

Commutativity in Prime Γ -Near-Rings with Permuting Tri-derivations

K. K. Dey* and A. C. Paul

Department of Mathematics, Rajshahi University, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Received 25 January 2013, accepted in final revised form 21 March 2013

Abstract

The object of this paper is to introduce a permuting tri-derivation in a Γ -near-ring. We obtain the conditions for a prime Γ -near-ring to be a commutative Γ -ring.

Keywords: Γ -near-ring; Prime Γ -near-ring; Commutative Γ -ring; Permuting tri-derivation.

© 2013 JSR Publications. ISSN: 2070-0237 (Print); 2070-0245 (Online). All rights reserved.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v5i2.13478>

J. Sci. Res. 5 (2), 275-281 (2013)

1. Introduction

The derivations in near-rings have been introduced by Bell and Mason [1]. They investigated some basic properties of derivations in near-rings. Then Asci [2] obtained some commutativity conditions for a Γ -near-ring with derivations. Some characterizations of Γ -near-rings and some regularity conditions were obtained by Cho [3]. Kazaz and Alkan [4] introduced the notion of two-sided Γ - α -derivation of a Γ -near-ring and investigated the commutativity of prime and semiprime Γ -near-rings. Uckun *et al.* [5] worked on prime Γ -near-rings with derivations and they investigated the conditions for a Γ -near-ring to be commutative.

In this paper, the notion of a permuting tri-derivation in a Γ -near-ring is introduced. We investigate the conditions for a prime Γ -near-ring to be a commutative Γ -ring.

2. Preliminaries

A Γ -near-ring is a triple $(R, +, \Gamma)$ where

- (i) $(R, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian),
- (ii) Γ is a non-empty set of binary operations on R such that for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $(R, +, \alpha)$ is a left near-ring.
- (iii) $x\alpha(y\beta z) = (x\alpha y)\beta z$, for all $x, y, z \in R$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

* Corresponding author: kkdmath@yahoo.com

Exactly speaking, it is a *left Γ -near-ring* because it satisfies the left distributive law. We will use the word *Γ -near-ring* to mean *left Γ -near-ring*. For a Γ -near-ring R , the set $R_0 = \{x \in R : 0\alpha x = 0, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is called the *zero-symmetric part* of R . A Γ -near-ring R is said to be *zero-symmetric* if $R = R_0$. Throughout this note, R will be a zero-symmetric Γ -near-ring and R is called *prime* if $x\Gamma R\Gamma y = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. Recall that R is called *n -torsion-free*, where n is a positive integer, if $nx = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for all $x \in R$. The symbol $C(R)$ will represent the multiplicative center of R , that is, $C(x) = \{\alpha \in R : x\alpha y = y\alpha x \text{ for all } y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. For $x \in R$, the symbol $C(x)$ will denote the centralizer of x in R . As usual, for $x, y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma, [x, y]_\alpha$ will denote the commutator $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$, while (x, y) will indicate the additive-group commutator $x + y - x - y$. An additive map $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *derivation* if the Leibniz rule $d(x\alpha y) = d(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$. By a *bi-derivation* we mean a bi-additive map $D : R \times R \rightarrow R$ (i.e., D is additive in both arguments) which satisfies the relations $D(x\alpha y, z) = D(x, z)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y, z)$ and $D(x, y\alpha z) = D(x, y)\alpha z + y\alpha D(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Let D be symmetric, that is, $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. The map $d : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $d(x) = D(x, x)$ for all $x \in R$ is called the *trace* of D . A map $F : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is said to be *permuting* if the equation $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = F(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)})$ holds for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$ and for every permutation $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \pi(3)\}$.

3. Permuting Tri-derivations and Commutativity

A map $f : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $f(x) = F(x, x, x)$ for all $x \in R$, where $F : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting map, is called the *trace* of F . It is obvious that, in the case $F : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting map which is also tri-additive (i.e., additive in each argument), the trace f of F satisfies the relation $f(x + y) = f(x) + 2F(x, x, y) + F(x, y, y) + F(x, x, y) + 2F(x, y, y) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Since we have $F(0, y, z) = F(0 + 0, y, z) = F(0, y, z) + F(0, y, z)$ for all $y, z \in R$, we obtain $F(0, y, z) = 0$ for all $y, z \in R$. Hence we get $0 = F(0, y, z) = F(x - x, y, z) = F(x, y, z) + F(-x, y, z)$ and so we see that $F(-x, y, z) = -F(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. This tells us that f is an odd function.

A tri-additive map $D : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ will be called a *tri-derivation* if the relations $D(x_1\alpha x_2, y, z) = D(x_1, y, z)\alpha x_2 + x_1\alpha D(x_2, y, z)$, $D(x, y_1\alpha y_2, z) = D(x, y_1, z)\alpha y_2 + y_1\alpha D(x, y_2, z)$ and $D(x, y, z_1\alpha z_2) = D(x, y, z_1)\alpha z_2 + z_1\alpha D(x, y, z_2)$ are fulfilled for all $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, z_i \in R, i = 1, 2, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

We need the following lemmas to obtain our main results.

Lemma 3.1 [6, Lemma 2.3] *Let R be a prime Γ -near-ring. If $C(R) - \{0\}$ contains an element z for which $z + z \in C(R)$, then $(R, +)$ is abelian.*

Lemma 3.2 [7, Lemma 2.2] *Let R be a 3!-torsion free Γ -near-ring. Suppose that there exists a permuting tri-additive map $F : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$, where f is the trace of F . Then we have $F = 0$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be a 3!-torsion free prime Γ -near-ring and let $x \in R$. Suppose that there exists a nonzero permuting tri-derivation $D : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $x\alpha d(y) = 0$ for all $y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$, where d is the trace of D . Then we have $x = 0$.*

Proof. Since we have $d(y + z) = d(y) + 2D(y, y, z) + D(y, z, z) + D(y, y, z) + 2D(y, z, z) + d(z)$ for all $y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$, the hypothesis gives

$$2\alpha D(y, y, z) + \alpha D(y, z, z) + \alpha D(y, y, z) + 2\alpha D(y, z, z) = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (1)$$

Setting $y = -y$ in (1), it follows that

$$2\alpha D(y, y, z) - \alpha D(y, z, z) + \alpha D(y, y, z) - 2\alpha D(y, z, z) = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, for any $y, z \in R, d(z + y) = d(z) + 2D(z, z, y) + D(z, y, y) + D(z, z, y) + 2D(z, y, y) + d(y)$ and so, by the hypothesis, we have

$$2\alpha D(y, z, z) + \alpha D(y, y, z) + \alpha D(y, z, z) + 2\alpha D(y, y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma, \quad (3)$$

Since D is permuting. Comparing (1) with (2), we get $2\alpha D(y, z, z) + \alpha D(y, y, z) + \alpha D(y, z, z) = \alpha D(y, y, z) - 3\alpha D(y, z, z)$ which means that $2\alpha D(y, z, z) + \alpha D(y, y, z) + \alpha D(y, z, z) + 2\alpha D(y, y, z) = \alpha D(y, y, z) - 3\alpha D(y, z, z) + 2\alpha D(y, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now, from (3), we obtain

$$\alpha D(y, y, z) - 3\alpha D(y, z, z) + 2\alpha D(y, y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (4)$$

Taking $y = -y$ in (4) leads to

$$\alpha D(y, y, z) + 3\alpha D(y, z, z) + 2\alpha D(y, y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (5)$$

Combining (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\alpha D(y, z, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma, \quad (6)$$

since R is 6-torsion free.

Replacing $z = z + w$ to linearize (6) and using the conditions show that

$$\alpha D(w, y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (7)$$

Substituting $w\beta v$ for w in (7), we get $\alpha w\beta D(v, y, z) = 0$ for all $v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Since R is prime and $D \neq 0$, we arrive at $x = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 3.4. Let R be a Γ -near-ring and let $D : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a permuting tri-derivation. Then we have $[D(x, z, w)\alpha y + \alpha D(y, z, w)]\beta v = D(x, z, w)\alpha y\beta v + \alpha D(y, z, w)\beta v$ for all $v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Since we have $D(x\alpha y, z, w) = D(x, z, w)\alpha y + \alpha D(y, z, w)$ for all $w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$, the associative law gives

$$\begin{aligned} D((x\alpha y)\beta v, z, w) &= D(x\alpha y, z, w)\beta v + x\alpha y\beta D(v, z, w) \\ &= [D(x, z, w)\alpha y + \alpha D(y, z, w)]\beta v + x\alpha y\beta D(v, z, w) \text{ for all } v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(x\alpha(y\beta v), z, w) &= D(x, z, w)\alpha y\beta v + \alpha D(y\beta v, z, w) \\ &= D(x, z, w)\alpha y\beta v + \alpha [D(y, z, w)\beta v + y\beta D(v, z, w)] \\ &= D(x, z, w)\alpha y\beta v + \alpha D(y, z, w)\beta v + x\alpha y\beta D(v, z, w) \text{ for all } v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Comparing (8) and (9), we see that $[D(x, z, w)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y, z, w)]\beta v = D(x, z, w)\alpha y\beta v + x\alpha D(y, z, w)\beta v$ for all $v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

The proof of the lemma is complete.

Now we are ready to prove our main results in this section.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a 3!-torsion free prime Γ -near-ring. Suppose that there exists a nonzero permuting tri-derivation $D : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $D(x, y, z) \in C(R)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Then R is a commutative Γ -ring.*

Proof. Assume that $D(x, y, z) \in C(R)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Since D is nonzero, there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in R$ such that $D(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in C(R) - \{0\}$ and $D(x_0, y_0, z_0) + D(x_0, y_0, z_0) = D(x_0, y_0, z_0 + z_0) \in C(R)$.

So $(R, +)$ is abelian by Lemma 3.1.

Since the hypothesis implies that

$$w\beta D(x, y, z) = D(x, y, z)\beta w \text{ for all } w, x, y, z \in R, \beta \in \Gamma, \tag{10}$$

we replace x by $x\alpha v$ in (10) to get $w\beta[D(x, y, z)\alpha v + x\alpha D(v, y, z)] = [D(x, y, z)\alpha v + x\alpha D(v, y, z)]\beta w$ and thus, from Lemma 3.4 and the hypothesis, it follows that $D(x, y, z)\beta w\alpha v + D(v, y, z)\alpha w\beta x = D(x, y, z)\alpha v\beta w + D(v, y, z)\beta x\alpha w$ which means that

$$D(x, y, z)\beta[w, v]_\alpha = D(v, y, z)\beta[x, w]_\alpha \text{ for all } v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{11}$$

Setting $d(u)$ in place of v in (11) and using $d(x) \in C(R)$ for all $x \in R$, by the hypothesis, we obtain

$$D(d(u), y, z)\beta[x, w]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } u, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{12}$$

The substitution $v\alpha x$ for x in (12) yields that $D(d(u), y, z)\beta v\alpha[x, w]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Since R is prime, we obtain either $D(d(u), y, z) = 0$ or $[x, w]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Assume that

$$D(d(u), y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } u, y, z \in R. \tag{13}$$

Let us take $u + x$ instead of u in (13). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(d(u + x), y, z) = D(d(u) + d(x) + 3D(u, u, x) + 3D(u, x, x), y, z) \\ &= 3D(D(u, u, x), y, z) + 3D(D(u, x, x), y, z), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$D(D(u, u, x), y, z) + D(D(u, x, x), y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } v, w, x, y \in R. \tag{14}$$

Setting $u = -u$ in (14) and then comparing the result with (14), we see that

$$D(D(u, u, x), y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } u, x, y, z \in R. \tag{15}$$

Substituting $u\lambda x$ for x in (15) and employing (13) give the relation $d(u)\lambda D(x, y, z) + D(u, y, z)\lambda D(u, u, x) = 0$ and so it follows from the hypothesis that

$$d(u)\lambda D(x, y, z) + D(u, u, x)\lambda D(u, y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } u, x, y, z \in R, \lambda \in \Gamma. \tag{16}$$

We put $u = y = x$ in (16) to obtain,

$$d(x)\lambda D(x, x, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w, x \in R, \lambda \in \Gamma. \tag{17}$$

Taking $w\lambda x$ in substitute for w in (17) yields $d(x)\lambda w\lambda d(x) = 0$, for all $\lambda \in \Gamma$, and so the primeness of R implies that $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, we have $D = 0$ which is a contradiction. So R is a commutative Γ -ring. This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.6. Let R be a 3!-torsion free prime Γ -near-ring. Suppose that there exists a nonzero permuting tri-derivation $D : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $d(x), d(x) + d(x) \in C(D(u, v, w))$ for all $u, v, w, x \in R$, where d is the trace of D . Then R is a commutative Γ -ring.

Proof. Assume that

$$d(x), d(x) + d(x) \in C(D(u, v, w)) \text{ for all } u, v, w, x \in R. \tag{18}$$

From (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & D(u + t, v, w)\alpha(d(x) + d(x)) \\ &= (d(x) + d(x))\alpha D(u + t, v, w) \\ &= (d(x) + d(x))\alpha [D(u, v, w) + D(t, v, w)] \\ &= (d(x) + d(x))\alpha D(u, v, w) + (d(x) + d(x))\alpha D(t, v, w) \\ &= d(x)\alpha D(u, v, w) + d(x)\alpha D(u, v, w) + d(x)\alpha D(t, v, w) + d(x)\alpha D(t, v, w) \\ &= d(x)\alpha [D(u, v, w) + D(u, v, w) + D(t, v, w) + D(t, v, w)] \\ &= [D(u, v, w) + D(u, v, w) + D(t, v, w) + D(t, v, w)]\alpha d(x) \text{ for all } t, u, v, w, x \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & D(u + t, v, w)\alpha(d(x) + d(x)) \\ &= D(u + t, v, w)\alpha d(x) + D(u + t, v, w)\alpha d(x) \\ &= [D(u, v, w) + D(t, v, w)]\alpha d(x) + [D(u, v, w) + D(t, v, w)]\alpha d(x) \\ &= [D(u, v, w) + D(t, v, w) + D(u, v, w) + D(t, v, w)]\alpha d(x) \text{ for all } t, u, v, w, x \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Comparing (19) and (20), we obtain $D((u, t), v, w)\alpha d(x) = 0$ for all $t, u, v, w, x \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$D((u, t), v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } t, u, v, w \in R. \tag{21}$$

We substitute $u\beta z$ for u and $u\beta t$ for t in (21) to get

$$0 = D(u\beta(z, t), v, w) = D(u, v, w)\beta(z, t) + u\beta D((z, t), v, w) = D(u, v, w)\beta(z, t), \beta \in \Gamma.$$

That is,

$$D(u, v, w)\beta(z, t) = 0 \text{ for all } t, u, v, w, z \in R, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{22}$$

Letting $z = s\delta z$ in (22) and comparing the results (22) we obtain,

$$D(u, v, w)\beta s\delta(z, t) = 0 \text{ for all } s, t, u, v, w, z \in R, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma. \tag{23}$$

Since $D \neq 0$, we conclude, from (23) and the primeness of R , that $(z, t) = 0$ is fulfilled for all $t, z \in R$. Therefore $(R, +)$ is abelian.

By the hypothesis, we know that

$$[d(x), D(u, v, w)]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v, w, x \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (24)$$

Hence if we let $x = x + y$ in (24) and since $d(x + y) = d(x) + 2D(x, x, y) + D(x, y, y) + D(x, x, y) + 2D(x, y, y) + d(y)$, then we deduce from (24) that $3[D(x, x, y), D(u, v, w)]_{\alpha} + 3[D(x, y, y), D(u, v, w)]_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $u, v, w, x, y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Since R is 3-torsion-free, we obtain,

$$[D(x, x, y), D(u, v, w)]_{\alpha} + [D(x, y, y), D(u, v, w)]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v, w, x, y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (25)$$

Setting $y = -y$ in (25) and comparing the result with (25), we obtain

$$[D(x, y, y), D(u, v, w)]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v, w, x, y \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (26)$$

Replacing y by $y + z$ in (26) and using (26), we have $[D(x, y, z), D(u, v, w)]_{\alpha} = 0, \alpha \in \Gamma$, since D is permuting, i.e.,

$$D(x, y, z)\alpha D(u, v, w) = D(u, v, w)\alpha D(x, y, z) \text{ for all } u, v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (27)$$

Taking $u\beta t$ instead of u in (27), we obtain,

$$D(u, v, w)\beta t\alpha D(x, y, z) - D(x, y, z)\alpha D(u, v, w)\beta t + u\beta D(t, v, w)\alpha D(x, y, z) - D(x, y, z)\beta u\alpha D(t, v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } t, u, v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \quad (28)$$

Substituting $d(u)$ for u in (28) and then utilizing the hypothesis and (27), we get

$$D(d(u), v, w)\beta[t, D(x, y, z)]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } t, u, v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \quad (29)$$

Let us write in (29) $w\delta s$ instead of w . Then we have $D(d(u), v, w)\delta s\beta[t, D(x, y, z)]_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$. Since R is prime, we arrive at either $D(d(u), v, w) = 0$ or $[t, D(x, y, z)]_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $t, u, v, w, x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, the case when $D(d(u), v, w) = 0$ holds for all $u, v, w \in R$ leads to the contradiction. Consequently, we arrive at $[t, D(x, y, z)]_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $t, x, y, z \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$, i.e., $D(x, y, z) \in C(R)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Therefore, Theorem 3.5 yields that R is a commutative Γ -ring which completes the proof.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for encouraging remarks and suggestions.

References

DOI Ref. 17

1. H. E. Bell and G. Mason (Noth-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987) pp. 31 -35.
2. M. Ascı, Int. Math. Forum **2**, 3 97 (2007).
3. Y. U. Cho, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser B Pure Appl. Math. **8** (2) 145 (2001).
4. M. Kazaz and A. Alkan, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **23** (4) 469 (2008).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.2008.23.4.469>
5. M. A. Ozturk, M. Sapanı and Y. B. Jun, East Asian Math. J. **15** (1) 105 (1999).

6. R. Raina, V. K. Bhat, and N. Kumari, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis* **25** 165 (2009).
7. K. H. Park and Y. S. Jung, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **25** (1) 1 (2010).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.2010.25.1.001>
8. H. E. Bell and M. N. Daif, *Acta. Math. Hungar.* **66**, 337 (1995).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01876049>
9. H. E. Bell and G. Mason, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **34**, 135 (1992).
10. J. Bergen, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **26** (3) 267 (1983). <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1983-042-2>
11. Y. U. Cho: *Pusan Kyongnam Math. J.* **12** 1 267 (1996).
12. I. N. Herstein, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **21** 3 369 (1978).
13. J. D. P. Meldrum, *Res. Notes Math.* **134** (Pitman, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1985) MR 88a:16068
14. G. Pilz, *Near-ring, North-Holland Math. Studies*, **23** (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).
15. E. C. Posner, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8**, 1093 (1957).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0095863-0>
16. Y. B. Jun, K. H. Kim, and Y. U. Cho, *Soochow J. Math.* **29** (3), 275 (2003).
17. K. K. Dey and A. C. Paul, *J. Sci. Res.* **4** (1) 33 (2012). <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v4i1.7911>
18. K. K. Dey and A. C. Paul, *J. Sci. Res.* **3** (2) 331 (2011). <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v3i2.7278>
19. K. K. Dey and A. C. Paul, *J. Sci. Res.* **4** (2) 349 (2012). <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v4i2.8691>
20. K. Dey, A. C. Paul, and I. S. Rakhimov, *JP J. Algebra, Number Theory and Applications* **25** (1), 29 (2012).
21. K. K. Dey and A. C. Paul, *J. Sci. Res.* **5** (1), 55 (2013).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v5i1.9549>