Journal of Engineering Science 16(1), 2025, 37-46
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3329/jes.v16i1.82665

JES
an international Journal

DEVELOPMENT OF FIRE RISK ASSESSMENT MODEL USING A DUAL-PHASE APPROACH

Md. Raihan Khan*[1], Md. Asfaquzzaman Rahad[1], Sadia Afrin Tisa[1]

[1]*Department of Architecture, Khulna University of Engineering & Technology, Khulna-9203, Bangladesh*

Received: 12 March 2024 Accepted: 15 November 2024

ABSTRACT

Fire hazards become crucial in urban areas where congested living, minimum road width for accessibility, and filthy environments are prevalent. Every year, fire poses significant loss of property along with many lives that adversely impact economic and social life. In Bangladesh, 24102 fire cases have been recorded in the year 2023, while approximately 500 people were directly affected. The BNBC provides guidelines for buildings related to fire safety; however, fewer structures are evident that maintain the codes correctly. Authorities only check the apparel industries and give safety marks regarding different aspects of fire risk. The study aims to develop dual-phase fire risk assessment models considering qualitative (the design checklist of fire codes and rules) and quantitative (probabilistic) measurements. Considering Khulna city as the study context, the methodological technique is organized into two parts, first a physical survey of the selected buildings focusing on fire related checklist combining codes and guidelines, and second, a probability risk assessment model developed using a probabilistic technique that ensures better management and actions to lessen the risk rate. To better understand the likelihood of different events, we have identified four layers of probability. Among these layers, the suppression phase (layer 2) is particularly strong controlling 80% of all potential ignition and providing significant protection against most events. However, there is still a chance (0.02 probability) of extreme scenarios that could result in loss of life, particularly in residential areas. Finally, the proposed analytical model could be valuable for evaluating the fire risks and potentials of existing buildings, which further help in the decision-making of increasing the efficiency of the building structure. Identification of vulnerable structures will reduce human life and property loss along with the decision that increases fire responsiveness.

Keywords: *Fire risk, High-rise residence, Mid-sized city, BNBC, Fire Safety, Dual-Phase Approach.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Fire, despite being a vital part of human life, has the potential to cause massive devastation while going out of control, which makes it one of the most dreaded threats in contemporary society causing great economic loss and killing people within a very short time. Sadly, the number of fire incidents has been rapidly increasing, especially in urban areas where 39.71% of the total population resides as of 2022. Since 1997, the number of fires in Bangladesh has more than tripled, with an average of 66 fires per day in 2022. Table 1 shows the fire incident data with the total annual property loss.

Table 1: Fire Incidents by Years

Year	Incidents	In Residence	Causalities	Percentage (%)	Total Loss (USD in Million)
2019	24074	8466	185	35.16	30
2020	21073	6150	154	23.18	22.42
2021	21601	5818	219	26.9	18.24
2022	24102	6558	98	27.2	31.14

Globally, the growth of urban dense living has brought the fire hazards as a potential threat to human living that significantly affected property, life and structure. In the year (1993-2015), in total of 86.6 million fire incidents fire incidents occurred worldwide that have caused one million fire deaths (Brushlinsky *et al*., 2017; Kodur *et al*., 2020).

Fire accidents increased by 11.57% from the previous year. In 2021, there were a total of 21601 fire accidents, resulting in 18.24 million USD worth of property loss, which rose to 31.14 million USD last year (FSCD, 2022). Table 1 shows the fire incident data with the total annual property loss. According to an article from the Daily Star on October 7, 2023, there were 1577 incidents of fire in September, averaging 52 per day. Out of those, 132 cases happened in Khulna, the third-largest city in the country. The city's lack of proper industrial and economic

*Corresponding Author: *raihankhan@arch.kuet.ac.bd*

ISSN 2075-4914 (print); ISSN 2706-6835 (online)

development, combined with the prevalence of unplanned building structures, may have contributed to the high number of incidents.

The recent Bailey Road fire incident has shown how the absence of a fire safety plan increases the severity. The occurred-on 29th February 2024 from an electric short at the ground level of an eight-storied commercial building, the fire quickly spread to the upper floor through the gas cylinder—a total of 44 persons were found dead while more than 30 were severely injured. The investigation found that the absence of fire exits and the conversion of mixed-use to commercial use were responsible for this fatality (Nandy and Khan, 2024). Moreover, there was no adequate water in the reservoir located in the basement.

Recent analysis indicates that fire incidents occur most frequently in residential areas, particularly in high-rise buildings, accounting for 27.2% of all incidents in the past year. This puts the residential apartments in Khulna at a higher risk for fire hazards, as nearly 90% of them (Hasan, 2021) were constructed in violation of the Bangladesh National Building Code (BNBC, 2020). For safety purposes, the BNBC code requires residential buildings to have a stair that is at least 1.5m wide and a fire exit door of combustible material. Concerns should be given to the design of safe escape routes allowing the occupants to move from the fire areas to a safe space through the fire-protected door (Butcher & Parnell, 1979). Fire warning systems, such as audio/visual, significantly reduce the impact on people and property (Al-Homoud & Khan, 2004).

A deficient water supply often worsens fire accidents, so every building must have a rooftop water store dedicated to fire safety. Additionally, firefighting equipment such as CO_2 extinguishers, Water mist suppression, Foam suppression, sand buckets, etc. must be available inside the building and easily attainable. Al-Homoud *et al*. (2004) mentioned 3 significant phases to minimize the fire hazard impacts: fire prevention, protection, safety awareness, and education that further detailed the layout, structure, materials, equipment, and comprehensive safety measures based on approval.

Since current buildings do not meet the standard set by the authority, it is necessary to conduct a risk index assessment to determine the level of fire hazard risk for both the building and its occupants. Usually, the development authority gives approval for design based on the provided drawings, architectural, structural, fire safety, etc. For a high rise (a definite height has been fixed following the context), the client requires approval from the fire department. However, the lack of monitoring and miscommunication within responsible authorities elevate the crisis.

The primary goals of the research outlined in this paper are to analyse the available data through quantitative statistical data comparison of physical building properties such as building position, occupancy classification, construction group, openings, fire resistance barriers, lift shaft, and fixed or portable firefighting equipment to set up the risk scenario clusters using probability method and qualitative evaluation of the risk picture to identify the hazardous practices in Khulna to mitigate the corresponding risk. Last but not least, the results and a few suggestions have been included in the risk notes for the existing structures, which can make the residential area safer and assist the authorities in taking the necessary action.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The method of assessment of fire risks based on standard rules, and requirements, with a view to enhancing fire safety, is essentially an experimental decision-making process. Knowledge of the physical features of buildings, equipment, and building construction standards is needed in order to address this specific problem. Including above mentioned aspects in the design process a multi-disciplinary approach requires competency awareness in a wide variety of subjects (Rasbash, 1966; Woodrow *et al*., 2013). In this article, the term 'possibility' expresses the chance of happening any fire event, depending on its existing condition. Probability represents the chance or possible ratio of any specific fire event and its intensity compared to other possible fire events.

A review of the Bangladesh National Building Code (BNBC), and current fire safety requirements in Bangladesh is set out in this section. In addition, a discussion is provided of the presently existing practices aimed at determining building fire protection systems, which is essential for supporting the methodology to assess the existing risk management in this article.

2.1 Bangladesh National Building Code (BNBC)

The Bangladesh National Building Code (BNBC), the book of standard requirements to determine the architectural features, design of physical components, and other apparatus, is observed by the authority of the Housing and Building Research Institute (HBRI). Initially established in 1993 for industrial frameworks only, it has undergone various changes over time, which now include all safety aspects including fire for different types of buildings. BNBC 2020 is organized into different sections. Part 4 covers fire protection requirements for the architectural components and safety appliances according to each building type.

2.1.1 General Requirements & Physical Features

The rules and requirements for construction are divided into classes based on their intended occupancy. Residential buildings belong to the Class-A type, while flats and apartments are categorized under A-2. The height and area allowed for construction depending on the type of residential building. For plots measuring between 134 sqm and 670 sqm, with a 6m road access, the floor area ratio (FAR) should be maintained between 3.15 and 4.5. To ensure easy access for fire apparatus, roads leading to the building should have an unobstructed width of 4.5m and a minimum vertical clearance of 5m. The setback of the building determines its height limit, which varies from a setback of 5m to 10m for a building that is 11.2m to 30m tall. It's crucial to take into account several structural barriers that can resist fire for 3 to 5 hours, especially when it comes to RC slabs. For 250mm clay brisk it can be around 3 hours.

Table 2: Fire Resistance Rating (BNBC, 2020)

Structural Element	Fire Resistance Rate
75mm thick wall of clay brick	0.75 Hours
125mm thick wall of clay brick	1.5 Hours
250mm thick wall of clay brick	3 Hours

It is important to ensure fire-separating walls do not exceed 11.2 square meters in area. The size of all openings in these walls should be within 25% of the barrier's length. If you use skylight glass, it must not exceed 5 square meters in area and 6 mm in depth. For not having any mechanical ventilating components or the Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) system which means if the building is using natural ventilation, an exhaust fan is a must to save everyone from gas-leaking-related fire disasters.

2.1.2 Means of Escape

The purpose of this section is to highlight the escape safety requirements for an emergency evacuation, which can be done by ensuring three events such as exit access, exit features, and exit discharges. The corridor-like features are required to be at least 1.1 meters wide and 2.4 meters high. It must be clear and easily accessible to the exit door in walking distance of 23 meters, which must open directly to the outside. Dead-end corridors cannot exceed 10 meters. Exit pathways must have a fire-resisting rating of at least one hour. Sliding doors are not allowed as exits; the width of the exit must be at least 1 meter, and the height should be no less than 2 meters. For flats or apartments with an occupancy of 500 or less, two exits are required, with a stair width of at least 1 meter and handrails on both sides. All these standards are necessary to ensure safe evacuation during an emergency.

2.1.3 Firefighting Apparatus

This chapter discusses the firefighting equipment and design elements that are necessary for residential buildings. Fire accidents are often worsened by inadequate water supply. To prevent this, all buildings must have a rooftop tank for fire protection. Firefighting equipment such as water mist suppression, CO_2 extinguishers, foam suppression, and sand buckets should be easily accessible and placed inside the building. For A2 occupancy types, fire detection and fixed firefighting are not necessary. It's important to maintain manual firefighting appliances and extinguishers over time, for preventing fire at the moment.

2.2 Present Practices and Contravention

The current practices and situations do not fully comply with the regulations. Building standards and rules are not being effectively enforced and monitored, which leads to illegal components and features in most multi-story buildings. For instance, many buildings exceed the permissible height, as seen in the case of Rana Plaza (on 24 April 2013, 8 storied garments collapsed and 1134 people died on the spot) and other buildings Additionally, most buildings lack proper emergency or fire exits, with the same stairwell serving both purposes. The design is also not proportionate to the occupancy load, leading to further safety hazards. One of the most common practices that threaten the safety of occupants in buildings is the disregard for setback and access road regulations. In case of emergencies, firefighters are unable to access the building due to this violation. This situation is exacerbated by inadequate monitoring of implementation, which results in non-compliance with the plan approved by the authority. These factors make the building spaces more vulnerable to occupants.

It is crucial to prioritize the improvement of fire safety in these buildings, as they are said to account for 90% of rule violations. Traditional approaches and data analysis may not be enough to identify the hazardous complexity of the existing phenomena. So, an integrating methodology is suggested to remark the fire scenarios depending on the existing situation of a building and asses the risk possibility, which is described in the upcoming section of the article.

3. METHODOLOGY

Preventing fire accidents combines reducing the foremost reasons that happen if safety standards are not followed by a building, as well as the events where the fire protection system fails to work in an emergency. The study is intended to assess for both of the reasons. The first portion is to analyse the physical components of a building and the fire equipment based on the requirements set by the Bangladesh National Building Code (BNBC) and the Bangladesh Fire Service and Civil Defence (BFSCD). Later on, the study transforms the analysis into fire events illustrations using a probabilistic perspective (an insight of probability to perceive the risk possibility), which may quantify the quality of the possibility of fire protection in all possible emergencies.

3.1 The Dual-Phase Model

Dual-phase- this portion of the article is formed with two significant steps of assessing the fire risk, which includes the understanding of the existing fire management situation (phase I) and the quality evaluation of the surveyed conditions (phase II). The first phase is to evaluate the survey data and the present condition of these selected buildings. We conducted an analytical comparison of their current state and highlighted the issues that need attention. Khulna is the third-largest city in the country, with 49 high-rise structures (Molla, M. Al 2019). Unfortunately, most of the residential buildings in the city do not comply with the standards and regulations set by the authorities. We analysed case studies and fire incidents that occurred in the city to determine the building areas to survey, which is presented in the first phase. Later, in the second phase, we performed quality indexing between all cases and the risk value by reviewing the literature according to the standards.

3.1.1 Physical Survey and Inspection

The purpose of the survey was to assess the current state and structural characteristics of a specific area. The study areas were specified by analysing the data (from the KCC record) of the growing construction of residential high-rises in the city and consulting with the Khulna City Corporation (KCC) officials, some hotspot areas or developing zones (for instance Nirala Abashik, Mujgunni Abashik, Tutpara) were identified based on their characteristics and diversity. Later on, after perusing over 30% of data on residential structures in those areas, the following 5 residential buildings were selected as specified case studies for physical examination and a questionnaire to evaluate the impact of fire hazards on the occupants. All survey parameters and factors were selected according to the BNBC 2020 code.

3.1.1.1 Selection of study area

The study criteria are chosen by observing the literature review to determine issues related to safety and risk factors such as building location, construction type, occupancy class, setback, road size, plot type, height, floor area, usability, fire stair data, and other residential firefighting considerations. The selected buildings (as shown in Figure 1) to study are,

1. Building X_1-79/2 Khan Jahan Ali Road, Khulna
2. Building X_2-Shamsur Rahman Road, Khulna
3. Building X_3-50/1 Farazipara Main Road, Khulna
4. Building X_4-Dighi Paar, Nirala, Khulna
5. Building X_5-26, Boyra, Gowalkhali Road, Khulna

Figure 1 illustrates five residential projects in Khulna City, including recent constructions and prominent residential zones as previously mentioned of the developing zones like Nirala Abashik, Mujgunni Abashik, and Tutpara.



Figure 1: Site Position in the Khulna City

3.1.1.2 The Survey Data

In this study, an attempt is made to collect the fire potential data of buildings in Khulna, based on both physical features and firefighting components. The physical features are classified into six categories, namely occupancy load, building arrangement, escape data, floor area data, and residential considerations. These findings are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Summary of the Surveyed Information

Specification	Building				
	X_1	**X_2**	**X_3**	**X_4**	**X_5**
Construction Year	2020	2021	2022	2022	2023
Avg. People/Floor	15	16	3	6	10
Front Road (m)	10	18	7	7	10
Nos. of road	2	1	1	1	2
Setback Front (m)	1.5	1.5	0.6	2.0	1.5
Setback Right, Left (m)	1.5	1.0	0.6	2.0	1.5
Setback Rear (m)	1.0	1.0	0.6	2.0	1.0
No. of Floors	9	8	7	11	10
Avg. Floor Height (m)	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.0
Total Floor Height (m)	9.0	9.6	7.0	10	10
Area (sqm)	355	555	335	783	366
No. of Fire Exit	1	1	0	1	1
Dimension (m x m)	4.0*1.1	4.5*1.5	-	4.5*1.5	4.0*1. 2
Stair Type	Open-Dog Legged	Closed-Dog Legged	Open-Dog Legged	Closed-Dog Legged	Open-Dog Legged
Regular Use	No	No	Yes	No	No
Access Corridor	1. 2	1.0	1.0	1.5	1. 2
Barrier Fire Resisting Rate (hr)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Nos. of Water Reservoir	1	1	1	1	1
Reservoir Capacity (L)	15000	20000	15000	30000	15000
Reservoir Position					
Fire Station <5km	Underground	Underground	Underground	Underground	Underground
Water Body <500m	-	-	-	2	-
Electrical Substation	-	-	-	-	1
Generator	1	1	-	1	1
Water pump/Diesel					
Pump	1	1	-	1	1
Fire Alarm	-	-	1	2	1
Intercom per floor	-	-	-	-	-
Fire Safety Signage	1	1	0	1	1
Exhaust Fan/ Unit	-	-	-	-	-
Fire Extinguisher/ Floor	1	1	0	1	1
Fire Hydrant Box (FHB)	1	1	0	1	1

3.1.2 Comparative Data Analysis

After surveying the design components, a preliminary data analysis is conducted to identify potential hazards. After conducting an analysis, the condition is compared against the requirements outlined in the literature review. The findings suggest four distinct prevention layers that can be utilized to combat fire incidents with three different conditions, as shown in Table 4. Conducting a fire risk assessment is crucial in preventing and controlling fire incidents, as it considers various data. Adopting a semi-quantitative risk technique, like condition remarks, is effective in this scenario as it is easy to use and offers a quick and simple evaluation of relative fire hazards in buildings by rating various factors.

Table 4: Analysis Remarks

Condition	Remarks
Good	8-9
Intermediate	5-7
Poor	3-4
Very poor	1-3

3.2 The Event Tree Model

In order to assess the effectiveness of the fire protection system, an event tree model is generated. This model groups together different fire possibilities and compares them to identify the most likely scenarios. First, a generalized functional model is used to project the total ignition frequency. Then, a probability scenario cluster is drawn to understand the risk involved. This helps us to manage countless fire events better by reducing them to a more manageable number. The event diagram displays all possible positive (1) or negative (0) reciprocations in the protection process, resulting in various branches and outcomes (Figure 1).



Figure 2: Event Tree Diagram

3.2.1 Ignition Frequency

Predicting the frequency of fire ignition is crucial, and it requires careful quantification. To determine the annual ignition frequency, it needs to analyze statistical fire data for a year and the total floor area, taking into account the occupancy category as a variable. However, floor statistics can be challenging to use in practical scenarios. A more efficient approach is to use the generalized Barrois model as equation (1), which was originally proposed by Charles Barrios in 1835. This model involves two power-law functions in a specific category and provides a more straightforward and practical solution. In this article, the average floor area of the selected buildings is used to assess the risk for those specific buildings. The coefficients for the generalized barrios model are in Table 5.

$$P_1 (A)= C_1A^r + C_2A^s \quad (1)$$

Here, for a certain floor area A, P1 (A) represents the frequency of total ignition of a specific building within a year, and C_1, C_2, r, and s are coefficients.

Table 5: Parameters of the generalized Barrios model

Building Category	C_1	$C_2 \times 10^{-6}$	r	s
Residential Building	0.010	5	-1.83	-0.05
Commercial Building	7×10^{-5}	6	-0.65	-0.05
Office Building	0.056	3	-2.00	-0.05
Institutional Building	2×10^{-4}	5	-0.61	-0.05
Educational Building	0.03	3	--1.26	-0.05
Industrial Building	3×10^{-4}	5	-0.61	-0.05
Other Buildings	1.18	100	-1.87	-0.20

In this article, the Barrios model is used to estimate the probable ignition frequency in one year, in which the coefficient changes according to the building category. It should be noted that the generalized model does not provide any numerical estimation of the risk or quality of the fire components. Instead, it is aimed at depicting a cluster of risk scenarios based on a probabilistic method and analyzing how much of the total fire event remains risky as per the present condition of the building. The Barrios model coefficients are considered for floor areas of 100 sqm to 20000 sqm.

3.2.2 Probability of Fire Scenarios

In order to predict the likelihood of fire events and the actions required in response, an event tree is abstracted based on the ignition frequency. Different fire situations are illustrated, depending on whether the protective components respond positively or negatively. A fire scenario consists of each potential response to an occurrence and is grouped with other possible responses. The probability is made in four layers naming detection (Det.), suppression (Sup.), the act of barrier (Bar.), and the chance of escape (Esc.), where the first one is the recognition phase and the rest three are reduction phases. Here, 0 represents the negative response and 1 is regarded as the affirmative representation of the layers. Following the different branches of the event tree diagram (Fig. 2) different decisions are made according to their respective feedback of 0 or 1. The earlier the layer response in 1, the safer the scene is. The binary decision diagram presents the clustered settlement as well as the situation reciprocation, as in Table 6.

Table 6: Binary Decision Table

Total Ignition	Det. (0.47)	Sup. (0.80)	Bar. (0.70)	Esc. (0.66)	Fire Scenario	Ignition Value
3.4E-6	1	1	-	-	S_1-11	1.28E-6
	1	0	1	-	S_2-101	2.24E-7
	1	0	0	1	S_3-1001	6.30E-8
	1	0	0	0	S_4-1000	3.30E-8
	0	1	-	-	S_5-01	1.44E-6
	0	0	1	-	S_6-001	2.52E-7
	0	0	0	1	S_7-0001	7.13E-8
	0	0	0	0	S_8-0000	3.67E-8

The ignition value for each scenario is estimated from the probable calculation of the layer possibilities as presented below in Table 7 to Table 10. The scenario codes are presented in the response of different layers, as presented in Fig 3.



Figure 3: Event Tree Analysis

3.2.3 Layer Possibilities

Based on the survey's data analysis, opportunities for different layers of risk prevention can be identified depending on the condition of each component. According to Table 10, the component's condition is determined and remarks have been made accordingly. The scores for each building are different, depending on the floor number, which determines the impact of each individual residence on the article.

Early detection of a fire is crucial for safety. Detectors have a high success rate of 0.70 to 0.90 in detecting fires. However, in the absence of either manual or automatic detectors, the chances of people identifying a fire are significantly lower. Table 7 presents the average possibility of detecting a fire from the conducted data.

Table 7: Detection Possibility

Building	Detection Remarks	Possible Score (all floors)	Avg. Possibility
X_1	5	4.0	
X_2	5	4.5	
X_3	3	2.1	0.47
X_4	5	5.0	
X_5	5	5.5	

It is important to detect a fire within 3-5 minutes before the heat release rate increases to increase the chances of successfully extinguishing it. For households, having a fire extinguisher, fire hydrant box, and reservoir, as shown in Table 8, is considered good for suppression. The conditions are remarked depending on their present condition compared to the standard requirement, during the survey. Possible scores are determined depending on the specific building floor number, and average possibility is calculated by using the ignition value of successful suppression (as shown in Table 6).

Table 8: Suppression Possibility

Building	Suppression Remarks	Possible Score (all floors)	Avg. Possibility
X_1	9	7.2	
X_2	8	7.2	
X_3	5	3.5	0.80
X_4	8	8.0	
X_5	9	9.9	

According to the BNBC 2020 code, solid clay brick with a thickness of 125mm can be considered a barrier for fire incidents lasting up to 1.5 hours. On the other hand, RCC barriers may offer better protection for up to 3 hours. For residential structures, 125mm thick walls with RCC slab barriers can be considered intermediate as presented in Table 9.

Table 9: Barrier Possibility

Building	Barrier Remarks	Possible Score (all floors)	Avg. Possibility
X_1	7	5.6	
X_2	7	6.3	
X_3	7	4.9	0.70
X_4	7	7	
X_5	7	7.7	

Escape is an essential component of fire safety. Properly designed stairs and access dimensions can help ensure a safe exit in the event of a fire. However, intermediate and lower-grade fire exits do not always meet safety standards, as noted in Table 10.

Table 10: Escape Possibility

Building	Escape Remarks	Possible Score (all floors)	Avg. Possibility
X_1	9	7.2	
X_2	5	4.5	
X_3	3	2.1	0.66
X_4	6	6	
X_5	9	9.9	

Figure 3 illustrates the mitigation of fire risk in different layers and scenes. Layer 2 offers the most significant risk reduction, with a value of 0.42 in scene 5. By comparing the total ignition and layered scenes, the image of fire prevention as a whole can be dramatized. The tree diagram provides details on all layers for each scene prediction.

3.3 Subjective Evolution

In this section of the article, we assess the fire events in terms of risk by evaluating them based on the predicted risk, as indicated in Table 11. The events that are mitigated in layer 2 are considered to be the least risky with a possibility of 0.8. Layer 3 is slightly riskier, as it does not include any suppression action. In layer 4, scene 4 and scene 8 are the most extremely risky, as they indicate unsuccessful escape from fire events.

Table 11: Risk Prediction

Risk Level	Layer	Scene	Possibility	Consequences
Low	2	S1, S5	0.8	Slight Harm
Low Medium	3	S2, S6	0.13	Acute Harm
Medium High	4	S3, S7	0.05	Moderate Harm
High	4	S4, S8	0.02	Extreme Harm

Low-risk events have a maximum possibility of causing slight harm, which is estimated to be 0.8. Slight harm is not expected to result in serious injury or death to any occupants. However, in high-risk level events, the possibility of severe harm or death is significantly greater. In such cases, occupants may find it difficult to escape the scene and the potential for massive fatalities is much higher.

In order to address low-risk possibilities, regular monitoring of the structure is sufficient and no additional action is required. To prevent medium-risk situations, it is necessary to regulate and develop escape routes in accordance with building codes. In the event of high-risk scenarios, the building should not be occupied until the risk is reduced to a lower medium level or lower.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Fires in high-rise buildings in Bangladesh have caused a concerning increase in casualties. The city of Khulna is particularly worried about the safety of tall structures since their number is rising. Since last decade the high rise buildings have been evident in the residential areas, for example Sonadanga 1st and 2nd phase, Nirala, Boyra and Mujgunni. Most cases the design considers the fire safety issues from regulatory perspectives rather integrating the fire safety engineering into the iterative design process. However, the value of integrated fire safety concerns in the design phase has been evident significant in reducing hazards impacts and ensuring user safety. Diverse challenges increase the risk factors of fire hazards of tall buildings. Such as, evacuating people from a high-rise building during a fire is much more challenging than preventing the fire from spreading. From these views the collective contributions required from distinct personnel fire safety designers, code-based consultants and engineers.

It is essential to use both quantitative data and qualitative analysis to accurately assess all potential outcomes. The article combines the physical data into probable implementation of those components. After analyzing the event scene cluster, it has been confirmed that the majority of fires can be suppressed by layer 2. The probability of an event causing harm up to layer 4 is much lower, at around 2%, compared to other layers. This is concerning because a risk of 0.02 is unacceptable as it can lead to the loss of the entire building, causing significant damage to property and human life. Occupants cannot tolerate this level of risk, especially when it can result in mass casualties. So, proper action should be taken in order to prevent these fire scenarios, even if it's the lowest possible scene. However, even with the study's comprehensive evaluation of all potential risks, there are still a few limitations that need to be considered. It does not include the consideration of the logical development of fire events and the quantification parameters of fire risk are based on statistical data. Collecting and processing data using evaluation methods is a time-consuming process. Additionally, fire safety and hazard events are influenced by various factors and variations in design components and occupants. Understanding occupants' behaviour, movement and reactions during fire events are required to manage the situation through proper safety design.

5. LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study is completed only using the probabilistic method, by calculating specific fire event possibilities compared to others, but no machinery analysis or stimulatory experiments were done. Thus, this study can have a limited impact in analyzing fire risk on a bigger scale. Again, surveying a good number of buildings to understand the risk situation of a town area or city can be time-consuming and tough in terms of proper building inspection, and research ethics. For future studies, machinery analysis can be impactful and less time-consuming. In addition, more building inspection and surveying of multiple types of buildings i.e. residential, commercial, and industrial, can depict the fire risk statistics more perfectly for a particular area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the residents and owners for cooperation throughout the process.

REFERENCES

Alfalah, G., Al-Shalwi, M., Elshaboury, N., Al-Sakkaf, A., Alshamrani, O., & Qassim, A. (2023). Development of Fire Safety Assessment Model for Buildings Using Analytic Hierarchy Process. *Applied Sciences*, 13(13), 7740.

Al-Homoud, M. S., Abdou, A. A., & Khan, M. M. (2004). Safety design practices in residential buildings in Saudi Arabia. *Building Research & Information*, 32(6), 538-543.

Al-Homoud, M. S., & Khan, M. M. (2004). Assessing safety measures in residential buildings in Saudi Arabia. *Building Research & Information*, 32(4), 300-305.

BNBC (2020). *Bangladesh National Building Code (BNBC)*, Ministry of Housing and Public Works, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.

Brushlinsky, N., Ahrens, M., Sokolov, S., & Wagner, P. (2017). *World Fire Statistics: Report*.

Butcher, E. G., & Parnell, A. C. (1979). *Smoke Control in Fire Safety Design*, London, William Clower.

FSCD (2022). *Annual Statistic Report, Bangladesh Fire Service & Civil Defence* (FSCD), Dhaka, Government of Bangladesh.

Hasan, M., Dipta, O. B., & Hassan, M. M. (2021). Construction of High-Rise Buildings in Bangladesh: A Review. *Journal of Structural Technology*, 6(2), 8-16.

Kodur, V., Kumar, P., & Rafi, M. M. (2020). Fire hazard in buildings: review, assessment and strategies for improving fire safety. *PSU Research Review*, 4(1), 1-23.

Molla, M. Al (2019), *'Buildings Outside Dhaka Risky too'*, Published in The Daily Star, Available at: < https://www.thedailystar.net/frontpage/news/buildings-outside-dhaka-risky-too-1724221> (Accessed on: 3rd April, 2025).

Nandy, D., Khan, M. J., (2024), '*Bailey Road Fire: Leaking Gas, Shorted Electric Kettle To Blame'*, Published in The Daily Star, Available at: https://www.thedailystar.net/news/bangladesh/accidents-fires/news/bailey-road-fire-leaking-gas-shorted-electric-kettle-blame-3583661 (Accessed on: 7th April, 2025).

Rasbash, D. (1966). A survey of university courses in fire technology in the United States. *Fire Safety Science*, 628, -1--1.

Tan, S., Moinuddin, K., & Joseph, P. (2023). The ignition frequency of structural fires in Australia from 2012 to 2019. *Fire*, 6(1), 35.

Woodrow, M., Bisby, L., & Torero, J. L. (2013). A nascent educational framework for fire safety engineering. *Fire Safety Journal*, 58, 180-194.