



Research in

ISSN : P-2409-0603, E-2409-9325

**AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK and FISHERIES**

An Open Access Peer-Reviewed Journal

Open Access  
Research Article

Res. Agric. Livest. Fish.  
Vol. 6, No. 1, April 2019 : 19-25.

## INFLUENCE OF NPK FERTILIZER AND SPACING ON GROWTH PARAMETERS OF ONION (*Allium cepa* L. var. BARI piaz-1)

Nazmul Islam Mazumder<sup>1</sup>, Tania Sultana<sup>2</sup>, Prtish Chandra Paul<sup>1</sup> and Md. Mahmud Al Noor<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension, Ministry of Agriculture, Bangladesh; <sup>2</sup> Department of Soil Science, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur, Bangladesh; <sup>3</sup>Plant Breeding division, Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA), Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.

\*Corresponding author: Md. Mahmud Al Noor; E-mail: alnoormahmud4@gmail.com

### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

**Received**  
20 February, 2019

**Accepted**  
07 April, 2019

**Online**  
30 April, 2019

**Key words:**

Onion  
Parameters  
Spacing  
Growth  
NPK fertilizer

The productivity of onion crop is poor due to inappropriate management and agronomic practices in Bangladesh. To figure out the effect of NPK fertilizer rate and spacing on growth parameters of onion a field experiment was conducted. The treatments were consisting of N fertilizer (0, 80, 120 and 160 kg/ha), P fertilizer (0, 30, 50 and 70 kg/ha), K fertilizer (0, 50, 75 and 100 kg/ha) and three plant spacing viz., 10 cm × 10 cm, 15 cm × 10 cm and 20 cm × 10 cm. The experiment was designed in RCBD with three replications. Analysis of results indicates that the interaction effect of NPK rate and spacing shows significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect on plant height. Highest plant height was obtained in 20 cm × 10 cm spacing and application of 160 kg N/ha, 70 kg P/ha and 100 kg K/ha respectively. Moreover, maximum number of leaves was also found by plants spaced at 10cm and application of highest dose of fertilizers. Lowest plant height and number of leaves was attained in 10 cm × 10 cm spacing and without NPK fertilizer application. The total result analysis reveals that best growth performance of onion was found from 20 cm × 10 cm spacing combined with 160 kg N/ha, 70 kg P/ha and 100 kg K/ha fertilizer amendment.

**To cite this article:** Mazumder N. I., T. Sultana, P. C. Paul and M. M. A. Noor, 2019. Influence of NPK fertilizer and spacing on growth parameters of onion (*Allium cepa* L. var. BARI piaz-1). Res. Agric. Livest. Fish. 6(1): 19-25.



This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the  
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

[www.agroid-bd.org/ralf](http://www.agroid-bd.org/ralf), E-mail: [editor.ralf@gmail.com](mailto:editor.ralf@gmail.com)

## INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important spice crop grown all over Bangladesh. It belongs to the family *Alliaceae*. Onion is one of the oldest cultivated vegetable which vestige back at least 5000 years and the center of origin of the crops is west China and the desert lying east of the Caspian Sea (Jones and Mann., 1996). The most important character of onion is its flavor, which increases the taste of food and widely used to increase the taste of different types of food. Onions contains phytochemical compounds such as phenolics and flavonoids that basic research shows to have potential anti-inflammatory, anti-cholesterol, anticancer and antioxidant properties (Yang et al., 2004). The dietary habit of Bangladeshi people is tied up with onion and an average consumption of 25g per day per person is considered essential (HRDP, 1995). On an average the total annual requirement of onion in Bangladesh is 16, 50,000 MT but its production is 10,52,000 MT (Anon, 2012). So, there is acute shortage of onion (36.24%) in relation to its requirement. Fertilizer is the vital input that play significant role in exploring the highest yielding capacity of onion. Judicious application of fertilizer has great impact on growth and yield of crop plants. In order to obtain satisfactory results the nutrients should be applied in optimum dose. It was reported that the application of NPK markedly increased the growth of onion (Faheema et al., 2009; Amin et al., 2007 and Ghaffoor et al., 2003).

The optimum time of this crop ranges from the last week of December to first week of January. However, due to changing climatic condition and existing cropping pattern farmers are planting onion up to mid-February after harvesting some rabi crops (Anon., 2011). Spacing affects the onion plant growth, size of bulb, yield as well as the quality of the product (Badaruddin and Haque, 1977; Rahim et al., 1983). Planting of bulb or seeding at proper spacing increase the quantity and size of the bulb but too low or high spacing influences the growth parameters of onion. Many workers reported that wider spacing caused higher yield per plant, although the closer spacing gave higher yield per unit area due to increased plant density up to a certain limit (Singh and Rathore., 1977; Nehra et al., 1988). In view of these, the present study was conducted to figure out the influence of NPK fertilizers rates and intra row spacing on onion growth parameters related to yield of onion.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Description of the study area

An experiment was carried out during the Rabi season of 2012-2013 at the horticultural farm of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University in Gazipur, Bangladesh. The site is situated at 24.09°N latitude and 90.25°E longitude with the elevation of 8.2 meter from sea level (Anon.,1994). The experimental area is under the sub-tropical climate which is characterized by heavy rainfall, high humidity, high temperature, relatively long day during April to September and scanty rainfall, low humidity, low temperature and short day period during October to March. The later period is favorable for onion cultivation.

### Experimental material

Onion variety named BARI piaz-1 was used as a test crop for the experiment. It is popular and largely cultivated variety in the experimental area and processes reddish brown bulb color with pungent smell and can mature in 130-140 days. Its yield potential is 12-16 t/ha. This variety is capable of seed production in Bangladesh climatic condition and tolerant to thrips, aphids, purple blotch and stemphyllium blight disease.

### Design and layout of the experiment

The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications.

### Experimental Procedure

Seeds of BARI piaz-1 variety were soaked overnight in water as suggested by Lipe and Skinner (1979) and allowed to sprout in a piece of cloth keeping in the sunshade for two days. Transplanting of seedlings was done on 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2013. At the age of 44 days, the seedlings were transplanted in the main field with the spacing of 10 cm x 10 cm, 15 cm x10 cm and 20 cm x 10 cm. At the time of lifting the seedlings, care was taken to avoid any mechanical injury to the seedlings. 1.5 kg cowdung and 30 g of zypsum were applied in each plot (1.2 m<sup>2</sup>). The amount of NPK was applied treatment wise.

The entire amount of cowdung, phosphorus, sulphur,  $\frac{1}{2}$  of N and K was applied during land preparation. The rest of N and K were applied in two equal splits as top dress at 25 and 50 DAP. The source of N, P, K and S was Urea, TSP, MOP and Gypsum respectively. After each weeding a light irrigation was given with the help of a hose pipe or watering can. Flood irrigation was also given when needed. Different agronomic practices were conducted as per the recommendation made for the onion for all plots during experimental time. When 80% plants exhibits neck fall, harvesting of onion bulbs was done. Curing of onion with top portion was done for three days under a shed. Tops were separated from bulbs with knife leaving 2 cm neck, at ambient temperature ( $25.6 \pm 2.6$ ) °C and then bulbs were stored in a well-ventilated house.

#### Data analysis

The collected data on various parameters under study were statistically analyzed using MSTATC computer package programme. The means for all the treatments were calculated and analyses of variances for all the characters were performed by F-variance test. The significance of the differences among the pairs of treatment means was evaluated by the Tukey's W test at 5% level of probability

## RESULTS

#### Influence of spacing and fertilizer on plant height:

Plant height was recorded at 10 days interval starting from 25 days after planting (DAP) up to 55 DAP. Spacing and fertilizer (NPK) significantly influenced plant height at all DAP except 55 DAP (Table 1). At 25 DAP, significantly the maximum plant height was recorded in S<sub>3</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) (18.07 cm) closely followed by S<sub>2</sub> (15 cm × 10 cm) (17.68 cm) and the minimum plant height in S<sub>1</sub> (10 cm × 10 cm) (16.31 cm). The similar trend was also found at 35 DAP in respect of plant height. At 45 DAP; the maximum plant height was recorded in S<sub>3</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) (3.99 cm) and the lowest from S<sub>2</sub> (15 cm × 10 cm) which was identical with S<sub>1</sub> (10 cm × 10 cm). Plant height was statistically insignificant to each other in respect of different spacing at 55 DAP.

Fertilizer (NPK) had significant effect on plant height at all DAP (Table 1). The tallest plant was obtained from F<sub>3</sub> treatment (N: P: K: 160-70-100 kg/ha) (18.98 cm) closely followed by F<sub>2</sub> treatment (N: P: K: 120-50-75 kg/ha) (18.77 cm) and the shortest plant was recorded from F<sub>0</sub> (control treatment). The similar trend of result in respect of plant height was obtained at 55 DAP due to applied fertilizers. At 45 DAP, the F<sub>3</sub> treatment gave the maximum plant height (36.54 cm) which was followed by F<sub>2</sub> treatment (36.31 cm) while F<sub>0</sub> treatment gave the lowest plant height. At 55 DAP, the maximum plant height (38.13 cm) was recorded with F<sub>3</sub> treatment which was closely followed by F<sub>2</sub> treatment (37.64 cm) and its minimum value from F<sub>0</sub> treatment.

**Table 1.** Main effect of spacing and fertilizer on plant height of late planted onion var. BARI piaz-1 at different growth stages

Treatment	Plant height (cm)			
	25 DAP	35 DAP	45 DAP	55 DAP
<b>Spacing (S)</b>				
S <sub>1</sub> (10 cm × 10 cm)	16.31 b	23.89 b	32.29 b	34.49 ns
S <sub>2</sub> (15 cm × 10 cm)	17.68 a	27.30 a	31.99 b	34.71 ns
S <sub>3</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm)	18.07a	27.03 a	33.99 a	34.84 ns
<b>Fertilizer (F)</b>				
F <sub>0</sub> (N : P : K: 0-0-0 kg/ha)	15.09 c	22.52 c	26.93 d	29.03 c
F <sub>1</sub> (N : P : K: 80-30-50 kg/ha)	16.58 b	24.75 b	32.24 c	33.90 b
F <sub>2</sub> (N:P : K: 120-50-75 kg/ha)	18.77 a	28.08 a	36.31 a	37.64 a
F <sub>3</sub> (N:P: K:160-70-100 kg/ha)	18.98 a	28.95 a	36.54 a	38.13 a
CV (%)	3.01	4.72	2.42	6.57

Means followed by different letters in a column differed significantly at 5% level of probability by Tukey's W Test; DAP = Days after planting; ns = non-significant

The interaction of spacing and fertilizer was found significant in case of plant height at 45 DAPS but insignificant at 25, 35 and 55 DAP (Table 2). At 45 DAP, the highest plant height was observed from S<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (37.87 cm) which was closely followed by S<sub>1</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (37.65 cm) and S<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (and 37.00 cm) and the lowest plant height from S<sub>1</sub>F<sub>0</sub> (23.70 cm)

**Table 2.** Interaction effect of spacing and fertilizer on plant height of late planted onion var. BARI piaz-1

Spacing X Fertilizer	Plant height (cm)			
	25 DAP Ns	35 DAP Ns	45 DAP	55 DAP Ns
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	13.95	19.69	23.70 g	27.27
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	15.52	22.67	32.80 cd	34.77
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	17.72	26.04	35.01 bc	37.80
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	18.04	26.04	37.65 a	38.12
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	15.65	24.67	29.07 ef	29.70
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	17.01	25.59	30.86 de	33.92
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	18.98	29.33	33.92 c	37.53
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	19.06	29.62	34.12 c	37.67
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	15.68	23.21	28.03 f	30.13
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	17.22	25.99	33.07 cd	33.02
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	19.61	28.87	37.00 ab	37.60
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	19.78	30.05	37.87 a	34.60
CV (%)	3.10	4.72	2.42	6.57

Means followed by different letters in a column differed significantly at 5% level of probability by Tukey's W Test.

S<sub>1</sub> = 10 cm × 10 cm, S<sub>2</sub> = 15 cm × 10 cm, S<sub>3</sub> = 20 cm × 10 cm,

F<sub>0</sub> = N: P: K: 0-0-0 kg/ha, F<sub>1</sub> = N: P: K: 80-30-50 kg/ha, F<sub>2</sub> = N: P: K: 150-50-75 kg/ha and

F<sub>3</sub> = N: P: K: 160-70-100 kg/ha; DAP = Days after planting; ns = non-significant.

#### Influence of spacing and fertilizer on leaves/plant

Number of leaves per plant did not differ significantly due to the different plant spacings at 25, 35, 45 and 55 DAP (Table 3). Number of leaves per plant varied significantly due to different levels of NPK fertilizers at all DAP (Table 3). At 25 DAP, the maximum number of leaves per plant (3.7) was recorded from F<sub>3</sub> (N: P: K: 160-70-100 kg/ha) which was closely followed by F<sub>2</sub> (N: P: K: 120-50-75 kg/ha) (3.61) and the lowest number of leaves per plant was found from F<sub>0</sub> (N: P: K: 0-0-0 kg/ha) (3.24). However, there was no significant difference between F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>0</sub> treatment in respect of number of leaves per plant at 25 DAPS. The highest number of leaves per plant was recorded from F<sub>3</sub> (5.00) which was closely followed by F<sub>2</sub> (4.88), while the lowest number of leaves per plant was recorded from F<sub>0</sub> (4.16) at 35 DAP. At 45 DAP, the highest number of leaves per plant was recorded from F<sub>3</sub> (6.31) which was closely followed by F<sub>2</sub> (6.09) and the lowest number of leaves per plant was observed from F<sub>0</sub> (4.43).

At 55 DAP, the highest number of leaves per plant was recorded from F<sub>3</sub> (6.33) which was statistically similar to F<sub>2</sub> (6.22) and F<sub>1</sub> (5.90) and the lowest number of leaves per plant was recorded from F<sub>0</sub> (4.46) (Table 4). Significant differences were recorded for the combined effect of different levels of spacing and different doses of NPK fertilizer on number of leaves per plant that showed only for 45 DAP (Table 4). At 45 DAP, the maximum number of leaves per plant was observed from S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (6.38) which was significantly identical with S<sub>1</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>F<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> exhibited 6.33, 6.27, 6.22, 6.10, 5.910, 5.50, 5.44 and 5.30, respectively, while S<sub>1</sub>F<sub>0</sub> gave the lowest (4.40) number of leaves per plant which was closely followed by S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>0</sub> (4.45) and S<sub>3</sub>F<sub>0</sub> (4.43).

**Table 3** Influence of spacing and fertilizer on leaves/plant of onion var. BARI piaz-1

Treatment	Leaves/plant			
	25 DAP	35 DAP	45 DAP	55 DAP
<b>Spacing (S)</b>				
S <sub>1</sub>	3.49 ns	4.62 ns	5.62 ns	5.55 ns
S <sub>2</sub>	3.45 ns	4.60 ns	5.52 ns	5.78 ns
S <sub>3</sub>	3.53 ns	4.78 ns	5.55 ns	5.85 ns
<b>Fertilizer (F)</b>				
F <sub>0</sub>	3.24 b	4.16 c	4.43 c	4.46 b
F <sub>1</sub>	3.38 b	4.62 b	5.41 b	5.90 a
F <sub>2</sub>	3.61 a	4.88 ab	6.09 a	6.22 a
F <sub>3</sub>	3.76 a	5.00 a	6.31 a	6.33 a
CV (%)	4.65	5.72	6.80	8.41

Means followed by different letters in a column differed significantly at 5% level of probability by Tukey's W Test.

S<sub>1</sub> = 10 cm × 10 cm, S<sub>2</sub> = 15 cm × 10 cm, S<sub>3</sub> = 20 cm × 10 cm,

F<sub>0</sub> = N: P: K: 0-0-0 kg/ha, F<sub>1</sub> = N: P: K: 80-30-50 kg/ha, F<sub>2</sub> = N: P: K: 150-50-75 kg/ha and

F<sub>3</sub> = N: P: K: 160-70-100 kg/ha; DAP = Days after planting; ns = non-significant.

**Table 4.** Interaction effect of spacing and fertilizer on leaves of late planted onion var. BARI piaz-1 at different growth stages

Spacing	Leaves/plant			
	25 DAP	35 DAP	45 DAP	55 DAP
X				
Fertilizer	ns	ns		ns
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	3.23	4.00	4.40 b	4.433
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	3.33	4.70	5.50 ab	5.600
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	3.57	4.83	6.27 a	6.023
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	3.83	4.93	6.33 a	6.140
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	3.27	4.23	4.47 b	4.467
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	3.37	4.40	5.30 ab	6.023
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	3.60	4.83	5.91 a	6.283
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	3.63	4.93	6.38 a	6.350
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	3.23	4.23	4.43 b	4.467
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	3.43	4.77	5.44 ab	6.083
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	3.67	4.97	6.10 a	6.367
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	3.80	5.13	6.22 a	6.487
CV (%)	4.65	5.72	6.80	8.41

Means followed by different letters in a column differed significantly at 5% level of probability by Tukey's W Test. S<sub>1</sub> = 10 cm × 10 cm, S<sub>2</sub> = 15 cm × 10 cm, S<sub>3</sub> = 20 cm × 10 cm

F<sub>0</sub> = N: P: K: 0-0-0 kg/ha, F<sub>1</sub> = N: P: K: 80-30-50 kg/ha, F<sub>2</sub> = N: P: K: 150-50-75 kg/ha and

F<sub>3</sub> = N: P: K: 160-70-100 kg/ha; DAP = Days after planting; ns = non-significant

## DISCUSSIONS

### Influence of spacing and fertilizer on Plant height

The plant height was found the highest at wider spacing (20 cm x 10 cm). It might be due to less competition among the plants for light, CO<sub>2</sub> and nutrients. Highest plant height was achieved in N: P: K: 160:70: 100 kg/ha dose. This is in agreement with the findings of Khan et al., (2003) who reported that wider spacing produced the tallest plant. Ghafoor et al., (2003) who reported that Phulkara onion variety gave the best results with regard to plant height (56.17 cm) under N: P: K: 150: 100: 100 kg/ha. The present finding gave a little bit long plant height as well as the fertilizer dose than reported results. It may be due to the difference of variety as well as the growing environment.

### Influence of spacing and fertilizer on Leaves/plant

The leaves/plant was found the highest at wider spacing (20 cm x 10 cm). It may be indicates highest spacing help plants to obtain surface area for maximizing leaves. Highest plant height was achieved in N: P: K: 160: 70: 100 kg/ha dose. These results are further reported by Baloch et al., (1991) who reported that the highest number of leaves/plant (17.0) was obtained with N:K: 125-100 kg/ha. Singh and Mohanty et al., (1998) also reported that number of leaves/plant were greatest with N: K: 160- 80 kg/ha. Al-Madini et al., (2000) found that increasing the application of NPK fertilizer significantly increased the plant growth. It may be due to increase of NPK fertilizer which stimulates the leafy growth as well as vigorous nodes and internodes.

## REFERENCES

1. Al-Madini A M, S S Al-Thabt and A F Hamail, 2000. Effects of different application rates of two compound fertilizers on growth, yield and yield mineral composition of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Egyptian Journal of Applied Science, 15 (10).
2. Amin M M U, M A Rahim and M A Hashem, 1995. Influences of planting time and nitrogen on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Bangladesh Journal of Science. Ind. Res. 30: 275-279.
3. Anonymous, 2012. Action plan for increasing the productivity of spice, National Technical Working Group, Ministry of Agric. Govt. Peoples Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. p. 10.
4. Badaruddin M and M A Haque, 1977. Effect of time of planting and spacing on the yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Bangladesh Horticulture, 5 (2): 23-29.
5. Baloch M A, A F Baloch, G Baloch, A H Ansari and S M Qayyum, 1991. Growth and yield response of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) to different nitrogen and potassium fertilizer combination levels. Sarad Journal of Agriculture, 7: 63-66.
6. Faheema S, N Ahmed, K Hussain, S Narayan and M A Chattoo, 2009. Response of long day onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. yellow globe to different levels of nitrogen phosphorus and potassium under temperate conditions of Kashmir Valley. Asian Journal of Horticulture, 4(1): 131-133.
7. Ghaffoor A, M S Jilani, G Khaliq and K Waseem, 2003. Effect of different NPK levels on the growth and yield of three onion (*Allium cepa* L.) varieties. Asian Journal of Plant Science, 2: 342-346.
8. HRDP, 1995. Winter vegetable and spices production; Training Manual. Horticulture research and Development Project (FAO/UNDP/ADP Project, BGD/87/025), DAE and BADC, Dhaka. pp. 96-98
9. Jones H A and L K Mann, 1996. Onion and their Allies. Leonard Hill (Book) Ltd., London. pp. 169.
10. Khan A A, M Zubair, A Bari and F Maula, 2007. Response of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) growth and yield to different levels of nitrogen and zinc in Swat Valley. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture, 23(4): 33-40.
11. Nehra B K, M L Panditaand, K Singh, 1988. I. Cultural and nutritional studies in relation to seed production in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). II. Effect of bulb size, spacing and nitrogen on plant growth and seed yield. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science, 17: 1-2.

12. Rahim M A, A Husainand and M A Siddque, 1983. Production of bulbs and storage ability of three cultivars on onion (*Allium ceap. L.*). Punjab Vegetable Grower, 17/18: 13-20.
13. Singh S P and C R Mohanty, 1998. A note on the effect of nitrogen and potassium on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa L.*). The Orissa Journal Of Horticulture, 26: 70-71.
14. Singh S and S V S Rathore, 1977. Effect of bulb spacing on seed production of onion (*Allium cepa L.*). Punjab Horticulture, 17: 75-77.
16. Yang J, K J Meyers, J Van Der Heideand, R H Liu, 2004. Varietal differences in phenolic content and antioxidant and anti-proliferative activities of onions (*Allium cepa L.*). Journal of Agric. Food Chem. 52 (22): 6787–6793.