

Available online at www.most.gov.bd Volume 05, Page: 135-142, 2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3329/jscitr.v5i1.74013

Ministry of Science and Technology Government of the People's of Bangladesh Bangladesh Secretariat, Dhaka

Detection of Antibiotic Resistance Genes in *Escherichia coli* **Isolated from Chicken Eggs**

Tania Sultana, Abdulla Al Mamun Bhuyan, and K. M. Mozaffor Hossain*

Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Abstract

Total 150 chicken eggs were randomly collected from farms, households, and markets of Rajshahi and Naogaon districts. Isolation and identification of *E. coli* was done by using cultural and staining characteristics, and biochemical properties. Antibiotic sensitivity assay was also performed for the isolated *E. coli* by using disc diffusion method. Characterization and detection of antibiotic resistance genes in *E. coli* isolates were done through PCR analysis. Prevalence of *E. coli* was 30.00% in chicken eggs in Rajshahi and Naogaon districts. Results of antibiotic sensitivity patterns of the isolated *E. coli* showed 57.78%, 33.33%, 31.11%, 28.89%, 26.67%, 17.78%, 15.56%, 13.33%, 13.33%, and 11.11% resistance to ciprofloxacin, doxycycline, oxytetracycline, ceftriaxone, amoxycillin, erythromycin, levofloxacin, enrofloxacin, gentamycin, and neomycin, respectively. The isolates also showed 94.44%, 82.22%, 80.00%, 77.78%, 66.67%, 60.00%, 55.56%, 48.89%, 46.67%, and 33.33% sensitive to neomycin, enrofloxacin, erythromycin, levofloxacin, gentamycin, ceftriaxone, oxytetracycline, doxycycline, amoxicillin, and ciprofloxacin, respectively. All 45 phenotypic identified isolates were confirmed as *E. coli* by PCR analysis. Genotypic identified isolates of *E. coli* were further characterized by PCR to detect antibiotic resistance genes. Out of 45 *E. coli* isolates 37 (82.22%) showed tetracycline resistance *(tetA*) gene, 32 (71.11%) showed tetracycline resistance *(tetB*) gene, and interestingly all 45 (100%) isolates showed quinolone group resistance (*gyrA*) gene by PCR analysis.

Keywords: Chicken eggs, *E. coli,* Antibiotic resistance, Genes

Introduction

Received: 08.05.2023 Revised: 30.08.2023 Accepted: 12.09.2023

Poultry industry is a promising sector for poverty elevation in Bangladesh. Poultry is a significant agricultural industry that began in the 1980s (Hoque *et al*., 1997). The poultry business in Bangladesh predominantly produces chickens, though duck, pigeon, quail, geese, turkey, and guinea fowl are also available. Bangladesh is now producing 15.52 billion eggs versus a current yearly need of 17.13 billion. In Bangladesh, the poultry sector provides 36% of total protein intake through egg and meat consumption. Chicken eggs and meat are the cheapest source of animal

protein in Bangladesh and it is accepted by all religious, economic, social, and demographic groups (Simon 2009). Chicken eggs are one of the most important foods of animal origin which contain many nutrients. High-nutritional-value eggs containing omega-3 fatty acids, which are essential for human vision and brain function (Maki *et al*., 2003). Eggs are also an important source of minerals like phosphorus and iron, and a good source of vitamins like A, D, E, K, and B1, B2, B12, choline, and selenium.

^{*}Corresponding author e-mail: mozaffor@ru.ac.bd

Despite their nutritional value, chicken eggs can cause health problems when contaminated with pathogenic bacteria. Eggs can be contaminated with pathogenic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* sp*., Proteus* sp., *Listeria* sp., *Staphylococcus* sp*., Streptococcus* sp*., Bacillus* sp*.* (Lee *et al*., 2016) owing to infected ovaries, and contact with contaminated cages, soil, or water (Svobodova and Tu-mova [2015\)](https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/full/10.1089/fpd.2018.2585#B42). Although eggs possess several antibacterial proteins, the level of egg contamination is influenced by several factors such as microbial number in the environment and storage conditions (Scott and Silversides [2000\)](https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/full/10.1089/fpd.2018.2585#B37). When the shell cracks, the egg's contents could become contaminated (Neira *et al.*, [2017\)](https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/full/10.1089/fpd.2018.2585#B28). It has already been proven that eating contaminated eggs can result in foodborne illnesses (Osimani *et al.*, [2016;](https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/full/10.1089/fpd.2018.2585#B31) Chousalkar and Gole [2018\)](https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/full/10.1089/fpd.2018.2585#B7).

Antibiotics are widely used to treat infectious diseases or to promote chicken growth. The usage of antibiotics had facilitated their efficient production and also improved chicken health and well-being by lowering disease incidences. But unfortunately, the unauthorized use of these antibiotics or inappropriate withdrawal period of time before the slaughtering of chickens could lead to the contamination of edible tissues with antibiotics with potential adverse effects on human health (Donoghue 2003). The use of antibiotics to treat bacterial infections without susceptibility testing may lead to the emergence of antibiotic resistance. Antibiotics in poultry are poorly absorbed in the gut and usually excreted without metabolism. Antibiotic resistance continues to be a major issue in human and animal health. Pathogens isolated from food are increasingly showing resistance (Akoachere *et al*., 2009). Food contamination with antibiotic resistant bacteria can therefore be a major threat to public health, as the antibiotic resistance genes can be transferred to other bacteria (Van *et al.,* 2007; Adesiji *et al.,* 2011). Furthermore, the transfer of these resistant bacteria to humans has public health significance by increasing the number of food-borne illnesses and treatment failure (Adesiji *et al.,* 2011). The indiscriminate use and higher doses of antibiotics are common which eventually accumulates antibiotic residues in the edible tissues of poultry (Kabir *et al.,* 2004; Nisha 2008; Goetting *et al.,*

2011). All antibiotic residues produce potential threats of direct toxicity in humans with possible development of resistant strains due to low and continuous exposure to antibiotics (Nisha 2008). In this regard finding out alternatives of antibiotics are crucially important for the production of safe poultry products.

Materials and Methods

The Study Area and Sources of Sample

A baseline survey was conducted at farms and households in Rajshahi and Naogaon districts. The baseline survey of 50 farms (commercial layer chicken) and 50 households (indigenous chicken) was conducted randomly in Rajshahi and Naogaon districts with semi-structures questionnaires. After collection of data from these farms and households, total 150 egg samples were collected randomly from selected farms, households and markets, and brought to the Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, University of Rajshahi for microbiological analysis.

Isolation and Identification of E. coli

After enrichment in nutrient broth or on nutrient agar, Grams staining was performed on a smear of bacterial culture on a sterile slide for morphological study. The culture was also streaked onto EMB agar, MacConkey agar, SS agar, and brilliant green agar which were incubated at 37ºC for 18-24 hours aerobically for the isolation of bacteria. Following that, microorganisms were identified using a variety of biochemical tests using standard methods as described by Merchant and Packer (1967) and Cheesbrough (1985).

Antibiogram assay of Isolated E. coli

The disc diffusion method (Bauer and Kirby 1966) was used to test the sensitivity patterns of the isolated *E. coli.* In brief, isolated *E. coli* were cultured into the nutrient broth. Then 200 µl of broth culture was taken by micropipette and placed onto a Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) plate and spread evenly over the entire surface with a sterile glass rod spreader. The predetermined battery of antibiotic discs was dispensed onto the surface keeping a distance of about 1 cm apart. The plate was placed in an incubator at 37° C and after 16-18 hours of incubation and examined. The susceptibility test of the *E. coli* isolates was performed against ten commonly used antibiotic discs including; gentamycin, oxytetracycline, amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, doxycycline, erythromycin, enrofloxacin, levofloxacin, and neomycin (Table 1). Susceptibility zones were measured and interpreted in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI 2022).

Genotypic Identification of E. coli Isolates

Extraction and purification of genomic DNA All broth cultures of *E. coli* were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Then 1 ml of cultured broth was taken in eppendrof tubes and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of sterile distilled water. The eppendrof tubes were vortexed and centrifuged again. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 200 μl of deionized distilled water. The eppendorf tubes were transferred in boiling water bath and boiled for 15 minutes. Immediately after boiling, the eppendorf tubes were placed into ice and kept 10 minutes for cold shock. The tubes were then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes. Finally, the supernatant was collected as genomic DNAs. The DNAs were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis on 1% agarose and visualised using the UV transilluminator. DNA concentrations and purity were determined spectrophotometrically.

Confirmation of *E. coli* isolates by PCR Primers were F: GACCTCGGTTTAGTTCACAGA and R: CACACGCTGACG CTGACCA, amplicon size 585 bp for *E. coli* detection was used from the published article. Each PCR assay was carried out with a 25 μl mixture containing 6.5 μl nuclease free water, 12.5 μl Taq master mix $(1X)$, 1.0 μl of each primer (20 nmol/ μ l) and 3 μ l of the DNA template. The PCR assay was done in a thermocycler using the following cycle: after an initial denaturation of five minutes at 95° C, the reaction mixture was subjected to 35 amplification cycles of one minute at 94 $\rm{°C}$, one minute at 55 $\rm{°C}$, one minute at 72 $\rm{°C}$ and final extension of ten minutes at 72° C. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gel and visualized using UV transilluminator. A 100 bp DNA molecular marker was used to determine the size of the amplicons.

Detection of antibiotic resistance genes in E. coli isolates by PCR

Primers for antibiotic resistance genes such as gentamicin (*aac3-IV*), tetracycline (*tetA*, *tetB* and *tetC*), betalactams (*blaTEM*, *blaSHV* and *blaCMY*), erythromycin (*ereA*) and Quinolone (*gyrA*) were used from the published article (Table 2). Each PCR assay was carried out with a 25 μl mixture containing 6.5 μl nuclease free water, 12.5 μl Taq master mix (1X), 1.0 μl of each primer (20 nmol/μl) and 3 μl of the DNA template. Then the PCR assay was done in a thermocycler using the following cycle: after an initial denaturation of five minutes at 95 $^{\circ}$ C, the reaction mixture were subjected to 35 amplification cycles of one minute at 94° C, one minute at 55° C, one minutes at 72° C and final extension of ten minutes at 72° C. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gel and visualized using UV transilluminatior. A 100 bp DNA molecular marker was used to determine the size of the amplicons.

Results and Discussion

Isolation and Identification of E. coli

All egg samples were analyzed by different staining, cultural and biochemical methods to determine the prevalence of *E. coli*. On nutrient agar, the development of round, clear, moist, smooth, low convex, and glistening colonies indicated the growth of *E. coli* (Fig.1). On MacConkey agar the *E. coli* isolates produced lactose fermenting bright pink color colonies and on EMB agar produced greenish-black colonies with metallic sheen. The morphology of the isolated *E. coli* showed a Gram-negative, small rod shaped appearance, arranged in single or paired (Fig. 2). In sugar fermentation tests, all the isolates fermented dextrose, sucrose, lactose, maltose, and mannitol with acid and gas production (Fig. 3). Similarly, all the isolates showed positive to MR test, indole test, catalase test, and TSI agar slant reaction but negative to Simmon's citrate reaction and VP test. The results of cultural, staining and biochemical tests of isolated *E. coli* are similar to the findings of Freeman (1985), Buxton and Fraser (1977), and Merchant and Packer (1967).

Name of used antibiotics	Disc concentration $(\mu g/disc)$	Interpretation of results (zone diameter in mm)		
		\mathbb{R}		S
Gentamicin	$10 \mu g$	\leq 12	$13 - 14$	\geq 15
Oxytetracycline	$30 \mu g$	\leq 14	$15 - 18$	\geq 19
Amoxycillin	$30 \mu g$	\leq 13	$14 - 16$	\geq 18
Ciprofloxacin	$5 \mu g$	\leq 15	$16-20$	\geq 21
Ceftriaxone	$30 \mu g$	\leq 12	$13 - 15$	≥ 16
Doxycyclin	$30 \mu g$	\leq 15	$16-22$	\geq 23
Erythromycin	$15 \mu g$	\leq 15	$16-20$	\geq 21
Enrofloxacin	$5 \mu g$	≤ 16	$17 - 22$	≤ 16
Levofloxacin	$5 \mu g$	\leq 12	$13 - 15$	≤ 16
Neomycin	$30 \mu g$	\leq 22	$23 - 25$	\geq 26

Table 1. Antibiotics discs with their concentration

Legends: μ g = Microgram, mm = millimetre. S = Sensitive, I = Intermediate sensitive, R = Resistant, \geq = Greater than or equal to, \leq = Less than or equal to.

Table 2. Primers list used for the detection of antibiotic resistance genes in *E. coli*

Gentamicin $aac(3)$ -II	\mathbf{F}	CTTCAGGATGGCAAGTTGGT	286 bp	
	R	TCATCTCGTTCTCCGCTCAT		
	$\mathbf F$	GGTTCACTCGAACGACGTCA		
Tetracycline (tetA)	R	CTGTCCGACAAGTTGCATGA	577 bp	
	F	CCTCAGCTTCTCAACGCGTG	634 bp	
Tetracycline (tetB)	R	GCACCTTGCTGATGACTCTT		
	F	AAC AAT GCG CTC ATC GT	1138 bp	
Tetracycline (tetC)	R	GGA GGC AGA CAA GGT AT		
	$\mathbf F$	GCCGGTGCTCATGAACTTGAG	419 bp	
Erythromycin (eraA)	R	CGACTCTATTCGATCAGAGGC		
	\mathbf{F}	ATA AAA TTC TTG AAG AC	1076 bp	
Beta lactams (bla_{TEM})	R	TTA CCA ATG CTT AAT CA		
	$\mathbf F$	TCGCCTGTGTATTATCTCCC	768 bp	
Beta lactams (bla_{SHV})	R	CGCAGATAAATCACCACAATG		
	\mathbf{F}	TGGCCAGAACTGACAGGCAAA		
Beta lactams (bla_{CMY})	R	TTTCTCCTGAACGTGGCTGGC	462 bp	
	\mathbf{F}	ATGCTTGAACAAGACAGAATTATAAAG	577 bp	
Quinolone $(gyrA)$	R	GACTGTCGCCGTCTACAGAACCG		

Prevalence of E. coli in Chicken eggs

The overall prevalence of *E. coli* was 30.00% in chicken eggs in Rajshahi and Naogaon districts of Bangladesh. The prevalence of *E. coli* was 36.00% in commercial layer chicken eggs, 24.00% in indigenous chicken eggs, and 30.00% in market

Fig. 1. Growth of *E. coli* on nutrient agar. Growth of *E. coli* on nutrient agar indicated by the development of smooth, circular, white to grayish white colony.

Fig. 2. Gram's stained *E. coli. E. coli* appears as Gram negative, pink colored, rod shaped under a light microscope (100X).

chicken eggs were contaminated with *E. coli.* Similarly, Akond *et al.* (2009) reported that 42% of egg surface was polluted with *E. coli* in poultry and poultry farms environments in Bangladesh.

eggs (Table 3). These findings are in agreement with Islam *et al*. (2018) who reported 34.64% of

Fig. 3. Sugar fermentation test for the isolated *E. coli. E. coli* isolates fermented dextrose, lactose, sucrose, maltose and mannitol with the production of acid and gas.

Table 3. The prevalence of *E. coli* in chicken eggs in Rajshahi and Naogaon districts

Type of chicken	No. of samples	Prevalence of
eggs	tested	$E.$ coli $(\%)$
Commercial layer	50	18 (36.00%)
chicken eggs		
Indigenous	50	12 (24.00%)
chicken eggs		
Market eggs	50	15 (30.00%)
Total	150	45 (30.00%)

Antibiotic Sensitivity Patterns of the Isolated E. coli

The antibiotic sensitivity profiles of the isolated *E. coli* revealed 57.78%, 33.33%, 31.11%, 28.89%, 26.67%, 17.78%, 15.56%, 13.33%, 13.33%, and 11.11% resistance to ciprofloxacin, doxycycline, oxytetracycline, ceftriaxone, amoxycillin, erythromycin, levofloxacin, enrofloxacin, gentamycin, and neomycin, respectively (Fig. 5). The isolates showed 94.44%, 82.22%, 80.00%, 77.78%, 66.67%, 60.00%, 55.56%, 48.89%, 46.67%, and 33.33% sensitive to neomycin, enrofloxacin, erythromycin, levofloxacin, gentamycin, ceftriaxone, oxytetracycline,

doxycycline, amoxycillin, and ciprofloxacin, respectively (Fig. 6). The isolates also showed 26.67%, 20.00%, 17.78%, 13.33%, 11.11%, 8.89%, 6.67%, 4.44%, 4.44%, and 2.22% intermediate sensitive to amoxicillin, gentamycin, doxycycline, oxytetracycline, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, enrofloxacin, neomycin, and erythromycin, respectively (Table 4). These results are in agreement with the findings of Bantawa *et al.* (2019) who stated that *E. coli* exhibited the highest resistance to amoxycillin (100%), followed by tetracycline (93%), nalidixic acid (25%), and cefotaxime (19%) isolated from meat samples collected from eastern Nepal.

Genotypic Confirmation of E. coli Isolates

Phenotypic identified *E. coli* isolates were confirmed by PCR experiment. The 585 bp amplicon of phenotypic identified *E. coli* was amplified by PCR with the genus specific primers. All 45 isolates (100%) were confirmed as *E. coli* by PCR analysis (Fig. 4).

Figure 4. Agarose gel profile of PCR products of *E. coli*. Lane 1 indicated marker and Lane 2-4 indicated PCR products.

Figure 5. Antibiotic sensitivity and resistant patterns (zone of inhibitions) of isolated *E. coli* on Mueller Hinton agar.

Figure 6. Antibiotic sensitivity and resistant patterns of isolated *E. coli*

No. of	Name of used antibiotics	Sensitivity patterns			
isolates		Sensitive $(\%)$	Intermediate sensitive	Resistant $(\%)$	
tested			$(\%)$		
45	Gentamycin	30 (66.67%)	$9(20.00\%)$	$6(13.33\%)$	
	Oxytetracycline	25 (55.56%)	6(13.33%)	14 (31.11%)	
	Amoxycillin	21 (46.67%)	12 (26.67%)	12(26.67%)	
	Ciprofloxacin	15 (33.33%)	$4(8.89\%)$	26 (57.78%)	
	Ceftriaxone	27 (60.00%)	$5(11.11\%)$	13 (28.89%)	
	Doxycycline	22 (48.89%)	8 (17.78%)	15 (33.33%)	
	Erythromycin	36 (80.00%)	$1(2.22\%)$	8 (17.78%)	
	Enrofloxacin	37 (82.22%)	$2(4.44\%)$	6(13.33%)	
	Levofloxacin	35 (77.78%)	3(6.67%)	7(15.56%)	
	Neomycin	38 (94.44%)	$2(4.44\%)$	$5(11.11\%)$	

Table 4. Antibiotic sensitivity and resistant patterns of isolated *E. coli*

Detection of antibiotic resistance genes in E. coli isolates

Genotypic identified isolates of *E. coli* were further characterized by PCR to detect antibiotic resistance genes. The 577 bp amplicon of tetracycline resistance gene *(tetA*) was amplified by PCR with the gene specific primers. Out of 45 isolates 37 (82.22%) showed tetracycline resistance gene *(tetA*) by PCR analysis. The 634 bp amplicon of tetracycline resistance gene *(tetB*) was amplified by PCR with the gene specific primers. Out of 45 isolates 35 (77.77%) showed tetracycline resistance gene *(tetB*) by PCR analysis. Similarly, the 577 bp amplicon of quinolone group resistance gene (*gyrA*)

was amplified by PCR with the gene specific primers. Interestingly, all 45 (100%) isolates of *E. coli* showed quinolone group resistance gene (*gyrA*) by PCR analysis. However, we could not detect gentamycin resistance gene (*aac(3)-II*), tetracycline resistance gene (*tetC*), β-lactamases resistance genes (*blaTEM*, *blaSHV* and *blaCMY*), and erythromycin resistance gene *(ereA*) in *E. coli*. Similarly, Momtaz *et al.* (2012) detected tetracycline resistance (*tetA* and *tetB*) genes, erythromycin resistance gene (*eraA*) and sulfonamide resistance gene (*sul1*) in *E. coli* that was isolated in Iran from chicken meat.

Conclusions

The incidence of *E. coli* in chicken eggs and their resistance to antibiotics is obviously important in the context of our investigation. We may draw the conclusion that eating chicken eggs could expose consumers to *E. coli* that is resistant to antibiotics. Therefore, it is important to restrict the use of antibiotics in the poultry industry in order to control of the emergence of resistant *E. coli*.

Acknowledgement

This study was financially funded (special allocation) by the Ministry of Science and Technology (allocation no. ID-514, fiscal year 2021-2022) of Bangladesh.

Conflict of Interest

The author declare no conflict of interest.

Author's Contributions

K. M. Mozaffor Hossain designed research; Tania Sultana and Abdulla Al Mamun Bhuyan performed research; and K. M. Mozaffor Hossain wrote the paper.

References

- Adesiji YO, Alli OT, Adekanle MA and Jolayemi JB 2011. Prevalence of *Arcobacter*, *Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* species in retail raw chicken, pork, beef and goat meat in Osogbo, Nigeria. *J. Biomed. Res.* 3(1): 8-12.
- Akoachere JF, Tanih TKNF, Ndip LM and Ndip RN 2009. Phenotypic characterization of *Salmonella typhimurium* isolates from food animals and abattoir drains in Buea, Cameroon. *J. Health Popul. Nutri*. 27(5): 612-618.
- Akond MA, Alam S, Hassan SMR and Shirin M 2009. Antibiotic Resistance of *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Poultry and Poultry Environment of Bangladesh. *Inter. J. Food Safety.* 11: 19-23.
- Bantawa K, Sah SN, Limbu DS, Subba P and Ghimire A 2019. Antibiotic resistance patterns of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *Vibrio* isolated from chicken, pork, buffalo and goat meat in eastern Nepal. *BMC Res. Notes*. 12 (766): 1-6.
- Bauer AW and Kirby WM 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by standards single disc method. *Amer. J. Clini. Patho*. 45: 493-496.
- Buxton A and Fraser G 1977. *Escherichia coli.* In*. Animal Microbiology*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, London.
- Cheesbrough M 1985. Medical Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries. $1st$ Edn. In: Microbiolgy, Doddington, UK.
- Chousalkar K and Gole VC 2018. Salmonellosis acquired from poultry. *Current. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 29: 514-519.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) 2022. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. $26th$ Edn. CLSI supplement M100S. Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Donoghue DJ 2003. Antibiotic residues in poultry tissues and eggs. Human Health Concerns? *Poult. Sci.* 82: 618-621.
- Freeman BA 1985. Burrows Textbook of Microbiology. $22nd$ Edn. In: W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, London.
- Goetting V, Lee KA and Tell LA 2011. Pharmacokinetics of Veterinary Drugs in Laying Hens and Residues in Eggs: A Review of the Literature. *J. Vet. Pharm. Therapeu.* 34: 521-556.
- Hoque MM, Biswas HR and Rahman L 1997. Isolation, identification and production of *Salmonella pullorum* colored antigen in Bangladesh for the rapid whole blood test. *Asian J. Anim. Sci*. 10: 141- 146.
- Islam M, Sabrin MS, Kabir MHB and Aftabuzzaman M 2018. Antibiotic sensitivity and resistant pattern of bacteria isolated from table eggs of commercial layers considering food safety issue. *Asian J. Med. Biol. Res*. 4(4): 323-329.
- Kabir J, Umoh VJ, Audu-okoh E, Umoh JU and Kwaga JKP 2004. Veterinary Drug Use in Poultry Farms and Determination of Antimicrobial Drug Residues in Commercial Eggs and Slaughtered Chicken in Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Food Control.* 15: 99-105.
- Lee M, Seo DJ, Jeon SB, OK HE, Jung H, Choi C and Chun HS 2016. Prevalence of *Salmonella* in chicken eggs collected from poultry farms and marketing channels and their antimicrobial resistance. *Korean J. F. Sci. Anim. Resour*. 36: 463-468.
- Maki KC, Vanelswyk ME, Mccarthy DM, Seeley A, Veith PE, Hess SP, Ingram KA, Halvorson JJ, Calaguas EM and Davidson MH 2003. Lipid responses in mildly hypertriglyceridemic men and

women to consumption of docosahexaenoic acidenriched eggs. *Inter. J. Vita. Nutri. Res.* 73: 357- 368.

- Merchant IA and Packer RA 1967. Veterinary Bacteriology and Virology. $7th$ Edn, The lowa University Press, USA.
- Momtaz HE, Rahimi E and Moshkelani S 2012. Molecular detection of antimicrobial resistance genes in *E. coli* isolated from slaughtered commercial chickens in Iran. *Vet. Med*. 57 (4): 193- 197.
- Neira C, Laca A and Diaz M 2017. Microbial diversity on commercial eggs as affected by the production system. *Int. J. F. Microb*. 262: 3-7.
- Nisha AR 2008. Antibiotic Residues-A Global Health Hazard. *Vet. World.* 1(12): 375–377.
- Osimani A, Aquilanti L and Clementi F 2016. Salmonellosis associated with mass catering: A

survey of European Union cases over a 15-year period. *Epidemiol. Infect*. 144: 3000-3012.

- Scott TA and Silversides FG 2000. The effect of storage and strain of hen on egg quality. *Poult. Sci*. 79: 1725-1729.
- Simon PC 2009. Commercial egg and poultry meat production and consumption and poultry trade worldwide. Proceedings of the $6th$ International Poultry Show and Seminar, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Svobodova J and Tu-mova E 2015. Factors affecting microbial contamination of market eggs: A review. *Sci. Agri. Bochem*. 45: 226-237.
- Van HTT, Moutafis G, Tran LT and Coloe PJ 2007. Antibiotic resistance in food-borne bacterial contaminants in Vietnam. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 73(24): 7906-7911.