



## Integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and urea super granules for improving yield and protein content of aromatic rice (cv. BRRI dhan50)

T Biswas, SK Paul\*, MAR Sarkar, SK Sarkar

Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

### Abstract

An experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh during the period from December 2013 to May 2014 to evaluate the integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG for improving the growth, yield and protein content of aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The experiment comprised 14 treatments viz. control (no manure and no fertilizer), recommended dose of prilled urea (115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), urea super granules (USG) 1.8 g (55 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), USG 2.7 g (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), poultry manure (PM) 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5t ha<sup>-1</sup>, recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50% of recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50% of recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Morphological characteristics, yield contributing characters and yield of aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50) were significantly influenced by integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG. USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest plant height, number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> and total dry matter production at all sampling dates while their corresponding lowest values were recorded in control. The highest yield contributing characters viz. number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (13.08), grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (124.26g) and 1000-grain weight (21.41g) were recorded in USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest values were recorded in control. The highest grain yield (5.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and protein content (7.49%) were obtained at USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> which was as good as recommended dose of prilled urea (115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, recommended dose of prilled urea (115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> while the lowest one (2.00 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained in control plots. The integrated use of poultry manure (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) with USG 1.8 g (55 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) appeared as the promising practice because of reducing considerable amount of prilled urea or USG in aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50) cultivation in terms of grain yield and grain protein content.

**Key words:** Aromatic *Boro* rice, prilled urea, USG, poultry manure, growth, yield, protein content

Progressive Agriculturists. All rights reserve

\*Corresponding Author: [skpau1@gmail.com](mailto:skpau1@gmail.com)

### Introduction

There are two types of rice varieties (coarse non-aromatic and fine aromatic) grown in Bangladesh. Aromatic rice is rated best in quality and fetch much higher market price than non-aromatic rice. It is very popular in Asia and has recently gained wider acceptance in the USA, Europe, the Middle East and Australia because of their good flavour and texture. It plays a vital role in international rice trading. In

Bangladesh, a number of aromatic fine rice cultivars are grown viz. Chinisagar, Badshabhog, Kataribhog, Kalizira, Tulsimla, Dulabhog, Basmati, Banglamoti (BRRI dhan50), BRRI dhan34, BRRI dhan37 and BRRI dhan38 (Sarkar *et al.*, 2014). Most of the scented rice varieties in Bangladesh are of traditional type, photoperiod sensitive, and cultivated during the *Aman* season. Majority of these indigenous aromatic

rice cultivars are low yielding but its higher price and low cost of cultivation generate higher profit margins compared to other varieties. Aroma development in rice grain is influenced by both genetic and environmental factors. The biochemical basis of aroma was identified as 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline (Tanchotikul and Hsieh, 1991). The grain quality of fine rice genotypes is governed not only by the genotypic make up but also affected by applied nitrogen level (Mannan *et al.*, 2009).

Application of excess inorganic fertilizer leads to higher pest and disease attacks and also destroys the soil microorganisms. Hence, sustainable farming looks for making the best use of natural resources without damaging the environment and indigenous agricultural knowledge is a vital part of the process of making agriculture sustainable (Ramprasad *et al.*, 2009). The application of poultry manure to soil is considered as a good management practice in any agricultural production system because of the stimulation of soil microbial growth and activity, subsequent mineralization of plant nutrients, and increased soil fertility and quality (Arancon *et al.*, 2006). Roy *et al.* (2015) reported that inorganic fertilizers along with manure greatly influence the yield contributing characters and yield of *Boro* rice. Application of poultry manure along with inorganic fertilizers increased grain yield, protein content and aroma in aromatic fine rice (Sarkar *et al.*, 2014). Hasan *et al.* (2004) also stated that BRRI dhan34 fertilized with 75% NPKS + poultry manure at 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> produced the highest grain yield than Kalizira. Though rice is one of the most important crops of the world, enough information regarding the varieties of fine rice and their response to poultry manure and nitrogen are scarce in the world literature (Islam *et al.*, 2012). Judicious nutrient management increases crop yield and at the same time reduces fertilization cost. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to observe the effect of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG on the growth, yield and grain protein content of aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50).

### **Materials and methods**

A field experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural

University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. The land was medium high with sandy loam texture having pH 6.4. BRRI dhan50, a high yielding aromatic rice variety of *Boro* rice, developed by the Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, was used as the test crop. The experiment comprised fourteen treatments viz. Control (no manure and no fertilizer), recommended dose of prilled urea (115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), urea super granules (USG) 1.8 g (55 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), USG 2.7 g (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), poultry manure (PM) 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50% recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50% of recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The size of unit plot was 4.0 m × 2.5 m. The distances between blocks and plots were 1 m and 75 cm, respectively. The land was fertilized as per treatment specification. At the time of final land preparation, each unit plot was fertilized with combined level of poultry manure in the respective plots according to treatment. The amount of phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and zinc required for total land was calculated on hectare basis and applied in the form of triple superphosphate (TSP), muriate of potash (MoP), gypsum and zinc sulphate, respectively. Full dose of TSP, MoP, gypsum and zinc sulphate were applied at final land preparation. Urea was applied in three equal splits as top dressing at 10, 30 and 50 days of transplanting (DAT). As per experimental specification USG were placed at 8 cm depth at 15 DAT in the center of our hills in every alternate rows according to treatment specification. Five hills (excluding border hills) from each plot were randomly selected, uprooted and properly tagged before harvesting for recording necessary data on yield contributing characters. The harvested crop of each plot was bundled separately, tagged properly and brought to the clean threshing floor. The bundles were dried in open sunshine, threshed and then seeds were cleaned. The grain and straw weights for each plot were recorded after proper sun drying. The grain yield was adjusted

to 14% moisture content. Grain and straw yield per plot were converted to ton per hectare. Grain protein content (%) was estimated by Micro-Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 1984) at the Agri-Varsity Humboldt Soil Testing Laboratory of Soil Science Department, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. The collected data were analyzed statistically following the ANOVA technique and the mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) using a statistical computer package program MSTAT-C.

## Results and discussion

### Growth parameters

Integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and urea super granules (USG) significantly influenced plant height, number of tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  and total dry matter production at all sampling dates. Plant height increased progressively with the advancement of time and growth stages. At 80 DAT, the tallest plant (72.00cm) was obtained in T<sub>14</sub> (USG

2.7 g + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), which was statistically identical with T<sub>8</sub> (recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) and T<sub>12</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), and the shortest one (58.00 cm) was obtained in T<sub>1</sub> (control) (Table 1). Poultry manure incorporation with chemical fertilizer increased plant height (Islam et al., 2014). The author reported that 50% BRRI recommended chemical fertilizers + poultry manure 2.5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  produced the tallest plants compared to both application of manures and recommended fertilizers. Number of tillers gradually increased in course of time and reached maximum at 65 DAT and thereafter declined. The highest number of tillers (3.50, 6.66, 17.08, 25.16 and 22.25 at 20, 35, 50, 65 and 80 DAT, respectively) was recorded in T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ). At 80 DAT, the highest number of tillers (22.25) was obtained in T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) and the lowest one (8.41) was obtained in T<sub>1</sub> (control) treatment (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Effect of integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG on plant height (cm) of aromatic Boro rice (cv. BRRI dhan50) at different days after transplanting

Treatment	Plant height(cm)				
	Days after transplanting (DAT)				
	20	35	50	65	80
T <sub>1</sub>	14.91 <sup>d</sup>	21.91 <sup>d</sup>	30.33 <sup>c</sup>	41.58 <sup>f</sup>	58.00 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	18.66 <sup>abc</sup>	24.41 <sup>bcd</sup>	34.41 <sup>bc</sup>	49.83 <sup>abc</sup>	66.33 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	17.75 <sup>bc</sup>	23.08 <sup>cd</sup>	36.25 <sup>b</sup>	47.25 <sup>bcd</sup>	61.50 <sup>cdef</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	18.83 <sup>ab</sup>	24.66 <sup>abcd</sup>	37.41 <sup>ab</sup>	49.41 <sup>abcd</sup>	67.08 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	16.66 <sup>cd</sup>	25.56 <sup>abc</sup>	35.66 <sup>bc</sup>	45.16 <sup>def</sup>	61.00 <sup>def</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	18.75 <sup>abc</sup>	24.91 <sup>abc</sup>	34.16 <sup>bc</sup>	44.91 <sup>ef</sup>	60.16 <sup>ef</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	18.58 <sup>abc</sup>	24.50 <sup>bcd</sup>	35.33 <sup>bc</sup>	50.50 <sup>abc</sup>	65.75 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	19.62 <sup>ab</sup>	24.83 <sup>abcd</sup>	35.66 <sup>bc</sup>	51.91 <sup>a</sup>	67.83 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	17.78 <sup>bc</sup>	27.58 <sup>a</sup>	37.41 <sup>ab</sup>	50.33 <sup>abc</sup>	63.58 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	17.91 <sup>abc</sup>	24.58 <sup>bcd</sup>	38.16 <sup>ab</sup>	49.08 <sup>abcde</sup>	64.66 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	17.66 <sup>bc</sup>	24.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	36.08 <sup>b</sup>	46.58 <sup>cde</sup>	62.41 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>12</sub>	19.08 <sup>ab</sup>	23.25 <sup>cd</sup>	36.33 <sup>b</sup>	51.50 <sup>ab</sup>	67.91 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>13</sub>	18.91 <sup>ab</sup>	25.83 <sup>abc</sup>	38.91 <sup>ab</sup>	49.16 <sup>abcde</sup>	66.00 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>14</sub>	20.00 <sup>a</sup>	27.08 <sup>ab</sup>	42.75 <sup>a</sup>	52.41 <sup>a</sup>	72.00 <sup>a</sup>
CV (%)	6.94	7.16	8.97	5.42	5.28
Level of sig.	**	*	*	**	**

In a column, figures with same letter(s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly as per DMRT. \*\* = Significant at 1% level of probability; NS = Non-significant, T<sub>1</sub> (Control), T<sub>2</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea, 115 kg N  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>3</sub> (USG 1.8 g), T<sub>4</sub> (USG 2.7 g), T<sub>5</sub> (Poultry manure 2.5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>6</sub> (Poultry manure 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>7</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + Poultry manure 2.5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>8</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>9</sub> (50% Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>10</sub> (50% Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>11</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 2.5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>12</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>13</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ).

**Table 2.** Effect of integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG on number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> of aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50) at different days after transplanting

Treatments	Number of tillers hill <sup>-1</sup>				
	Days after transplanting (DAT)				
	20	35	50	65	80
T <sub>1</sub>	2.25 <sup>e</sup>	3.00 <sup>d</sup>	7.58 <sup>d</sup>	9.25 <sup>d</sup>	8.41 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	2.75 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.58 <sup>bcd</sup>	11.75 <sup>bcd</sup>	23.08 <sup>ab</sup>	19.08 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	2.91 <sup>abcd</sup>	5.00 <sup>abc</sup>	13.25 <sup>abc</sup>	21.25 <sup>abc</sup>	18.33 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	3.00 <sup>abc</sup>	4.91 <sup>abc</sup>	12.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	22.66 <sup>ab</sup>	20.16 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	2.33 <sup>de</sup>	3.91 <sup>cd</sup>	9.66 <sup>cd</sup>	17.33 <sup>bc</sup>	14.83 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	2.83 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.41 <sup>bcd</sup>	12.58 <sup>abc</sup>	15.25 <sup>cd</sup>	16.00 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	2.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.41 <sup>bcd</sup>	11.50 <sup>bcd</sup>	23.66 <sup>ab</sup>	20.08 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	3.16 <sup>ab</sup>	5.66 <sup>abc</sup>	13.58 <sup>abc</sup>	22.33 <sup>abc</sup>	21.16 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	2.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.91 <sup>abc</sup>	11.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	17.75 <sup>bc</sup>	16.50 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	2.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.50 <sup>bcd</sup>	11.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	21.66 <sup>abc</sup>	17.58 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	2.41 <sup>cde</sup>	4.25 <sup>bcd</sup>	10.58 <sup>cd</sup>	18.50 <sup>abc</sup>	16.16 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>12</sub>	2.91 <sup>abcd</sup>	5.16 <sup>abc</sup>	13.41 <sup>abc</sup>	20.16 <sup>abc</sup>	19.66 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>13</sub>	3.25 <sup>ab</sup>	5.91 <sup>ab</sup>	15.25 <sup>ab</sup>	23.25 <sup>ab</sup>	20.37 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>14</sub>	3.50 <sup>a</sup>	6.66 <sup>a</sup>	17.08 <sup>a</sup>	25.16 <sup>a</sup>	22.25 <sup>a</sup>
CV (%)	13.08	21.92	22.74	21.16	18.24
Level of sig.	*	*	*	**	**

In a column, figures with same letter(s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly as per DMRT. \*\* =Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant, T<sub>1</sub> (Control), T<sub>2</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea, 115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (USG 1.8 g), T<sub>4</sub> (USG 2.7 g), T<sub>5</sub> (Poultry manure 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + Poultry manure 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (50% Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>10</sub> (50% Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>11</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>12</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>13</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The above findings are in agreement with that of Hossain (2012) and Islam *et al.* (2014). The maximum dry matter production (0.126, 0.125, 3.39, 14.65 and 32.52 g hill<sup>-1</sup> at 20, 35, 50, 65 and 80 DAT, respectively) was recorded in the plot where crop was fertilized with T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) while T<sub>1</sub> (control) produced the lowest dry matter (Table 3). Integrated effect of manures and inorganic fertilizers influenced plant growth in terms of plant height and tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> resulting in higher dry matter accumulation than sole application of chemical fertilizers or manures. Fertilizer applied in conjunction with organic manure produced similar or even the highest dry matter and N uptake than sole inorganic sources. Similar results were also reported by Islam *et al.* (2014) in case of transplant *Aman* rice.

#### **Plant characters, yield components, yield and grain protein content**

Plant characters, yield components and yield were significantly influenced by integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG (Table 4). The

tallest plant (82.25 cm) and the highest number of total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (15.66) were obtained in T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment, which was statistically identical with T<sub>8</sub> (recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment and the shortest one (68.50 cm) was found in T<sub>1</sub> (control) treatment. The combined use of inorganic fertilizers and poultry manure produced the tallest plant and higher number total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). The results are in agreement with that of Azim *et al.* (1999) and Islam *et al.* (2014). The author reported that plant height increased due to combined application of poultry manure, cow dung and inorganic fertilizers. Sarkar *et al.* (2014) found that organic and chemical fertilizers increased the number of total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>. The highest number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (13.08) and the highest number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (124.26) were observed in T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), which were statistically identical with T<sub>8</sub> (recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment and the lowest values were recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (control) treatment (Table 4). Similar results also reported by Islam *et al.* (2015) and Kant and Kumar (1994). The author

found that number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> increased with combined application of poultry manure and inorganic fertilizers. These findings are in agreement with that of Thakur (1991) who found that placement of nitrogen at 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> through USG produced higher number of panicles unit<sup>-1</sup> area, panicle weight, number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weight. The longest panicle (21.36 cm) and sterile spikelets panicle<sup>-1</sup> (22.03) was recorded in T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment which was statistically identical with T<sub>13</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the shortest one (16.77) was found in T<sub>1</sub> (control), which was statistically identical with T<sub>3</sub> (USG 1.8 g)

treatments (Table 4). Similar results were also reported by Islam et al. (2014). Sarkar et al. (2014) reported the similar trend in case of aromatic *Aman* rice. The highest 1000-grain weight (21.41 g) was found in T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment, which was statistically identical with T<sub>7</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments and the lowest one was found (17.65 g) in T<sub>1</sub> (control) (Table 4). Islam et al. (2015) reported similar results due to combined application of poultry manure and nitrogenous fertilizer.

**Table 3.** Effect of integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG on total dry matter of aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50) at different days after transplanting

Treatment	Total dry matter(g)				
	Days after transplanting (DAT)				
	20	35	50	65	80
T <sub>1</sub>	0.07 <sup>d</sup>	0.072 <sup>c</sup>	2.00 <sup>l</sup>	7.69 <sup>c</sup>	13.84 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	0.09 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.082 <sup>cde</sup>	2.78 <sup>abcdef</sup>	12.43 <sup>abc</sup>	15.54 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	0.08 <sup>cd</sup>	0.076 <sup>de</sup>	3.37 <sup>ab</sup>	12.27 <sup>abc</sup>	19.20 <sup>cde</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	0.11 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.106 <sup>abcd</sup>	2.36 <sup>cdef</sup>	13.12 <sup>abc</sup>	28.12 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	0.07 <sup>d</sup>	0.074 <sup>c</sup>	2.15 <sup>ef</sup>	10.05 <sup>bc</sup>	17.83 <sup>cde</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	0.09 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.090 <sup>bcd</sup>	2.13 <sup>ef</sup>	9.55 <sup>c</sup>	19.80 <sup>cde</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	0.09 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.081 <sup>cde</sup>	2.92 <sup>abcdef</sup>	11.68 <sup>abc</sup>	25.52 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	0.15 <sup>abc</sup>	0.113 <sup>abc</sup>	2.22 <sup>cdef</sup>	11.40 <sup>abc</sup>	22.95 <sup>abcd</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	0.08 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.079 <sup>de</sup>	3.15 <sup>abc</sup>	9.43 <sup>c</sup>	21.60 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	0.08 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.078 <sup>de</sup>	2.43 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.55 <sup>c</sup>	22.64 <sup>abcde</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	0.08 <sup>cd</sup>	0.076 <sup>cde</sup>	2.20 <sup>def</sup>	9.44 <sup>c</sup>	20.63 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>12</sub>	0.10 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.100 <sup>abcde</sup>	3.11 <sup>abcd</sup>	14.58 <sup>ab</sup>	22.93 <sup>abcde</sup>
T <sub>13</sub>	0.11 <sup>ab</sup>	0.123 <sup>ab</sup>	3.02 <sup>abcde</sup>	14.79 <sup>ab</sup>	30.41 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>14</sub>	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.125 <sup>a</sup>	3.39 <sup>a</sup>	14.65 <sup>a</sup>	32.52 <sup>a</sup>
CV (%)	21.538	21.055	21.13	24.66	28.03
Level of sig.	*	*	*	*	*

In a column, figures with same letter(s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly as per DMRT, \*\* =Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant, T<sub>1</sub> (Control), T<sub>2</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea, 115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (USG 1.8 g), T<sub>4</sub> (USG 2.7 g), T<sub>5</sub> (Poultry manure 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + Poultry manure 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (50% Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>10</sub> (50% Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>11</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>12</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>13</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Grain yield varied from 2.00 t ha<sup>-1</sup> to 5.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest grain yield (5.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment, which was statistically identical with T<sub>4</sub> (USG 2.7 g), T<sub>8</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>12</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>13</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments and the lowest one (2.00 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from T<sub>1</sub> (control) (Table 4). Due

to application of recommended dose of USG with poultry manure enhanced yield contributing characters viz. number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weight thus subsequently increased grain yield. Saha (2012) reported similar results due to combined application of poultry manure and nitrogenous fertilizer in case of transplant *Aman* rice. Islam et al. (2015) also

found that 50% BRRRI recommended inorganic fertilizer + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> produced the highest grain yield in transplant *Aman* rice (cv. BRRRI dhan49). Bony *et al.* (2015) also reported that USG @ 3.6 g / 4 hills in *Boro* rice increased yield contributing characters and yield significantly. The highest straw yield (8.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment which was statistically identical with T<sub>13</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest one (2.66 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from T<sub>1</sub>

(control) treatment. Increasing plant height and more number of total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> increased straw yield. Das (2011) also reported similar results due to combined application of poultry manure and nitrogenous fertilizer in case of *Boro* rice. The highest biological yield (13.66 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the highest harvest index (47.61%) were recorded in T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment and the lowest values were recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (control) treatment.

**Table 4.** Effect of integrated use of poultry manure with prilled urea and USG on the yield and yield contributing characters of aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRRI dhan50)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of total tillers hill <sup>-1</sup>	Number of effective tillers hill <sup>-1</sup>	Number of non-effective tillers hill <sup>-1</sup>	Panicle length (cm)	Grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Sterile spikelets panicle <sup>-1</sup>	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest Index (%)	Grain protein content (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	68.50 <sup>g</sup>	10.33 <sup>e</sup>	8.16 <sup>c</sup>	2.16	16.77 <sup>f</sup>	96.86 <sup>c</sup>	16.12 <sup>d</sup>	17.65 <sup>f</sup>	2.00 <sup>e</sup>	2.66 <sup>f</sup>	4.16 <sup>f</sup>	38.91 <sup>c</sup>	4.29 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	76.08 <sup>cdef</sup>	10.58 <sup>de</sup>	8.50 <sup>de</sup>	2.08	18.04 <sup>def</sup>	116.59 <sup>abcd</sup>	18.40 <sup>cd</sup>	18.83 <sup>e</sup>	3.83 <sup>bcd</sup>	5.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.50 <sup>bcd</sup>	40.12 <sup>de</sup>	4.62 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	72.08 <sup>fg</sup>	11.08 <sup>de</sup>	9.41 <sup>cde</sup>	1.66	17.70 <sup>ef</sup>	104.65 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.62 <sup>abc</sup>	19.70 <sup>cde</sup>	3.91 <sup>abcd</sup>	4.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.58 <sup>bcd</sup>	45.96 <sup>abc</sup>	4.33 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	75.58 <sup>def</sup>	12.50 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.66 <sup>cde</sup>	2.83	18.69 <sup>cde</sup>	118.22 <sup>abcd</sup>	21.86 <sup>ab</sup>	20.41 <sup>abcd</sup>	4.66 <sup>ab</sup>	6.16 <sup>bc</sup>	10.83 <sup>abc</sup>	43.29 <sup>abcde</sup>	5.02 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	77.91 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.33 <sup>de</sup>	9.33 <sup>cde</sup>	2.00	19.00 <sup>bde</sup>	102.10 <sup>de</sup>	20.64 <sup>abc</sup>	20.44 <sup>abcd</sup>	2.50 <sup>de</sup>	3.16 <sup>ef</sup>	5.66 <sup>ef</sup>	44.18 <sup>abcd</sup>	4.70 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	76.58 <sup>cdef</sup>	11.58 <sup>cde</sup>	10.00 <sup>cde</sup>	1.58	17.95 <sup>def</sup>	117.65 <sup>abcd</sup>	18.65 <sup>cd</sup>	19.55 <sup>de</sup>	3.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.00 <sup>def</sup>	7.66 <sup>cde</sup>	47.60 <sup>a</sup>	5.12 <sup>cde</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	79.83 <sup>abcde</sup>	11.66 <sup>cde</sup>	9.83 <sup>cde</sup>	1.83	18.33 <sup>de</sup>	116.40 <sup>abcd</sup>	18.75 <sup>cd</sup>	20.74 <sup>ab</sup>	4.50 <sup>abc</sup>	5.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	46.62 <sup>abc</sup>	4.90 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	82.08 <sup>ab</sup>	14.16 <sup>ab</sup>	11.91 <sup>ab</sup>	2.25	20.00 <sup>abc</sup>	121.58 <sup>a</sup>	18.45 <sup>cd</sup>	20.74 <sup>ab</sup>	4.91 <sup>ab</sup>	6.41 <sup>abc</sup>	11.33 <sup>ab</sup>	43.70 <sup>abcde</sup>	6.66 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	75.16 <sup>ef</sup>	11.08 <sup>de</sup>	9.25 <sup>cde</sup>	1.83	19.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	111.81 <sup>abcde</sup>	18.39 <sup>cd</sup>	20.44 <sup>abcd</sup>	3.83 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.33 <sup>cdef</sup>	8.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	47.05 <sup>ab</sup>	5.78 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	77.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	11.50 <sup>cde</sup>	9.50 <sup>cde</sup>	2.00	18.22 <sup>def</sup>	115.77 <sup>abcd</sup>	19.13 <sup>bc</sup>	19.45 <sup>de</sup>	3.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	5.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.66 <sup>bcd</sup>	41.81 <sup>cde</sup>	6.41 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	80.50 <sup>abcd</sup>	11.91 <sup>cde</sup>	9.91 <sup>cde</sup>	2.00	18.90 <sup>bde</sup>	103.96 <sup>cde</sup>	20.22 <sup>abc</sup>	20.10 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.08 <sup>cde</sup>	3.83 <sup>def</sup>	6.91 <sup>def</sup>	44.50 <sup>abcd</sup>	6.50 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>12</sub>	75.50 <sup>def</sup>	12.08 <sup>bcd</sup>	10.16 <sup>bc</sup>	1.91	18.33 <sup>de</sup>	120.96 <sup>ab</sup>	19.21 <sup>bc</sup>	20.63 <sup>abc</sup>	4.58 <sup>ab</sup>	5.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.75 <sup>bcd</sup>	47.34 <sup>a</sup>	6.55 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>13</sub>	80.58 <sup>abc</sup>	13.58 <sup>abc</sup>	11.08 <sup>bc</sup>	2.50	20.36 <sup>ab</sup>	119.56 <sup>abc</sup>	18.19 <sup>cd</sup>	19.54 <sup>de</sup>	4.83 <sup>ab</sup>	6.66 <sup>ab</sup>	11.50 <sup>ab</sup>	42.45 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.24 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>14</sub>	82.25 <sup>a</sup>	15.66 <sup>a</sup>	13.08 <sup>a</sup>	2.58	21.36 <sup>a</sup>	124.26 <sup>a</sup>	22.03 <sup>a</sup>	21.41 <sup>a</sup>	5.33 <sup>a</sup>	8.33 <sup>a</sup>	13.66 <sup>a</sup>	47.61 <sup>a</sup>	7.49 <sup>a</sup>
CV (%)	3.87	10.26	11.08		4.89	8.76	8.65	3.02	21.52	24.81	22.17	6.52	13.57
Level of sig.	**	**	**	NS	**	*	*	**	**	**	**	*	**

In a column, figures with same letter(s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly as per DMRT, \*\* =Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant, T<sub>1</sub> (Control), T<sub>2</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea, 115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (USG 1.8 g), T<sub>4</sub> (USG 2.7 g), T<sub>5</sub> (Poultry manure 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + Poultry manure 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub> (Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (50% of Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>10</sub> (50% of Recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>11</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>12</sub> (USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>13</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>14</sub> (USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Biological yield exhibited similar behaviour as that of grain yield due to different nutrient management (Table 4). Saha (2012) reported similar results due to combined application of poultry manure and nitrogenous fertilizer in case of transplant *Aman* rice. Islam *et al.* (2015) reported that combined application of poultry manure and nitrogenous fertilizer increased grain yield and harvest index in transplant *Aman* rice. Integrated use of poultry manure with USG had significant influence on grain protein content. Grain protein content ranged from

4.29 to 7.49% due to application of different doses of fertilizers and manures. The highest grain protein content (7.49%) was found in USG 2.7 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was statistically identical with the application of USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50% of recommended dose of prilled urea + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest grain protein content (4.29%) was recorded in control. This result indicated that combined application of manures with inorganic

fertilizers enhanced grain protein content compared to sole application of inorganic fertilizers in aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50). Sarkar et al. (2014) reported similar increasing trend of grain protein content of aromatic fine rice in *Aman* season. The percentage of grain protein increased with the increase of nitrogen rates was reported elsewhere (Ray et al., 2015, Chandel et al. 2010 and Mannan et al., 2009).

### Conclusion

In this study, USG 2.7 g (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) + poultry manure (PM) 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> performed best in respect of growth, grain yield and grain protein content of aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50) which was as good as recommended dose of prilled urea (115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 2.7 g + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, USG 1.8 g (55 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, recommended dose of prilled urea (115 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PM 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. So, it can be concluded that aromatic *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan50) may be cultivated with USG 1.8 g (55 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for appreciable grain yield and grain protein content because of reducing considerable amount of prilled urea or USG in combination with PM 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. This practice will in turn be helpful for soil health and environment.

### References

Arancon NQ, Edwards CA, Bierman P (2006). Influences of vermicomposts on field strawberries: Part 2. Effects on soil microbiological and chemical properties. *Bioresour. Technol.* 97: 831-840.

AOAC (1984). *Official Methods of Analysis*. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. Washington, D. C. pp. 121-135.

Azim SMA, Rahman MM, Islam MR, Hoque MA (1999). Effect of sulphur, zinc and boron supplied from manures and fertilizers on yield and nutrient uptake by rice. *Progress. Agril.* 10 (1 & 2): 87-90.

Bony MH, Paul SK, Kader MA, Sarkar MAR (2015). Yield performance of *Boro* rice in response to USG. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Uni.* 13 (1): 13-17.

Chandel G, Banerjee S, See S, Meena R, Sharma SJ and Verulkar SB (2010). Effects of different

nitrogen fertilizer levels and native soil properties on rice grain Fe, Zn and protein contents, *Rice Sci.* 17 (3):213-227.

Das KRB (2011). Effect of poultry manure and nitrogenous fertilizer on the growth and yield of *Boro* rice cv. BRRI dhan45. pp. 42.

Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. Int. Rice Res. Inst., John Wiley and Sons. New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore. pp. 680.

Hasan MK, Sarkar MAR, Hasan AK (2004). Effect of poultry manure based integrated fertilizer management on growth and yield of aromatic rice. *Bangladesh J. Seed Sci. & Tech.* 8(1&2): 97-103.

Hossain S (2012). Effect of cowdung and nitrogen (urea) on the growth, yield and yield contributing characters of aromatic rice cv. BRRI dhan50. M. S. Thesis. Dep. Agron. Bangladesh Agril. Univ. Mymensingh. pp. 21-29.

Islam MS, Rahman F, Hossain ATMS (2012). Effects of NPK Briquette on Rice (*Oryza sativa*) in tidal flooded ecosystem. *The Agriculturists*, 9 (1&2): 37-43.

Islam SMM, Paul SK, Sarkar MAR (2015). Effect of weeding regime and integrated nutrient management on yield contributing characters and yield of BRRI dhan49. *J. Crop and Weed.* 11: 193-197.

Islam SMM, Paul SK, Sarkar MAR, Miah MAM (2014). Growth of transplant *Aman* rice as influenced by weeding regime and integrated nutrient management. *Bangladesh J. Prog. Sci. Tech.* 12(1): 49-54.

Kant S, Kumar R (1994). Comparative study on the effect of four soil amendments on the uptake of Fe, Mn and yield of rice in salt affected soil. *Indian J. Agric. Chem.* 27(283): 59-70.

Mannan MA, Bhuiya MSU, Hossain SMA, Akhand MIM (2009). Influence of nitrogen on grain qualities of fine rice genotypes in *Aman* and *Boro* seasons. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.* 34(2):329-333.

Ramprasad V, Srikanthamurthy HS, Ningappa, Shivakumar, Nagaraju, B., Ningaraju,

- Shashidhara, Doddappa, Vijay AR, Shivanna M, Obanna N, Pandu AC, Rama S, Sundhya M, Veena P and Suma S (2009). A Handbook on Sustainable Agricultural Practices, First edition, Green Foundation, Bangalore.
- Ray S, Sarkar MAR, Paul SK, Islam AKMM Yeasmin S (2015). Variation of growth, yield and protein content of transplant *Aman* rice by three agronomic practices. *Agril. Biol. Sci. J.* 1 (4): 167-176.
- Roy B, Sarkar MAR, Paul SK (2015). Effect of integrated nutrient management in *Boro* rice cultivation. *SAARC J. Agri.* 13 (2): 131-140.
- Saha RP (2012). Effect of poultry manure and inorganic fertilizer on yield and yield components of transplant *Aman* rice. M. S. Thesis, Dep. Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ. Mymensingh. pp. 36-39.
- Sarkar SK, Sarkar MAR, Islam N, Paul SK (2014). Yield and quality of aromatic fine rice as affected by variety and nutrient management. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 12 (2): 279-284.
- Tanchotikul U, Hsieh TCY (1991). An improved method for quantification of 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline a popcorn-like aroma, in aromatic rice by high-resolution gas chromatography/ mass chromatography/selected ion monitoring. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 39: 944-947.
- Thakur RB (1991). Effect of N levels and forms of urea on low land rice under late transplanting. *Indian J. Agron.* 36(2): 281-282.