ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Epidemiological Features of Chronic Low-Back Pain

Shohag Chakrabarty^{*1}, Md. Atikul Aziz², Md. Ashikul Islam³, Md. Giyas Uddin⁴, Fatema Newaz ⁵, Nuzhat Nuery⁶

Abstract

Introduction: Chronic low back pain (LBP) is a major cause of abstinence from work in the world. *Objective:* The aim of the present study was to assess the epidemiological features of chronic low back pain patients in tertiary care teaching hospital. *Materials and Methods:* A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted from January 2018 to June 2018 among 70 patients attending at Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation outpatient department of the Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College and Hospital after obtaining requisite consent from the patients. Data were collected through interviewing of the patients. The collected data were entered into the computer and analyzed by using SPSS (version 20.1) to assess the epidemiological features of chronic low back pain patients. *Results:* In a pool of 70 patients, most of the patients (n=34, 48.5%) belong to 31-40 year age group. In a pool of 70 patients, Male patients (52.85%) were more than the female patients (47.14%) at the Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College and Hospital. In occupational status, most of the patients BMI were normal (n=49, 70%). *Conclusion:* Epidemiological features were characterized by male patients, as from the 31-40 years of life and housewife. Physical functional profile was primarily characterized by patients with normal BMI and overweight.

Keywords: Epidemiological feature, Chronic low back pain.

Number of Tables: 03; Number of Figure: 01; Number of References: 10; Number of Correspondences: 03.

*1. Corresponding Author:

Dr. Shohag Chakrabarty

Junior Consultant Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation General Hospital, Cumilla, Bangladesh. E-mail:shohag.chakrabarty@gmail.com

2. Dr. Md. Atikul Aziz

Junior Consultant Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation National Institute of Neurosciences & Hospital (NINS) Dhaka, Bangladesh.

3. Dr. Md. Ashikul Islam Junior Consultant

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Colonel Maleque Medical College Manikganj, Bangladesh.

4. Dr. Md. Giyas Uddin Medical Officer

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation General Hospital

Cumilla, Bangladesh. 5. Dr. Fatema Newaz Assistant Professor Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Kumudini Medical College Mirzapur, Tangail, Bangladesh.

6. Dr. Nuzhat Nuery

Medical Officer Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College Hospital Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Introduction:

Low back pain (LBP) is a frequent cause of disability in population, with up to 80% sufferers describing at least one recurrence¹. Nonspecific or mechanical LBP is characterized by the absence of structural change; that is, there is no disc space reduction, nerve root compression, bone or joint injuries, marked scoliosis or lordosis that may lead to back pain. Only 10% of LBP has a specific cause due to a particular disease². The incidence of nonspecific LBP is higher in workers subjected to heavy physical exertion, such as weight lifting, repetitive movements, and frequent static postures³. Chronic low back pain persists for at least 3-6 months, and it may recur in intervals rather than maintaining a continuous presence and it might interrupt functioning, well-being, and quality of life⁴. Chronic LBP is an everyday experience for 4% to 7% of the population⁵. Low back pain usually is a self-limiting and benign disease that tends to improve spontaneously over time⁶.

107

Materials and Methods:

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted from January 2018 to June 2018 among 70 patients attending at Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation outpatient department of the Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College and Hospital after obtaining requisite consent from the patients. Data were collected through interviewing of the patients. The collected data were entered into the computer and analyzed by using SPSS (version 20.1) to assess the epidemiological features of chronic low back pain patients. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee. The interviews were held directly in the corridor just outside the Outpatient Department.

Results:

The table shows that the age structures of those patients have been categorized in years into three groups. Overall, 27 (38.5%) patients were in18-30 years old while 34 (48.5%) patients were in 31-40 years old. 9 (12.8%) patients belong to above 40 years age group (Table I).

Table I: Age distribution of the study population (n=70)

Age in years	Number	Percentage	
18-30 years	27	38.5%	
31-40 years	34	48.5%	
Above 40 years	9	12.8%	

Total numbers of patients both male and female were 70. Male patients (52.85%) were more than the female patients (47.14%) at the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation outpatient department (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Pie Chart Showing Sex of the Patients

Out of 70 patients, 19 (27.14%) were housewife, 17 (24.28%) were service-holder followed by 6 (8.57%) were driver (Table II).

Table II: Occupational status of the study population (n=70

-		
Occupational status	Number	Percentage
Housewife	19	27.14%
Garment worker	5	7.14%
Teacher	2	2.85%
Driver	6	8.57%
Businessman	5	7.14
Service holder	17	24.28%
Laborer	2	2.85%
Student	4	5.71%
Rickshaw puller	1	1.42%
Others	9	12.85%

Out of 70 patients, 6 (8.57%) patients were underweight, 49 (70%) patients were normal BMI, 13 (18.57%) patients were overweight, 2 (2.85%) patients were obese (Table III).

Table III: BMI status of the study population (n=70)

BMI status	Number	Percentage
Underweight (<18.5)	6	8.57%
Normal (18.5-24.9)	49	70%
Overweight (25.0-29.9)	13	18.57%
Obese (30.0- 34.9)	2	2.85%

Discussion:

All together a total of 70 prescriptions were collected during the study period. In our study most of the patients belonged to 31-40 years (48.5%). Near to similar results were obtained in the study conducted by bento et al. 2019 study⁷. In their study they stated most of the patients were 36-59 years (32.7%). This study showed male patients were more than the female patients which were 52.85% and 47.14% respectively. Dissimilar results were obtained in the study conducted by Galukande et al. 2005 study⁸. YìXiáng Jet al⁹, demonstrated that females had higher prevalence of LBP across all age groups. In our study most of the patients were housewife (27.14%) followed by service-holder (24.28%). Dissimilar results were obtained in the study conducted by Santos et al. 2015 study¹⁰. In their study they stated most of the patients were industrial production worker (25.2%). In our study most of the patients were Normal BMI (52.5%) and overweight (28.75%). Dissimilar results were obtained in the study conducted by Santos et al. 2015 study. In their study they stated that most of the patients were overweight $(57.1\%)^{10}$.

Conclusion:

Epidemiological features were characterized by male patients, as from the 31-40 years of life and housewife. Physical functional profile was primarily characterized by patients with normal BMI and overweight. A larger study is needed to explore these hypotheses further.

Acknowledgements:

The authors are grateful to the entire staff of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation outpatient department of the Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College and Hospital for their cooperation and support during the study period.

Conflict of Interests: None.

References:

1. Airaksinen O, Brox JI, Cedrashi C, Hildebradt J, Klaber-Moffett J, Kovacs F, et al. Chapter 4. European guidelines for the management of chronic nonspecific low back pain. Eur Spine J. 2006;15:192-300.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-006-1072-1

PMid:16550448 PMCid:PMC3454542

2. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? Jama. 1992;268(6):760-765.

(108

https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1992.03490060092030 PMid:1386391

3. Andrade SC, Araújo AG, Vilar MJ. Escola de Coluna: revisãohistóricaesuaaplicaçãona lombalgiacrônica. Rev Bras Reumatol. 2005;45(4):224-248.

https://doi.org/10.1590/S0482-50042005000400006

4. Dagenais S, Caro J, Haldeman S. A systematic review of low back pain cost of illness studies in the United States and internationally. Spine J. 2008;8(1):8-20.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2007.10.005

PMid:18164449

5. Von Korff M, Crane P, Lane M, Miglioretti DL, Simon G, Saunders K, et al. Chronic spinal pain and physical-mental comorbidity in the United States: results from the national comorbidity survey replication. Pain. 2005;113:331-9.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2004.11.010

PMid:15661441

6. Waddell G. A new clinical model for the treatment of low back pain. Spine. 1987;12:632-44.

https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-198709000-00002

PMid:2961080

7. Bento, T.P. et al. Low back pain and some associated factor: Is there any difference between gender. Brazilian journal of physical therapy. 2019; 24(1):79-87.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2019.01.012

PMid:30782429 PMCid:PMC6994312

8. Galukande, M. et al. Aetiology of low back pain in mulago hospital, Uganada. African health science. 2005; 5(2): 164-167.

9. Yì Xiáng J. Wáng, and Zoltán Káplár. Increased low back pain prevalence in females than in males after menopause age: evidences based on synthetic literature review Quant Imaging Med Surg. 2016 Apr; 6(2): 199-206.

https://doi.org/10.21037/qims.2016.04.06

PMid:27190772 PMCid:PMC4858456

10. Santos, et al. Sociodemographic and physical function profile of low back pain patients assisted in manaus-AM. Rev Dor. Sao Paulo. 2015; 16(4):272-275.

https://doi.org/10.5935/1806-0013.20150055

