Diagnostic Value of Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio in Evaluation of Inflammation in CKD Patients

Mohammad Abdul Kader^{1*} Biplob Kumar Barua² Syed Mahtab-Ul-Islam³ Marina Arjumand⁴ Mohammad Mamunur Rashid⁵ Md. Faizur Rahman⁶ Md Nurul Huda⁷ Pradip Kumar Dutta⁸

Abstract

Background: Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) may be a useful and less costly marker in relation to other tests for identifying inflammation in patients with chronic kidney disease at high risk of Cardiovascular Disease (CVD)-related complications. To evaluate the NLR as inflammatory marker among the CKD patients.

Material and methods: This is a cross-sectional analytical study was conducted in Chittagong Medical College Hospital during the period of May 2019 to May 2020. Eligible participants were patients of pre-dialysis and haemodialysis CKD patients. Total study duration was one year. Clinical data was obtained from patients through interviews. Complete blood count, serum creatinine, serum albumin, fasting lipid profile and C-Reactive Protein (CRP) were measured. NLR were constructed by dividing neutrophil count to lymphocyte count.

Results: Regarding socio-demographic variables, most of the patients were at age group 40-60 years (55.3%) and most were male (75.3%). 46 (54.1%) patients came from rural setting. Among all patients, 84 (98.8%) were hypertensive, (52.9%) were diabetic, 62 (72.9%) patients were at CKD stage 5 and 62 (72.9%) were on dialysis. Among all, 37 (73%) cases of CKD were due to DM, 26 (30.6%) were due to HTN and 18 (21.2%) were due to GN. NLR was raised in 57 (67.1%) cases, and hs-CRP was found raised in 61 (71.8%) cases. There is no relation

- 1. Assistant Registrar of Nephrology
- ☐ Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Chattogram.
- 2. Registrar of Nephrology
- ☐ Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Chattogram.
- 3. Assistant Professor of Nephrology
- ☐ Chittagong Medical College, Chattogram.
- 4. Junior Consultant of Nephrology
- ☐ Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Chattogram.
- 5. Assistant Professor of Nephrology
- □ National Kidney Foundation, Dhaka.
- 6. Assistant Professor (cc) of Nephrology
- ☐ Rangamati Medical College, Rangamati.
- 7. Professor of Nephrology
- ☐ Chittagong Medical College, Chattogram.
- 8. Professor of Nephrology
- ☐ Marine City Medical College, Chattogram.

*Correspondence: Dr. Mohammmad Abdul Kader

Cell : 01818 25 29 17
E-mail: makadercmc36@gmail.com

Submitted on $\square \square 06.05.2024$ Accepted on $\square : \square 10.07.2024$ with age, gender and residence ration of NLR level among CKD patients. There are no significant association of NLR with HTN, DL and IHD but presence of DM is significantly (p<0.05) associated with raised NLR. There are significant variation of raised NLR with different stages of CKD and dialysis status. There is significant association of raised NLR with raised hs-CRP among CKD patients..

Conclusion: Elevated NLR ratio was present in patients both dialysis dependent and non-dialysis patients. Significant associations with well-known markers of inflammation (hs CRP), encourage the use of NLR ratio as a marker of inflammation in this population.

Key words: Chronic kidney disease; Inflammation; Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio.

Introduction

Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) have an annual death rate of about 9% from CVD, which is roughly 10-20 times greater than the general population. This high death rate cannot be adequately explained by conventional cardiovascular risk factors such as diabetes, Hypertension (HTN) and hyperlipidemia. Inflammation is now recognized as one of the primary factors contributing to the development of CVD, since several trials conducted in the last few decades have demonstrated a persistent low-grade inflammatory state in CKD patients.^{2,3} It appears that future studies will concentrate on developing treatments to reduce inflammation and ultimately the burden of CVD in this particular population. Complementary indicators are still needed to evaluate systemic inflammation, despite the introduction of several new markers. Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) has been investigated recently as a new indicator of inflammation in many populations and has been demonstrated to have predictive and prognostic effects, particularly in those with systemic inflammation.⁴⁻⁹ NLR has been shown to be a reasonably priced biomarker to stratify the risk of mortality and recurrence in a number of cancer patients⁴⁻⁶. It was also discovered

to be a predictor of death in cardiovascular research

for several patient categories, including myocardial infarction and heart failure. The NLR ratio and other inflammatory indicators in CKD patients have been the subject of a few studies. The NLR ratio and research on its utility in CKD patients is positive. Thus, the purpose of this study is to ascertain the usefulness of the NLR ratio in the CKD population, comprising pre-dialysis and MHD patients, as a gauge of systemic inflammation.

Materials and methods

It was a cross sectional analytical study, studied in Department of Nephrology, Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Chattogram, Bangladesh during the period of May 2019 to May 2020 after acceptance of protocol.

The study included patients purposively of both sexes with pre- dialysis CKD patients having eGFRs <60 mL/min/1.73 m² [By the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula] equating to CKD stage 3 or 4 and the patients receiving Hemodialysis (HD) for more than 3 months who attending in Department of Nephrology, Chittagong Medical College Hospital (CMCH). Inclusion criteria was all patients who are suffering from CKD with or without dialysis. Exclusion criteria were unwilling to give consent, patients with active infection or inflammation like Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) patients with hepatitis B and C virus infection and impaired hepatic function, patients with autoimmune disease, patients with current malignancy or history of malignancy, patients on immunosuppressive therapy, patients with history previous Coronary artery hematological disease and patients with unstable cardiac disease.

Data were started to collect after approval from Ethical Review Committee of Chittagong Medical College. After selection, the aim, objectives and procedure of the study were explained in details to the subjects. They were encouraged for voluntary participation and were also be allowed to withdraw themselves from the study even after participation whenever they want. An informed

written consent was taken from all study patients. Patients were selected purposively. Patient interviews provided clinical data on baseline features and medical history. Age, gender, height, body weight, and blood pressure readings were noted for every participant. For patients awaiting dialysis, the estimated glomerular filtration rate was computed using the MDRD formula.¹² HD patients received conventional bi-carbonate dialysate and biocompatible synthetic membranes twice a week for four hours of dialysis. All individuals were fasted for the whole night before having venous blood samples taken. In specifically, sampling was done in the morning of a midweek dialysis session before HD patients were heparinized. Automated methods at the Department of Clinical Biochemistry, CMCH, identified the complete blood count and biochemistry analyses [Creatinine, serum albumin, total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol]. A full blood count test included the determination of the white blood cell differential. By dividing the neutrophil count by the lymphocyte count, NLR was created. A high sensitivity turbidimetry assay was used to quantify high sensitivity C-reactive Protein (hs CRP). The characteristics that were classified as clinical and demographic were age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. The distribution of among various sociodemographic characteristics, risk factors, variables associated to dialysis, and inflammatory marker (Highsensitivity CRP) were the outcome variables.

Statistical analyses were performed using data analysis software 'IBM SPSS Statistics' version 20.0 for Windows. Data were presented as mean and Standard Deviation (SD) or median (Interquartile range) for continuous/numerical variables and as frequency distribution and percentages for categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared by independent t-test and ANOVA test. Categorical variables were compared by Chi-square test. Pearson correlation test was done to see correlation. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05.

Results

Table 1 Distribution of socio-demographic variables and risk factors (base-line characteristics) among the study subjects (n = 85)

Variables□		Frequency	Percentage (%)	
Age (Years): Mean±Standard Deviation (SD)		52.44 ±	52.44 ± 12.35	
Age Groups□	< 40 Years□	14□	16.5	
	$40-60 \text{ Years} \square$	47□	55.3	
	> 60 Years□	24□	28.2	
Gender□	Male□	64□	75.3	
	Female□	21□	24.7	
Residence□	Rural□	46□	54.1	
	Urban□	39□	45.9	
Hypertension □	$Present \square$	84□	98.8	
	Absent□	01□	1.2	
Diabetes Mellitus□	$Present \square$	45□	52.9	
	Absent□	40□	47.1	
Dyslipidaemia□	$Present \square$	27□	31.8	
	Absent□	58□	68.2	
Ischaemic Heart Disease	\square Present \square	23 □	27.1	
	Absent□	62□	72.9	

Socio-demographic variables where most of the patients were at age group 40-60 years (55.3%) and most were male (75.3%) and 46 (54.1%) patients came from rural area. Different risk factors of CKD were also showed where 84 (98.8%) were hypertensive and 45(52.9%) were diabetic. Dyslipidemia and ischemic heart disease were present in 31.8% and 27.1% patients respectively (Table I).

Table II Distribution of CKD related variables, hs CRP of the study subjects (n = 85)

Variables□	□ Fre	equency - Pe	rcentage (%)
Dialysis Status □	Yes□	62□	72.9
	No□	$23\square$	27.1
Causes of CKD \square	Diabetes	37□	43.5
	Hypertension \square	26□	30.6
	Glomerulonephriti	is□18□	21.2
	Others□	04□	4.7
hs-CRP (mg/l) : Median (Interquartile Range) □ High-sensitive CRP (hs-CRP)		514 (2.	3 – 14.0)
Status□	High Risk (> 3 mg	g/l)61 □	71.8
	Low Risk (≤3 mg/	1)□24□	28.2
NLR : Mean \pm SD \Box		□ 3.56	± 1.76
Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) Status□	Raised (≥ 3) \square Normal (< 3) \square	57□ 28□	67.1 32.9

10.6%, 16.5% and 72.9% patients were at CKD stage 3, 4 and 5 respectively. 62(72.9%) patients were on dialysis. Among all 37(73%) cases of CKD were due to DM, 26(30.6%) were due to HTN and 18(21.2%) were due to GN. Median (IQR) of hs CRP was 5.4 (2.3 - 14.0) mg/l and 71.8% had higher risk. NLR was raised in 57(67.1%) cases and mean \pm SD value was 3.56 \pm 1.76 (Table II).

Table III Distribution of Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) according to the socio-demographic variables and risk factors among the study subjects (n = 85)

Variables□	NLR (Mean \pm SD) \square		p value
Gender□	Male□ Female□	$3.20 \pm 1.93 \square$ $2.91 \pm 1.80 \square$	p > 0.05* Not Significant
Residence	Rural□ Urban□	$3.23 \pm 2.00 \square$ $3.01 \pm 1.77 \square$	p > 0.05* Not Significant
Age Groups□ □		$3.41 \pm 1.64 \square$ $3.05 \pm 1.81 \square$ $3.12 \pm 2.21 \square$	p > 0.05 [†] Not Significant
Hypertension \Box	Present□ Absent□	$3.14 \pm 1.90 \square$ $2.6 \square$	p > 0.05* Not Significant
Diabetes mellitus□	$Present \square$ $Absent \square$	$3.61 \pm 2.20 \square$ $2.59 \pm 1.29 \square$	p < 0.05* Significant
Dyslipidemia□ □	$Present \square$ $Absent \square$	$3.49 \pm 2.45 \square$ $2.96 \pm 1.56 \square$	p > 0.05* Not Significant
Ischemic heart disease	□Present□ Absent□	$3.5\pm1.4\Box$ $3.6\pm2.0\Box$	p > 0.05* Not Significant

^{*}Independent t test, †ANOVA test.

There were no significant of NLR with age, gender and residence among CKD patients. Regarding risk factors, there were no significant association of NLR with hypertension, dyslipidemia and ischemic heart disease but presence of diabetes mellitus is significantly (p<0.05) associated with raised NLR (Table III).

Table IV Distribution of Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) according to the CKD related variables among the study subjects (n = 85)

Variables□	NLR (Mear	$n \pm SD)\square$	p value
CKD stages □ □	Stage 3□ Stage 4□ Stage 5□	$1.71 \pm 0.59 \square$ $2.70 \pm 0.95 \square$ $4.02 \pm 1.77 \square$	Very Highly
Dialysis status□ □	Yes□ No□ □	$4.02 \pm 1.77 \square$ $2.31 \pm 0.95 \square$	

^{*}Independent t test, †ANOVA test.

There were significant variation of raised NLR with different stages of CKD and dialysis status (p<0.001) (Table IV).

Table V Association between hs CRP and NLR status (n = 85)

NLR Status□ hs-CRP Status□			p value*
	High Risk□	Low Risk \square	
$Raised \square$	47 (77.0)□	10 (41.7)□	p<0.001
$Normal\square$	14 (23.0)□	14 (58.3)	

Data were presented as frequency (percentage). *Chi-square test.

There was significant association of raised NLR with raised hs-CRP among CKD patients (p<0.001) (Table V).

Discussion

Among 85 patients socio-demographic variable evaluated where most of the patients were at age group 40-60 years (55.3%) with mean age were 52.44 ± 12.35 years and most were male (75.3%) and 46 (54.1%) patients came from rural setting. Regarding different risk factors of CKD where 84(98.8%) were hypertensive and 45 (52.9%) were diabetic. Analysis of etiology of CKD were done where 62 (72.9%) patients were at CKD stage 5, 62 (72.9%) were on dialysis. Among all 37(73%) cases of CKD were due to DM, 26(30.6%) were due to HTN and 18 (21.2%) were due to GN. This results were consistent with a previous study.¹³

It was found that 67.1% patients had higher NLR and mean NLR was 3.56 ± 1.76 . Moreover, 71.8% of our patients had high hs-CRP. Korevaar et al. and Shaarawy et al. had similar result like this study. ¹⁴ N/L ratio, a novel biomarker for assessing inflammation, has been getting widely used to identify patients with various illnesses. It can be calculated routinely without additional cost from the complete blood count, Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) is obtained by dividing neutrophil to lymphocyte count. ¹⁵

In this study there was also more significant higher NLR (3.61+ 2.20) in DM patients with CKD which was higher from above study which was supported by previous study. Also in our study there is no statistically significant relation of dyslipidaemia and hypertension with NLR and this comes in similar to Okyay et al. 17

Our study results goes in favour with the chronic inflammatory state present in CKD patients specially in ESRD and advanced stages of CKD which supported by previous studies. ^{13,18,19}

By using pearson's correlation, there was also statistically significant positive correlation between NLR and hs-CRP with p value<0.001. So NLR can be used as a inflammatory marker.^{20,21}

N/L ratio is a newer marker for inflammation and higher value have an effect in CKD progression, CVS outcome and mortality. This study demonstrated that higher value of N/L ratio is found in DM, advanced CKD and ESRD patients. 14,22-25 Moreover, it positively correlate with inflammatory marker hs-CRP.

Limitations

The study was for short duration and single centered. There were relatively small number of the study population and all the lab investigation were not supported by single centre.

Conclusions

N/L ratio is higher in diabetic patients, advanced CKD and dialysis patients. It is positively correlate with well-known inflammatory marker hs-CRP. Moreover, N/L ratio has strong association with inflammation as it strongly associated with hs-CRP.

Recommendation

N/L ratio can be used as marker of inflammation in CKD patients. But, this was a single center study with a small population. So, a large scale multicenter study should be carried out to assess its importance in CKD patients.

Acknowledgments

Gratitude to all respondents and who assisted in this work.

Contribution of authors

MAK-Conception, acquisition of data, interpretation of data, drafting the article and final approval.

BKB-Acquisition of data, revision of content & final approval.

SMI-Data analysis, critical revision and final approval.

MA-Design, drafting and final approval.

MMR-Acquisition of data and revision of content and final approval.

MFR-Interpretation of data, critical revision & final approval.

MNH-Conception, critical revision of content & final approval.

PKD-Conception, critical revision of content & final approval.

Disclosure

All the authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

- **1.** □ Foley RN, Parfrey PS, Sarnak MJ. Clinical epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in chronic renal disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 1998;32:112–119.
- **2.** Fung F, Sherrard DJ, Gillen DL, et al. Increased risk for cardiovascular mortality among malnourished end-stage renal disease patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;40:307–314.
- **3.** Estenvinkel P, Heimbürger O, Paultre F, et al. Strong association between malnutrition, inflammation, and atherosclerosis in chronic renal failure. Kidney Int. 1999;55:1899–1911.
- **4.** Ohno Y, Nakashima J, Ohori M, Hatano T, Tachibana M. Pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as an independent predictor of recurrence in patients with nonmetastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Urol. 2010;184:873–878.
- **5.** Bhatti I, Peacock O, Lloyd G, Larvin M, Hall RI. Preoperative hematologic markers as independent predictors of prognosis in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: Neutrophillymphocyte versus plateletlymphocyte ratio. Am J Surg. 2010;200:197–203.
- **6.**□Lee YY, Choi CH, Kim HJ, et al. Pretreatment neutrophil: Lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic factor in cervical carcinoma. Anticancer Res. 2012;32:1555–1561.
- **7.**□Gibson PH, Cuthbertson BH, Croal BL, et al. Usefulness of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio as predictor of new-onset atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting. Am J Cardiol. 2010;105:186–191
- **8.** AzabB, ZaherM, WeiserbsKF, et al. Useful ness of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in predicting short- and long-term mortality after non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2010;106:470–476.
- **9.** Uthamalingam S, Patvardhan EA, Subramanian S, et al. Utility of the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in predicting long-term outcomes in acute decompensated heart failure. Am J Cardiol. 2011;107:433–438.
- **10.** □ An X, Mao HP, Wei X, et al. Elevated neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts overall and cardiovascular mortality in maintenance peritoneal dialysis patients. Int Urol Nephrol. 2012;44:1521–1528.

- **11.** □Turkmen K, Guney I, Yerlikaya FH, Tonbul HZ. The relationship between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and inflammation in end-stage renal disease patients. Ren Fail. 2012;34: 155–159.
- **12.** Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: A new prediction equation. Ann Intern Med. 1999;130:461–470.
- **13.**□An X, Mao HP, Wei X, . Elevated neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts overall and cardiovascular mortality in maintenance peritoneal dialysis patients. Int Urol Nephrol. 2012;44:1521–1528.
- **14.** Shaarawy A, Baki A, Teama N, Abdel Halim R, Eldin Fahim N, Sultan R. Neutrophils to Lymphocytes Ratio is an Easy Non Expensive Marker of Inflammation in Hemodialysis Patients. Journal of Clinical & Experimental Nephrology. 2018;03(04).
- **15.** Li H, Lu X, Xiong R, Wang S. High Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Predicts Cardiovascular Mortality in Chronic Hemodialysis Patients. Mediators of Inflammation. 2017;2017:1-8.
- **16.** Shiny A, Bibin Y, Shanthirani C, Regin B, Anjana R, Balasubramanyam M et al. Association of Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio with Glucose Intolerance: An Indicator of Systemic Inflammation in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics. 2014;16(8):524-530.
- 17. Okyay, G., nal, S., Öneç, K., Er, R., Pa ao lu, Ö., Pa ao lu, H., Derici, Ü. and Erten, Y. Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio in Evaluation of Inflammation in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. Renal Failure. 2012;35(1):29-36.
- **18.** Yoshitomi, R., Nakayama, M., Sakoh, T., Fukui, A., Katafuchi, E., Seki, M., Tsuda, S., Nakano, T., Tsuruya, K. and Kitazono, T. High neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is associated with poor renal outcomes in Japanese patients with chronic kidney disease. Renal Failure. 2019;41(1):238-243.
- **19.** Kocyigit I, Eroglu E, Unal A, Sipahioglu M, Tokgoz B, Oymak O et al. Role of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in prediction of disease progression in patients with stage–4 chronic kidney disease. Journal of Nephrology. 2012;26(2):358-365.
- **20.** Ahbap E, Sakaci T, Kara E, Sahutoglu T, Koc Y, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet- to lymphocyte ratio in evaluation of inflammation in end-stage renal disease. Clin Nephrol. 2016;85: 199-208.
- **21.** Neuen BL, Leather N, Greenwood AM, Gunnarsson R, Cho Y. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in hemodialysis patients Ren Fail. 2016;38: 70-76.

- **22.** Bash LD, Erlinger TP, Coresh J, Marsh-Manzi J, Folsom AR, Astor BC. Inflammation, hemostasis, and the risk of kidney function decline in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009;53:596-605.
- **23.** Fried L, Solomon C, Shlipak M, et al. Inflammatory and prothrombotic markers and the progression of renal disease in elderly individuals. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2004;15:3184–3191.
- **24.** Menon V, Wang X, Greene T, et al. Relationship between C-reactive protein, albumin, and cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;42:44-52.
- **25.** Tonelli M, Sacks F, Pfeffer M, Jhangri GS, Curhan G. Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) Trial Investigators. Biomarkers of inflammation and progression of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 2005;68:237-245.