July 2022, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 143-146

ISSN (Online) 2518-6612 ISSN (Print) 2410-8030

Comparison of In-Hospital Complications among Patients with Right Ventricular Infarction

AKM Mohiuddin Bhuiyan¹, KAM Mahbub Hasan², Partha Pratim Saha³, Sayeda Sadia Fathema⁴, Najmul Huda Biplob⁵

¹Associate Professor & Head of the Department, Department of Cardiology, Ibn Sina Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ²Medical Officer, Department of Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ³Assistant Registrar, Department of Cardiology, National Institute of Traumatology, Orthopedics and Rehabilitation, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ⁴Senior Registrar, Ibn Sina Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ⁵Consultant (Neurology), Asgor Ali Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

[Received on: 22 April 2022; Accepted on: 12 May 2022; Published: 1 July 2022]

Abstract

Background: Patients with right ventricular infarction is a critical condition and may cause adverse cardiac events. **Objective:** The purpose of the present study was to compare the in-hospital complications among patients with right ventricular infarction based on TIMI risk score. Methodology: This prospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases (NICVD), Dhaka, Bangladesh from May 2007 to April 2008 for a period of one year. All the patients who were admitted into the coronary care unit of NICVD with acute inferior myocardial infarction with or without anterior myocardial infarction were selected as study population. Inclusion Criteria were patients admitted in CCU (NICVD) with acute inferior myocardial infarction with right ventricular infarction. Patients were categorized into two groups according to the extent of TIMI risk score. Patients with low TIMI risk score (0 to 3) were included in Group I and patients with high TIMI risk-score (4 to 14) were include in group II. Patients were followed up in their hospital stay to see the incidence of major cardiac events. Results: A total number of 60 patients with right ventricular infarction of which 30 consecutive patients with low TIMI risk score (0 to 3) were in Group I and 30 consecutive patients with high TIMI risk score (4 to 14) were in Group II. In-hospital mortality was 18.3% in the study populations and it was significantly higher in group II (33.3%) than group (3.3%). The next most common complication is cardiogenic shock followed by complete heart block, Cardiac arrest, VT and 2nd degree heart block. Conclusion: In conclusion the in-hospital mortality in the study populations is significantly higher in group II than group I /Journal of National Institute of Neurosciences Bangladesh, July 2022;8(2):143-146/

Keywords: right ventricular infarction; TIMI; risk score; Complications

Correspondence: Dr. A K M Mohiuddin Bhuiyan, Associate Professor & Head of the Department (Cardiology), Ibn Sina Medical College & Hospital, 1/1-B Kallayanpur, Mirpur road, Dhaka -1207, Bangladesh; Email: mbhuiyan14@yahoo.com; Cell No.: +8801711822986

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest relevant to this paper to disclose.

Funding agency: This research project was partially funded by Bangladesh Medical Research Council (BMRC)

Contribution to authors: Bhuiyan AKMM, Hasan KAMM, Saha PP were involved in protocol preparation, data & sample collection and literature search and manuscript writing. Fathema SS, Biplob NH were involved in sample preparation and testing. How to cite this article: Bhuiyan AKMM, Hasan KAMM, Saha PP, Fathema SS, Biplob NH. Comparison of In-Hospital

Complications among Patients with Right Ventricular Infarction. J Natl Inst Neurosci Bangladesh, 2022;8(2):143-146

Copyright: ©2022. Bhuiyan et al. Published by Journal of National Institute of Neurosciences Bangladesh. This article is published under the Creative Commons CC BY-NC License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). This license permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not used for commercial purposes.

Introduction

Right ventricular infraction occurs in 30% to 50% of patients with AMI inferior¹. Right coronary artery (RCA) is almost the culprit vessel but it may also occur with an occlusion of the left artery in patients who: have

left-dominant coronary circulation². In a study, the incidence of RVI was 30.0% cases³. Patients with RVI had significantly higher incidence of hypotension (60%), arrhythmias (45%), conduction disturbances (30%) and death (3%) than patients without RVI⁴.

The pathophysiology of right ventricular infarction may explain these findings⁵. In left ventricular infarction, low blood pressure is associated with impairment of left ventricular function, whereas in right ventricular ischaemia or infarction, acute right ventricular distension within the restraining pericardium may flatten the interventricular septum towards the left ventricle during diastole, restricting left ventricular diastolic filling and increasing the left ventricular end-diastolic pressure⁶. Thus right ventricular infarction may compromise cardiac output, culminating in cardiogenic shock. The higher incidence of advanced heart block may be explained by the fact that the right ventricle and atrioventricular node share a common origin of blood supply⁷.

The reason for the increased incidence of ventricular arrhythmia is unclear. Sympathetic activation secondary to the low-output state is pro-arrhythmogenic, and infarction of the right ventricle may itself be more arrhythmogenic than infarction that is confined to the left ventricle⁸. The diagnosis of RVI can be made from the physical examination, echocardiography, myocardial contrast echocardiography, radionuclide ventriculography, technetium pyrophosphate myocardial scanning, tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) and hemodynamic measurements⁹.

However, right precordial electrocardiography is the most readily available, simplest, and most objective of these techniques as compared with the other diagnostic procedures¹⁰. The presence of acute ST-segment elevation, Q waves, or both in the right precordial leads (V MR to V6R) predicted RVI with a sensitivity of 76 to 92 percent, a specificity of 50 to 81 percent, and a diagnostic accuracy of 78 to 87 percent¹¹⁻¹². The presence of ST-segment elevation in lead V4R is highly predictive of RVI like sensitivity 88 percent, specificity 78 percent and diagnostic accuracy 83 percent¹². The purpose of the present study was to compare the in-hospital complications among patients with right ventricular infarction based on TIMI risk score.

Methodology

This prospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases (NICVD), Dhaka, Bangladesh from May 2007 to April 2008 for a period of one year. All the patients who were admitted into coronary care unit of NICVD with acute inferior myocardial infarction with or without anterior myocardial infarction were selected as study population. A total number of 60 patients with right ventricular infarction of which 30

consecutive patients with low TIMI risk score (0 to 3) were in Group I and 30 consecutive patients with high TIMI risk score (4 to 14) were in Group II. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. Inclusion Criteria were patients admitted in CCU (NICVD) with acute inferior myocardial infarction with right ventricular infarction. Exclusion Criteria were patients with associated congenital anomalies, cardiomyopathy, known valvular heart disease. associated severe co-morbidity like malignancy, patients who were not thrombolysed. Initial evaluation of the patients were performed by history, clinical examination and ECG was performed and recorded in patient's data collection form. Demographic Profiles like age, Sex, BMI, Height, Weight were recorded. Risk factors of Ischaemic Heart Disease like Diabetes Mellitus, Hypertension, Smoking, Dyslipidemia and Family History were noted. Clinical profiles were Pulse, Blood pressure, Auscultation of Lung bases were recorded. Baseline laboratory investigations like random blood sugar, blood urea, serum creatinine, lipid profile, serum electrolyte, CK-MB, 12 lead ECG, echocardiography were done for each patient. Criteria for diagnosis of RVI was the presence of a clinical suspicion of RVI and any of the following which was present within 24 h of presentation with ST elevation > 1 mm in V3R or V4R on right-sided precordial chest leads and evidence of right ventricular infarction or dilatation with hypokinesis on echocardiography. TIMI risk scoring was done. Patients were categorized into two groups according to the extent of TIMI risk score. Thirty consecutive patients with low TIMI risk score (O- 3) were included in Group- I and Thirty consecutive patients with high TIMI risk score (4-14) were include in group- II. group-1 = TIMI risk score 0-3; Group- II = TIMI risk score 4-14. Patients were followed up in their hospital stay to see the incidence of major cardiac events.

Statistical Analysis: All data was analyzed by using computer based SPSS (statistical programme for social science) programme. Continuous data was expressed as median ormean \pm SD. Dichotomous data was expressed as percentage. Companson between groups was done by unpaired t-test to continuous variable. Categorial data was analyzed by chi-square(X2) test. P value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

A total of sixty patients with right ventricular infarction, who were admitted to Coronary Care Unit of NICVD

within 12 hours of onset of chest pain, were evaluated. Patients were divided into two groups according to the TIMI risk scoring like group I included patients with low TIMI risk score (0-3) and group II included patients with high TIMI risk score (4-14). Table 1 showed that the mean age of the study patients was 58.3 ± 10.4 years with mean age of group I patients 53.1 ± 9.6 years and group II patients 63.5 ± 11.2 years. 35.0% of the patients were in the age group of 65-74 years Only 8.3% patients were > 75 years of age. Analysis revealed statistically significant mean age difference between the two groups of patients (p <0.05).

Table 1: Distribution of patients by age (n=60)

| Age Group | Group I | Group II | Total | P value |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| 35 to 44 Years | 4(13.4%) | 2(6.6%) | 6(10.0%) | |
| 45 to 54 Years | 10(33.4%) | 4(13.3%) | 14(23.3%) | |
| 55 to 64 Years | 9(29.9%) | 5(16.7%) | 14(23.3%) | |
| 65 to 74 Years | 7(23.3%) | 14(46.7%) | 21(35.0%) | |
| ≥75 Years | 0(0.0%) | 5(16.5%) | 5(8.3%) | |
| $Mean \pm SD$ | 53.1±9.6 | 63.5±11.2 | 58.3 ± 10.4 | 0.001* |
| Range (Min, max) | (35-68) | (38-85) | (35-85) | |

Group 1= Score (0-3); Group 11= Score (4-14);* = significant at the level of p value <0.01;

p value reached from unpaired t test

Table 2 showed the distribution of the patients by in-hospital complication. In-hospital mortality was 18.3% in the study populations and it was significantly higher in group II (33.3%) than group (3.3%). The next most common complication is cardiogenic shock followed by complete heart block, Cardiac arrest, VT and 2nd degree heart block.

Table 2: Distribution of patients by in-hospital complication (n=60)

| Complication | Group I | Group II | Total | P value |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Death | 1(3.3%) | 10(33.3%) | 11(18.3%) | 0.002* |
| Cardiogenic shock | 1(3.3%) | 8(26.6%) | 9(15.0%) | 0.011* |
| Complete heart block | 0(0.0%) | 4(13.3%) | 4(6.6%) | 0.038* |
| 2 nd degree heart block | 0(0.0%) | 1(3.3%) | 1(1.6%) | 0.313 |
| Cardiac arrest | 0(0.0%) | 4(13.3%) | 4(6.6%) | 0.038* |
| VT | 0(0.0%) | 2(6.6%) | 2(3.3%) | 0.150 |

Group 1= Score (0-3); Group 11= Score (4-14);* = significant at the level of p value <0.01; p value reached from Chi-square test

Discussion

The clinical triad of hypotension, clear lung fields, and elevated jugular venous pressure in a patient with an inferior infarction is virtually pathognomonic for RVI¹¹. Other physical findings may include Kussmaul's sign, right ventricular gallops, tricuspid regurgitation, and

atrioventricular dissociation¹³.

Shock though uncommon, is the most serious complication of this⁹. Patients with RVI have higher incidence of atrioventricular block in as many as 48 percent⁷, supraventricular tachycardia including atrial fibrillation in up to one third of patients¹⁴ and ventricular arrhythmias¹¹. Other complications of right ventricular infarction include ventricular septal rupture, right ventricular thrombus formation and subsequent pulmonary embolism and a high incidence of pericarditis.

When AMI inferior is complicated by RVI, the in-hospital mortality may be as high as 31 percent, as compared with 6 percent for patients with inferior myocardial infarction and no RVI¹⁴. RVI in elderly patients with AMI inferior have a substantially increased risk of death during hospitalization¹⁵.

Concomitant posterior wall infarction may attenuate ST-segment elevation in lead V4R in proximal RCA occlusion. In such case, incidence of RVI may be underestimated on the basis of ST-segment elevation in lead VVR. The use of ST-segment elevation in lead V4R for the diagnosis of RVI is known to loose specificity in the presence of any heart disease that may induce anterior oriented ST vector & ST-segment elevation in lead V1, such as pericardial disease, acute pulmonary embolism, left anterior fascicular block, and AMI anterior present in 10 percent of patients with RVI¹⁶.The diagnostic accuracy of right precordial ST-segment elevation is considered to be greatest during the first 10 hours after an AMI, which underscores the need for an electrocardiographic assessment as soon as possible after admission to the hospital¹⁶.

The presence of RVI is associated with increased mortality and morbidity. Prompt recognition of RV involvement is important to allow early interventions such as thrombolytic therapy or angioplasty. Early aggressive reperfussion therapy in these patients, can significantly reduce the risk of major complications and in-hospital death^{11,15}. Attempts at reperfusion may be especially worthwhile in elderly patients.

Conclusion

In conclusion in-hospital mortality in the study populations is significantly higher in group II than group I. The most common complication is cardiogenic shock followed by complete heart block, cardiac arrest, VT and 2nd degree heart block. Further large scale study should be carried out.

References

- 1. Williams BA, Wright RS, Murphy JG, Brilakis ES, Reeder GS, Jaffe AS. A new simplified immediate prognostic risk score for patients with acute myocardial infarction. Emergency Medicine Journal. 2006;23(3):186-92
- 2. Haque MA, Khan MR, Momen A, Rahman Z, Chowdhury AW, Shahabuddin M, Faruque GM. Early Risk Assessment In Right Ventricular Infarction By TIMI Risk Score. Cardiovascular Journal. 2012;5(1):51-6
- 3. Morrow DA, Antman EM, Parsons L, de Lemos JA, Cannon CP, Giugliano RP, et al. Application of the TIMI risk score for ST-elevation MI in the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 3. JAMA 2001;286(11): 1356-9.
- 4. Khan SQ, Quinn P, Davies JE, Ng LL. N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide is better than TIMI risk score at predicting death after acute myocardial infarction. Heart 2008;94(1):40-3.
- 5. Gumina RJ, Wright RS, Kopecky SL, Miller WL, Williams BA, Reeder GS, Murphy JG. Strong predictive value of TIMI risk score analysis for in-hospital and long-term survival of patients with right ventricular infarction. European heart journal. 2002;23(21):1678-83 6. Morrow DA, Antman EM, Charlesworth A, Cairns R, Murphy SA, de Lemos JA, et al. TIMI risk score for ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a convenient, bedside, clinical score for risk assessment at presentation: an intravenous nPA for treatment of infarcting myocardium early II trial substudy. Circulation. 2000;102(17):2031-7 7. Bradshaw PJ, Ko DT, Newman AM, Donovan LR, Tu JV. Validation of the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk index for predicting early mortality in a population-based cohort of STEMI and non-STEMI patients. Canadian Journal of Cardiology 2007;23(1):51-6
- 8. Truong QA, Cannon CP, Zakai NA, Rogers IS, Giugliano RP, Wiviott SD, et al. Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) Risk Index predicts long-term mortality and heart failure in patients with

- ST-elevation myocardial infarction in the TIMI 2 clinical trial. American Heart Journal. 2009;157(4):673-9
- 9. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ôunpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, McQueen M, Budaj A, Pais P, Varigos J, Lisheng L. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. The lancet. 2004;364(9438): 937-52
- 10. Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: Global Burden of Disease Study. The lancet. 1997;349(9061): 1269-76.
- 11. Bedetti G, Gargani L, Sicari R, Gianfaldoni ML, Molinaro S, Picano E. Comparison of prognostic value of echocardiacgraphic risk score with the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) and Global Registry in Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) risk scores in acute coronary syndrome. The American journal of cardiology. 2010;106(12):1709-16
- 12. Acute Coronary Syndrome: Guideline for Management 2004, Bangladesh Cardiac Society, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 13. Carter T, Ellis K. Right ventricular infarction. Critical Care Nurse. 2005;25(2):52-62
- 14. Majumder AA, Haque A, Haque M, Haque SA, Chowdhury S. Right ventricular involvement in acute myocardial infarction: course, complications and management-an experience at a teaching Hospital. Journal of Institute of Postgraduate Medicine & Research. 1996;11:22-5.
- 15. Khan S, Kundi A, Sharieff S. Prevalence of right ventricular myocardial infarction in patients with acute inferior wall myocardial infarction. International journal of clinical practice. 2004;58(4):354-7 16. Joshi P, Islam S, Pais P, Reddy S, Dorairaj P, Kazmi K, Pandey MR, Haque S, Mendis S, Rangarajan S, Yusuf S. Risk factors for early myocardial infarction in South Asians compared with individuals in other countries. Jama. 2007;297(3):286-94