

Original Article



Effect of Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy and Mirror Therapy on The Patients with Subacute Stroke in Bangladesh

Fatema Newaz¹, Mohammed Emran², Md Israt Hasan³, Syed Mozaffar Ahmed⁴

Abstract

Background: Subacute stroke is a health-related problem that spans a period from two days to two weeks. A marked disability results from stroke causes remarkable burden on family and society.

Objective: To find out the effectiveness of constraint-induced movement therapy (CIMT) and combined mirror therapy on patients with subacute stroke. Comparison of effectiveness in between combined therapy and CIMT.

Materials and Methods: Eighteen patients with subacute stroke were enrolled and randomly divided into three groups: CIMT combined with mirror therapy group, CIMT only group, and control group. Two weeks of CIMT for 6 hours a day with or without mirror therapy for 30 minutes a day were performed under supervision. All groups received conventional occupational therapy for 40 minutes a day for the same period. The CIMT-only group and control group also received additional self-exercise to substitute for mirror therapy.

Results: We performed the Fugl-Meyer assessment, which was 32.67 ± 21.70 pre-treatment and 37.00 ± 21.06 post-treatment with a p-value of 0.011, MRC muscle grading pre-treatment 3.01 ± 2.78 and 3.21 ± 1.35 post-treatment with a p-value of 0.107, and Modified Barthel Index pre-treatment 29.56 ± 27.43 and 28.33 ± 26.71 post-treatment with a p-value of 0.104. After two weeks of treatment, we had found that CIMT along with mirror therapy, had more impact on subacute stroke patients.

Conclusion: Disability limitation following stroke should focused more. Among various treatment facilities CIMT shown promising result along with exercise and mirror therapy. Care-giver's education, tele-rehabilitation facility, supervision of exercise will reduce hazard of attending hospital in small interval. Early initiation of rehabilitation program yield better results.

Key words: Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy, Mirror Therapy, Subacute Stroke.

Date of received: 13.10.2023

Date of acceptance: 25.02.2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3329/kyamej.v15i01.75832>

KYAMC Journal. 2024; 15(01): 33-39.

Introduction

Stroke is one of the common and disabling health-care problems worldwide. It is the third most common cause of death in the developed world after cancer and ischaemic heart disease and is the most common cause of severe physical disability.^{1,2} Every year, a significant number of stroke survivors are left with residual disabilities, varying from mild to severe form.³ These disabilities can be reduced by proper rehabilitation.^{4,5} Stroke is frequently accompanied by loss of motor function, where more than half of stroke survivors losing functional abilities in their affected upper limb.⁶⁻⁹ The consequences of upper limb disability in post-stroke adults influence their activities of daily living (ADL) such as feeding, grooming, bathing, dressing, toileting etc.^{10,11} After the stroke, when the function of one side is superior to the contralateral limb, a patient may prefer to use the active

limb to perform self-care. With time they use their non-involved limb to perform ADL.^{12,13} Tarb et al described this phenomenon as "learned non-use".^{14,15} As upper limbs play a valuable role in performing ADL, retraining motor controls and skills to improve ADL should be an important aim of rehabilitation programs.¹² The upper limb dysfunction due to stroke is usually treated by using various rehabilitation approaches; CIMT is one of these approaches which may minimize the consequences of upper limb dysfunction and enhance stroke patients' daily functional activities.^{6,14,16} CIMT was developed by Dr. Edward Taub, is a therapeutic package based on two principles: (1) restraint of the less-affected limb for prolonged periods to force the use of the more-affected limb and (2) supervised repetitive task practice of upper limb by shaping.¹⁷⁻²⁰ Types of restraints include a sling or triangular bandage, a splint, a sling combined with a resting hand splint, a half glove, and a mitt.²¹ Determina

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Kumudini Women's Medical College, Tangail, Bangladesh.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.
3. Assistant Registrar, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Sher-E-Bangla Medical College and Hospital, Barishal, Bangladesh.
4. Professor, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Corresponding author: Fatema Newaz, Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Kumudini Women's Medical College, Tangail, Bangladesh. **Cell:** +8801636110089, **E-mail:** washimafatin@gmail.com

tion of the type of restraint used for therapy depends on the required level of safety.²² While restraining the unaffected upper limb 90% of waking hours, training the affected limb six hours a day, for two weeks in a hospital setting.^{19,23-25} Shaping is a training method in which a task is gradually made more difficult with respect to a patient’s motor capabilities. It is systematic, specifiable, and quantified. About 120 tasks have been developed by the Constraint-Induced Therapy Research Group. Among these, 10 to 15 tasks are selected primarily for each patient. Each task is usually performed in a set of 10- 30 seconds trials. At the end of each set of 10 trials, the task is changed. A reward should be provided visually and verbally to encourage good performance. Performance regressions are usually ignored. Rest should be given between trials as needed. 15 As a patient is engaged in repetitive exercises with the affected limb, the brain grows new neural pathways referred to as cortical reorganization or neuroplasticity. Thus possible benefits are achieved.^{21,22,26} Reliable and valid measurements of motor functions are required to assess physical recovery following stroke. The Fugl-Meyer assessment was developed for these purposes. Fugl-Meyer motor assessment includes items dealing with the shoulder, elbow, forearm, wrist, and hand in the upper extremity.²⁷⁻³⁰

Materials and Methods

The Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (PMR) department of BSMMU was the place of study for this RCT. All the patients attending the PMR department having the first stroke attack with uncomplicated patients were the sample. Patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were the study subjects. We used a specifically developed assessment questionnaire for data collection. Before the intervention, One Internist and two Rehabilitation physicians evaluated the chosen patients by history, physical examination, and relevant investigations. Then subjects were enrolled after providing informed written consent. The sample size was 18. In the combined CIMT-mirror therapy group and CIMT only group, patients wore a specially designed orthosis to suppress the unaffected upper extremity's motion for a total of two weeks. The patients received intensive training for five days a week except for the weekend, for a total of six hours (2 hours in the therapy room and 4 hours in the inpatient room) a day except for sleeping hours. During the time, An occupational therapist performed the intensive fine motor exercise of the hemiplegic upper extremity. The mirror therapy was performed for 30 minutes a day for five days a week, for two weeks. According to the verbal commands, patients completed the mirror therapy components like flexion and extension of the shoulder, elbow, wrist, finger, and pronation and supination of the forearm. They also performed the objective task training with the unaffected hands. The control group patients executed the self-exercise program and the palliative rehabilitation therapy as routinely recommended for the hospitalized patients. The combined CIMT-mirror therapy group did not receive the palliative rehabilitation therapy, and they performed mirror therapy during the CIMT break period. The patients of the CIMT only group committed CIMT, palliative rehabilitation therapy, and an additional self-exercise program to minimize the difference in the total amount of treatment time between the three groups. Moreover, we also maximized full-time guardians' participation to improve

treatment compliance and lower the drop-out rate. Furthermore, we made it easier to monitor the length of time the patients wear the orthosis by enrolling hospitalized patients for the first two weeks in this study rather than the outpatients.

A well-informed, voluntary, signed written consent was taken in Bangla from the study subjects before enrolment after convincing them that privacy, anonymity, and confidentiality of data information identifying any patient would be maintained strictly. Each patient enjoyed every right to participate or refuse or even withdraw from the study at any point in time. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University. Any of the authors have no competing interests regarding financial and or other interest conducting this research.

Results

During the study period, 27 stroke patients according to inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected for CIMT on upper limb. But four subjects did not complete their treatment, and five subjects did not come for a second follow-up for unknown reasons. Finally, 18 (n= 18) patients were evaluated for data presentation and analysis. Data are presented and analyzed according to objectives. The findings are presented through tables, graphs and organized as below:

Table I: Age group distribution (n= 18)

Age group	Frequency	Percent (%)	Mean±SD
≤45 years	3	16.67%	52.77±10.30
46-60 years	12	66.67	
>60 years	3	16.67%	
Total	18	100	

The table I shows that the mean age of the patients was 52.77±10.30 years. Among the studied patients regarding the age distribution, most of the patients (66.7%) were found in the 46-60 years age group followed by 16.67% in <45 years and >60 years of age group. The patient's lowest and highest age in this study was 30 years and 70 years, respectively.




Figure 1: Sex distribution (n= 18)

Among the studied patients regarding the sex distribution, most of the patients (72%) were male, and 28% were female. The ratio of male-female was 2.57:1 (Figure 1).

Table II: Distribution of occupation (n= 18)

Occupation (%)	Frequency	Percent
Retired	8	44.44
Housewife	3	16.67
Service holder	2	11.11
Farmer	1	5.56
Business	1	5.56
Teacher	2	11.11
Unemployment	1	5.56
Total	18	100

The table II shows the distribution of occupation among the studied patients, 44.44% were retired, 16.67% housewife, 11.11% service holder, 5.56% were a farmer and businessman and 11.11% were teacher and unemployment each.




Figure 2: Distribution of type of stroke (n= 18)

Among the study subjects regarding the type of stroke, most of the patients were found ischemic (77%) and rests (23%) were hemorrhagic (Figure 2).




Figure 3: Distribution of paretic side (n= 18)

Among the study subjects' paretic side distribution, most patients' (75%) paretic sides were right, and (25%) rest of them are left (Figure 3).

Table III: Distribution of comorbidities (n= 18)

Comorbidities	Frequency	Percent (%)
Only Hypertension (HTN)	9	50.0
Only Diabetic (DM)	3	16.7
Both hypertension and diabetic mellitus	4	22.2
No hypertension or diabetic mellitus	2	11.1
Total	18	100.0

Among the studied patients regarding the distribution of comorbidities, only Hypertension (HTN) and only Diabetes mellitus (DM) were found in 50.0% and 16.7% of patients, respectively. Both DM and HTN were found in 22.2% of patients, and no HTN or DM was found in 11.1% of patients (Table III).

Table IV: Distribution of FIM score at baseline (n= 18)

FIM score	Frequency	Percent (%)	Mean±SD
85-100	3	16.67	106.28±12.61
101-116	11	61.11	
117-126	4	22.22	
Total	18	100.00	

The mean FIM score at baseline among the studied patients was 106.28±12.61. The lowest and highest FIM score at baseline in this study was 88 and 126 respectively (Table IV).

Table V: Evaluation of FM score at different follow-up: (n= 18)

	FM score	Frequency	n	Percent (%)	Mean±SD	P - value
W0 FM	Mild (58 -66)	3	18	13.3	45.57±7.56	0.002
	Moderate (28 -57)	15		86.7		
	Severe (0 -27)	0		0.0		
W2 FM	Mild (58 -66)	6	18	31.7	52.25±6.58	0.003
	Moderate (28 -57)	12		68.3		
	Severe (0 -27)	0		0.0		
W4 FM	Mild (58 -66)	8	18	40.0	54.42±5.66	0.002
	Moderate (28 -57)	10		60.0		
	Severe (0 -27)	0		0.0		

W-Week

Table V showing evaluation of FM score at different follow-up. We found a significant difference between mild and moderate impairment groups at initial assessment, week two, and week four follow-up (P<0.05) regarding FM score. The table also shows an increasing percentage of mild impairment group and a decreasing moderate impairment group at week two and week four follow-up. There was no severe impairment group.

Baseline Characteristics of study subject

Characteristics	CIMT with mirror therapy (n=6)	CIMT only group	Control group	p - value
Age(Yr)	47±14.40	64±8.51	60.56±16.94	0.105
Gender(male/female)	6:2	6:3	4:5	0.481
Type of stroke				
Infraction	2	4	4	
Hemorrhage	4	2	2	
Affected side	5:3	6:3	4:5	0.693
Stroke Duration	24.25±11.54	19.33±9.17	24.78±11.61	0.373

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number. We found more male patient in all three groups. Among them patient with infraction were more actively participated then haemorrhagic and stroke duration in between 19.33 to 24.25

CIMT, constraint-induced movement therapy.

Assessment Tools	Pre - treatment	Post- treatment	p - value
Fugl - Meyer assessment			
a) Upper extremity	32.67±21.70	37.00±21.06	0.011
b) Total score	46.11±26.81	55.56±32.92	0.007
MRC grading	3.01±2.78	3.21±1.35	0.107
Modified Barthel index	29.56±27.43	28.33±26.71	0.104

Significant differences between pre-treatment and post-treatment value in Fugl-Meyer assessment and MRC grading. According to Modified Barthel index no significant differences have seen.

Discussion

Stroke is the third important cause of disability. Impaired muscle strength throw challenge to patient, care-giver and rehabilitation specialists. As described, if hemiplegic patients use the unaffected upper extremity, they would lose the functional independence. In this present study, the mean age of the patients was 52.77 ± 10.30 years. Most of the patients were in the 46-60 years age group (60%), followed by 20% in the 30-45 and 61-70 years of age group. Our investigation found similar mean age in many studies, including studies of Bangladesh where most stroke patients were between 51-70 years of age.^{6,12,31,33-35}

Most of the patients in this study were male (72%). The ratio of male-female was 2.57:1. On the other hand, the male-female proportion in the survey of Tariah HA et al.⁶ was 4:1, Gharib M et al.¹² was 1.67:1, and Steven L et al.³² was 2.86:1. Male predominance in stroke was the findings in various studies in Bangladesh.³³⁻³⁶

In this study, most of the patients were retired (33.3%) followed by 28.3% housewife, 18.3% service holder, 6.7% were farmer and businessman, and 3.3% were teacher and unemployment each respectively, which coincided with the study of Hossain AM et al.³⁴

The patients' mean duration of onset of stroke was 34.62 ± 48.66 days, and most of the patients were 78.3% in the 1-30 days group. Among the rest, 10.0% were in more than 90 days, 8.3% in 61-90 days, and 3.3% in the 31-60 days group, respectively. Those reflect stroke patients seek rehabilitation in an early phase and the chronic phase of the stroke. The highest 77% patient's type of stroke was Ischemic stroke. Most of the patient's paretic side was right (75%), which was nearly similar to Tariah HA et al. (70%), Sanford J et al. (60%), and Mohammad QD et al. (72%) studies.^{6,27,33}

Among the patients, most of the comorbidities were HTN 50.0%, followed by DM and HTN 22.2%, unknown 11.1%, and DM 16.7%. Hayee et al. found 52.11%, and Alamgir et al. found 58% Hypertensive.^{36,37} Similar studies in some Asian countries also correlated with the present study.³⁸

The mean FM baseline score was 45.57 ± 7.56 , where the moderate impairment group was 86.7%, and the mild impairment group was 13.3% ($p=0.000$). After a two-week follow-up, the mean FM score was 52.25 ± 6.58 ; among them, 68.3% were in moderate impairment, and 31.7% were in the mild impairment group ($p=0.000$). After a four-week follow-up, the mean FM score was 54.42 ± 5.66 , among them the moderate impairment group 60% and mild impairment group 40% ($p=0.000$). There was no severe impairment group in this study. A study done by Tariah HA et al. found the mean FM baseline score was 46.7 ± 12.64 , after two months follow-up 55.8 ± 6.46 ($p=0.061$) and four months follow-up 54.5 ± 9.53 ($p=0.336$) respectively.⁶ The Fugl-Meyer Upper Extremity Motor Performance Section Test scores showed significant improvements ($P=0.004$) in a study by Whitall J et al.¹⁰

At our medical institution, we performed the conventional

CIMT concomitantly with the mirror therapy to improve the hand functions by focusing on the fine motor exercise. This was decided concerning of a possibility that we would achieve the fine motor functions to lesser extents, if we only perform CIMT. In the present study, we compared the difference in the improvements between prior to and following the treatment among the three groups. This finding showed that the degree of the improvement in the box and block test and 9-hole Pegboard test, and the indicators for the fine motor functions as well as the grip strength were all significantly higher in the CIMT combined with mirror therapy group compared to the CIMT only group. These results indicate that the mirror therapy combined with the CIMT would be effective in improving the indicators for the fine motor functions in the clinical setting. After two weeks of treatment, the CIMT combined with or without mirror therapy groups showed more improvement than the control group in most of functional assessments on hemiplegic upper extremity. The CIMT combined with mirror therapy group achieved more significant improvement than the CIMT only group in Fugl meyer, MRC and grip strength which represent fine motor functions of the upper extremity.

There were some limitations like 1. we evaluated the treatment outcomes at baseline and two weeks after the treatment. Therefore, we did not examine whether our methods were also effective in maintaining the functions of the hemiplegic upper extremity over a long-term period. 2. Our results cannot be completely generalized, because we enrolled a small number of patients and the mean age of the three participating groups showed significant difference. As a pilot study, however, our results contain a clinical significance for combining two intensive rehabilitation treatments for the upper extremity, and this approach deserves further large-scale studies. 3. We used the Mini-Mental Status Examination, MAS scale, and manual muscle test to initially screen the patients. Therefore, we did not examine whether the degree of functional recovery is correlated with the cognitive functions, muscle rigidity, muscle strength, and functional status. 4. There were no consistent criteria for the location or size of lesions prior to the current study. It is probable that the treatment outcomes might be subjected to the degree of the functional defects of the upper extremity, due to the arrangement of the cerebral cortex according to the lesions such as the proximal or distal dominance or the potential visuospatial functions affecting the motor functions.

Conclusion

It may be suggested that constraint-induced movement therapy (CIMT), along with mirror therapy and conventional therapy, has a beneficial impact on post-stroke hemiparetic upper limb survivors who were eligible for CIMT. However, further larger sample size powered studies are required to see the effect of CIMT on upper limb motor functions in post-stroke rehabilitation.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Neurology department and physiotherapist's for their kind cooperation. We are thankful for financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of Bangladesh.^(Ref. No. 464/2019)

References

1. Allen C.M.C, Lueck C.J, Dennis M: Davidson's Principles and Practice of Medicine, 21st edition: 2010; 1180-1191.
2. Bonita R, Mendis S, Truelsen T, Bogousslavsky J, Toole J, Yatsu F. The global stroke initiative. *Lancet Neurol* 2004; 3: 391-393.
3. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Melacini PR et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2010; 376: 112-123.
4. Langhorne P, Bernhardt J, Kwakkel G. Stroke care 2: Stroke rehabilitation. *Lancet* 2011; 377: 1693-1702.
5. Langhorne P, Sandercock P, Prasad K. Evidence-based practice for stroke. *Lancet Neurol* 2009; 8: 308-309.
6. Tariah HA, Almaly AM, Sbeih Z, Oraib SA. Constraint induced movement therapy for stroke survivors in Jordan: a home-based model. *International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation* 2010; 17(12): 638-646.
7. Kwakkel R, Peppen R, Wagenaar S, Wood DS, Richards C, Ashburn A et al. Effects of augmented exercise therapy time after stroke: A meta-analysis. *Stroke* 2004; 35: 2529-2536.
8. McCarron M, Armstrong M, McCarron P. Potential for quality improvement of acute stroke management in a district general hospital. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2008; 25: 270-273.
9. Pan J, Song X, Lee S, Kwok T. Longitudinal Analysis of quality of life for stroke survivors using latent curve models. *Stroke* 2008; 39: 2795-2802.
10. Whittall J, McCombe WS, Silver KH, Macko RF. Repetitive bilateral arm training with rhythmic auditory cueing improves motor function in chronic hemiparetic stroke. *Stroke* 2000; 31(10): 2390-2395.
11. Gowland C, deBruin H, Basmajian JV, Plews N, Burcea I. Agonist and antagonist activity during voluntary upper-limb movement in patients with stroke. *Phys Ther* 1992; 72(9): 624-633.
12. Gharib M, Ghorbani H, Abdolvahab M, Fallahian N, Kasechi M. Effect of Time Constraint Induced Therapy on Function, Coordination and Movements of Upper Limb on Hemiplegic adults. *Iranian Rehabilitation Journal* 2011; 9(14): 32-36.
13. Pamela S, Vegher J, Gilewski M, Bender A, Riggs R. Client centered occupational therapy using constraint-induced therapy. *Stroke* 2005; 14(3): 115-121.
14. Taub E, Miller NE, Novack TA, Cook EW, Fleming WC, Nepomuceno CS et al. Technique to improve chronic motor deficit after stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993; 74: 347-354.
15. Taub E, Harger M, Grier HC, Hodos W. Some anatomical observations following chronic dorsal rhizotomy in monkeys. *Neuroscience* 1980; 5: 389-401.
16. Wolf SL, Winstein CJ, Miller JP, Thompson PA, Taub E, Uswatte G et al. Retention of upper limb function in stroke survivors who have received constraint-induced movement therapy: the EXCITE randomized trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2008; 7: 33-40.
17. Morris DM, Taub E, Mark VW. Constraint-induced movement therapy: characterizing the intervention protocol. *Eura Medico phys* 2006; 42(3): 257-268.
18. Taub E, Crago JE, Burgio LD, Groomes TE, Cook EW, DeLuca SC et al. An operant approach to rehabilitation medicine: overcoming learned nonuse by shaping. *J Exp Anal Behav* 1994; 61(2): 281-293.
19. Taub E, Uswatte G, Pidikiti R. Constraint-induced movement therapy: a new family of techniques with broad application to physical rehabilitation- a clinical review. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development* 1999; 36(3): 237-251.
20. Stevenson T, Thalman L, Christie H, Poluha W. Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Compared to Dose-Matched Interventions for Upper-Limb Dysfunction in Adult Survivors of Stroke: A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis. *Physiotherapy Canada* 2012; 64(4): 397-413.
21. Schaechter JD, Kraft E, Hilliard TS, Dijkhuizen RM, Benner T, Finklestein SP et al. Motor recovery and cortical reorganization after constraint-induced movement therapy in stroke patients: a preliminary study. *Neurorehabilitation Neural Repair* 2002; 16(4): 326-338.
22. Wittenberg GF, Chen R, Ishii K, Bushara KO, Eckloff S, Croarkin E et al. Constraint-induced therapy in stroke: Magnetic-stimulation motor maps and cerebral activation. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair* 2003; 17(1): 48-57.
23. Dromerick AW, Edwards DF, Hahn M. Does the application of constraint-induced movement therapy during acute rehabilitation reduce arm impairment after ischemic stroke? *Stroke* 2000; 31(12): 2984-2988.
24. Alberts JL, Butler AJ, Wolf SL. The effects of constraint-induced therapy on precision grip: A preliminary study. *Neurorehabilitation Neural Repair* 2004; 18(4): 250-258.

25. Winstein CJ, Miller JP, Blanton S, Taub E, Uswatte G, Morris D et al. Methods for a multisite randomized trial to investigate the effect of constraint-induced movement therapy in improving upper extremity function among adults recovering from a cerebrovascular stroke. *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair* 2003; 17 (3): 137–152.
26. Liepert J, Bauder B, Miltner HR, Taub E, Weiller C. Treatment-Induced Cortical Reorganization After Stroke in Humans. *Stroke*.2000; 31: 1210-1216.
27. Sanford J, Moreland J, Swanson LR, Stratford PW, Gowland C. Reliability of the Fugl-Meyer assessment for testing motor performance in patients following stroke. *Phys Ther* 1993; 73: 447-454.
28. Fugl-Meyer AR, Jaasko L, Leyman I, Olsson S, Stegling S. The post-stroke hemiplegic patient. 1. a method for evaluation of physical performance. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1975; 7: 13-31.
29. Kim H, Her J, Ko J, Park D, Woo J, You Y, Choi Y. Reliability, concurrent validity and responsiveness of the Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA) of hemiplegic patient. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2012; 24: 893-899.
30. Pang MYC, Harris JE, Eng JJ. A community-based group upper extremity exercise program improves motor function and performance of functional activities in chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2006; 87(1): 1–9.
31. Taub E, Uswatte G, King DK, Morris D, Crago JE and Chatterjee A. A Placebo-Controlled Trial of Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy for Upper Extremity after Stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37: 1045-1049.
32. Steven L, Wolf CJ, Winstein J, Miller P, Taub E, Uswatte G et al. Effect of Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy on Upper Extremity Function 3 to 9 Months After Stroke: The EXCITE Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2006; 296(17): 2095-2104.
33. Hossain AM, Ahmed NU, Rahman M, Islam MR, Sadhya G, Fatema K. Analysis of Sociodemographic and Clinical Factors Associated with Hospitalized Stroke Patients of Bangladesh. *Faridpur Med. Coll. J.* 2011; 6(1): 19-23.
34. Miah AH, Sutradhar SR, Ahmed S, Bhattacharjee M, Alam MK, Bari MA et al. Seasonal variation in types of stroke and its common risk factors. *Mymensingh Med J.* 2012; 21(1):13-20.
35. Islam MN, Moniruzzaman M, Khalil MI, Basri R, Alam MK, Loo KW et al. Burden of stroke in Bangladesh. *Int. J. Stroke* 2013; 8(3): 211–213.
36. Hayee A, Haque A, Anwarullah AKM, Haque A, Akhtar N. Analysis of Risk factors of Stroke in 472 Cases. *Bangladesh Journal of Neuroscience* 1999; 14(2): 41-54.
37. Alamgir SM, Mannan MA. Cerebrovascular disease: A report of 53 cases. *Bangladesh Med Res Coun Bull.*1995; 1: 45-50.
38. Wasay M, Khatri IA, Kaul S. Stroke in South Asian countries. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2014; 10(3): 135-143.