

PRODUCTION AND ECONOMICS OF GIFT STRAIN OF TILAPIA (*Oreochromis niloticus*) IN SMALL SEASONAL PONDS

S. Khan, M. S. Hossain¹ and M. M. Hossain²

Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University
Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

The production and economics of GIFT strain of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) reared in nine seasonal small ponds at Bangladesh Agricultural University campus for a period of three months during August to October were evaluated. The experiment was arranged in three treatments (T) each with three replications. The ponds were drained, limed and fertilized with organic and inorganic fertilizers during preparation. After stocking the fishes the ponds were fertilized weekly with cowdung, urea and TSP. Supplementary feed was not given in T₁, but rice bran and mustard oilcake were given in T₂ and commercial pellet feed in T₃. Some water quality parameters were monitored at regular intervals and they were within acceptable range for aquaculture. The survival rates were high (>95%) in all treatments. Significantly highest production (3941.50 kg/ha in three months) was found in T₃ followed by T₂ (1845.5 kg/ha) and T₁ (972.50 kg/ha). Similarly, significantly highest net return (Tk. 61805.00/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1.45) was found in T₃ followed by T₂ (Tk.1339.00/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1.01) and T₁ (Tk. 201.50/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1.00).

Key words : Seasonal ponds, Supplemental feed, GIFT tilapia

INTRODUCTION

Genetically Improved Farmed Tilapia (GIFT) strain, a synthetic strain of *Oreochromis niloticus* that was developed through selection of several generations from a base involving eight different strains of Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*), was introduced in Bangladesh in July 1994 from Philippines (Hussain *et al.*, 2000).

The GIFT strain was reported to show an average 60% faster growth and 50% better survival at harvest than most commonly farmed strain (Eknath, 1992; Sultana *et al.*, 1997). Tilapia has comparatively good market price, become marketable size in 3-5 months and requires low input cost. It is also preferred both by farmers and consumers due to its fast growth and tasty flesh. Tilapia can grow in a wide range of environmental condition and has good resistance to poor water quality and disease (Balarin and Haller, 1982). In Bangladesh, culture of tilapias has been promoted in small, seasonal ditches for poor marginal farmers (Hussain *et al.*, 1989; Gupta *et al.*, 1992). Fish farmers in rural areas can

¹ Department of Aquaculture, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh

² Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock, Bangladesh

effectively utilize these seasonal water bodies for fish culture either for their subsistence or economical benefits.

Supplementary feed is one of the key inputs in fish culture to get high production. Feed cost generally constitutes the highest single operating cost in semi intensive farming operation (Shang and Costa-Pierce, 1983). Increased natural food produced in fish ponds through fertilization reduces the use of supplementary feeds that ultimately reduces the feed costs.

In Bangladesh some relatively cheap indigenous agricultural by-products, such as, rice bran, wheat bran, mustard oilcake are being used for aquaculture. For proper growth of fish, animal protein supplement, growth promoting vitamins and micronutrients are essential. In recent years pelleted commercial fish feeds have been marketed by different industries. These feeds are mainly used by medium to large farmers in commercial fish farms. For marginal resource poor farmers it is important to improve the traditional fish culture system. GIFT tilapia is omnivorous and its production can be increased through partial feed supplementation. The present study was conducted to see the production and profitability of GIFT tilapia culture under different feeding strategies in seasonal small ponds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site and pond facilities

The experiment was carried out for a period of 92 days from 1 August to 31 October, in nine experimental ponds situated in the Field Laboratory, Faculty of Fisheries, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh. The ponds were equal in size (200 m²) and similar in shape, depth and basin configuration.

Pond preparation

The ponds were drained out completely and aquatic weeds were removed manually. Liming was done in all ponds at the rate of 1 kg/decimal. Three days after liming the ponds were manured with cowdung at the rate of 4 kg/decimal. One week after liming the ponds were filled with water and fertilized with urea and TSP at the rate of 100 g/decimal. TSP was soaked overnight, then urea and TSP were dissolved together and spreaded manually on pond water surface in the morning.

Collection of experimental fish and stocking

All the tilapia fingerlings with mean initial weight of 4.65 ± 0.02 g were collected from a local fish vendor and were stocked at a rate of 150/decimal (37500/ha).

Experimental design and feeding

The experiment was carried out with three treatments each with three replications. Fertilization was done weekly in the ponds of all treatments at the same rate (cowdung, 3 kg/decimal; urea, 40g /decimal and TSP, 40 g/decimal). Supplemental feeds were given

in treatment-2 (T₂) (rice bran: mustard oilcake; 1:1) and in treatment-3 (T₃) (commercial pellet feed containing 28% protein). The feed was applied at the rate of 5% of the body weight of fishes at the beginning of the experiment, then it was reduced to 4% after one month and 3% after two months. Feed was applied twice a day, half in the morning (9.00 am) and the rest in the afternoon (4.00 pm). The supplementary feeds, such as rice bran and mustard oilcake were given to the fish in dough form. For this purpose, mustard oilcake was soaked overnight, mixed with rice bran and small balls were made. The feeds were gently thrown over the ponds water on a particular site of the ponds regularly. Twenty percent of the total fish were sampled fortnightly by a seine net to monitor the fish growth and to adjust feeding rates. The weight of fish during sampling was measured by using a portable digital balance.

Water quality parameters

The water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH were recorded fortnightly. The temperature and dissolved oxygen of the ponds were determined by a DO meter (YSI, model 58, USA). Secchi disc visibility was measured using a Secchi disc at the time of water sampling. The water pH was recorded by a pH meter (Jenway, model 3020, UK). Integrated water sample of entire column was taken at fortnightly interval for analysis of chlorophyll-*a* (APHA, AWWA and WPCF, 1985).

Statistical analysis

For data analysis a one-way ANOVA and DMRT were applied following Gomez and Gomez (1984). Computer analysis of the data was done by using the software SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 11.5. Significance was assigned at 0.05% level.

Economic analysis

An economic analysis was conducted to estimate the net profit from different treatments. The analysis was based on local market prices for harvested fish and all other items. The costs of fingerlings, fertilizer and supplemental feeds are shown in Table 3. The cost of leasing ponds was not included in the total cost. An additional 7.5% on total cost was included as operational cost (ADCP, 1983). The net return was measured by deducting the gross cost from the gross return per decimal. The benefit cost ratio was also measured as a ratio of net benefit to gross cost.

RESULTS

Water quality parameters

The water quality parameters measured during the experimental period is presented in Table 1.

Growth and production performances

The growth performance of GIFT tilapia in terms of initial weight, final weight, weight gain, specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio, survival rate and total production is

shown in Table 2. Mean weight gain of GIFT tilapia ranged between 44.62 and 128.58 g and there was a significant variation ($p < 0.05$) among the treatments (Table 2). The mean weight gain was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in T₃ (128.58 g) than in T₁ (44.62 g) and T₂ (73.09 g). Significantly highest ($p < 0.05$) SGR value (1.58) was recorded in T₃ and the lowest (1.11) in T₁ (Table 2).

Table 1. Mean (\pm SD) values and ranges (in parentheses) of water quality parameters of experimental ponds under three treatments during the study period

Parameters	Treatments			Level of significance
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	
Temperature (°C)	30.98 \pm 0.55 (26.13 - 33.43)	31.05 \pm 0.53 (26.43 - 33.60)	31.01 \pm 0.56 (26.33 - 33.57)	NS
Transparency (cm)	27.71 \pm 0.86 ^b (24.33 - 35.67)	29.29 \pm 0.81 ^b (25.00 - 36.00)	37.29 \pm 1.26 ^a (28.00 - 43.33)	*
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	5.33 \pm 0.16 (4.10 - 6.19)	5.14 \pm 0.09 (4.30 - 5.62)	5.49 \pm 0.19 (4.03 - 6.62)	NS
pH	7.72 \pm 0.17 (6.27 - 9.18)	7.66 \pm 0.11 (7.11 - 9.18)	7.61 \pm 0.19 (6.37 - 9.65)	NS
Chlorophyll- <i>a</i> (μ g/l)	130.67 \pm 16.74 ^b (70.61 - 196.75)	158.67 \pm 40.79 ^b (70.61 - 377.63)	249.98 \pm 54.37 ^a (89.25 - 499.01)	*

Mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different ($p < 0.05$); NS- Non significant; * Significant

Table 2. Growth and production of GIFT strain of tilapia observed in different treatments during the experimental period

Parameters	Treatments		
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃
Initial weight (g)	4.65 \pm 0.02	4.65 \pm 0.02	4.65 \pm 0.02
Final weight (g)	49.27 \pm 1.15 ^c	77.74 \pm 1.15 ^b	133.23 \pm 1.73 ^a
Weight gain (g)	44.62 \pm 1.13 ^c	73.09 \pm 1.14 ^b	128.59 \pm 1.71 ^a
% Weight gain (g)	960.02 \pm 19.58 ^c	1377.24 \pm 18.54 ^b	2766.92 \pm 23.03 ^a
SGR (% day)	1.11 \pm 0.01 ^c	1.27 \pm 0.01 ^b	1.58 \pm 0.00 ^a
FCR		1.71 \pm 0.01	1.36 \pm 0.03
Survival rate (%)	96.66 \pm 1.15	97.10 \pm 1.15	98.06 \pm 0.58
Production (kg/pond/3 months)	19.45 \pm 1.15 ^c	36.92 \pm 1.15 ^b	78.83 \pm 1.15 ^a
Total production (kg/ha/3 months)	927.50 \pm 11.55 ^c	1845.50 \pm 11.55 ^b	3941.50 \pm 11.55 ^a

Mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

The FCR was 1.71 and 1.36 in T₂ and T₃ respectively. The survival rate ranged between 96.66 to 98.06 % and there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) among the treatments.

The production of tilapia in terms of kg/ha/3 months was highest (3941.50 kg) in T₃, followed by T₂ (1845.50 kg) and T₁ (972.50 kg) and they were significantly ($p<0.05$) different (Table 2). A simple economic analysis showed that T₃ generated the highest benefit or net return of Tk.61805.50/ha/3 months and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) of 1.45 followed by Tk.1339.00/ha/3 months and BCR value of 1.01 in T₂ and Tk. 201.50/ha/3 months and BCR value of 1.00 in T₁ (Table 3).

Table 3. Economic analysis of GIFT strain of tilapia (*O. niloticus*) production in ponds reared for 3 months

Components	Treatments		
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃
Expenditure (Tk/pond)			
Fingerlings cost	750	750	750
Feed cost	-	793.25	1,620.42
Lime cost	25.00	25.00	25.00
Cow dung	52.57	52.57	52.57
Urea	23.10	23.10	23.10
TSP	52.80	52.80	52.80
Operational cost	65.00 ± 1.15	122.00 ± 1.15	181.50 ± 0.58
Total expenditures (Tk/pond)	968.47 ± 1.15 ^c	1,818.72 ± 1.15 ^b	2,705.39 ± 2.89 ^a
Income			
Gross return (Tk/pond)	972.50 ± 5.77 ^c	1,845.50 ± 1.15 ^b	3,941.50 ± 1.15 ^a
Net return (Tk/pond)	4.03 ± 0.58 ^c	26.78 ± 1.15 ^b	1,236.11 ± 1.15 ^a
Net return (Tk/ha)	201.50 ± 0.58 ^c	1,339.00 ± 2.31 ^b	61,805.50 ± 57.74 ^a
BCR (Benefit Cost Ratio)	1.00 ± 0.01	1.01 ± 0.00	1.46 ± 0.00

Mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different ($p<0.05$)

Sale price of tilapia = Taka 50.00/ kg.

Leasing cost for pond is not included.

Operational cost is considered as 7.5% of total cost (ADCP, 1983).

DISCUSSION

The rearing experiment of GIFT tilapia was conducted during August to October when the environmental temperature was very suitable for aquaculture. The surface water temperature of the ponds ranged from 26.13 to 33.60°C. Hossain *et al.* (2005) reported that conditions are highly suited for raising tilapia between April and October when temperature varies from 25°C to 35°C.

DoF (1996) reported that the range of suitable dissolved oxygen for fish culture would be 5–8 mg/l. In the present study dissolved oxygen concentration ranged from 4.03 to 6.62 mg/l. DO content in the present study was slightly lower. This might be due to the measurement of DO at morning (10.00 am). However, this low DO level might have not any negative effect since tilapia has high tolerance to environmental fluctuation. The pH of water in the experimental ponds ranged between 7.61 ± 0.19 and 7.72 ± 0.17 which is considered suitable as suggested by some other authors (Michael, 1969; DoF, 1996).

Transparency ranged from 24.33 to 44.33 cm and the mean values were 27.71, 29.29 and 37.29 cm in T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively. The variation in transparency was due to plankton production and rain induced turbidity. Similar transparency values were recorded by Latif *et al.* (1986); Wahab *et al.* (1995).

The mean chlorophyll-*a* values recorded in the present study were 130.67, 158.67 and 249.98 µg/l in T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively. The highest chlorophyll-*a* values was found in T₃ that might be due to higher concentration of phytoplankton in the ponds. The lower mean value was observed in T₁ that might be due to the grazing pressure of fish in natural food because supplemental feed was not given in that treatment.

In the present study, mean weight gain of GIFT tilapia were 44.62 g, 73.09 g and 128.59 g and percent weight gain were 960.02, 1377.24 and 2766.92% in T₁, T₂, T₃ respectively. From the study, it is found that mean weight gain and percent weight gain were significantly higher in T₃ than T₂ and T₁. This is due to utilization of natural foods in the ponds as well as the use of supplementary pelleted feed. Lower value of mean weight gain and percent weight gain in T₁ is due to no use of any supplementary feed. Hussain *et al.* (2000) reported a weight gain of about 128g for GIFT strain in on-farm ponds for a culture period of 6 months fed rice bran at 5-6% of their body weight. Considering the 3 months culture period in the present study compared to 6 months period by Hussain *et al.* (2000), tilapia in the present study performed better. This difference in the growth might be related to feed & fertilizer supplied in the ponds and the time/ season of the experiment. Hussain *et al.* (2000) conducted the experiment from January to May which covered part of the winter season when growth of fish is generally slow.

In the present study SGR value of GIFT tilapia was 1.11, 1.27 and 1.58% in T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively. The highest SGR value in T₃ is due to high protein and energy content of the diet compared to other treatments. Green (1992) obtained a higher SGR value of 2.03 with tilapia in Honduras using feed and fertilizer. Hossain *et al.* (2004) also observed SGR values of GIFT tilapia ranged from 2.04 to 2.30 fed on formulated diet. The lower SGR values of GIFT tilapia (*O. niloticus*) in the present study might be due to the protein and energy content of the diet and natural productivity of the ponds.

The FCR values found in T₂ and T₃ were 1.71 and 1.36 respectively. Hossain (2007) found FCR value of GIFT tilapia fed rice bran and commercial tilapia feed as 2.07 and 1.84. The variation in FCR values might be due to difference in quality of food given.

The survival rate of the fishes were high and ranged between 96.66 and 98.06%. Similar higher survival of GIFT tilapia was recorded by Hossain *et al.* (2004). The higher survival of fish in the present study might be related to the relatively larger size of fingerlings (4.86 g) stocked and care during the rearing period.

The total production of fishes was 972.5, 1845.50 and 3941.50 kg/ha in T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively, and varied significantly among the treatments. The highest production found in T₃ might be due to high protein quality supplementary-pelleted feed and large quantity of natural food available in the pond during the study period, and this production is more or less similar to the findings of Hossain *et al.* (2004) and Hossain (2007). Boyd (1982) reported that feeding plus fertilization can increase fish production over that possible with fertilization alone. Gupta *et al.* (1991) reported tilapia production of 3554.76 kg/ha in treatment receiving supplementary feed than 1510.71 kg/ha in fertilized ponds for 6 months. Green *et al.* (2002) reported yields ranged from 1274 to 2929 kg/ha/145 days. The difference in total production found by different authors might be due to the variation in productivity of the ponds, variation of rearing season and cultural periods along with other factors.

The net return and benefit cost ratio was higher in T₃ where pellet feed was used. Possibly the feed (rice bran + oil cake) given in T₂ could not fulfil the nutritional requirement of the fishes in that treatment as a result the fish production, net income and benefit cost ratio was lower. As no food was given in T₁ so the production was very low in that treatment.

The present research findings revealed that pellet feed having nutritional requirements is good for getting high production and net income.

REFERENCES

- ADCP, 1983. Fish feeds and feeding in developing countries. Aquaculture Development and Co-ordination Programme. ADCP/ REP/ 83/ 18. FAO, pp. 97.
- APHA, AWWA and WPCF. 1985. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (16th ed.). American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Pollution Control Federation, Washington DC, USA, pp. 1268.
- Balarin, J. D. and Haller, R. D. 1982. The intensive culture of tilapia in tanks, raceways and cages. In: *Recent Advances in Aquaculture*, J. F. Muir and J. J. Roberts (eds.). Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, pp. 265-365.
- Boyd, C. E. 1982. *Water quality Management for pond fish culture*. Elsevier Science Publisher, The Netherlands, pp. 318.
- DOF, 1996. Matsha Pakkah Shankalan. Directorate of Fisheries, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh. pp. 81.
- Eknath, A. E. 1992. Growth capacity of Tilapia in intensive culture. *Bamidgheh*, 32(3): 57-65.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research* (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 680.

- Green, B.W. 1992. Substitution of organic manure for pelleted feed in tilapia production. *Aquaculture*, 101(3-4): 213-222.
- Green, B. W., Nagdy, E. L. and Hebicha, H. 2002. Evaluation of Nile tilapia pond management strategies in Egypt. *Aquacult. Res.*, 33(13): 1037-1048.
- Gupta, M. V., Ahmed, M. M., Bimbao, A. and Lightfoot, C. 1992. Socio- economic impact and farmers assessment of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) culture in Bangladesh. ICLARM Technical Report No. 35, International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manila, Philippines, pp. 50.
- Gupta, M. V., Akhteruzzaman, M., Kohinoor, A. H. M. and Shah, M. S. 1991. Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) culture under different feeding and fertilization regimes, p. 500-504. *In*: R. S. V. Pullin, J. Lazard, M. Legendre, J. B. Amon Kothias, and D. Pauly (eds.). The Third International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture. ICLARM Conf. Proc. 41, pp. 575.
- Hossain, M. A., Hossain, A. A. and Sultana, N. 2005. Over-wintering growth of normal and monosex GIFT Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* in Bangladesh fed on formulated diet. *J. Aqua. Trop.*, 20(4): 291-306.
- Hossain, M. S. 2007. *Evaluation of rice bran and wheat bran as a supplemental feed compared to a commercial feed for the monoculture of GIFT tilapia (O. niloticus) in ponds*. M. S. Thesis, Department of Aquaculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
- Hossain, M. A., Roy, R., Rahmatullah, S. M. and Kohinoor, A. H. M. 2004. Effect of stocking density on the growth and survival of GIFT tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed on formulated diet. *J. Agric. Rural Dev.*, 2(1): 127-133.
- Hussain, M. G., Rahman, M. A., Akhteruzzaman, M. and Kohinoor, A. H. M. 1989. Study on the production of *Oreochromis niloticus* under semi intensive system in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Fish.*, 12(1): 59-65.
- Hussain, M. G., Kohinoor, A. H. M., Islam, M. S., Mahata, S. C., Ali, M. Z., Tanu, M. B., Hossain, M. A. and Mazid, M. A. (2000). Genetic evaluation of GIFT and existing strains of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* L., under on-station and on-farm conditions in Bangladesh. *Asian Fish. Sci.*, 13: 117-126.
- Latif, M. A., Ali, M. M. and Islam, M. A. 1986. A comparative physico-chemical study of a well managed fishpond and a derelict pond. *Bangladesh J. Aquacult.*, 6-7(1): 71-78.
- Michael, R. G. 1969. Seasonal trends in physico-chemical factors and plankton of a fresh water fish pond and their role in fish culture. *Hydrobiologia*, 33(1): 144-160.
- Shang, Y. C. and Costa-Pierce, B. A. 1983. Integrated agriculture-aquaculture farming system – some economic aspects. *J. World Maricult. Soc.*, 14: 523-530.
- Sultana, R., Kohinoor, A. H. M., Islam, M. S., Mazid, M. A. and Hussain, M. G. 1997. Comparative studies on growth of fry of GIFT and existing strain of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Bangladesh J. Fish. Res.*, 1(1): 25-30.
- Wahab, M. A., Islam, M. T., Ahmed, Z. F., Hoq, M. S., Haque, M. A. and Biswas, B. K. 1995. Effect of frequency of fertilization on the pond ecology and growth of fishes. *BAU Res. Prog.*, 9: 410-419.