergoing elective Caesarean sections under Subarachnoid anaesthesia during the period January 2022 to June 2022. The exclusion criteria were positive history of drug allergies, patients suffering from heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, spinal deformity, neurological disorder, any bleeding disorder and unwilling to accept sedation during spinal anaesthesia. Patients were randomly allocated to one of two groups: Clonazepam group (Group C, n=30), who received Clonazepam in a single dose of 0.015mg/kg and Dexmedetomidine group (Group D, n=30), who received Dexmedetomidine in a single dose of 2mcg/kg (over 10min). A written informed consent was taken from all patients. Ethical approval was obtained from proper authority. They were fasted for a minimum of 6 hours before surgery. No preoperative opioid or prophylactic antiemetic were given. No other preoperative medication was allowed. All patients were monitored with electrocardiograph, non-invasive blood pressure and pulse oximeter monitor. Baseline vital parameters were recorded. Preloading was done with 300ml Ringer lactate within 5-10 minutes prior to block. Spinal anaesthesia was conducted by injecting a hyperbaric solution of 0.5% bupivacaine 3ml through a 25G spinal needle at L3-4 level. After spinal block, patients were placed on the operating table in horizontal position. Sedation with Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine was administered after extraction of the foetus . O2 inhalation by ventimask was given when SpO2 (saturation percentage of arterial oxygen) came down below 90% and vasopressor was given if MAP (mean arterial pressure) decreased beyond 20% of baseline. MAP was measured continually at 5 min interval and heart rate (HR), SpO2 were monitored throughout the surgery. All

parameters were documented at 5 min intervals until arousal of the patient. The onset of sedation i.e. time from iv injection of Clonazepam or Dexmedetomidine to closure of eye lids (OAA/S score 3) and the arousal time from sedation i.e. time from closing of the eye lids to OAA/S (Observer's Assessment of Alertness/ Sedation) score of 5 (patient is awake clinically) were noted. Any complication during operation was documented (Figure 1). The patient's satisfaction with the sedation was assessed by the 5 point 'Likert verbal rating scale' with some questions like 'where will you put your experience with this sedation on the scale?' in a language which the patient understands, at a point of time when the patient had a mental state suitable for communication.

Figure 1 : Observer's Assessment of Alertness/ Sedation (OAA/S) Scale:

Category	Observation	Score Level
Responsoveness	Responds readily to name spoken in normal tone	5
	Lethargic response to name spoken in normal tone	4
	Responds only after name is called loudly and/or repeatedly	
	Responds only after mild prodding or shaking	3
		2
	Does not respond to mild prodding or shaking	1
Speech	Normal	5
	Mild slowing or thickening	4
	Slurring or prominent slowing	3
	Few recognizable words	2
Facial expression	Normal	5
	Mild relaxation	4
	Marked relaxation (slack jaw)	3
Eyes	Clear, no ptosis	
	Glazed, or mild ptosis (less than half the eye)	5
		4
	Glazed and marked ptosis (half of the eye or more)	3

Figure 1 : OAA/S scale

Data were analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) for Windows (version 12.0,SPSS Inc,. Chicago, IL, USA). Independent 't' test was used for age, weight, duration of surgery, time for recovery, heart rate, mean arterial pressure and SpO2 at various time intervals. Chi square test was applied for adverse effects and oxygen supplementation. Paired 't' test was applied for intra-group variation in heart rate and mean arterial pressure. Data were expressed in mean, SD and percentage. P<0.05 was taken to be of statistically significant.

Result

60 respondents (30 in each group) were included in this randomized clinical trial. The Group C (Clonazepam group) and Group D (Dexmedetomidine group) were found to be comparable in respect of age, weight, duration of surgery (time from surgical incision to surgical closure) (Table I).

There was no significant difference in Mean arterial pressure between the two groups before Spinal anaesthesia (baseline), after spinal block, before sedative drug administration and after drug administration (Table II).

Mean heart rate between the two groups were not significantly different before Spinal anaesthesia (baseline), after spinal block, before sedative drug administration and after drug administration (Table III).

Onset of sedation was delayed in Dexmedetomidine group (P<0.05). Duration of sedation was comparable between the two groups (P value 0.326). Percentage of patients satisfied with sedation was comparable between the two groups (P value 0.488) (Table IV).

Incidence of complications were comparable between the two groups (Table V).

Table I : Demographic data of the patients under study (N=60)

Variable	Group C (n=30)	Group D (n=30)	P value
Age (years)	28.53±5.4	29.10±4.6	0.655
Weight (kg)	65.53±10.8	67.53±8.7	0.569
Duration of surgery (min)	50.66±5.6	50.65±3.4	0.763

Values are expressed in mean±SD
SD- Standard deviation

Table II : Comparison of MAP (mmHg) in study groups at various time intervals (N=60)

Time Interval	Group C (n=30)	Group D (n=30)	P value
Before Anaesthesia (baseline)	82.1±8.54	80.2±6.88	0.661
After Spinal block	75.8±6.47	75.7±5.43	0.758
Before drug administration	76.4±6.41	74.1±6.42	0.623
After drug administration	73.3±8.41	71.7±8.39	0.610

Values are expressed in mean±SD
SD- Standard deviation

Table III : Comparison of mean heart rate (bpm) in study groups at various time intervals (N=60)

Time Interval	Group C (n=30)	Group D (n=30)	P value
Before Anaesthesia (baseline)	78.9±12.69	78.4±10.39	0.728
After Spinal block	87.4±11.97	88.1±10.51	0.689
Before drug administration	75.6±12.71	78.6±9.84	0.588
After drug administration	81.7±10.08	81.5±11.18	0.743

Values are expressed in mean±SD

SD- Standard deviation

Table IV : Comparison of Sedation characteristics in study groups (N=60)

Variable	Group C (n=30)	Group D (n=30)	P value
Time required for onset of sedation (eye closure) (min)	1.81±0.51	6.54±2.51	<0.05
Arousal time from sedation in min (OAA/S score of 5)	38.3±6.37	26.2±5.38	0.326
Satisfaction with sedation (good)	26 (86.66%)	24 (80%)	0.488

Values are expressed in mean±SD

SD- Standard deviation

Table V : Incidence of complications in study groups (N=60)

Variable	Group C (n=30)	Group D (n=30)	P value
Nausea and Vomiting	6 (20%)	4 (13.33%)	0.488
Chills	4 (13.33%)	2 (6.66%)	0.389
Restlessness	3 (10%)	4 (13.33%)	0.688
Pain in arm	1 (3.33%)	3 (10%)	0.301

Discussion

Pregnant women undergoing elective Caesarean sections under Subarachnoid anaesthesia are often anxious about the unpleasant experience associated with awareness during surgery. After being informed about the possible use of hypnotics after baby extraction, the patients usually more eagerly accept this suggested method of anaesthesia.[2]

The most widely used technique for administering sedation in regional anaesthesia is the intermittent bolus dose technique. This technique has been shown to be associated with peaks and troughs in plasma concentration producing significant side effects and delayed recovery.[9] Continuous infusions have been proved to produce, lesser side effects, faster recovery, easy controllability over the desired depth of sedation but requires some especial equipment e.g. syringe pump, BIS monitor etc, which is expensive and not available everywhere. Moreover, it needs more expertise like interpretation of EEG.[10]

When using sedative medication during regional anaesthesia technique, the anaesthesiologist attempts to titrate the drug to optimize patient comfort while maintaining cardiorespiratory stability and intact protective reflexes. The assessment of depth of sedation has been traditionally performed by observing clinical parameters such as appearance, response to voice, and pain on surgical stimulation. These parameters are qualitative and assessment of response to voice requires patient stimulation, which may itself alter depth of sedation.[11]

We chose the OAA/S scale for assessment of sedation over other scales as it was easier to use, comprehensive and inclusive of parameters such as facial expression and eyelid ptosis in addition to speech and responsiveness, which are not there in other sedation scales.[12] Similarly the OAA/S scale has been shown to have an inter-rater agreement that varies between 85% and 96% depending on the level of sedation, which is higher than most of the other scales used for the same purpose, making it the most suitable choice if precise assessment of sedation is required.[10]

Benzodiazepines via GABAergic receptors produce anxiolysis as well as sedation and anterograde amnesia. Clonazepam is a long acting benzodiazepine which is primarily used to control seizure attack. It is highly lipophilic, allowing rapid onset of effects in the brain. Clonazepam is a benzodiazepine drug with anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant properties. It has long elimination half-life (19-60hrs). It does not have any active metabolite and may be kept at ambient temperature.[13] Dexmedetomidine, a potent and highly selective α2-adrenoceptor agonist, has been safely used to sedate patients under regional anaesthesia. It induces potent sedation through its action on the locus coeruleus, the predominant brainstem nucleus involved in sleep regulation and respiratory control. Compared to traditional sedatives patients

treated with dexmedetomidine have better arousability and cooperation, minimal respiratory depression, and better postoperative cognitive function. Dexmedetomidine is usually given initially as a bolus, followed by continuous infusion. Single-dose dexmedetomidine can also provide adequate sedation during short procedures under spinal anaesthesia.[14]

Jo et al. conducted a randomized trial on 116 adult patients, who were assigned to receive either midazolam (n=58) or dexmedetomidine (n=58) during spinal anaesthesia. Systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial pressure; heart rate, peripheral oxygen saturation, and bispectral index scores were recorded during surgery, and Ramsay sedation scores and postanaesthesia care unit (PACU) stay were monitored. Hypotension occurred more frequently in the midazolam group (P<0.001) and bradycardia occurred more frequently in the dexmedetomidine group (P<0.001). Mean Ramsay sedation score was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group after arrival in the PACU (P=0.025) and PACU stay was significantly longer in the dexmedetomidine group (P=0.003). They concluded that BIS guided dexmedetomidine sedation can attenuate intraoperative hypotension, but induces more bradycardia, prolongs PACU stay, and delays recovery from sedation in patients during and after spinal anaesthesia as compared with midazolam sedation.[15] In our study, haemodynamic effects of Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine were comparable. There was no incidence of bradycardia with dexmedetomidine. Recovery from sedation was comparable between the two groups. Duration of PACU stay was not included in our study.

Hasan HIEA conducted a randomized clinical trial to compare two techniques of moderate sedation for patients undergoing ERCP, using either dexmedetomidine or ketofol as regards haemodynamic, sedation, pain, respiratory effect, recovery time, patients' and endocopists' satisfaction, and complications during and after the procedure. Fifty patients were randomly allocated in one of two groups; dexmedetomidine group D (n=25) received 1mcg/kg i.v. bolus over 10 min followed by 0.5mcg/kg/h or ketamine-propofol (ketofol) group KP (n=25) received 1mg/kg i.v. bolus followed by 50mcg/kg/min. After loading dose, HR and MAP were significantly lower in group D as compared with group KP (P<0.05). HR was significantly lower in group D during the recovery (P <0.05). No significant difference between both groups as regards time to achieve RSS, MAS, FPS and total dose of rescue sedation. Personnel restraint was significantly lower in group KP (8% versus 20%) than in group D. Endoscopists' satisfaction was significantly higher in group KP than D group (92% and 80%) respectively. He concluded that ketofol (1:1) provided better haemodynamic stability than dexmedetomidine and standard alternative to it in moderate sedation during ERCP.[8] In our study, we compared the effects between Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine. Dexmedetomidine showed stable haemodynamic effects. Patients' satisfaction of the two drugs were comparable.

Esmaoglu et al. compared the effectiveness of midazolam and dexmedetomidine for the sedation of eclampsia patients admitted to intensive care unit. Forty women with eclampsia requiring termination of pregnancy by caesarean delivery were randomized into two groups of 20 to receive either midazolam or dexmedetomidine. The midazolam group received a loading dose of 0.05mg/kg followed by an infusion of 0.1mg/kg/h. The dexmedetomidine group loading dose was 1mcg/kg over 20 minutes, followed by continuous infusion at 0.7 mcg/kg/h. Heart rate, blood pressure, Ramsay sedation score, antihypertensive need, convulsion fits, and duration in ICU were monitored and recorded all through the ICU stay. Dexmedetomidine markedly reduced heart rate for the first 24 hours (P<0.05) compared with midazolam, but there were no difference at 48 and 72 hours. Mean arterial blood pressures were similar in the 2 groups (P>0.05), although in the dexmedetomidine group, it was lower at 5, 6, 12 and 24 hours compared with the first 4 hours (P<0.05). Moreover, fewer patients given dexmedetomidine required nitroglycerine and nitroprusside (P<0.05). The duration of ICU stay was less in the dexmedetomidine group, 45.5 hours (range, 15-118 hours), than in the midazolam group, 83 hours (15-312hours). So, they concluded that dexmedetomidine sedation in eclampsia patients is effective in reducing the demand for antihypertensive medicine and duration of ICU stay.[16] In our study, dexmedetomidine has stable haemodynamic effects. There was no incidence of bradycardia with dexmedetomidine. Patient selection criteria in our study was different from the above study.

Schulmeyar et al conducted a prospective

randomized trial on 67 patients undergoing dental implants. They compared the use of two benzodiazepines as sedative, Midazolam and Clonazepam, and evaluated the satisfaction of both the dental surgeon and the patient. The study showed that use of midazolam lead to a deeper state of hypnosis that prevented some patients to open the mouth sufficiently, making it difficult for dental procedure. They concluded that Clonazepam had the advantage of achieving high levels of satisfaction from both the dentist and the patient (P<0.05).[17] In our study, we compared sedative characteristics between Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine, where patient satisfaction was comparable between the two groups.

Conclusion

Although onset of sedation was significantly delayed in Dexmedetomidine group, there was no significant difference in duration of sedation between Clonazepam and Dexmedetomidine in single dose technique for sedation during Caesarean section. Haemodynamic effects and adverse effects of two drugs were comparable. Thus it is recommended that either Clonazepam or Dexmedetomidine can be used for sedation during subarachnoid block for Caesarean section.

Study limitations

The intervention was not placebo controlled and blinded to neither clinicians nor patients. Additionally, group sizes were small. Consequently the clinical relevance remains undetermined and further studies are necessary to confirm potential benefits between the two sedatives.

Acknowledgements

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Marucci M, Diele C, Bruno F, Flore T.Subarachnoid anaesthesia in caesarean delivery: effect on alertness. Minerva Anesthesiol. 2003; 69: 801-819.

2. Danielak-Nowak M, Musiol E, Arct-Danielak D, Duba I, Ludwik K. A comparison of subhypnotic doses of propofol and midazolam during spinal anaesthesia for elective caesarean section. Anaesthesiology Intensive Therapy. 2016; 48(1): 13-18.

3. Becker DE. Pharmacodynamic considerations for moderate and deep sedation. Anesth Prog. 2012; 59: 28-42.

4. Gurudatt C. Sedation in intensive care unit patients: Assessment and awareness. Indian J Anaesth. 2011; 55: 553-5.

5. Bagchi D, Mandal MC, Basu SR. Arousal time from sedation during spinal anaesthesia for elective infraumbilical surgeries: Comparison between propofol and midazolam. Indian J Anaesth. 2014; 58: 403-9.

6. Verma RK, Paswan AK, Prakash S, Gupta SK, Gupta PK. Sedation with propofol during combined spinal epidural anaesthesia: comparison of dose requirement of propofol with and without BIS monitoring. Anaesth Pain Intensive Care. 2013; 17: 7-14.

7. Neeru B, Sing H, Pal AJ, Lipsy B, Kaur S. Comparison of midazolam versus clonazepam as premedication scheduled for elective abdominal hysterectomies. Int J Med Res Rev 2016; 4(8): 1330-1334.

8. Hasan HIEA. Dexmedetomidine versus Ketofol for moderate sedation in Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) comparative study. EgJA. 2014; 11: 15-21.

9. Patki A, Shelgaonkar VC. A comparison of equisedative infusions of propofol and midazolam for conscious sedation during spinal anaesthesia- a prospective randomized study. J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol. 2011; 27(1): 47-53.

10. Khurana P, Agarwal A, Verma RK, Gupta PK. Comparison of midazolam and propofol for BIS guided sedation during regional anaesthesia. Indian Journal of Anaesthesia. 2009; 53(6): 662-666.

11. Pollock JE, Neal JM, Liu SS, Burkhead D, Polissar N. Sedation during spinal anaesthesia. Anaesthesiology. 2000; 93: 728-34.

12. Wilson KE, Girdler NM, Welbury RR. Randomized controlled crossover clinical trial comparing intravenous midazolam sedation with nitrous oxide sedation in children undergoing dental extractions. Br J Anaesth. 2003; 91: 850-6.

13. Alvarez V, Lee JW, Drislane FW, Westover MB, Novy J, Dowretzky BA, Rossetti AO. Practice variability and efficacy of clonazepam, lorazepam and midazolam in status eptlepticus: A multicenter comparison. Epilepsia 2015; 56(8): 1275-1285.

14. Kim J, Kim WO, Kim HB, Kil HK. Adequate sedation with single dose dexmedetomidine in patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate with spinal anaesthesia: a dose-response study by age group. BMC Anesthesiology. 2015; 15(17): 18-25.

15. Jo YY, Lee D, Jung WS, Cho NR. Comparison of intravenous Dexmedetomidine and Midazolam for Bispectral Index guided sedation during spinal anaesthesia. Med Sci Monit. 2016; 22: 3544-3551.

16. Esmaoglu A, Ulgey A, Akin A, Boyaci A. Comparison between dexmedetomidine and midazolam for sedation of eclampsia patients in the intensive care unit. Journal of Critical Care. 2009; 02: 25-30.

17. Schulmeyar C, Carolina M. Midazolam or Clonazepam for sedation at the dentistry office?. Anest Analg Reanim. 2017; 30(2); 36-48.