Agro-meteorological Crop Yield Modeling: A Comprehensive Review

M. N. Hoque*, A. R. Joy, M. H. Mitanur, S. S. Shanta and F. Sultana

Department of Agriculture
Gopalganj Science and Technology University, Gopalganj - 8100
*Corresponding author: shikshatoroo@gmail.com

Abstract
Agro-meteorological crop yield modeling is essential for addressing climate variability, resource limitations, and food security challenges. This review explores the principles of crop modeling, focusing on core components, validation techniques, and operational tools. It examines key modeling approaches, including simulation and statistical methods, and their applications in precision agriculture, institutional planning, and policy development. Advanced techniques such as remote sensing and data assimilation are highlighted for their role in improving model accuracy and applicability. Given the significant influence of weather and climate on agriculture, predictive tools are crucial for ensuring sustainable crop production. Agro-meteorological models integrate weather data, crop physiology, and management practices to forecast yields and support informed decision-making. This review provides a comprehensive overview of fundamental concepts, model classifications, evaluation methods, and practical applications. It concludes by emphasizing the need for continued advancements and hands-on training to enhance model effectiveness and adoption across diverse agricultural systems.
Keywords: Agro-meteorology, Crop modeling, Climate change, Climate adaptation, Food security

Introduction

Crop yield modeling has progressed from basic empirical methods to sophisticated system-based simulations, driven by the increasing demand for food and the challenges posed by climate variability (Kogan, 2019). Agro-meteorological models now play a pivotal role in guiding agricultural practices, optimizing resource allocation, and mitigating risks. By integrating interdisciplinary knowledge, these models provide essential insights for researchers, farmers, and policymakers. Global agriculture faces significant challenges from climate change, population growth, and limited resources (Fahad et. al, 2019). Agro-meteorological models address these challenges by predicting crop yields through simulations of soil, plant, and atmospheric interactions. These models are instrumental in identifying vulnerabilities, optimizing resource use, and enhancing resilience to climatic extremes. For staple crops such as maize, rice, and wheat, they serve as essential tools for food security assessments and policy development (Gavasso-Rita et al., 2024).

As agricultural systems become increasingly complex, advanced modeling techniques integrating biophysical processes with real-time data are critical. These tools extend the capabilities of field research and provide adaptable solutions across diverse agroecological zones (Timlin et al., 2024). Variability in crop yields arises from long-term trends, direct weather impacts, and indirect factors such as pests and diseases. In developed countries, technological advancements account for 80% of yield variability, while weather and biological factors contribute the remaining 20%. In contrast, subsistence farming in developing nations renders weather the dominant factor, exacerbating food insecurity (Frère and Popov, 1979).

The evolution of crop-weather modeling has been driven by advances in computational technology, transitioning from simple empirical indices to detailed process-based systems. These systems enable precise and accurate predictions, emphasizing five critical areas for future development: scale-specific approaches, non-parametric techniques, real-time data integration, inter-model compatibility, and the inclusion of weather-induced physical crop damage (Van Keulen and Wolf, 1986). With climate change intensifying its impacts on temperature, precipitation, and extreme weather events, the importance of crop-weather modeling continues to grow (Timlin et al., 2024). This review explores these advancements and their potential to promote sustainable agriculture. Historically, agronomic research utilized statistical methods such as correlation and regression analysis to study cropping systems. While these methods provided qualitative insights and site-specific data, they were limited by variability in weather and soil conditions. Long-term studies indicate that over 40% of result variability stems from experimental error (Jame and Cutforth, 1996). Recent computational advances have enabled the integration of soil, plant, and climatic systems, yielding quantitative crop yield predictions. These developments have facilitated the creation of process-based crop growth models that leverage multidisciplinary knowledge for accurate outcomes. Tools like DSSAT (Tsuji et al., 1994) align crop requirements with land characteristics, enhancing decision-making. However, the limited awareness of model structures, capabilities, and constraints restricts their widespread adoption. This highlights the need for foundational training in crop modeling (Jones et al., 1993; Kumar and Chaturevdi, 2009).

Agricultural productivity is closely linked to climatic conditions, making agro-meteorological models indispensable for understanding crop behavior (Barrett, 2013). Traditional field experiments face limitations, including time, cost, and variability due to uncontrollable factors such as weather. Crop modeling addresses these issues by simulating crop growth and yield under diverse environmental and management conditions. By integrating weather data, crop physiology, and management practices, these models offer a comprehensive approach to addressing climate change and resource scarcity (Dent and Blackie, 1979).

Evolution of Crop Growth Simulation Models

Crop modeling employs mathematical equations or sets of equations to represent the behavior of agricultural systems. These models, implemented as computer programs, simulate crop growth and development, predicting components such as leaves, roots, stems, and grains (USDA, 2007). By providing both the final harvestable yield and detailed insights into the underlying processes, crop models integrate interactions at the tissue and organ levels, offering a comprehensive understanding of crop growth dynamics. The development of crop growth simulation models has seen significant progress over the decades. Early efforts in the 1960s utilized simple water-balance models to quantify the relationship between crop yield and water use (Jame, 1992). Despite initial skepticism about modeling the complexities of plant growth (Passioura, 1973), advancements in photosynthesis modeling (de Wit, 1965), resource allocation (Penning de Vries et al., 1974), and micrometeorology (Goudriaan, 1977) improved model accuracy. Notable milestones include the creation of the Elementary Crop Growth Simulator (ELCROS) and the Basic Crop Growth Simulator (BACROS) by de Wit and colleagues.

In the 1980s, the International Benchmark Sites Network for Agrotechnology Transfer (IBSNAT), funded by the U.S. Agency for International Development, developed the Decision Support System for Agro-Technology Transfer (DSSAT). This system integrates databases, crop simulation models, and decision-support tools to support land-use planning, crop management, and environmental sustainability. By enabling evaluations of factors such as planting dates, irrigation, and fertilizer application, DSSAT reduces the time and cost associated with field experimentation. Today, DSSAT remains a vital resource for research, education, and practical agricultural applications worldwide (Hoogenboom et. al., 2019; Jones et. al., 2003)

The Systemic Approach in Crop Modeling: The Role of SPAC

A systemic approach forms the foundation of crop modeling, with the Soil-Plant-Atmosphere Continuum (SPAC) serving as its cornerstone. SPAC describes the dynamic exchange of water, nutrients, and gases, providing the basis for predicting crop growth and yield. Within this framework, plants are conceptualized as integral components of SPAC, emphasizing the flow of water and energy regulated by fluxes and resistances. Transpiration, driven by water potential gradients from soil to atmosphere, is balanced by stomatal regulation, which governs CO_2 uptake and water loss (Monteith, 1973). Understanding SPAC is essential for modeling crop responses to climate-induced changes in water availability (Timlin et al., 2024). This framework integrates soil water dynamics, plant uptake, and atmospheric demands, enabling models to simulate crop performance under diverse environmental conditions. By incorporating SPAC, crop models effectively address the complexities of resource flow and their impacts on crop growth (Dlamini et al., 2023; Mthembu et al., 2024). The systemic approach also holistically captures the interactions between soil moisture, plant physiology, and atmospheric variables. By considering the entire production process, models can simulate the interplay between management decisions and environmental factors, offering insights into sustainable agricultural practices (Spedding, 1975a).

Photosynthesis in Crop Modeling: A Core Driver of Biomass Accumulation

Photosynthesis, the conversion of solar energy into chemical energy, is a fundamental process driving biomass production and a critical component of crop modeling. Models simulate light interception, carbon assimilation, and energy conversion, linking these processes to environmental variables. Photosynthetic processes, such as light capture and radiation use efficiency, are incorporated into crop models to predict biomass production and yield. The Leaf Area Index (LAI) is frequently used to estimate canopy-level photosynthesis, enabling accurate predictions of yield potential (Pasley et al., 2023; Timlin et al., 2024). This modeling approach emphasizes the transformation of radiant energy into biomass, central to crop productivity. Scaling photosynthetic responses from individual leaves to entire canopies requires accounting for variations in LAI, radiation interception, and canopy architecture. These models prioritize real-world efficiency over theoretical maximums to better reflect field conditions (Monteith, 1965). Climate change introduces additional complexity, as elevated atmospheric CO_2 levels profoundly influence photosynthesis. Increased CO_2 can enhance photosynthetic rates, with significant implications for crop productivity and modeling accuracy (Timlin et al., 2024). Temperature effects, light-use efficiency, and variations in radiation interception further shape growth outcomes, underscoring the importance of accurately modeling these processes (Monteith, 1973; Penning de Vries et al., 1989).

Core Components of Crop Models

Crop models integrate multiple processes to simulate crop growth and yield, emphasizing interactions within the soil-plant-atmosphere system (Hornberger and Spear 1981). The core components include the following:

Soil Processes: Simulate the movement of water and nutrients within the soil, providing the foundation for plant growth and resource availability (Brown et. al., 2009, 2019; Monteith, 1986).

Plant Processes: Represent key biological functions, including growth, phenology, and photosynthesis, to model biomass accumulation and developmental changes (Saltelli 2019; Gaetani et. al., 2020; Muller et. al., 2011).

Atmospheric Variables: Incorporate environmental factors such as solar radiation, temperature, and precipitation, which drive energy balance and water dynamics. By integrating these components, crop models offer a comprehensive framework for analyzing the complex interactions that influence agricultural productivity (Brown et. al., 2009).

Detailed Breakdown of Core Components

Balancing Carbon Assimilation and Respiratory Losses in Crop Modeling

The balance between carbon assimilation during photosynthesis and respiratory losses determines net biomass accumulation, reflecting the energy available for growth and maintenance (Jones et al., 1991). Crop models integrate photosynthetic carbon assimilation and partition it between growth and maintenance respiration. This inclusion is essential for accurately predicting overall biomass and the energy expenditure required to sustain plant metabolism. By incorporating gross photosynthesis and maintenance respiration, models achieve a balance between energy production and cellular requirements. This approach captures the dynamic interplay between energy acquisition and utilization, which is fundamental to modeling plant growth and metabolic processes (van Heemst, 1986a).

Phenology Simulations in Crop Modeling: Tracking Developmental Stages

Phenology simulations track the developmental stages of crops, influenced by temperature, photoperiod, and vernalization. Accurately predicting these stages is essential for optimizing management practices such as irrigation and fertilization (van Diepen et al., 1989; Pasley et al., 2023). Crop development stages, which depend on genetic traits and environmental cues, shape the growth trajectory and influence the timing of resource allocation, ensuring precise yield forecasts.

Biomass Partitioning in Crop Models

Biomass partitioning refers to the allocation of assimilates among roots, stems, leaves, and reproductive organs. Partitioning algorithms in crop models determine how biomass is distributed among these components, directly influencing yield predictions (Savin et al., 1994; Timlin et al., 2024). This dynamic allocation reflects crop ontogeny, enabling models to capture developmental priorities under varying environmental conditions. Assimilate distribution shifts across growth stages, prioritizing storage organs such as grains during reproductive phases (Penning de Vries et al., 1989). By simulating these patterns, crop models provide accurate predictions of growth and yield.

Water and Nutrient Management in Crop Models

Crop models simulate dynamic soil-plant interactions to optimize water and nutrient use by integrating soil water and nutrient dynamics. These models predict the effects of stress factors like drought or nutrient deficiencies and propose strategies for enhancing resource efficiency (Hillel, 1971; Mthembu et al., 2024). By modeling water and nutrient availability, the impacts on crop growth and yield are assessed, accounting for limitations such as nitrogen deficiency or drought stress. These simulations are essential for promoting sustainable agricultural practices, ensuring the efficient use of limited resources while maintaining productivity. Water scarcity in South Asia presents a significant challenge to agricultural productivity and food security, emphasizing the need for improved water use through effective cropping systems modeling. As an example: Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC) and the SAARC Agriculture Centre focused on institutionalizing modeling in Bangladesh, with a particular emphasis on the APSIM-ORYZA framework to enhance water productivity in rice-based cropping systems, they also stressed the importance of integrating modeling into agricultural research, developing local expertise, and establishing sustainable networks within Bangladesh's National Agricultural Research Systems (NARS) (BARC, 2015).

Overview of Crop Models

Crop models are categorized based on their design purpose, scale, and complexity, each serving specific objectives ranging from large-scale biomass estimation to detailed decision-making. Below is an overview of the major model types:

Global Biomass Models

Global biomass models estimate potential biomass production using climatic and environmental factors (Robertson, 1968). These models focus on large-scale assessments, relying on simplified assumptions to predict biomass production. They are particularly useful for regional yield predictions and evaluating climate impacts on agriculture. For example, GLO-PEM predicts biomass using climatic and ecological parameters (Timlin et al., 2024).

Vegetation Models

Vegetation models are designed for large-scale simulations of regional or global vegetation dynamics. These models simulate processes such as canopy photosynthesis, evapotranspiration, and energy exchanges (Seino and Uchijima, 1992). Widely applied in precision agriculture, they are instrumental in forecasting crop health and monitoring growth stages. LPJmL is an example of a model that integrates biophysical and ecological processes to analyze vegetation dynamics (Dlamini et al., 2023).

Statistical Models

Statistical models employ empirical approaches to predict crop yields based on historical data and statistical correlations. These models establish relationships between environmental variables and yield outcomes (Sakamoto and LeDuc, 1981). While computationally efficient and fast, statistical models have limitations, such as a lack of mechanistic detail, reducing adaptability to novel conditions (Pasquel et al., 2022). As a subset of empirical models, they link yield to climatic variables using historical data.

Simulation Models

Simulation models, including CropSyst, WOFOST, EPIC, DSSAT, and APSIM, are process-based and designed to represent detailed interactions between crops and their environment. These models incorporate sub-models for plant physiology, soil processes, and environmental dynamics (Sharpley and Williams, 1990). Simulation models are particularly suited for scenario analysis, decision support, and uncertainty handling through multimodel ensembles (Timlin et al., 2024). They require detailed input data—such as climate, soil, and crop parameters—and typically simulate system behavior at short time intervals, often daily (Gavasso-Rita et al., 2024; Pasley et al., 2023, Chawdhery st al., 2022).

Rule-Based Systems

Rule-based systems use logical algorithms and heuristic methods to simulate decision-making processes. These systems leverage expert knowledge and "if-then" rules to model specific crop behaviors, making them valuable as decision support tools for agricultural planning. However, they struggle to adapt to novel or highly complex conditions (Sanchez et al., 1997; Mthembu et al., 2024).

Empirical Models

Empirical models rely on regression equations to describe observed data, estimating outcomes such as crop yield without explicitly addressing underlying mechanisms. These models are often used to predict yield responses to fertilizers or to explore relationships between plant attributes and yield. While straightforward and computationally efficient, they are limited in scope due to their reliance on historical data (Feng et. al., 2023).

Mechanistic Models

Mechanistic models simulate physical, chemical, and biological processes at a fundamental level to explain system behavior. These models break systems into individual components, offering detailed insights into processes such as cell division and other physiological activities (Brockington, 1979). Mechanistic models are highly detailed but require extensive input data and are computationally intensive.

Static and Dynamic Models

Static Models: Exclude time as a variable, providing a snapshot of system behavior under specific conditions. These models are useful for analyzing steady-state scenarios.

Dynamic Models: Incorporate time as a factor, often using differential equations to represent temporal changes in system behavior. Dynamic models are better suited for capturing the evolution of agricultural systems over time (Ahmad et. al., 2021).

Deterministic Models

Deterministic models predict specific outcomes, such as yield or rainfall, without accounting for variability or randomness. These models are effective in stable systems with minimal uncertainty but are less suited for scenarios with high variability or unpredictable factors (Brockington, 1979).

Stochastic Models

Stochastic models address variability and uncertainty by predicting mean outcomes along with associated variances. These models are ideal for complex systems where deterministic approaches are inadequate. However, their technical complexity and computational demands can be significant (Amankwaa et. al., 2013).

Simulation-Optimizing Models

Simulation-optimizing models combine simulation techniques with optimization algorithms to identify the best management solutions. They employ decision rules and algorithms to optimize agricultural practices, such as irrigation schedules or nutrient applications. However, their rigid structure can limit their ability to represent the dynamic and stochastic nature of agricultural systems (Zhao et. al., 2024).

Checking the Quality of Models

Evaluating the reliability of crop models is essential to ensure they provide accurate and practical insights for decision-making. The quality of these models is assessed through several interconnected processes:

Validation and Verification

Validation and verification confirm a model's accuracy and its ability to effectively simulate real-world scenarios. Validation ensures alignment with observed data by comparing simulated outputs to independent datasets not used during model calibration, verifying the model's accuracy in representing reality (Sakamoto et al., 1989). Verification assesses internal consistency by testing the model's ability to reproduce observed data under controlled conditions, using key performance metrics such as Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and R-squared (Pasquel et al., 2022). Due to data limitations, validation often focuses on critical components such as extractable water, leaf area, and evapotranspiration, which significantly influence biomass accumulation.

Calibration and Sensitivity Analysis

Calibration and sensitivity analysis enhance model accuracy and identify influential parameters. Calibration adjusts model parameters to align outputs with local conditions and observed data, addressing discrepancies caused by sampling errors, incomplete system knowledge, or application under conditions differing from the model's original development (Gommes, 1985). Sensitivity analysis identifies variables that most significantly influence model predictions, guiding the prioritization of parameters for refinement and improving reliability (Jones et al., 1987; Pasley et al., 2023).

Uncertainty Analysis

Uncertainty analysis evaluates confidence levels in model predictions and their applicability for decision-making by quantifying potential errors arising from variability in input data, parameter estimates, or model structure, thereby enhancing model robustness (Dent and Blackie, 1979). Its objective is to assess the impact of variability in input data and the assumptions underlying model processes (Ravelo and Sakamoto, 1997). Robust uncertainty analysis techniques improve model reliability by evaluating the range and likelihood of potential outcomes. This process strengthens decision-making by accounting for the inherent variability in agricultural systems (Pasquel et al., 2022; Mthembu et al., 2024). Through the integration of validation, calibration, sensitivity analysis, and uncertainty analysis, crop models achieve greater accuracy and reliability, ensuring effective simulation of complex agroecological interactions and providing dependable support for sustainable agricultural practices.

Methods and Tools for Operational Crop Modeling

Technological advancements have significantly enhanced the precision, scalability, and applicability of crop models. This section outlines key methodologies and tools that drive operational crop modeling, ensuring reliable predictions and effective decision-making.

Remote Sensing

Remote sensing technologies, leveraging satellite and aerial platforms, provide critical real-time data for large-scale crop monitoring and model calibration, enhancing spatial resolution and accuracy (Berkhout and van Keulen, 1986). Vegetation indices such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) derived from satellite imagery monitor plant health and growth (Bastiaanssen et al., 1996). Parameters like surface temperature and cloud duration inform evapotranspiration rates and energy balances. High-resolution observations provide detailed data on Leaf Area Index (LAI), soil moisture, and chlorophyll content, improving the spatial and temporal precision of crop models. Remote sensing is particularly valuable in resource-limited settings, enabling effective monitoring of agricultural productivity (Dlamini et al., 2023; Mthembu et al., 2024). Drone-based systems complement satellite observations by supplying localized real-time data for fine-tuning models.

Weather Radar

Weather radar systems enhance the precision of meteorological inputs essential for crop modeling by providing localized data on precipitation and temperature, which are critical for modeling crop-water interactions and predicting drought stress. High-resolution precipitation data from radar systems improve water availability assessments and yield predictions (Snijders, 1991). Real-time monitoring delivers frequent updates on rainfall and atmospheric conditions, strengthening the robustness of water management models. These systems support irrigation scheduling and drought impact analysis through real-time precipitation monitoring, enriching datasets with spatially and temporally detailed meteorological information (Mthembu et al., 2024).

Interpolation and Data Generation

Interpolation techniques and synthetic data generation address gaps in meteorological and environmental data, ensuring continuous and reliable inputs for crop models. Methods like the Angstrom formula relate sunshine duration to radiation, bridging data availability gaps (Angstrom, 1924). Satellite-enhanced interpolation combines remote sensing data with ground observations to estimate missing values, reducing uncertainty in model inputs (Myers, 1994). Spatial interpolation methods, such as kriging, fill data gaps and provide consistent spatial coverage (Mthembu et al., 2024). Weather generators simulate random weather scenarios to test model robustness and performance under variable conditions (Timlin et al., 2024). These approaches ensure reliable datasets in regions with sparse observational networks, enhancing the resilience and accuracy of crop models. The integration of advanced tools, including remote sensing, weather radar, and interpolation techniques, refines predictions and supports sustainable agricultural practices and informed decision-making.

Applications of Crop Models

Crop models have a wide range of applications, from improving farm-level practices to shaping global agricultural policies. They provide actionable insights that enhance productivity, sustainability, and resilience in the face of climatic and resource challenges.

Crop Forecasting

Crop forecasting models are pivotal for resource allocation, food security planning, and market predictions. These models simulate yields under diverse climatic and management conditions, providing critical inputs for early warning systems, strategic food security initiatives, and effective market supply management (Fischer, 1985).

Key Contributions

Yield Forecasting

Models simulate crop yields under diverse climate scenarios, providing early warning systems to support food security initiatives (FAO/EU, 1997; Dlamini et al., 2023).

Climate Change Impact Studies

They assess the effects of elevated CO_2, temperature shifts, and extreme weather events on crop yields, guiding decisions on cultivar selection, sowing dates, and irrigation schedules (Timlin et al., 2024).

Pre-Harvest Estimates

Weather-based models offer reliable yield predictions before harvest, aiding in planning for farmers, researchers, and policymakers.

Quantifying Non-Climatic Factors

Models with physiological foundations account for yield reductions caused by factors like delayed sowing or pest infestations.

Applications

Strengthens market planning by forecasting supply levels. Supports efficient allocation of resources, reducing waste and maximizing output.

Farm-Level Applications

Crop models enhance precision farming by optimizing resource use and minimizing environmental impacts. They guide farm-level decisions on irrigation scheduling, pest control, and fertilizer application, reducing costs and improving efficiency. Models simulate nitrogen application effects on biomass production, offering insights for resource-efficient management (Penning de Vries et al., 1989).

Precision Agriculture

Models guide irrigation scheduling, fertilization planning, and pest management to achieve sustainable productivity (Stöckle et al., 1994). They promote efficient resource utilization, reducing environmental degradation while improving crop yields (Gavasso-Rita et al., 2024).

Profitability and Sustainability

Farmers use crop models to optimize profitability while maintaining soil health and ensuring environmental sustainability. They also assist in assessing long-term farming practices and investment decisions.

Institutional Uses

Crop models serve as essential tools for institutional planning, policy development, and resource allocation. They support policy-making, climate adaptation strategies, and agricultural planning by informing decisions on subsidies, optimizing resource allocation, and developing effective risk mitigation measures.

Policy Formulation

Models inform climate adaptation measures and mitigation strategies, enabling resource-efficient food security planning at national and global levels (Aggarwal, 1995; Mthembu et al., 2024).

Climate-Smart Agriculture

They guide the design of early warning systems, market planning initiatives, and crop insurance schemes. Models help evaluate the potential impacts of climate change on agriculture and guide policy responses to mitigate risks (Timlin et al., 2024).

Research Advancements

Crop models integrate interdisciplinary research, driving innovation and improving research efficiency (Bertrand and Pierre, 2019).

Knowledge Integration

They combine insights across disciplines, identifying major system drivers and highlighting knowledge gaps for targeted research. Modular frameworks enable collaboration among global researchers, reducing duplication and associated costs.

Database Development

The structured data organization required for model development fosters systematic database systems, improving accessibility and reliability.

Experimental Planning

Models predict crop performance in non-optimal or unexplored regions, aiding in site selection for experiments and reducing field evaluation requirements.

Breeding and Development of New Crop Varieties

Crop models accelerate the development and introduction of new crop varieties through integrated agro-ecosystem analyses.

Genotype-by-Environment (G × E) Analysis

Models facilitate multi-location field experiments, reducing the need for extensive physical evaluations and enabling precise identification of suitable traits (Mthembu et al., 2024).

Variety Development

By identifying key traits and optimal growing conditions, models speed up the breeding process, contributing to the development of resilient and high-yielding crop varieties. Crop models are indispensable for addressing global agricultural challenges, offering solutions that range from tactical farm-level interventions to strategic institutional and policy decisions. Their integration into research, forecasting, and breeding programs underscores their pivotal role in achieving sustainable agricultural systems.

Contributions to Modern Agriculture

Precision and Optimization

Crop yield models enhance precision in forecasting, enabling effective allocation of resources and early warning systems for food security (Timlin et al., 2024). Models optimize resource use, such as water and nutrients, to support sustainable and efficient agricultural practices.

Policy and Decision Support

These tools play a critical role in shaping agricultural policies, guiding climate adaptation strategies, and bolstering resilience in agricultural systems (Gavasso-Rita et al., 2024). Real-time data inputs, such as those from remote sensing, improve the models' accuracy and applicability at both local and global scales.

Research and Innovation

Model development serves as a cornerstone for agricultural research, identifying knowledge gaps and enabling targeted, efficient studies. Models allow researchers to quantify spatial and temporal variability, extrapolate findings to new cropping systems, and design better agricultural practices.

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite their advancements, crop models face limitations related to complexity, validation, and accuracy. Many models remain untested or poorly validated, which undermines their reliability and diminishes user confidence. Overly optimistic expectations and indiscriminate applications exacerbate these issues, leading to skepticism within the agronomy community.

To enhance Agrometeorological crop yield modeling utility, future efforts must focus on:

Improving Validation and Calibration

Rigorous testing and localized calibration will increase model accuracy and reliability.

Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Combining expertise from agronomy, meteorology, data science, and other fields will drive innovation.

Training and Capacity Building

Equipping users with the necessary skills and knowledge to apply models effectively will maximize their impact. Enhancing Scalability and Accessibility: Models must be adaptable to diverse systems and accessible to resource-limited users.

Crop Model Applications in Crop Research

Crop models are extensively utilized across various crops to simulate growth, yield, and environmental interactions. Below is a detailed summary of crop model applications presented in tabular form:

Crop	Model(s) Used	Applications/ Findings	Key References
Maize	CERES-Maize, EPIC, ALMANAC, CROPSYST, WOFOST, ADEL	- Assessed nitrogen requirements for maize in Nigeria. - Simulated maize growth and yield.	Amissah-Arthur and Jagtap (1995)
Peanut	PEANUTGRO	- Correlated peanut yields with local weather and soil data (r^2 = 0.93). - Studied effects of elevated CO_2, drought, and temperature on water relations and gas exchange.	Hammer et al. (1995), Clifford et al. (2000)
Sorghum	SORKAM, SorModel, SORGF	- Quantified climatic risk to sorghum in semi-arid tropics and subtropics of Australia. - Focused on specific management tasks.	Hammer and Muchow (1994)
Pearl Millet	CERES-Pearl Millet, CROPSYST, PmModels	- Simulated genotype suitability and global yield for pearl millet.	- Santos et al. (2017)
Cotton	GOSSYM, COTONS	- Studied cotton crop dynamics and environmental interactions.	Mckinion et al. (1989)
Groundnut	PNUTGRO	- Addressed specific growth and yield requirements for groundnut crops.	Boote et al. (1989)
Chickpea	CHIKPGRO	- Focused on chickpea-specific crop simulations.	- Vadez et al. (2021)
Wheat	WTGROWS	- Simulated wheat growth under varying environmental conditions.	- Saxena et al. (2006)
Soybean	SOYGRO	- Addressed soybean-specific crop requirements.	- *Fortson et al. (1989)*
Beans	BEANGRO	- Simulated growth and yield of bean crops.	Hogenboom et al. (1994)
Sunflower	QSUN	- Modeled sunflower growth and environmental responses.	- **Gholipouri et al. (2009)**
Crop Rotation & Perennial Crops	APSIM, GROWIT	- Integrated multiple modules for studies involving crop rotation, sequences, and perennial crops.	- Ebbisa (2023)

This table highlights the versatility of crop models in addressing the specific needs of various crops and research areas, providing robust insights into their growth, yield, and environmental interactions.

Exercises and Practical Training

Practical exercises are vital for connecting theoretical knowledge with real-world crop modeling applications. Through hands-on activities, learners gain proficiency in using modeling tools and understanding key agricultural processes. Effective training involves data analysis, model calibration, and result interpretation, with scenario simulations for water or nutrient management to enhance practical understanding. Training programs should also prioritize sensitivity analysis and uncertainty quantification, fostering confidence in model predictions and their applications.

Key Aspects of Practical Training in Crop Modeling

Hands-On Exercises

Activities focus on core concepts such as photosynthesis simulations, soil-water balance analysis, and spreadsheet-based experiments. Tools like CropSyst provide a foundation for understanding crop growth dynamics and environmental interactions (Stöckle and Nelson, 1994).

Practical Training Programs

Comprehensive training involves the use of advanced tools such as DSSAT and APSIM, enabling learners to perform calibration exercises, sensitivity analyses, and scenario-based simulations. These programs aim to deepen understanding and develop expertise in crop modeling methodologies (Gavasso-Rita et al., 2024; Pasley et al., 2023).

Capacity Building for Real-World Applications

Workshops and simulations provide stakeholders with the skills to apply crop models effectively in real-world scenarios. Collaborative projects foster the integration of crop modeling insights into decision-making for sustainable agricultural practices. For example BARC and SARC in Bangladesh creating a national strategy for modeling, expanding training programs, establishing dedicated roles for modelers, integrating modeling into university curricula, and maintaining a centralized database at BARC (BARC, 2015).

Benefits of Model Calibration and Evaluation

A well-calibrated crop model ensures reliable predictions, saving time and resources. Proper calibration and sensitivity analyses are critical for optimizing model performance and addressing uncertainties in agricultural systems (Pasley et al., 2023).

Contributions to Global Food Security

Effective training in crop modeling equips stakeholders to tackle challenges such as resource optimization, climate adaptation, and food security. Through simulation-based decision support, these tools contribute significantly to sustainable agriculture and global food security. By combining theoretical learning with practical exercises, crop modeling training equips participants with the tools and techniques to address complex agricultural challenges effectively (Gavasso-Rita et al., 2024).

Limitations of Crop Models

While crop models are valuable tools for agricultural research and decision-making, they are constrained by inherent limitations stemming from incomplete knowledge, system complexity, and data inadequacies.

Key Challenges in Crop Modeling

Biological Complexity and Process Understanding

The complexity of biological systems and the incomplete understanding of natural processes limit the precision of crop models (Jame and Cutforth, 1996). Models often rely on simplifications to represent intricate processes like plant-environment interactions, which may not capture real-world variability.

Data Quality and Variability

Soil and crop data are influenced by heterogeneity and environmental variations, which can introduce errors. Meteorological data, critical for simulations, must be complete and accurate, but gaps and inconsistencies are common. Sampling errors and the absence of essential parameters further reduce model accuracy.

Climate Variability and Extreme Events

Models struggle to accurately simulate local climate variability and predict extreme events such as droughts and storms (Shewmake, 2008). While General Circulatory Models (GCMs) are effective at simulating global temperature and precipitation trends, their regional projections often lack reliability (Grotch, 1988).

Validation and Calibration Challenges

Validation is constrained by limited field data, which often lack precision or specificity. Models test multiple hypotheses simultaneously, complicating the process of isolating errors and improving predictions.

Computational and Technological Limitations

Constraints in computational power and technology restrict the ability to model highly detailed biological systems. The balance between model complexity and usability often results in compromises that affect the depth and scope of predictions.

Attaining Ideal Representations

An ideal crop model that fully replicates real-world behaviors is unattainable due to the inherent complexity of biological and environmental systems. Developing precise system parameters remains a significant challenge, particularly in diverse and resource-limited settings.

Recognizing Limitations for Effective Use

Acknowledging these limitations is essential for the appropriate application of crop models. Users must apply these tools with a clear understanding of their scope and constraints to ensure realistic expectations and effective decision-making. By addressing data gaps, improving validation methods, and refining model designs, crop modeling can continue to evolve as a critical tool in agricultural research and sustainability efforts.

Conclusions

Agrometeorological crop yield modeling integrates eco-physiological principles, computational methods, and practical applications to address critical agricultural challenges. These models enable accurate yield forecasting, optimize resource use, and inform policy-making, playing a pivotal role in ensuring global food security and environmental sustainability amid climate variability and growing demands on agricultural systems. Advances in technology, including remote sensing and improved simulation techniques, have significantly enhanced the precision and utility of these models, effectively addressing challenges like climate change and food security. Future research should prioritize incorporating detailed physiological processes, improving precision, and expanding model applicability across diverse agricultural systems.

Agrometeorological crop yield modeling is indispensable for modern agriculture, offering insights into sustainable practices and informed decision-making. While significant progress has been made, addressing current challenges will require ongoing advancements in model design, data integration, and user engagement. By fostering innovation and collaboration, these models can play a transformative role in securing global food systems and mitigating the impacts of climate change.

References

Aggarwal, P.K., 1995. Uncertainties in crop, soil and weather inputs used in growth models: implications for simulated outputs and their applications. Agricultural Systems, 48(3):361-384.

Ahmad, Adeel & Shehzadi, Memoona & Wajid, Aftab & Ghafoor, Rana & Akhtar, Naveed & Shafqat, Muhammad & Mahreen, Nida & Sher, Rashad. (2021). Understanding the Agricultural Systems Modeling: A Review. International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering. 10. 2241-2248. 10.30534/ijatcse/2021/1061032021.

Amankwaa-Yeboah, Patricia & Yeboah, Stephen & Addy, Sylvester & Amponsah, Shadrack & Owusu Danquah, E.. (2013). Crop modeling: A tool for agricultural research – A review. E3 Journal of Agricultural Research and Development. 2. 1-6.

Amankwaa-Yeboah, Patricia & Yeboah, Stephen & Addy, Sylvester & Amponsah, Shadrack & Owusu Danquah, E.. (2013). Crop modeling: A tool for agricultural research – A review. E3 Journal of Agricultural Research and Development. 2. 1-6.

Angstrom, A. (1924). Solar and terrestrial radiation. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 50: 121-126.

BARC. (2015). Workshop on Cropping Systems Modelling: Focusing on Bangladesh Modelling Team Activities of SAARC-Australia and ACCA Project', 22 April, 2015, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC), Agri news, Vol. 9, Issue 2, (April-June, 2015), A Quarterly Newsletter of SAARC Agriculture Centre (SAC).

Barrett, C. B. (2013). Food or consequences: food security and its im- plications for global sociopolitical stability. Food security and sociopolitical stability. Oxford University Press. https://doi. org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199679362.003.0001

Bastiaanssen, W.G.M., Van der Wal, T., & Visser, T.N.M., 1996. Diagnosis of regional evaporation by remote sensing to support irrigation performance assessment. Irrigation and Drainage Systems, 10:1-23.

Berkhuot, J.A.A. and Keulen, H. van (1986). Potential evapotranspiration. Pages 63-75. In: Keulen, H. van and Wolf, J. (eds), Modeling of agricultural production: weather, soil and crops. Wageningen: Pudoc.

Bertrand Muller and Pierre Martre, (2019) Plant and crop simulation models: powerful tools to link physiology, genetics, and phenomics, Journal of Experimental Botany, Volume 70, Issue 9, 15 April 2019, Pages 2339–2344, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz175

Brockington NR (1979). Computer Modeling in Agriculture. Clarendon Press New York, 156

Brown HE, Moot DJ, Fletcher AL, Jamieson PD (2009) A framework for quantifying water extraction and water stress responses of perennial lucerne. Crop Pasture Sci 60:785–794. https://doi.org/10.1071/CP08415

Chanter, D.O. (1981). The use and misuse of linear regression methods in crop modeling. Pages 253-267. In: Rose, D.A. and Charles- Edwards, D.A. (eds), Mathematics and plant physiology. London: Academic Press.

Dent, J.B. and Blackie, M.J. (1979). System simulation in agriculture. London: Applied Science Publishers Ltd. 180 pp.

Dlamini, Luleka & Crespo, Olivier & Dam, J.C & Kooistra, Lammert. (2023). A Global Systematic Review of Improving Crop Model Estimations by Assimilating Remote Sensing Data: Implications for Small-Scale Agricultural Systems. Remote Sensing. 15. 4066. 10.3390/rs15164066.

Ebbisa, Addisu. (2023). Application of Crop Modeling in Multi-Cropping Systems for Maximize Production and Build Resilient Ecosystem Services. 10.5772/intechopen.110742.

Fahad, S., Adnan, M., Noor, M., Arif, M., Alam, M., Khan, I. A., Ullah, H., Wahid, F., Mian, I. A., Jamal, Y., & Basir, A. (2019). Major constraints for global rice production. In M. Hasanuzzaman, M. Fujita, K. Nahar, & J. K. Biswas (Eds.), Advances in rice research for abiotic stress tolerance (pp. 1–22). Woodhead Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814332-2.00001-0

FAO/EU, 1997. Crop yield forecasting methods. Proceedings of a seminar organized from 24 to 27 October 1994 in Villefranche-sur-Mer by the EU and FAO. Official Publications of the European Communities, 438 pages.

Feng X, Tian H, Cong J and Zhao C (2023) A method review of the climate change impact on crop yield. Front. For. Glob. Change 6:1198186. doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2023.1198186

Fischer, R.A. (1985). The role of crop simulation models in wheat agronomy. Pages 237-255. In: Day, W. and Atkin, R.K (eds), Wheat growth and modeling. NATO ASI Series A86.New York and London: Plenum Press.

Fortson, R.E., McClendon, R.W., & Hook, J.E. (1989). Managing Irrigation with the SOYGRO Crop Growth Model in the Coastal Plain of Georgia. Applied Engineering in Agriculture, 5, 441-446.

Frère, M. & Popov, G., 1979. Agrometeorological crop monitoring and forecasting. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper N. 17, FAO, Rome, 64 pp.

Gaetani I, Pieter-Jan H, Hensen JLM (2020) A stepwise approach for assessing the appropriate occupant behaviour modelling in building performance simulation. J Build 13(3):362–377. https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2020.1734660

Gavasso Rita, Yohanne & Papalexiou, Simon Michael & Li, Yanping & Elshorbagy, Amin & Li, Zhenhua & Wallace, Corinne. (2023). Crop models and their use in assessing crop production and food security: A review. Food and Energy Security. 13. 10.1002/fes3.503.

Gholipouri, Abdolghayoum & Sedghi, Mohammad & Sharifi, Raouf & Heydari, Amir. (2009). A Computer Model for Simulating Sunflower Growth and Yield. IEEE Internet Computing - INTERNET. 240-244. 10.1109/ICECS.2009.11.

Gourdiaan, J. and Laar, H.H. van (1978). Calculation of daily totals of the gross CO2 assimilation of the leaf canopies. Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science, 26: 373-382.

Heemst, H.D.J. van (1986a). Potential crop production: physiological principles. Pages 13-26. In: Keulen, H. van and Wolf, J. (eds), Modeling of agricultural production: weather, soil and crops. Wageningen: Pudoc.

Heemst, H.D.J. van (1986b). Crop phenology and dry matter distribution. Pages 27-40. In: Keulen, H. van and Wolf, J. (eds), Modeling of agricultural production: weather, soil and crops. Wageningen: Pudoc.

Hoogenboom, G., Porter, C., Boote, K., Sheila, V., Wilkens, P. L., & Singh, U. (2019). The DSSAT crop modeling ecosystem. Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing | Agricultural Science in Print and Online Retrieved December 9, 2021, from https://shop.bdspublishing.com/store/bds/detail/product/3-190-9781786765185

Hornberger GM, Spear RC (1981) Approach to the preliminary analysis of environmental systems. J Environ Mgmt 12:1

Jones, J. W., Hoogenboom, G., Porter, C. H., Boote, K. J., Batchelor, W. D., Hunt, L. A., Wilkens, P. W., Singh, U., Gijsman, A. J., & Ritchie, J. T. (2003). The DSSAT cropping system model. European Journal of Agronomy, 18(3), 235–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(02)00107-7

Jones, J.W., Mishoe, J.W. and Boote, K.J. (1987). Introduction to simulation and modeling. FFTCTB 100. Taiwan, Taipei City: Food and fertilizer Technology Center.

Kogan, F. (2019). Food security: The twenty-first century issue. In F. Kogan (Ed.), Remote sensing for food security (pp. 9–22). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96256-6_2

M. U. Salam. 2019. Basics of Crop Modelling. Agro-Meteorological Information Systems Development Project, Department of Agricultural Extension, Khamarbari, Farmgate, Dhaka-1215, Bangladesh

McMennamy, J.A. and O'Toole, J.C. (1983). Non-existence of an optimum leaf area index for the production rate of white color grown under constant conditions. Plant Physiology, 41: 1615- 1622.

Monteith JL (1986) How do crops manipulate water supply and demand? Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser A 316:1537

Monteith, J.L. (1973). Principles of environmental physics. London: Edward Arnold (Publishers) Ltd. 241 pp.

Monteith, J.L., 1965. Evaporation and environment, in: G.E. Fogg (Ed.) The state and movement of water in living organisms. Cambridge University Press, London.

Monteith, J.L., 1973. Principles of environmental physics. Edward Arnold, London, 241 pp.

Mthembu, Thando & Kunz, Richard & Gokool, Shaeden & Mabhaudhi, T. (2024). The Use of Agricultural Databases for Crop Modeling: A Scoping Review. Sustainability. 16. 6554. 10.3390/su16156554.

Muller S, Muñoz-Carpena R, Kiker G (2011) Model Relevance. In Climate. NATO Science for Peace and Security Series C: envi- ronmental security. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 39–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-1770-1_4

Myers, D.E., 1994. Statistical methods for interpolating spatial data. J. Appl. Science & Computations, 1(2):283-318.

Pasley, Heather & Brown, Hamish & Holzworth, Dean & Whish, J. & Bell, Lindsay & Huth, Neil. (2022). How to build a crop model? A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 43. 10.1007/s13593-022-00854-9.

Penning de Vries, F.W.T., Jansen, D.M., Berge, H.F.M. Ten and Bakema, A. (1989). Simulation of ecophysiological process of growth in several annual crops. Wageningen: Pudoc. 271 pp.

Robertson, G.W., 1968. A biometeorological timescale for a cereal crop involving day and night temperature and photoperiod. Int. J. Biometeorol., 12:191-223.

Sakamoto, C., & LeDuc, S., 1981. Sense and non-sense: statistical crop growth and yield models. Pp. 185-200 in Weiss, A. (Ed.), 1981.

Saltelli A (2019) A short comment on statistical versus mathemati- cal modelling. Nat Commun 10:3870. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-019011865-8

Santos, Rafael & Boote, Kenneth & Sollenberger, Lynn & Neves, Andre & Pereira, Luiz & Scherer, Carolina & Gonçalves, Lucio. (2017). Simulated Optimum Sowing Date for Forage Pearl Millet Cultivars in Multilocation Trials in Brazilian Semi-Arid Region. Frontiers in Plant Science. 08. 2074. 10.3389/fpls.2017.02074.

Saxena, Rani & Bhardwaj, Vishal & Kalra, Naveen. (2006). Simulation of wheat yield using WTGROWS in northern India. Journal of agrometeorology. 8. 87-90. 10.54386/jam.v8i1.880.

Sharpley, A.N., & Williams, J.R., 1990. EPIC - Erosion Productivity Impact Calculator: Vol. I. Model Documentation. USDA Technical Bulletin No. 1768.

Spedding, C.R.W. (1975a). The biology of agricultural systems. London: Academic Press. 261 pp.

Stöckle, C.O., & Nelson, R.L., 1994. CropSyst User's manual (Version 1.0). Biological Systems Engineering Dept., Washington State University, Pullman, USA.

Timlin, D., Paff, K., & Han, E., 2024. The role of crop simulation modeling in assessing potential climate change impacts. Agrosystems, Geosciences & Environment, 7:e20453.

Timlin, Dennis & Paff, Kirsten & Han, Eunjin. (2024). The role of crop simulation modeling in assessing potential climate change impacts. Agrosystems, Geosciences & Environment. 7. 10.1002/agg2.20453.

Vadez, Vincent, Amir Hajjarpoor, Lijalem Balcha Korbu, Majid Alimagham, Raju Pushpavalli, Maria Laura Ramirez, Junichi Kashiwagi, Jana Kholova, Neil C. Turner, and Victor O. Sadras. "Chickpea." In Crop Physiology Case Histories for Major Crops, pp. 342-358. Academic Press, 2021.

Van Diepen, C.A., Wol, J., van Keulen, H., & Rappoldt, C., 1989. WOFOST: a simulation model of crop production. Soil Use and Management, 5(1):16-24.

Van Keulen, H., & Wolf, J. (Eds.), 1986. Modelling of agricultural production: weather, soils and crops. Pudoc, Wageningen, 478 pp.

Zhao, Y., Li, G., Li, S., Luo, Y., & Bai, Y. (2024). A Review on the Optimization of Irrigation Schedules for Farmlands Based on a Simulation–Optimization Model. Water, 16(17), 2545. https://doi.org/10.3390/w16172545