

Progressive Agriculture Journal homepage:http://www.banglajol.info/index.php/PA



Effects of organic fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato

UK Laily¹, MS Rahman², Z Haque³*, KK Barman⁴, MAH Talukder¹

¹On-Farm Research Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Agricultural Research Station, Alamnagar, Rangpur, Bangladesh; ²Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Dumki, Patuakhali, Bangladesh; ³Center for Environmental and Geographic Information Services, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ⁴Bangladesh Agricultural Development Corporation, Nilphamari, Bangladesh.

Abstract

The experiment was conducted during rabi season 2019-2020 at Agricultural Research Station, On Farm Research Division, Alamnagar, Rangpur to find out the useful effects of organic fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five treatments in three (03) compacted replicate blocks. The treatments included T₁: 100% Recommended Chemical Fertilizer (RCF), T₂: 85% CF + 3 tha⁻¹ organic Fertilizer (OF), T₃: 85% CF + 1 tha⁻¹ OF, T₄: 70% CF + 3 tha⁻¹ OF and T₅: 70% CF + 1 tha⁻¹ OF. The highest yield was observed in T₂ (50.59 t ha⁻¹) due to more number of fruit plant⁻¹& weight of fruit plant⁻¹ and the lowest was in T₅ (35.32 t ha⁻¹). These results may be due the parameters of growth components increased with increasing amount of organic and inorganic fertilizers applied. Combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer treated plots produced higher yield than plots without combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer. The highest gross return (BDT. 607080) was found in T₂ treatment and the lowest gross return (BDT. 423840) was recorded from T₅. The highest gross margin (BDT. 328520 ha⁻¹) was obtained from T₂. The lowest gross margin (Tk. 145280 ha⁻¹) was obtained from T₅. Integrated nutrient management (combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer) is the best option for higher tomato production in Bangladesh.

Key words: Organic fertilizer, soil fertility, chemical fertilizer, tomato

Progressive Agriculturists. All rights reserved

*Corresponding Author: mzhaque81@gmail.com

Introduction

The term "Organic fertilizer" comprises material from animal or plant origin. It covers all soil amendments that add to the pool of soil organic matter, namely organic compounds and carbon (C). Soil organic matter improves the physical properties of the soil by improving its structure and water holding capacity and by preventing nutrient leaching.

Since high temperatures promote the decomposition of organic matter in soils (FAO, 2006), the addition of organic matter to soils is particularly important for maintaining long-term soil fertility. Organic fertilizers usually also provide some measure of N, P and K, as well as varying amounts of micronutrients. Poor soil fertility resulting from low organic matter content is a major production constraint in Bangladesh. In this aspect, farmers were used frequently huge inorganic fertilizers and pesticides in their crop fields, resulting harmful for sound environment (Islam et al., 2015a). Better soil fertility with higher organic matter content is a prerequisite for sustainable crop production, and organic manure can play a role in increasing soil fertility and crop production. Application of organic manures has been reported to increase crop yield and improve soil quality, especially soil organic matter content (Garg et al., 2005; Islam et al., 2010). Although synthetic fertilizer contains higher quantities of plant nutrients than organic fertilizer, the presence of growth-promoting agents in organic fertilizer makes them important for enhancement of soil fertility and productivity (Sanwal et al., 2007; Yadav and Garg, 2016). Soil productivity is affected by cropping systems and crop management practices including tillage, synthetic fertilizer, and organic manure management as well as enhance the causes of pesticide residues in the products (Anwar et al., 2017; Bhushan and Sharma, 2002; Islam et al., 2015b,c; Yeasmin et al., 2019). It has been reported that continuous and unbalanced use of synthetic fertilizer degrades physicochemical and biological soil environment (Mahajan et al., 2007). Balanced fertilization is a prerequisite for exploiting optimum crop yield potential and beneficial effects of organic manure in crop production have been demonstrated (Ferdous et al., 2011; Mahamood et al., 2016; Moyin-Jesu, 2015). Combined application of organic fertilizer along with synthetic fertilizer could be a promising soil management practice to improve crop productivity, soil fertility, and sustainability (Hernandez et al., 2016; Movin-Jesu, 2015).

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum L.*) is a very important vegetable crop and consumed in most parts of the world, from home gardens and greenhouses to large commercial farms due to its wider adaptability to various agro-climatic conditions. It is one of the most fashionable salad vegetables and is taken with great relish. It is also one of the organically produced vegetables crops in the world. The continuous use of chemical fertilization leads to deterioration of soil characteristics and fertility, and may lead to the accumulation of heavy metals in plant tissues which compromises fruit nutrition value and edible quality (Shimbo et al., 2001; Islam et al., 2020a,b; Uddin et al., 2015). Chemical fertilizer also reduces the protein content of crops, and the carbohydrate quality of such

crops also gets degraded (Marzouk & Kassem, 2011). The main sources of the organic fertilizers are composted livestock manures, plant residues (Mondol et al., 2020) and industrial wastes. The organic fertilizers provide the nutritional requirements of plants and also suppress the plant pest populations. Additionally, they increase the microbial activity in soil, anion and cation exchange capacity, organic matter and carbon-content of soil. Organic fertilizers increase the yield and quality of agricultural crops in ways similar to inorganic fertilizers rather than problems (like- pesticide residues) create during crop production and quality maintenance (Liu et al., 2007; Tonfack et al., 2009; Islam et al., 2015b,c). Agomoniis a newly introduce organic fertilizer that can improve the yield of crops. Therefore, the study was taken to find out the useful effects of organic fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato.

Materials and Methods

Site description and experimental design: The experiment was conducted during 2019-2020 cropping seasons at the Agricultural Research Station, On farm Research Division, Alamanagar, Rangpur, Bangladesh located at 25⁰43.251' N latitude and 089⁰15.735' E longitude with an elevation of 29 m above mean sea level. The area mostly falls under high- and medium-high land of the Tista Meander Floodplain (Anowar et al., 2015; Ferdous et al., 2016). Water holding capacity of the soil is good. The area receives an average annual rainfall of around 2,160 mm with an average temperature of about 25°C (Ferdous et al., 2016).

The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five treatments in three (03) compacted replicate blocks. The treatments included T₁: 100% Recommended Chemical Fertilizer (RCF), T₂: 85% CF + 3 tha⁻¹ organic Fertilizer (OF) T₃: 85% CF + 1 tha⁻¹ OF, T₄: 70% CF + 3 tha⁻¹ OF, and T₅: 70% CF + 1 tha⁻¹ OF. The crop variety was BARI tomato-17. Each plot measured $4m \times 5m$. Thirty days old seedlings were transplanted on 17 November, 2019.

Crop management: The crop was fertilized with recommended doses of fertilizers at the rate of 207-50-130-20-3 kg/ha of NPKSZn along with organic fertilizer as par treatments. All the fertilizers were applied at the time of final land preparation except urea and MoP. N and K were applied in three equal installments 10 days after transplanting (DAT), 22 DAT and 36DAT. Bavistin, marshal, tafgor, secure and acrobat were applied against late blight disease. The crop was irrigated three times at 20 DAT,37 DAT and 75 DAT. Other intercultural operations were done as and when necessary. The harvest was done from 18 February 2020 to 22 March 2020.

Data analysis: Data on yield and yield contributing characters were taken and statistically analyzed using 'Statistics10' software package. Production of tomato included costs of field preparation, seed, planting, irrigation, organic manure and synthetic fertilizer, plant protection chemicals, and harvesting. Gross return under a treatment was calculated by multiplying the gross amount of crop produced by the farm-gate price. The gross margin was calculated by subtracting cost of production from the gross return (Ferdous et al., 2017a).

Results and Discussion

The most important parameter i.e. yield which was affected significantly with different dozes of organic fertilizer on tomato production. The results presented in Table 1 revealed that there was significant difference among the treatments in respect of number of fruit plant⁻¹, weight of fruit plant⁻¹ and yield. The highest number of fruit plant⁻¹ (56) and weight of fruit plant⁻¹ was obtained from T_2 (1.45 kg) and the lowest from T_5 . The highest yield was observed in T_2 (50.59 t ha⁻¹) due to more number of fruit plant⁻¹& weight of fruit plant⁻¹ and the lowest was in T_5 (35.32 t ha⁻¹). These results may be due the parameters of growth components increased with increasing amount of organic and inorganic fertilizers applied. This can be due to the role of organic fertilization in plant physiology and improving the quantity and quality growth characterization and can provide plants with essential elements required (Sun et al. 2003; Lin et al. 2010; Ferdous et al. 2014). Combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer treated plots produced higher yield than plots without combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer (Anwar et al. 2012; Ferdous et al. 2017).

Table 1. Yield and yield attributes of tomato as influenced by Organic fertilizer (Agomoni Jaibo Sar) at AgriculturalResearch Station, OFRD, BARI, Rangpur during 2019-2020.

Treatment	Plant height	Number of fruit	Weight of Fruit	Yield
	(cm)	plant ⁻¹	plant ⁻¹ (kg)	(t ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : 100% Recommended	122.20a	4.7333ab	1.1067b	38.613b
Chemical Fertilizer (RCF)				
T ₂ : 85% RCF + 3 tha ⁻¹ OF	122.40a	5.6667a	1.4500a	50.597a
T ₃ : 85% RCF + 1 tha ⁻¹ OF	115.47a	5.1333a	1.2967ab	45.360ab
T ₄ : 70% RCF + 3 tha ⁻¹ OF	115.73a	4.7333ab	1.1433b	39.933b
T ₅ : 70% RCF + 1 tha ⁻¹ OF	116.20a	3.8667 b	1.0100b	35.327b
CV (%)	8.5893	11.50	13.46	13.48
LSD	3.85	1.0449	0.3045	10.655

Similar results are reported by Ahmed *et al.* (2017) and Anil *et al.* (2008) who report increase fruit yield with phosphorus and organic manure application. Anil *et al.* (2008) observed an increase in seed yield with combine application of organic and inorganic fertilizers.

Economic performance: The cost and return analysis of different treatments are presented in Table 2. The highest gross return (BDT. 607080) was found in T_2 treatment and the lowest gross return (BDT. 423840) was recorded from T_5 . The highest gross margin (BDT.

328520 ha⁻¹) was obtained from T_2 . The lowest gross margin (Tk. 145280 ha⁻¹) was obtained from T_5 . Similar result was reported by Ferdous *et al.* (2011a, 2011b) who report highest gross margin with combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer application.

In the present study, the highest fruit yield was obtained from plants treated with chemical fertilizer in combination with organic fertilizer, while yield was the least for control treatment.

Table 2. Cost and return analysis of tomato as influenced by Organic fertilizer (Agomoni Jaibo Sar) at Agricultural
Research Station, OFRD, BARI, Rangpur during 2019-2020.

Treatments	Yield	Gross return	Total variable cost	Gross margin
	(t ha ⁻¹)	(Tk. ha ⁻¹)	(Tk. ha ⁻¹)	(Tk. ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : 100% Recommended Chemical	38.61	463320	278560	184760
Fertilizer (RCF)		+05520	270500	104700
$T_2: 85\% \text{ RCF} + 3 \text{ tha}^{-1} \text{ OF}$	50.59	607080	278560	328520
T ₃ : 85% RCF + 1 tha ⁻¹ OF	45.36	544320	278560	265760
T ₄ : 70% RCF + 3 tha ⁻¹ OF	39.93	479160	278560	200600
T ₅ : 70% RCF + 1 tha ⁻¹ OF	35.32	423840	278560	145280

Market price of Tomato @ 12 BDT kg⁻¹, urea @ 16, triple super phosphate @ 25, muriate of potash @15, gypsum @10, zinc sulphate @ 150 and boric acid@ 150 BDT kg⁻¹, Organic manure @ 7 BDT kg⁻¹.

The present results are in line with the findings of Ferdous, Datta, and Anwar (2017, 2018), Ferdous et al. (2011), Rahman et al. (2011), Sarker et al. (2010), Yadav and Garg (2016), and Haque et al. (2018), who also reported better yields of field and vegetable crops with the application of organic fertilizer. The combined application of organic manure and chemical fertilizer help improve N use efficiency through increased nutrient concentrations, soil organic matter content, water-holding capacity, bulk density, and soil temperature (Akanbi et al. 2010). This increase in N use efficiency promotes root and shoot growth resulting in an increase in crop yield (Datta et al. 2015). Katuwal and Bohara (2009) reported an increase in vegetable crop yields and profits with the application of organic manure. The highest economic profitability

from spinach and chili production has been observed with the combined application of chemical fertilizer and organic manure (Muhmood et al. 2014). An enhancement in growth, yield, and yield contributing characters of wheat and rice in the wheat-rice cropping systems has also been reported with the integrated use of chemical fertilizer and cow dung or poultry biogas slurry (Haque et al. 2018) due to higher plant uptake of total N, P, K, and S.

Conclusion

Fertilizer application, especially for chemical fertilizer and organic manure applied to tomato field, can be highly profitable with sustainable production increases for smallholder farming in northern region of Bangladesh. Integrated nutrient management (combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer) is the best option for higher tomato production in Bangladesh. From the study it can be concluded that if organic fertilizer usage can be increased then chemical fertilizer application will be decreased and soil health ultimately improved.

References

- Alam MM, Ladha JK, Faisal MW, Sharma S, Saha A, Noor S, Rahman MA (2015). Improvement of cereal-based cropping systems following the principles of conservation agriculture under changing agricultural scenarios in Bangladesh. Field Crops Research, 175: 1-15.
- Alam MJ, Humphreys E, Sarkar MAR, Yadav Y (2017). Intensification and diversification increase land and water productivity and profitability of rice-based cropping systems on the High Ganges River Floodplain of Bangladesh. Field Crops Research, 209: 10-26.
- Alam QM, Islam MN, Haque AKMH, Kundu TK (1998). Economic Profitability of growing mustard/potatoes an additional crop between two rice crops in Bogra district of Bangladesh. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res., 23 (4): 717-730.
- Alam MAU, Ferdous Z, Islam K, Khatun MUS, Akter MB, Laily UK, Anwar M, Sarker KK (2017).
 Sustainable Water Management for Potato Production in Drought Prone Areas in Bangladesh. Annual Research & Review in Biology, 21(3): 1-9.
- Anowar M, Parveen A, Ferdous Z, Kafi AH, Kabir ME (2015). Baseline survey for farmer livelihood improvement at farming system research and development, Lahirirhat, Rangpur. Int. J. Bus. Manag. Soc. Res., 2: 92-104.
- Anowar MM, Ferdous Z, Islam M (2012).
 Determination of nutrient management for Potato-Mungbean-T. Aman rice cropping pattern.
 Bangladesh J. Prog. Sci. & Tech., 10(2): 173-176.
- Anwar M, Ferdous Z, Sarker MA, Hasan AK, Akhter MB, Zaman MAU, Haque Z, Ullah H (2017). Employment Generation, Increasing Productivity

and Improving Food Security through Farming Systems Technologies in the Monga Regions of Bangladesh. Annu. Res. Rev. Biol., 16(6): 1-15.

- Arancon NQ, Edwards CA, Bierman P, Metzger JD, Lee S, Welch C (2004). Effects of vermicomposts on growth and marketable fruits of field-grown tomatoes, peppers and strawberries. Pedobiologia, 47(5-6): 731-735.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics) (2012). Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka.
- Beddington J (2010). Food security: contributions from science to a new and greener revolution. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B., 365: 61-71.
- Bello OD, Akponikpè PBI, Ahoton EL, Saidou A, Ezin AV, Kpadonou GE, Balogoun I, Aho N (2016).
 Trend analysis of climate change and its impacts on cashew nut production (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) in Benin. Oct. Jour. Env. Res., 4(3): 181-197.
- Bulluck LR, Ristaino JB (2002). Effect of synthetic and organic soil fertility amendments on southern blight, soil microbial communities, and yield of processing tomatoes. Phytopathology, 92: 181-189.
- Chauhan BS, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Timsina J, Jat ML (2012). Productivity and sustainability of the rice-wheat cropping system in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of the Indian subcontinent: problems, opportunities, and strategies. Adv. Agron., 117: 316-355.
- Cupina B (2014). Cover crops for improving crop and soil management. Adv. Plants Agric. Res. 1 (2): 9.
- Datta A, Shrestha S, Ferdous Z, Win CC (2015). Strategies for Enhancing Phosphorus Efficiency in Crop Production Systems. *In:* A Rakshit, HB Singh, A Sen (Eds.), Nutrient Use Efficiency:

from Basics to Advances. pp. 59–71. Springer, ISBN 978-81-322-2169-2.

- Datta A, Ullah H, Ferdous Z (2017). Water Management in Rice. *In:* BS Chauhan, K Jabran, G Mahajan (Eds.), Rice Production Worldwide. pp. 255–277. Springer,http://link.springer.com/ chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-47516-5_11.
- Dobermann A, Nelson R, Beever D, Bergvinson D, Crowley E, Denning G, Giller K, d'Arros Hughes J, Jahn M, Lynam J, Masters W, Naylor R, Neath G, Onyido I, Remington T, Wright I, Zhang F (2013). Solutions for Sustainable Agriculture and Food Systems, Technical Report for the Post-Development Agenda. Sustainable Development Solutions Network, New York, pp.1-99.
- Dobermann A, Witt C, Abdulrachman S, Gines HC, Nagarajan R, Son TT, Tan PS, Wang GH, Chien NV, Thoa VYK, Phung CV, Stalin P, Muthukrishnan P, Ravi V, Babu M, Simbahan GC, Adviento MAA (2003a). Soil fertility and indigenous nutrient supply in irrigated rice domains of Asia. Agron. J., 95: 913-923.
- Dobermann A, Witt C, Abdulrachman S, Gines HC, Nagarajan R, Son TT, Tan PS, Wang GH, Chien NV, Thoa VTK, Phung CV, Stalin P, Muthukrishnan P, Ravi V, Babu M, Simbahan GC, Adviento MA, Bartolome V, (2003b). Estimating indigenous nutrient supplies for site specific nutrient management in irrigated rice. Agron. J., 95: 924-935.
- FAOSTAT (Food Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database), 2013, FAO
- Ferdous Z, Anwar M, Haque Z, Islam MK, Khatun MUS, Alam MA (2017). Sustainable food security through cropping system analysis using different farming technologies at northern region of Bangladesh. Progressive Agriculture, 28(3): 204-215.
- Ferdous Z, Anwar M, Rahman MA, Yasmine F, Nain J (2011). Fertilizer management for maizemungbean-T.aman based cropping pattern.

Journal of Agroforestry and Environment., 5: 129-132.

- Ferdous Z, Datta A, Anwar M (2018). Synthetic pheromone lure and apical clipping affects productivity and profitability of eggplant and cucumber. International Journal of Vegetable Science, DOI: 10.1080/19315260.2017.1407858.
- Ferdous Z, Datta A, Anal AK, Anwar M, Khan MR (2016). Development of home garden model for year round production and consumption for improving resource-poor household food security in Bangladesh. NJAS, Wageningen Journal of Life Science, 78: 103-110.
- Ferdous Z, Datta A, Anwar M (2017). Effects of plastic mulch and indigenous microorganism on yield and yield attributes of cauliflower and tomato in inland and coastal regions of Bangladesh. J. Crop Improv., 31: 261-279.
- Haque MA, Jahiruddin M, Islam MS, Rahman MM, Saleque MA (2018). Effect of bioslurry on the yield of wheat and rice in the wheat–rice cropping system. Agricultural Research, 7: 432-42.
- Islam MA, Haque ME, Hossain MK, Hossen MS (2015b). Investigation of formalin and ethepon in some fruits of three local markets of Mymensingh district using gas chromatography. J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ., 13(1): 7-12.
- Islam MA, Hossain MS, Rahman MS (2020). Heavy metals accumulation in soil and uptake by plant species: focusing phytoremediation, International Research Journal of Environmental Sciences, 9 (1): 1-7.
- Islam MA, Hossain MT, Khatun M, Hossen MS (2015a). Environmental impact assessment on frequency of pesticide use during vegetable production. Progressive Agriculture, 26(2): 97-102.
- Islam MA, Islam MZ, Hossain MK (2015c). Residual analysis of selected pesticides in cucumber and spinach collected from local markets of

Mymensingh sadar. Progressive Agriculture, 26: 38-44.

- Islam MA, Nuruzzaman M, Das RR, Afrin N (2020). Contamination of heavy metals in water, sediments and fish is a consequence of paddy cultivation: focusing river pollution in Bangladesh. Ministry of Science and Technology Journal, 1 (1): 48-59.
- Katuwal H, Bohara AK (2009). Biogas: A promising renewable technology and its impact on rural households in Nepal. Renew. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 13: 2668-74. DOI:10.1016/j.rser.2009.05.002
- Mondol MA, Sani A, Usha K, Marzia S, Biswash P, Islam M (2020). Exploring rice residue management practices focusing environmental pollution and soil health in six major rice growing upazilas of Mymensingh district in Bangladesh. Progressive Agriculture, 31(3): 178-189.
- Muhmood A, Javid S, Ahmad ZA, Majeed A, Rafique RA (2014). Integrated use of bioslurry and chemical fertilizers for vegetable production. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 51: 565-70.

- Nkoa R (2014). Agricultural benefits and environmental risks of soil fertilization with anaerobic digestates: A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 34: 473-92.
- Rahman MM, Yasmine F, Rahman MA, Ferdous Z, Kar PS (2011). Performance of poultry bio-slurry as a source of organic manure on potato production. Journal of Agroforestry and Environment, 5: 81-84.
- Sarker MAI, Ferdous Z, Anwar M, Mahamud NU, Ali M (2010). Performance of poultry bio-slurry as a source of organic manure on wheat production. Bangladesh Journal of Environmental Science, 19: 36-38.
- Uddin N, Islam MA, Baten MA (2015). Heavy metal determination of brinjal cultivated in Soil with wastes. Progressive Agriculture, 27(4): 453-465.
- Yadav A, Garg VK (2016). Vermiconversion of biogas plant slurry and parthenium weed mixture to manure. International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture, 5: 301-09.