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An experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of BINA Sub-station, Gopalganj to determine the effect of spacing on the yield and yield attributing parameters of rice. Four spacings viz. $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ were included in the study. The experimental design was a randomized completely block with three replications. Spacing's $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times$ 20 cm were adopted 49, 42, 36 and 30 hills per square meter, respectively. Results revealed that different spacing performed significantly differed yield contributing characters (plant height, panicle length, number of effective and non-effective tillers, number of filled and unfilled grain, grain and straw yield of rice. Results indicated that the highest plant height ( 83.40 cm ), panicle length (19.73), number of effective tillers per hill (11.00), number of total grains per panicle (87.73), number of filled grains per panicle ( 70.53 ), root length ( 16.07 cm ), root weight ( 38.00 g per five plants), harvest index ( $38 \%$ ), grain yield ( $4.19 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) and straw yield ( $4.50 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) were found with $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. On the other hand, maximum number of non-effective tillers per hill (1.60) was found in $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Results of the present study revealed that $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20$ cm spacing was found to be the best for obtaining maximum grain yield of aus var. Binadhan-19.
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## INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the most important cereal food crop of the developing countries as the staple food of more than 3 billion people or more than half of the world's population. In Bangladesh, researchers are developed new rice varieties to feed our over growing people. For achieving this goal, Scientist of Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA) has developed new rice variety (Binadhan-19) for aus season. Rice production is depended on varietal performance and management practices. Spacing is one the most important factor of management practices to increase rice production because rice growth is known to be affected both qualitatively and quantitatively by plant population densities. Many authors (Chandrakar and Khan, 1981; Uddin et al., 2011; Rasool et al., 2013) have indicated that closer spacing of $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 15$ $\mathrm{cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ were superior to wider spacing of $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times$ 25 cm by producing more effective tillers per unit area, higher plant height, higher leaf area index and total dry matter accumulation. Other authors (Weewaroth et al., 1979; Bishnu et al., 2013) have reported that wider spacing ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) produced significantly higher rice tillers, panicles per square meter, longer and weighty panicles, and higher grain yield than closer spacing ( $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

Therefore, research is needed to determine the effective spacing for increasing yield of Binadhan-19 rice. Keeping in mind, therefore a field experiment was conducted to determine the optimum spacing to popularize the newly developed rice variety (Binadhan-19) among the farmers of Bangladesh.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment of rice was conducted at the experimental farm of BINA Sub-station, Gopalganj to determine the effect of different spacings on the yield and yield attributing characters of rice. Four spacing's viz. $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ were included in the study. The experimental design was a randomized completely block with three replications. The site was initially slashed and vegetative cover removed. The area was then ploughed using a power tiller. The ploughed site was then divided into three main blocks using bunds to represent three replications. Each block was then divided into four plots by using bunds to represent the above mentioned spacings. The four different planting geometries between hills and rows were kept for growing the crop and to identify their effect on grain-straw and yield contributing parameters of rice. Three weeks old rice seedlings were manually transplanted to each plot. Seedlings were transplanted at two per stand (hill) for all the different spacing adopted. Spacing's $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ were adopted 49, 42, 36 and 30 hills per square meter, respectively. Mineral fertilizer was applied at 90 kg N ha ${ }^{-1}$ as urea, $60 \mathrm{~kg}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ as triple super phosphates and $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ha ${ }^{-1}$ as muriate of potash. All P and K and $1 / 3 \mathrm{~N}$ were applied immediately after transplanting. The remaining $2 / 3 \mathrm{~N}$ was applied at maximum vegetative and panicle initiation stages of rice.

Weeds were manually controlled through occasional hand picking. Plant height, panicle length, number of effective and non-effective tillers were counted at harvest stage. At maturity, an area of 1.0 square meter excluding border rows was measured out in each plot, number of panicles counted and harvested (grain and straw). Grain and straw yield were measured and yield per ha estimated. Plant height, panicle length, tillers were also collected from non-border rows and mean data were determined. Harvest index (HI) was calculated as ratio of grain yield to total yield (grain + straw + root). The statistical software MSTA-C was used to analyze the data and LSD at $5 \%$ probability level was used as the mean separator.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


#### Abstract

Effects of spacing on plant height results are presented (Table 1). Results showed that plant height increased with decreasing row spacing. Plant height ranged from 83.40 cm to 74.67 cm in spacing $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15$ cm and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$. The tallest plant height was recorded at $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing while shortest plant was recorded at $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The second highest plant height ( 79.53 cm ) was observed in $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times$ 15 cm . Ogbodo et al. (2010) observed that plant height was significantly higher when crops were transplanted at wider spacing ( $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) than at closer spacing ( $10 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Panicle length ranged from 17.87 cm to $19.53 \mathrm{in} 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$. The highest panicle length ( 19.73 cm ) was obtained from $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the lowest panicle length ( 17.87 cm ) was found in $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$. The number of effective and non-effective tillers produced per stand under the different spacings adopted is presented in Table 1. Tiller number was significantly affected by spacing. The productive and nonproductive tillers per stand were estimated during maturity stage of rice growth. Number of effective tillers per stand was ranged from 7.87 to 11.00 in $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ plant spacing, respectively. Highest effective mean tiller number per hill (11.00) was recorded in the $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing and the lowest mean effective tiller number per hill (7.87) was recorded in the $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing (1.60) produced significantly higher non-effective tillers per stand than all the spacing ( $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm} ; 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15$ cm and $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).


Table 1. Effect of different spacing on yield and yield attributing characters of rice

| Spacing <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | Plant <br> height <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | Panicle <br> length <br> (cm) | Effective <br> tiller/hill <br> (no.) | Non- <br> effective <br> tiller/hill <br> (no.) | Root <br> length <br> (cm) | Root <br> weight <br> (g/5plant) | Total <br> grain <br> (no.) | Filled <br> grain <br> (no.) | Unfilled <br> grain <br> (no.) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15 \times 15$ | 74.67 | 19.60 | 7.87 | 1.60 | 16.07 | 18.83 | 81.00 | 63.27 | Harvest <br> index <br> (\%) |  |
| $20 \times 15$ | 79.53 | 19.53 | 8.87 | 0.80 | 14.67 | 19.83 | 82.20 | 64.27 | 16.20 | 36 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 77.20 | 17.87 | 8.40 | 0.87 | 15.27 | 24.00 | 77.67 | 61.33 | 16.33 | 37 |
| $25 \times 20$ | 83.40 | 19.73 | 11.00 | 1.00 | 16.07 | 38.00 | 87.73 | 70.53 | 16.40 | 38 |
| CV (\%) | 4.08 | 7.75 | 13.93 | 19.41 | 3.22 | 6.18 | 1.22 | 1.48 | 5.20 | 3.20 |
| Sig. | NS | NS | NS | $* *$ | $*$ | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ | NS | NS |
| level |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.80 | 2.19 | 1.56 | 2.10 |
| LSD | 5.78 | 2.70 | 2.26 | 0.36 | 0.90 | 2.79 |  |  |  |  |

LSD: least significant difference; NS-not significant; *, ** indicate significant at 5\% and $1 \%$ level of probability, respectively

The lowest number of non-effective tillers ( 0.80 ) per stand was recorded under $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Moro et al. (2016) reported that growth attributes were significantly affected by spacing. Wider spacing resulted in the production of more tillers per stand than closer spacing. There was a significant increase in the number of tillers per stand with increased spacing. Mirza et al. (2009) also observed that closer spacing reduced the number of effective tillers and increased tiller mortality, hence lower number of panicles. In this study, the more vigorous plants and greater tiller numbers produced under wider spacing would have a better photosynthetic ability with a wider feeding area, more accessibility to soil nutrients and increased availability of nutrient. While under closer spacing, plants were denied more access to solar radiation due to closeness of plant canopies, wider spacing provided a more conducive environment where plants are exposed to more solar radiation which promotes more photosynthetic production and better growth due to increased nutrient availability and uptake. The analysis was carried out to find out whether there is a significant difference in the number of total grains per panicle among the four treatments. The results have shown that spacing of $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times$
$20 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ treatments performed significantly higher total grain number per panicle as compared to $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. The mean number of total grains per panicle was found to be 87.73 , $80.67,82.20$ and 81.00 for the spacing of $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$, respectively. The trend shows that the number of total grains per panicle increases with the increasing of spacing except $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$. In respect of filled grains, spacing $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ produced the highest filled grain per panicle of rice and the lowest filled grain per panicle was found in $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Rajesh and Thanunathan (2003) reported that the use of wider spacing led to lesser below and above ground competition for better grain filling, higher grain weight and more number of filled grains per panicle. On the other hand, the highest unfilled grains per panicle were found in $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Generally it can be concluded that higher spacing had better performance in terms of number grains per panicle as compared to lower spacing due to less competition of nutrients, air and light creating better environment for crop growth (Moro et al., 2016).

The effect of spacing on root length and weight produced per square meter is presented in Table 1. Maximum root length was found in $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing and the lowest root length was obtained from $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Root weight was significantly influenced by spacing (Table 1). Root weight of rice plant ranged from 18.83 to 38.00 g per five plants. Highest root weight was found in $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20$ cm spacing and the lowest root weight was observed in $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Harvest index was significantly influenced by spacing (Table 1). Maximum harvest index ( $38 \%$ ) was observed in $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the minimum harvest index was obtained from $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. Harvest index ranged from $36 \%$ to $38 \%$. Grain yield was significantly influenced by spacing (Fig. 1). The highest grain yield ( 4.19 t $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded in $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing and the lowest grain yield ( 3.04 t ha ${ }^{-1}$ ) in closer spacing of 15 cm $\times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. Spacing ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) produced the highest grain yield of rice due to good performance of yield attributing characters of rice. Straw yield was also significantly influenced by spacing (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Effect of different spacing on grain and straw yield of rice

The highest straw yield ( $4.50 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded in $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing and the lowest grain yield ( $3.50 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) in closer spacing of $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. From these results, it may be concluded that wider spacing $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm})$ performed better results on rice. The result is consistent with the findings of Moro et al. (2016). Correlation co-efficient and regression of yield attributes were worked out (Table 2) in order to evaluate their influence on rice yield. Plant height, effective tiller, root weight, total filled grain performed positive and significant correlation with rice yield to indicate that rice yield was increased with the increase of above mentioned parameters. On the other hand, panicle length, non-effective tiller, root length and unfilled grain had no effect on rice yield due to non-significant results.

Table 2. Correlation coefficient between grain and straw yield between yield attributing parameters of rice

| Parameter | Grain yield |  | Straw yield |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Correlation <br> coefficient | Regression equation | Correlation <br> coefficient | Regression equation |
| Plant height | $0.867^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.1269 \mathrm{x}-6.5352$ | $0.820^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.1049 \mathrm{x}-4.3551$ |
| Panicle length | $0.085^{\mathrm{NS}}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.1676 \mathrm{x}+0.2378$ | $0.015^{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.0597 \mathrm{x}+2.7525$ |
| Effective tiller | $0.980^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.3646 \mathrm{x}+0.1581$ | $0.918^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.2998 \mathrm{x}+1.1885$ |
| Non-effective tiller | $0.123^{\mathrm{NS}}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.4863 \mathrm{x}+3.9716$ | $0.180^{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.5002 \mathrm{x}+4.4315$ |
| Root length | $0.120^{\mathrm{NS}}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.2572 \mathrm{x}-0.5392$ | $0.085^{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.1946 \mathrm{x}+0.8772$ |
| Root weight | $0.959^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.0560 \mathrm{x}+2.044$ | $0.971^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.0479 \mathrm{x}+2.6933$ |
| Total grain | $0.699^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.1011 \mathrm{x}-4.8506$ | $0.532^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.0749 \mathrm{x}-2.2536$ |
| Filled grain | $0.833^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.1160 \mathrm{x}-4.0683$ | $0.688^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.0895 \mathrm{x}-1.9066$ |
| Unfilled grain | $0.217^{*}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=0.3293 \mathrm{x}+8.9399$ | $0.285^{*}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=-0.3205 \mathrm{x}+9.2381$ |

Correlation co-efficient and regression of yield attributes on straw yield were worked out (Table 2) in order to evaluate their influence on straw yield. Plant height, total tiller, effective tiller, root weight, total grain weight and filled grain weight performed positive and significant correlation with straw yield to indicate that straw yield was increased with the increase of above mentioned parameters. On the other hand, panicle length, noneffective tiller, root length and unfilled grain had no effect on straw yield due to non-significant results.

## CONCLUSION

Transplanting at wider spacing produced significantly higher panicles per square meter, heavier individual panicle weights and higher yields than closer spacing due to more leaves exposed to sunlight, higher and more effective mobilization of photosynthetic matter and better grain filling compared to closer spacing. The spacing of $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ produced significantly higher grain yields and therefore we recommended this spacing for Binadhan-19 as aus season.
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