

Review Article



Fertility Preservation in Surviving Women Following Cancer Treatment

Aeysha Begum¹, Sheuly Akter², Md Nazmul Alam³, Parvin Rahman⁴, Most Nasrin Nigger⁵, Afzal Hossain⁶

Abstract

For the afflicted female, Diminished Ovarian Reserve (DOR) has disastrous effects on her quality of life, fertility, fecundity, and general well-being. Gynecological operations and chemotherapy can also make DOR worse. By evaluating the gonadotoxicity and effectiveness of current chemotherapy regimens as well as the techniques used in gynecological procedures, the best regimen or technique can be chosen after a risk-benefit ratio study. This ought to be carried out in concert with methods for preserving fertility, such as cryopreservation, ovarian transposition and suppression, and medication. To meet the demand for oncofertility, novel strategies utilizing fertoprotective agents must be assessed. This guarantees the greatest protection of the follicular pool and the least amount of harm to the ovarian environment and function.

Keywords: Chemotherapy, Fertility Preservation, Follicular Pool, Ovarian Cancer.

Date of received: 24.09.2023

Date of acceptance: 25.02.2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3329/kyamcj.v15i01.75836>

KYAMC Journal. 2024; 15(01): 50-55.

Introduction

Women who have had appropriate therapy and follow-up even after suffering from hormone-sensitive cancers are considering getting pregnant, as the quality of life following treatment is increasing significantly due to the higher survival rates of female cancer patients. By attempting to avoid premature ovarian failure or even infertility, oncofertility improves the quality of life for cancer survivors. Until recently, the only methods available to preserve fertility for post-pubertal women and pubertal girls were ovarian transposition in women undergoing pelvic irradiation or embryo cryopreservation before gonadotoxic treatment using sperm donors in the latter case.^{1,2} These days, novel techniques including oocyte cryopreservation and harvesting are used.³⁻⁵ Because ovarian stimulation and subsequent oocyte cryopreservation may cause ovarian hyper-stimulation syndrome (OHSS), ovarian tissue cryopreservation is the sole alternative available to prepubescent girls.^{6,7}

Cryopreservation

One method that can be used on ovarian tissue, embryos, and gametes before starting chemotherapy is cryopreservation.⁸ There are two ways to perform cryopreservation: vitrification

and gradual freezing. Tissues are rapidly cooled during vitrification in order to avoid crystal formation.⁷⁻¹⁰ The addition of cryoprotectants during vitrification increased cryopreservation despite the possibility of osmotic stress and cellular toxicity.^{4,11} Protocols vary depending on the reason for infertility, but typically two weeks of ovarian stimulation precede oocyte cryopreservation.¹⁰ Using gonadotropins and a GnRH antagonist together to avoid OHSS is one method of regulated ovarian stimulation. Tamoxifen or letrozole can be administered to stop an increase in oestrogen levels in patients with endocrine-sensitive or oestrogen-producing tumors.^{4,10}

Comparing vitrification to slow-freezing after conception with fresh oocytes, success rates for oocyte cryopreservation have increased leading to higher clinical pregnancy rates (CPR) and live births.¹⁰⁻¹⁷

In a similar vein, embryo cryopreservation, particularly when combined with vitrification, is a dependable, secure, and successful process.^{12,13} But because patients under 35 have the highest live-birth rates, there are ethical concerns, and the success varies with age.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Multiple strips of ovarian tissue are

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Enayetpur, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.
2. Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Enayetpur, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.
3. Associate Professor, Department of Oncology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Enayetpur, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.
4. Professor and HOD of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Enayetpur, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.
5. Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Enayetpur, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.
6. Assistant Professor, Department of Oncology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Enayetpur, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.

Corresponding author: Aeysha Begum, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College, Enayetpur, Sirajganj, Bangladesh. **Cell:** +8801723904273, **E-mail:** dr.aeysha.begum@gmail.com

removed from the ovarian cortex of a single ovary during the standardized, invasive process of ovarian tissue cryopreservation.¹⁸ The quantity of tissue removed is determined by the predicted risk of ovarian failure.^{7, 19, 20} The chance of normal ovarian tissue activity returning is increased by ovarian tissue transplantation after malignancy.^{2, 5, 15, 20} In general, the rates of complications are minimal, although malignant cells may re-implant themselves, particularly following hematologic malignancies.^{6, 8, 17, 20} The danger of reintroducing cancer cells may be decreased by transplanting ovarian follicles rather than the complete ovary.^{9, 18, 20}

There are two types of transplantation: (1) orthotopic, which involves putting ovarian tissue into the medulla, the ovarian fossa, or the broad ligament intraperitoneally, and (2) heterotopic, which involves putting ovarian tissue in the abdominal wall, the chest wall, or the forearm, outside the peritoneal cavity.^{4, 20}

Since orthotopic transplantation offers a better environment for follicular growth than heterotopic transplantation, it is typically more successful than heterotopic transplantation, if the fallopian tubes are intact.^{7, 8, 13, 20-23} Transplantation is expected to guarantee ovarian function for four to seven years, assuming appropriate ovarian tissue preservation is done.^{7, 21}

However, there have been debates about this method of preserving fertility in relation to chromosomal abnormalities, because data indicates that cryopreservation may result in changes to cell membranes, DNA integrity, and aneuploidies.²¹ The prevalence of chromosome abnormalities and aneuploidy did not increase in vitrified embryos that were cryopreserved.^{22, 23} This might also be used to embryos created from cryopreserved oocytes, in which the rate of euploidy is the same as that of blastocysts created from fresh oocytes despite impaired blastulation.²⁴ Accordingly, vitrification has been identified as the safest cryopreservation method.^{21, 22, 25} Various nations have varied laws pertaining to cryopreservation.¹¹

Ovarian suppression

The first drugs employed in ova-protection for chemotherapy were gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists/analogues.^{4, 5, 26} These drugs suppress the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian (HPO) axis, which lowers the ovary's vulnerability to and shields it from the toxicity of chemotherapy. Since GnRH agonists generate a pre-pubertal state, their method of action is not biologically plausible for the protection of ovarian function. If, however, ovarian suppression was protective, children would not be susceptible to the gonadotoxic effects of chemotherapy. Furthermore, several anti-cancer medications do not shield DNA double strand that breaks from the ovarian suppression brought on by GnRH agonists.^{6, 26, 27}

As such, the effects of these agents on fertility are debatable and variable.^{7-9, 27} Certain researchers maintain that there is no impact on the rate of pregnancy¹⁰, while other researchers assert that these drugs have a positive effect on both ovarian function and the rate of pregnancy.^{11, 27} Therefore, GnRH agonists may offer ovarian function a small degree of protection, particularly in women under 40 years of age.^{10, 11, 26, 27}

Ovarian transposition

Often referred to as oophoropexy, ovarian transposition surgery involves laparoscopically removing the ovaries from the radiation area by generally attaching them to the anterolateral abdominal wall before starting pelvic irradiation.^{12, 13, 28} In 85% of patients under 40 with a history of normal ovarian reserve, oophorexy performed prior to radiotherapy results in ovarian preservation and reduces ovarian radiation exposure by 5–10%.^{14-16, 28}

Pharmacologic protection

Sphingosine-1-phosphate

Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S-1-P) inhibits the ceramide-induced apoptotic pathway, notably the sphingomyelin pathway, hence reducing follicular apoptosis. In human ovarian tissue xenografted in mice, S-1-P enhances vascular supply, density, and angiogenesis. Additionally, it eliminates or greatly reduces apoptosis induced by doxorubicin and cisplatin.^{17, 18, 29-33} It follows that using it in ovarian transplantation will shorten the time it takes for the graft to re-oxygenate and avoid follicular loss.^{17, 19, 29-33} When S-1-P is used on sheep ovaries for cryopreservation, it can quickly boost primordial follicle densities, enhance their quality, and promote tissue survival.^{20, 21, 32, 33} S-1-P has a cytoprotective effect on human granulosa cells exposed to cyclophosphamide via activating the Akt signaling pathway.^{22, 23, 32, 33}

Owing to its extremely short half-life, S-1-P can be injected directly into the ovary beginning 24 hours before chemotherapy. It is administered and continued for 72 hours after chemotherapy administration.^{24-26, 33-37} S-1-P's apoptotic block may inhibit physiologic apoptosis, such as in DNA-damaged oocytes, which is one of the agent's two main concerns, the other being that it is uncertain whether it interacts with other chemotherapeutic treatments.³⁴

Imatinib

Imatinib is not only a tyrosine-kinase inhibitor utilized in cancer treatment; it is also a c-Abl kinase inhibitor that, when taken in conjunction with cisplatin,^{26, 34} it suppresses the activation of apoptotic pathways through TAP⁶³, but not in conjunction with other chemotherapeutic drugs such as doxorubicin.^{33, 38} Imatinib may cause follicular apoptosis itself; hence there is conflicting evidence that it protects mice against cisplatin-induced primordial follicle death.³⁹ Its gonadotoxicity is yet unknown and more research are needed.⁴⁰

Anti-mullerian hormone

Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) administered at supraphysiological dosages inhibits the activation of primordial follicles brought on by chemotherapy, hence preventing basic ovarian insufficiency.²⁸⁻³¹ Concomitant chemotherapy and AMH injection in mice provides contraception without altering the ovarian reserve or changing the HPO axis.⁴¹ Because AMH is an endogenous hormone, there is reduced chance of negative effects while using it, which makes it advantageous.^{26, 40, 41}

AS101

Administered orally and intravenously, AS101 is an immunomodulator, tellurium-based chemical, and antioxidant.^{26, 34, 42}

In ovaries exposed to cyclophosphamide, AS101 maintains fertility and ovarian reserve in several ways. It prevents large follicle death by directly inhibiting the PI3K/PTEN/Akt signaling pathway, improves DNA repair processes in oocytes, and sustains the negative feedback inhibition on follicular activation by releasing AMH.^{43, 44} AS101 may also cause integrin inhibition, which in turn causes the PI3K/PTEN/Akt pathway to be down regulated.^{26, 34, 44} AS101 does not interact with anticancer drugs and preserves the genetic integrity of the oocytes.

Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor

Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) delays the onset of ovarian insufficiency in mice receiving gonadotoxic chemotherapy by protecting the vasculature.^{45, 46} This is primarily achieved by increasing the density of microvessels. G-CSF injection prevents both ischaemia and ischaemia / reperfusion damage which results in elevated AMH levels and increased counts of all follicle types.^{47, 48} However, clinical trials involving humans are still required to confirm such outcomes.

Melatonin

When taken concurrently with chemotherapy, melatonin is believed to mitigate the damage to the ovaries caused by the drug, preserving the follicles. Melatonin preserves follicular dormancy and stops follicle loss in mice by blocking the phosphorylation of PTEN/AKT/FOXO3a pathway components produced by cisplatin.⁴² Because melatonin suppresses the generation of hydroxyl radicals, which cause mitochondrial damage, its antioxidant properties can also be employed to protect the ovary from radiation-induced damage.⁴⁹ Endogenous ovarian melatonin is not feroprotective even if it is present.⁵⁰ Thus, more research is still needed to determine the exact mechanism by which melatonin shields the ovary from gonadotoxic injury.⁵⁰

Novel strategies

In-vitro growth and maturation of follicles

There is a known risk associated with ovarian tissue transplantation that the patient may get reintroduced malignant cells. This is addressed to by a unique approach in which immature follicles are extracted and cultured in-vitro in alginate hydrogels until they reach maturity.^{4, 50, 51}

The multistage process of follicle formation makes this treatment difficult. Only non-growing ovarian follicles have been produced in humans. If the technique proves effective in humans, girls of all ages may under take it, but more research is needed.⁵¹

Germ cells from pluripotent stem cells

Due to numerous technical and moral concerns, including the xenotransplantation of human stem cells, in-vitro germ cell production is still being studied in rats rather than being applied to humans.^{4-7, 51, 52} Stem cells, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), and embryonic stem cells (ESC) are the sources of germ cells. Although human embryonic stem cells could not yet be differentiated into oocytes, research is being done on the production of autologous oocytes from human induced pluripotent stem cells.⁵²

Oocyte stem cells (OSC) induce the development of early follicular structures and oocytes in humans and mice, respectively.⁵¹ Human OSCs are rare, albeit.^{7, 51}

Uterine transplantation

Women who have lost their uterus function undergo uterine transplantation.^{4, 51} Although this treatment primarily benefits women who are born without a uterus, it may also benefit cancer patients.⁵¹ Uterine donors may be living or deceased as long as the organs have reached their full potential.⁵³ After that, the recipient receives the transplanted uterus and is treated with immunosuppressive medications including tacrolimus, azathioprine, and corticosteroids in an effort to reduce or reverse organ rejection.^{53, 54} It is notable that this is the most recent reports of human uterine transplants in scientific literature. The first live birth was reported in 2014 in Sweden from a 35-year-old woman who had undergone IVF after uterine transplantation.⁵⁴ Since this is a novel technique, a more thorough examination of the related ethical and technical challenges is necessary.^{4, 54}

Artificial ovary

Primordial follicles are encapsulated in an artificial ovary scaffold, which is often made of a 3D biodegradable material,^{7, 52, 54} such as alginate, collagen, fibrin, plasma clot, decellularized ovarian extracellular matrix, or synthetic polymer such polyethylene glycol.^{51, 52, 54} After being removed from the patient and placed onto the scaffold, primordial pre-antral follicles are cryopreserved. This removes the possibility of cancerous cells spreading.^{7, 54, 55} Although the number of follicles recovered using this method is limited, nearly all of them are viable.⁵⁶

Conclusion

The most popular approach for preserving fertility is still cryopreservation, with vitrification being the method of choice, because it is comparatively safe and effective. Along with fertoprotective drugs, other fertility preservation tactics that pose additional difficulties, are contentious, or are constrained by contradictions in the literature, necessitating a more thorough investigation to support the data that is already available.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge doctors serving in Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College Cancer Centre, a world class cancer centre, for providing their collective expertise to ensure the quality, accuracy of the information we used in this article.

References

1. Lambertini M, Del Mastro L, Pescio M C, Andersen C Y, et al. Cancer and fertility preservation: international recommendations from an expert meeting. *BMC Medicine*, 2016; 14(1).
2. Wallace W H B, Kelsey T W and Anderson R A, Fertility preservation in pre-pubertal girls with cancer: the role of ovarian tissue cryopreservation. *Fertility and Sterility*, 2016; 105(1), 6-12.

3. Ben-Aharon I, Abir R, Perl G, Stein J, et al. Optimizing the process of fertility preservation in pediatric female cancer patients – a multidisciplinary program. *BMC Cancer*, 2016; 16(1).
4. Roness H, Kashi O and Meirou D, Prevention of chemotherapy-induced ovarian damage. *Fertility and Sterility*, 2016; 105(1), 20-29.
5. Shen K, Gui T, Yuan G, Cao D, Yang J, Wu M and Lang J, Protective effect of gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog on the ovarian reserve in rats receiving cyclophosphamide treatment. *OncoTargets and Therapy*, 2015. 661-667.
6. Oktay K, 2017. Fertility Preservation in Cancer Patients. *Oncology Times*, 39(4), 9.
7. Blumenfeld Z, Katz G and Evron A, ‘An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure’: the case for and against GnRH-agonist for fertility preservation. *Annals of Oncology*, 2014; 25(9), 1719-1728.
8. Taylan E and Oktay K H “Current state and controversies in fertility preservation in women with breast cancer,” *World journal of clinical oncology*, 2017; 8(3), pp. 241–248. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v8.i3.241.
9. Leonard R C F et al. “GnRH agonist for protection against ovarian toxicity during chemotherapy for early breast cancer: the Anglo Celtic Group OPTION trial,” *Annals of oncology*, 2017; 28(8), 1811–1816. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx184.
10. Harada M and Osuga Y “Where are oncofertility and fertility preservation treatments heading in 2016?,” *Future oncology (London, England)*, 2016; 12(20), 2313–2321. doi: 10.2217/fon-2016-0161.
11. Donnez J and Dolmans M M “Ovarian cortex transplantation: 60 reported live births brings the success and worldwide expansion of the technique towards routine clinical practice,” *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics*, 2015; 32(8), 1167–1170. doi: 10.1007/s10815-015-0544-9.
12. Jadoul P et al. “Efficacy of ovarian tissue cryopreservation for fertility preservation: lessons learned from 545 cases,” *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)*, 2017; 32(5), 1046–1054. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dex040.
13. Donnez J and Dolmans M M “Fertility preservation in women,” *Nature reviews. Endocrinology*, 9(12), pp. 735–749. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2013.205.
14. Anderson R A et al. (2015) “Cancer treatment and gonadal function: experimental and established strategies for fertility preservation in children and young adults,” *The lancet. Diabetes & endocrinology*, 2013; 3(7), 556–567. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(15)00039-X.
15. Mosiello G et al. “Re: Fertility preservation for pediatric patients: Current state and future possibilities: E. K. Johnson, C. Finlayson, E. E. Rowell, Y. Gosiengfiao, M. E. Pavone, B. Lockart, K. E. Orwig, R. E. Brannigan and T. K. Woodruff *J Urol* 2017; 198: 186-194.” *The journal of urology*, 2018; 199(1), 308–310. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2017.07.092.
16. Massarotti C et al. “State of the art on oocyte cryopreservation in female cancer patients: A critical review of the literature,” *Cancer treatment reviews*, 2017; 57, 50–57. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.04.009.
17. ESHRE Working Group on Oocyte Cryopreservation in Europe et al. “Oocyte and ovarian tissue cryopreservation in European countries: statutory background, practice, storage and use,” *Human reproduction open*, 2017(1), p. hox003. doi: 10.1093/hropen/hox003.
18. Dungan J S “Use of cryo-banked oocytes in an ovum donation programme: a prospective, randomized, controlled, clinical trial,” *Yearbook of Obstetrics Gynecology and Women s Health*, 2011; 256–258. doi: 10.1016/j.yobg.2011.05.028.
19. Cobo A and Diaz C “Clinical application of oocyte vitrification: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials,” *Fertility and sterility*, 2011; 96(2), 277–285. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.06.030.
20. Cobo A et al. “Is vitrification of oocytes useful for fertility preservation for age-related fertility decline and in cancer patients?,” *Fertility and sterility*, 2013; 99(6), 1485–1495. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.02.050.
21. Rienzi L et al. “Oocyte, embryo and blastocyst cryopreservation in ART: systematic review and meta-analysis comparing slow-freezing versus vitrification to produce evidence for the development of global guidance,” *Human reproduction update*, 2017; 23(2), 139–155. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmw038.
22. Levi Setti P E et al. “Human oocyte cryopreservation with slow freezing versus vitrification. Results from the National Italian Registry data, 2007-2011,” *Fertility and sterility*, 2014; 102(1), 90-95. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.03.052.
23. Cobo A et al. “Obstetric and perinatal outcome of babies born from vitrified oocytes,” *Fertility and sterility*, 2014; 102(4), 1006-1015.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.06.019.
24. Rossi B and Patel B “Preserving fertility in young patients with lymphoma: an overview,” *Blood and lymphatic cancer: targets and therapy*, p. 1. 2014. doi: 10.2147/blctt.s47236.

25. Soares M et al. "Eliminating malignant cells from cryopreserved ovarian tissue is possible in leukaemia patients," *British journal of haematology*, 2017; 178(2), 231–239. doi: 10.1111/bjh.14657.
26. Donnez J et al. "Restoration of ovarian activity and pregnancy after transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue: a review of 60 cases of reimplantation," *Fertility and sterility*, 2013; 99(6), 1503–1513. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.03.030.
27. Kopeika J, Thornhill A and Khalaf Y "The effect of cryopreservation on the genome of gametes and embryos: principles of cryobiology and critical appraisal of the evidence," *Human reproduction update*, 2015; 21(2), pp. 209–227. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmu063.
28. Liu M, Su Y and Wang W H "Assessment of clinical application of preimplantation genetic screening on cryopreserved human blastocysts," *Reproductive biology and endocrinology: RB&E*, 2016; 14(1), 16. doi: 10.1186/s12958-016-0155-z.
29. Deng A and Wang W H "Assessment of aneuploidy formation in human blastocysts resulting from cryopreserved donor eggs," *Molecular cytogenetics*, 2015; 8(1), 12. doi: 10.1186/s13039-015-0117-8.
30. Goldman K N et al. ("Long-term cryopreservation of human oocytes does not increase embryonic aneuploidy," *Fertility and sterility*, 2015; 103(3), 662–668. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.11.025.
31. Liu M et al. "A modified vitrification method reduces spindle and chromosome abnormalities," *Systems biology in reproductive medicine*, 2017; 63(3), pp. 199–205. doi: 10.1080/19396368.2017.1285370.
32. Demeestere I et al. "No evidence for the benefit of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist in preserving ovarian function and fertility in lymphoma survivors treated with chemotherapy: Final long-term report of a prospective randomized trial," *Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*, 2016; 34(22), 2568–2574. doi: 10.1200/jco.2015.65.8864.
33. Del Mastro L and Lambertini M "Temporary ovarian suppression with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist during chemotherapy for fertility preservation: Toward the end of the debate?," *The oncologist*, 2015; 20(11), 1233–1235. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2015-0373.
34. Roness H, Kalich Philosoph L and Meirow D "Prevention of chemotherapy-induced ovarian damage: possible roles for hormonal and non-hormonal attenuating agents," *Human reproduction update*, 2014; 20(5), 759–774. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmu019.
35. Henry L et al. "Supplementation of transport and freezing media with anti-apoptotic drugs improves ovarian cortex survival," *Journal of ovarian research*, 2016; 9(1), 4. doi: 10.1186/s13048-016-0216-0.
36. Li S et al. "Sphingosine-1-phosphate activates the AKT pathway to inhibit chemotherapy induced human granulosa cell apoptosis," *Gynecological endocrinology: the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology*, 2017; 33(6), 476–479. doi: 10.1080/09513590.2017.1290072.
37. Li F et al. "Sphingosine-1-phosphate prevents chemotherapy-induced human primordial follicle death," *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)*, 2014; 29(1), 107–113. doi: 10.1093/humrep/det391.
38. Morgan S et al. () "Cisplatin and doxorubicin induce distinct mechanisms of ovarian follicle loss; imatinib provides selective protection only against cisplatin," *PLoS one*, 2013; 8(7), 70117. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070117.
39. Kerr J B et al. "DNA damage-induced primordial follicle oocyte apoptosis and loss of fertility require TAp63-mediated induction of Puma and Noxa," *Molecular cell*, 2012; 48(3), 343–352. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.08.017.
40. Kato K "Vitrification of embryos and oocytes for fertility preservation in cancer patients," *Reproductive medicine and biology*, 2016; 15(4), 227–233. doi: 10.1007/s12522-016-0239-7.
41. Kano M et al. "AMH/MIS as a contraceptive that protects the ovarian reserve during chemotherapy," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 2017; 114(9), 1688–1697. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1620729114.
42. Jang H et al. "Melatonin prevents cisplatin-induced primordial follicle loss via suppression of PTEN/AKT/FOXO3a pathway activation in the mouse ovary," *Journal of pineal research*, 2016; 60(3), 336–347. doi: 10.1111/jpi.12316.
43. Kalich Philosoph L et al. "Cyclophosphamide triggers follicle activation and 'burnout'; AS101 prevents follicle loss and preserves fertility," *Science translational medicine*, 2013; 5(185), 185ra62. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3005402.
44. Roness H et al. "Ovarian follicle burnout: a universal phenomenon?," *Cell cycle (Georgetown, Tex.)*, 2013; 12(20), 3245–3246. doi: 10.4161/cc.26358.
45. Ben-Aharon I et al. "Chemotherapy-induced ovarian failure as a prototype for acute vascular toxicity," *The oncologist*, 2012; 17(11), 1386–1393. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2012-0172.

46. Skaznik-Wikiel M E et al. "Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor with or without stem cell factor extends time to premature ovarian insufficiency in female mice treated with alkylating chemotherapy," *Fertility and sterility*, 2013; 99(7), 2045–54.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.01.135.
47. Bostancı M S et al. "The protective effect of G-CSF on experimental ischemia/reperfusion injury in rat ovary," *Archives of gynecology and obstetrics*, 2016; 293(4), 789–795. doi: 10.1007/s00404-015-3878-8.
48. Akdemir A et al. "Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor decreases the extent of ovarian damage caused by cisplatin in an experimental rat model," *Journal of gynecologic oncology*, 2014; 25(4), 328–333. doi: 10.3802/jgo.2014.25.4.328.
49. Liang Y E al "Protective effects of melatonin agonist on radiotherapy-induced ovarian damage in rats," *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, 2016; 9.2, 4023–4028.
50. Jang H E al "Melatonin and Fertoprotective Adjuvants: Prevention against Premature Ovarian Failure during Chemotherapy," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2017; 18.6, 1221.
51. Sonigo C et al. "The past, present and future of fertility preservation in cancer patients," *Future oncology (London, England)*, 2015; 11(19), 2667–2680. doi: 10.2217/fon.15.152.
52. Amorim C A and Shikanov A "The artificial ovary: current status and future perspectives," *Future oncology (London, England)*, 2016; 12(20), 2323–2332. doi: 10.2217/fon-2016-0202.
53. Johannesson L and Järholm S "Uterus transplantation: current progress and future prospects," *International journal of women's health*, 2016; 8, 43–51. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S75635.
54. Balayla J et al. "Livebirth after uterus transplantation," *Lancet*, 2015; 385(9985), 2351–2352. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61096-0.
55. Brännström M et al. "Global results of human uterus transplantation and strategies for pre-transplantation screening of donors," *Fertility and sterility*, 2019; 112(1), 3–10. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.05.030.
56. Telfer E E and Fauser B C J M "Important steps towards materializing the dream of developing an artificial ovary," *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 2016; 33(3), 333–334. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2016.07.005.