



Research in

**AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK and FISHERIES**

ISSN : P-2409-0603, E-2409-9325

An Open Access Peer-Reviewed International Journal

Article Code: 0370/2022/RALF

Article Type: Research Article

Res. Agric. Livest. Fish.

Vol. 9, No. 2, August 2022: 145-152.

## PREVALENCE OF ECTO AND ENDO PARASITIC INFECTIONS AMONG BAPARD CATTLE FARM AND GOPALGANJ DISTRICT OF BANGLADESH

Md. Murshed Hasan Mustafa<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Md. Rafiul Islam<sup>1</sup>, Md. Mukhlesur Rahman<sup>2</sup> and Md. Hasanuzzaman Talukder<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bangabandhu Academy for Poverty Alleviation and Rural Development (BAPARD), Kotalipara, Gopalganj-8110; <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh; <sup>3</sup>Department of Parasitology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.

\*Corresponding author: Md. Murshed Hasan Mustafa; E-mail: murshed9137@yahoo.com

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Received

4 July, 2022

#### Revised

21 June, 2022

#### Accepted

20 August, 2022

#### Online

31 August, 2022

#### Key words:

Cattle  
Ectoparasite  
Endoparasite  
Prevalence  
Infestation

### ABSTRACT

Surveillance was conducted to investigate the ecto-and endo-parasitic (gastrointestinal) infections of bapard cattle farm from July 2020 to June 2021 at the Laboratories of BAPARD and Department of Parasitology, BAU. In the study area, a total number of 600 fecal samples and 250 ectoparasites were collected and investigated. Fecal samples were examined by direct smear method followed by McMaster counting technique under microscope. The overall prevalence of endoparasitic (gastrointestinal) infections was 67.5% and ectoparasitic infection was 56%. Prevalence of endoparasite was more frequent in rainy season (52.35%) followed by summer (26.91%) and winter season (20.74%) whereas prevalence of ectoparasite was more prevalent in summer (34.00%) followed by rainy (12.00%) and winter (8.00%) season. Among the endoparasitic infections, high prevalence of *Paramphistomum* spp. (20.00%) was found in rainy season whereas *Haemonchus* spp. (11.67%) and *Toxocara* spp. (10.83%) were higher in summer season. In cattle, prevalence of *Paramphistomum* spp. (24.00%) and *Haemonchus* spp. (15.00%) was higher in adult cattle whereas prevalence of *Toxocara* spp. (25.00%) and coccidian parasitic infection (17.5%) was higher in calf than adult animal. The overall prevalence of ectoparasite was 56% and tick infestation was highest prevalent (20.00%) followed by lice (16.00%), mange (12.00%) and maggot infestations (8.00%). High humidity (above 70%) and temperature provoke high endo and ectoparasitic infections among cattle populations indicate reduced farm productivity and profitability in the study area. Therefore, training of the cattle farmers on the knowledge and appropriate implementation and use of anthelmintic will help to reduce the morbidity of ecto and endoparasitic infections among cattle populations.

**To cite this article:** Mustafa M.M.H., M. R. Islam, M. M. Rahman and M. H. Talukder, 2022. Prevalence of ecto and endo parasitic infections among BAPARD cattle farm and Gopalganj district of Bangladesh. Res. Agric. Livest. Fish., 9 (2): 145-152.



Copy right © 2022. The Authors. Published by: Agro Aid Foundation

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



[www.agroaid-bd.org/ralf](http://www.agroaid-bd.org/ralf), E-mail: [editor.ralf@gmail.com](mailto:editor.ralf@gmail.com)

## INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is an agri-economy based country where 21% GDP comes from the agricultural sector like crops, livestock, fisheries and forestry. There are about 24.54 million cattle, 1.9 million buffalo, 3.68 million sheep and 26.6 million goat populations in Bangladesh. In case of poultry, there are 304.11 million chickens and 61.75 million ducks population present in Bangladesh. So, the total livestock population is about 422.18 million in Bangladesh. Livestock are contributing about 1.44% GDP to the national economy and the growth rate of GDP is 3.80. About 20% people are directly and 50% partially employed in livestock production whereas 50% people are engaged in cultivation of land by livestock and 25% people are in fuel supply from livestock and poultry. The employment status on livestock is 20% directly, 50% partially; cultivation of land by livestock 50% and fuel supply from livestock and poultry 25% (Livestock Economy, 2020-21). Livestock also share 13.1% GDP to the agricultural sector. In this way, livestock contributes significantly in the national economy of Bangladesh (Baset et al., 2002 & 2003; Begum et al., 2007; Mustafa et al., 2020 & 2021). Low productivity of livestock is a common feature in Bangladesh which may be due to the supply of low nutrition and prevalent parasitic diseases (Barman et al 2017; Hashem et al., 2020; Islam et al., 2022; Kawsar et al 2006; Mazed et al 2004; Mustafa et al., 2022; Rahman et al., 1997, 1999 & 2002; Mustafa MMH 2022., Sarkar et al., 2008). In the world, there are about 20% production losses of livestock occurred due to prevalent animal diseases but in Bangladesh it is up to 35-50% (Khokan et al., 2017, Badran et al., 2012). Parasitism is thought one of the main obstacles of livestock production in Bangladesh (Jabbar et al., 1983; Khokan et al., 2017). The hot humid climatic condition in Bangladesh greatly favors the development and survival of ecto- and endo parasites. Parasitic diseases are of great economic importance in livestock (Islam, et al 1985; Rahman, A 1975) and attributed the economic loss of animals in terms of morbidity and mortality, loss of milk and meat production, retarded growth, generation loss and loss of reproductive performance due to parasites to the extent of 50% in Bangladesh (ADB 1984).

Gastrointestinal (GI) parasitic infections causes production losses through reduced feed intake and decreased efficiency in feed utilization due to subclinical or chronic infections (Renaldi et al., 2011; Bary et al., 2018 ;Tariq et al., 2010) . In Bangladesh, disease problems specially related to parasitism constitute a serious threat. GI parasitism is a world-wide problem (Regassa et al., 2006, Kakar et al., 2008). Parasitic problems are often neglected and overlooked as majority of the infected animals show a number of minor obvious clinical signs during their productive life and their effects are gradual and chronic (Raza et al., 2010; Rahman et al., 2012). Gopalganj is a low land and riverine region and there is no field study on these parasitic problems and after of BAPARD is working closely to train the livestock farmers to improve farm productivity. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of ecto- and endo- parasitic infections in Gopalganj area.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study Area and period

The study was conducted to investigate the prevalence of ecto and endo parasitic infections of cattle at five upzila of Gopalganj district and BAPARD cattle farm from July 2020 to June 2021. The laboratories works were performed in the Bangabandhu Academy for Poverty Alleviation and Rural Development (BAPARD) and Department of Parasitology, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh.

### Sample collection and preservation

A total of 600 fecal samples and 250 ectoparasites were collected from BAPARD cattle irrespective of age, sex, breed, BCS (body condition scored) and seasons like rainy, summer and winter. In this study, age of cattle are categorized into three groups, viz calf aged  $\leq 6$  months, young aged  $> 6$  months to  $\leq 2$  years and adult aged  $> 2$  and above. During sample collection, the biometric data of each animal like, age, sex breed, and general body condition were recorded. Approximately 10 gm of fecal samples were collected directly from the rectum of the cattle and kept into a small plastic container and stored in ice containing cool box and labeled properly. After collection, the fecal samples were preserved with 10% formalin and transferred immediately to the Laboratory of the BAPARD and stored at 4°C temperature until further examination. Fecal samples were examined using the direct smear method followed by the McMaster technique and eggs were identified under microscope with the previously described morphological characteristics (Urquhart et al., 1996). Ectoparasites were also collected from different parts of the body of the individual cattle by hand picking and precautions were taken to preserve the mouthparts and appendages of the ectoparasites during collection. Ectoparasites were preserved in 70% alcohol in a clean and well stopper glass vials which were labeled properly.

### Laboratory examination of fecal samples and ectoparasites

In case of direct smear method, a small amount of fresh fecal sample was taken into a clean and grease free glass slide and mixed thoroughly by adding 1 to 2 drop of tap water. The large and coarse particle were avoided by using a cotton strip and covered with cover slip and examined under microscope at low power objective (10X) followed by higher magnification (40X objectives). The positive samples in the direct smear method were subjected to EPG counting to determine the number of eggs per gram of feces. For McMaster counting technique, a saturated salt solution (floatation fluid) was prepared through mixing of 400g of sodium chloride (NaCl) with 1000 ml of tap water. 5 gm of homogenized fresh fecal sample were thoroughly suspended in a 50 ml of flotation fluid (saturated salt solution, specific gravity 1.200). The suspension was then thoroughly stirred and allowed to pass through a sieve to remove the coarse particles. The chambers of McMaster slide were filled with the suspension with the help of pasture pipette and allowed to stand for 5 min to float all the parasitic eggs. Then, the slide was examined under microscope using low power objective (10X) and all eggs were counted inside of the both McMaster chambers. Eggs were identified according to the previously described morphological characteristic by Soulsby (Soulsby, 1982). The EPG (egg per gram of feces) were calculated by multiplying the total numbers of identified eggs in two chambers with the dilution factor 50.. The parasitic load was considered as light (egg counts from 1 to 500), moderate (egg counts above 500 to 1000) and heavy or severe infection respectively when eggs counts were found >1000 per gram of feces and ectoparasites were identified under light microscopy based on their morphological characters (Sweeny *et al.*, 2011).

## RESULTS

Out of 600 fecal samples, 405 samples were found positive with one or more species of endo-parasites indicating an overall prevalence of 67.5% (Table 1). In this study, five genera of GI parasites and one genus of protozoa were identified. The identified parasites were *Paramphistomum* spp. (20.0%), *Fasciola* spp. (8.33%), *Schistosoma* spp (4.17%), *Haemonchus* spp. (11.67%), *Toxocara* spp. (10.83%) and coccidian parasites (7.5%), respectively (Table 1). Among the identified parasites, high prevalence was recorded in case of *Paramphistomum* spp. (20.0%) and lowest prevalence was found in case of *Schistosoma* spp. (4.17%) (Table 1). High prevalence of *Paramphistomum* spp. (24%) and *Haemonchus* spp. (15%) was recorded in adult cattle whereas the prevalence of *Toxocara* spp. (25%) and coccidian parasites (17.5%) was found higher in calf compared to that of adult cattle (Table 2). In case of seasons, the highest endoparasitic infestation were found in rainy season (52.35%) followed by the summer (26.91%) and winter season (20.74%). High prevalence of *Paramphistomum* spp. (14.81%) was found in rainy season followed by summer (8.64%) and winter season (6.17%). In case of winter season, the highest prevalence was found of *Schistosoma* spp (3.7%) and *Paramphistomum* spp. (6.17%) (Table 3). The overall prevalence of ectoparasites was 56% (Table 4). The high prevalence of tick infestation was (20.00 %) followed by lice (16.00 %), mange (12.00 %) and maggot fly (8.00 %) (Table 4). The prevalence of ectoparasites was the highest in summer (34.00 %) followed by rainy (14.00 %) and winter season (8.00 %) (Table 4).

**Table1.** Overall prevalence of endoparasites in cattle of Gopalganj district

Identified parasites	Number of infected cattle (n=600)	Prevalence
<i>Paramphistomum</i> spp	120	20.00%
<i>Fasciola</i> spp.	50	8.33%
<i>Haemonchus</i> spp.	70	11.67%
<i>Toxocara</i> spp.	65	10.83%
Coccidial oocyst	45	7.5%
<i>Schistosoma</i> spp.	25	4.17%
<i>Fasciola</i> spp. + <i>Paramphistomum</i> spp.	20	3.33%
<i>Toxocara</i> spp. + Coccidial oocyst	10	1.67%
<b>Overall prevalence</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>67.5%</b>

**Table 2.** Age-wise prevalence of endoparasites in cattle of Gopalganj district

Identified parasites	No. of infection in adult cattle (n=400)	Prevalence (n=400)	Number of infection in calf (n=200)	Prevalence (n=200)
<i>Paramphistomum</i> spp	96	24.0%	24	12.0%
<i>Fasciola</i> spp.	30	7.5%	20	10.0%
<i>Haemonchus</i> spp.	60	15.0%	10	5.0%
<i>Toxocara</i> spp.	15	3.75%	50	25.0%
Coccidial oocyst	10	2.5%	35	17.5%
<i>Schistosoma</i> spp	20	5.0%	5	2.5%
<i>Fasciola</i> spp + <i>Paramphistomum</i> spp.	18	4.5%	2	1.0%
<i>Toxocara</i> spp. + Coccidial oocyst	2	0.5%	8	4.0%
<b>Total prevalence</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>62.25%</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>78.0%</b>

**Table 3.** Season wise prevalence of endoparasites in cattle in of Gopalganj district

Identified Parasite	Number of infection (n=600)	Seasons		
		Rainy	Summer	Winter
<i>Paramphistomum</i> spp	120	<b>60 (14.81%)</b>	35 (8.64%)	<b>25 (6.17%)</b>
<i>Fasciola</i> spp.	50	30 (7.41%)	10 (2.47%)	10 (2.47%)
<i>Haemonchus</i> spp.	70	40 (9.88%)	20 (4.94%)	10 (2.47%)
<i>Toxocara</i> spp.	65	30 (7.41%)	15 (3.70%)	10 (2.47%)
Coccidial oocyst	45	25 (6.17%)	15 (3.70%)	5 (1.23%)
<i>Schistosoma</i> spp	25	6 (1.48%)	4 (0.99%)	15 (3.7%)
<i>Fasciola</i> spp. + <i>Paramphistomum</i> spp.	20	15 (3.70%)	3 (0.74%)	2 (0.49%)
<i>Toxocara</i> spp. + Coccidial oocyst	10	06 (1.48%)	2 (0.49%)	2 (0.49%)
<b>Total positive case</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>212 (52.35%)</b>	<b>109 (26.91%)</b>	<b>84 (20.74%)</b>

**Table 4.** Prevalence of ectoparasite infestation in cattle of Gopalganj district

Type of ecto parasites n=250	Number of Positive case	Prevalence	Seasons		
			Rainy	Summer	Winter
Tick	50	20.00%	10 (4.00%)	35 (14.00%)	5 (2.00%)
Lice	40	16.00%	10 (4.00%)	25 (10.00%)	5 (2.00%)
Manage	30	12.00%	10 (4.00%)	15 (6.00%)	5 (2.00%)
Maggot Fly	20	8.00%	5 (2.00%)	10 (4.00%)	5 (2.00%)
Total prevalence	140	56.00%	35 (14.00%)	85 (34.00%)	20 (8.00%)

## DISCUSSION

The overall prevalence of GI parasitic infections of cattle in this study was recorded 67.5%. The present results are lower than the previously reported results where overall 72.65% and 76.9% cattle infected with various helminthes parasites at Sylhet and Sirajgonj district of Bangladesh (Paul et al., 2016, Aktaruzzaman et al., 2013, Abed et al., 2001). The prevalence from this study is higher than the findings reported previously in cattle different regions of Bangladesh like Chattogram and Bandorban districts such as 39.75% and 46.25% (Ashrafuzzaman, 2012, Alim et al., 2012). The differences in prevalence of GI helminthes infection may be due to geographical regions, methods of sampling and examinations, farm management system and husbandry practices of cattle. The prevalence of *Paramphistomum spp.* was higher in adult cattle (20%) and *Toxocara spp.* (25%) in calf. The present findings are supported by the previous reported findings where higher prevalence of *Paramphistomum spp.* found in adult cattle and *Toxocara spp.* in calf (Akanda et al. 2014). Prevalence of *Paramphistomum spp.*, *Fasciola spp.*, *Haemonchus spp.* were found more in adult cattle that supported the observations of Sardar et al., 2006; Bellam et al., 2001), where it has been reported that *Paramphistomum spp.*, *Fasciola spp.*, *Haemonchus spp.* were more prevalent in adult animal than the young cattle. The prevalence of *Toxocara spp.* (10.83%) followed by Coccidian oocyst (7.5%) in calf that support the report of Bachal et al. (2002), Kamaruddin et al (2003), Mustafa et al (2022) where reported that such type of infection was found in early in life of animal Bachal et al. 2002, Mustafa et al. 2022). The cause of higher prevalence of parasitic infection in adult cattle may be due to longer period of production time (Sardar et al., 2006; Mazid et al., 2006; Murthy et al., 2014).

Animal stress condition like lactation, pregnancy, malnutrition is also accounted for higher prevalence of parasitic infection in adult animals (Radostiis et al., 1994). The prevalence of endo-parasites are found more in rainy season (52.35%) followed by summer (26.91%) and winter season (20.74%). The present findings are in agreement with the previously reported findings where it has been stated that GI parasitism were more in rainy season followed by summer and winter season (Shiale et al. 2008; Silvestre et al., 2008). The cause of variations may be due to adequate moisture and optimum temperature that favors the growth and survival of parasitic infective stage (Shiale et al. 2008). Hot humid climate in the summer and lower temperature in the winter season may provide the unfavorable condition of parasitic growth and development (Pfukenyi et al., 2007). The overall prevalence of ectoparasites was 56% and highest prevalence was found in tick infestation (20.00%) followed by lice (16.00%) and mange (12.00%). The present findings are in consistent with the earlier findings (Rahman et al. 2012). The prevalence of ectoparasites was higher in summer season (34.00%) followed by rainy (12.00%) and winter season (8.00%). This result also favors finding of Islam et al. (1985), where it is stated that hot humid climatic condition in Bangladesh greatly favors the development and survivability of ecto- and endo- parasites (Islam et al. 1985).

## CONCLUSION

This surveillance work gives an outline about the high prevalence of endo and ecto-parasitic infections among cattle population in Gopalganj District and BAPARD cattle farm as indicated in the results and discussion. This study will be helpful to design a training module of the cattle farmers with a layout for controlling the parasitic infestation in this area and it will lead to implement the parasite free compost and BAPARD Livestock training program.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Bangabandhu Academy for Poverty Alleviation and Rural Development (BAPARD), Ministry of LGRD and Co-operatives, Gopalganj, Bangladesh for funding the research works. The authors also acknowledge the Department of Animal Science and the Department of Parasitology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh for their technical assistance.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests regarding the submitted manuscript and the research works.

## REFERENCES

1. Abebe W, D Zeit and G Esayas, 2001. Survey of ovine and caprine gastrointestinal helminthosis in eastern part of Ethiopia during the dry season of the year. *Revue de Medicine Veterinate*, 152(5): 379–384.
2. Akanda M R, Islam M.M.H, Abdus S B, Chowdury RA., Uallah SA, Rangit.R and Asadul AM. 2014. A Survey on Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasitic Infection in Cattle of Sylhet Division in Bangladesh. *American Journal of Phytomedicine and Clinical Therapeutics*, 2(7): 855-860.
3. Aktaruzzaman M, Ahmad AM, Islam MA, Yasin MG, Rahman AKMA, 2013. Concurrent infection and seasonal distribution of gastrointestinal parasites in cross-bred cattle of Sirajganj district in Bangladesh. *Veterinary World*, 6(10): 720-724.
4. Alim MAS, K Roy, S Sikder, M Masuduzzaman and MA Hossain, 2012. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitism in cattle of Chittagong division, Bangladesh. *Wayamba Journal of Animal Science*, 4: 247-254.
5. Ashrafuzzaman M 2012: A survey of trematode infection in cattle at Rangunia upazila, Chittagong district and Bandorban of district. MS Thesis, submitted to the Department of Parasitology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
6. Asian Development Bank (ADB), 1984. Asian development bank yearbook.
7. Bachal B, MS Phullan, R Rind, and AH Soomro, 2002. Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Helminths in Buffalo Calves. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2(1): 43-45
8. Badran AR, R Aref, W Alqisi and Alumor J, 2012. Prevalence and diversity of gastrointestinal parasites in small ruminants under two different rearing systems in Jenin district of Palestine. *An-Najah University Journal for Research*, 26: 1–18.
9. Barman TC, Hossain MM, MM Rahman, MY Ali and NR Sarker, 2017. An assessment of socio-economic conditions of the farmers related to goat fattening in Rangpur district of Bangladesh. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Food Safety and Security*, 1: 1-6.
10. Baset MA, MM Rahman, MS Islam, A Ara and ASM Kabir. 2003. Beef cattle production in Bangladesh-A Review. *Online Journal of Biological Sciences*, 3(1): 8-25.
11. Baset MA, MM Rahman, ML Ali, ASM Mahub and MN Haque, 2002. Effect of Urea Molasses Straw (UMS) on the performance of steers with supplementation of wheat bran. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 5: 807-808.
12. Begum MAA, MM Hossain, M Khan, MM Rahman and SME Rahman, 2007. Cattle fattening practices of selected farmers in Panchagarh district. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 36: 62-72.
13. Bary, M.A, MZ Ali, Chowdhury S, A Mannan, MNE Azam, MM Moula, ZA Bhuiyan, MTW Shaon and MA Hossain, 2018. Prevalence and Molecular Identification of Haemoprotozoan Diseases of Cattle in Bangladesh. *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 6(4): 176-182
14. Belem, A.M.G, OP Ouedraogo, RR Bessin, 2001. Gastro-intestinal nematodes and cestodes of cattle in Burkina Faso. *Biotechnologie, Agronomie, Société et Environnement*, 5: 17-21
15. Garedaghi, Y, APR Saber, A Naghizadeh and M Nazeri, 2011. Survey on prevalence of sheep and goats lungworms in Tabriz abattoir. *Iranian Advanced Environment Biology*, 5.
16. Hashem MA, T Islam, MA Hossain, MT Kamal, MA Sun and MM Rahman. 2020. Production Performance of Jamuna Basin Lamb under Semi-Intensive Management System in Bangladesh. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 19(11): 150-158.
17. Islam MR, MMH Mustafa, MM Rahman and MA Alim .2022. Study on the parasitic infestations in calves at BAPARD surroundings coastal south region in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Applied Science*, 8 (5): 264-275.
18. Islam, K.S.1985. Present situation of livestock and poultry diseases in Bangladesh. In: Jabbar MA (ed) *Bangladesh poshusampadunnayun- Neeti O Kowshal BARC, Dhaka and ADC NY*: 84-128.
19. Jabbar, M. and DAG Green, 1983. The status and potential of livestock within the context of agricultural development policy in Bangladesh, *The University of Wales, Aberyswyth, UK*, p. 113.
20. Kakar, M.N and JK Kakarsulemankhel . 2008. Prevalence of endo (trematodes) and ectoparasites in cows and buffaloes of Quetta, Pakistan. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 28(1): 34-36.



21. Kamaruddin KM, 2003. Goat farming as a means of poverty alleviation. In: Proceedings of the BSVER symposium, BAU. Bangladesh Society for Veterinary Education & Re-search, BSVER Publications No. 25: 26–34.
22. Kawsar SM, MM Rahman, SME Rahman, MM Hossain and MA Huq . 2006. Growth, carcass and non-carcass traits of Black Bengal goats due to urea molasses block supplementation. *International Journal of Biological Research*, 2: 1-5.
23. Khokon, MS, M Azizunnesa, MM Islam, KB Chowdhury, ML Rahman and MZ Ali. 2017. Effect of mastitis on post-partum conception of crossbred dairy cows in Chittagong district of Bangladesh. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, 4(2): 155-160.
24. *Livestock Economy*, DLS. BD. 2020-21. [www.dls.gov.bd](http://www.dls.gov.bd).
25. Mazed MA, MS Islam, MM Rahman, MA Islam and MA Kadir, 2004. Effect of urea molasses multinutrient block on the reproductive performance of indigenous cows under the village condition of Bangladesh. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 7: 1257-1261.
26. Mazid, MA, J Bhattacharjee, N Begum and MH Rahman. 2006. Helminth parasites of the digestive system of sheep in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 4: 117–122.
27. Murthy, G. and Rao, P. 2014. Prevalence of gastro intestinal parasites in ruminants and poultry in Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of Parasitic Diseases*, 38(2): 190–192.
28. Mustafa MMH, MR Islam and MM Rahman, 2022. Epidemiological investigation of gastrointestinal (GI) parasite at BAPARD cattle farm, Gopalganj in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Rural Development, Environment and Health Research*, 6 (2): 8-16.
29. Mustafa MMH, MR Islam and MA Hossain, 2022. Epidemiological Investigation of Paramphistomiasis in Cattle at Kotalipara Upazila Gopalganj District. *International Journal of Modern Pharmaceutical Research (IJMPR)*, 6(4): 17-20.
30. Mustafa MMH, MR Islam and MM Rahman, 2021. Effect of ration on growth and cost of production during fattening of upgraded Shahiwal bulls. *Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*, 2 (2): 44-48.
31. Mustafa MMH, MR Islam and MM Rahman 2020. Effect of feed on the performance of upgraded Holstein Friesian bulls during fattening at BAPARD cattle farm in Bangladesh. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*. 6 (4): 761-767.
32. Mustafa MMH .2022.A Study on the Role of Women and Men in the Family -BAPARD perspective. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR)* .6(4) 303-312.
33. Pfukenyi DM, S Mukaratirwa, and J Monrad, 2007. Epidemiological studies of parasitic gastrointestinal nematodes, cestodes and coccidian infections in cattle in the Highveld and lowveld communal grazing areas of Zimbabwe. *Onderstepoort Journal Veterinary Research*, 74: 129-142.
34. Paul A, PC Baishnab, H Kobir ,S Akhter,TJ Chowdhury ,B Jha and Rahman MM, 2016. Status of internal parasitism of cattle at Sylhet Government Dairy Farm, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Natural Sciences*, 6 (2): 54-34.
35. Radostits O, DC Blood, CC Gay, 1994. *Veterinary Medicine: A text book of disease of cattle, sheep, pigs, goats and horse*. 8th ed. Baillere Tindall Publication, London, 1223- 1225, 1237-1238
36. Rahman MA, MA Islam, AK Talukder, MS Parvin and MT Islam, 2012. Clinical disease of ruminant recorded at the Patuakhali Science and Technology University Veterinary clinic. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*. 10 (1&2): 63-73.
37. Rahman MM, S Habib, MA Kadir, MN Islam and MS Islam, 2002. Participation of rural people in cattle management practices in a selected area of Bangladesh. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*. 1: 196-199.
38. Rahman MM, S Akhter, MS Rabbani and MM Hossain, 1999. Indigenous knowledge on livestock practiced by the farmers in Mymensingh district of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 28 (1-2): 97-103.
39. Rahman MM, Akhter S, Hossain MM, 1997. Socio Economic Aspects of the farmers for livestock keeping in Mymensingh town adjacent areas. *Progressive Agriculture*, 8: 153-157.
40. Rahman A, 1975. Studies in the diseases of goats in Bangladesh. Mortality of goats under farm and rural conditions. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, 8: 90.

41. Raza AM, S Murtaza, HA Bachaya, A Qayyum and MA Zaman, 2010. Point Prevalence of *Toxocaravitulorum* in Large Ruminants Slaughtered at Multan Abattoir. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 30(4): 242- 244.
42. Regassa F, T Sori, R Dhuguma and Y Kiros. 2006. Epidemiology of Gastrointestinal Parasites of Ruminants in Western Oromia, Ethiopia *International Journal of Applied Research in Veterinary Medicine*, 4(1): 51-57
43. Rinaldi M, L Dreesen, L Hoorens, PR Li, RW Claerebout, E Goddeeris, BJ Vercruvse, V D Broek and P Geldhof. 2011. Infection with gastrointestinal nematode *Ostertagia ostertagi* in cattle affects mucus biosynthesis in the abomasums. *Veterinary Research*, 42: 61
44. Sardar SA, MA Ehsan, AKMM Anower, MM Rahman and MA Islam. 2006. Incidence of liver flukes and gastrointestinal parasites in cattle. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 4 (1): 39-42.
45. Sarkar MM, MM Hossain, MM Rahman and SME Rahman, 2008. Effect of feeding urea molasses block on the productive and reproductive performances of Black Bengal doe. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 6: 39-46.
46. Shirale SY, MD Meshram and KP Khillare, 2008. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in cattle of Western Vidarbha Region. *Veterinary World*, 1(2): 45
47. Silvestre A, C Chartier, C Sauve and J Cabaret. 2000. Relationship between helminth species diversity, intensity of infection and breeding management in dairy goats. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 94: 91-105
48. Soulsby E JL, 1982. *Helminths, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals*, the 7<sup>th</sup> Edition. ELBS, Bailliere, Tendam. 70-71
49. Sweeny JPA, ID Robertson, UM Ryan, C Jacobson and RG Woodgate, 2011. Comparison of molecular and McMaster microscopy techniques to confirm the presence of naturally acquired strongylid nematode infections in sheep. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, 180(1): 62-67
50. Tariq K, M Chishti and Ahmad F, 2010. Gastrointestinal nematode infections in goats relative to season, host, sex and age from the Kashmir valley. *Indian Journal of Helminthology*, 84(01): 93–97.
51. Urquhart GM, J Armour, JL Duncan, AM Dunn and FW Gennings, 1996. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2nd edn. Blackwell Science Ltd. UK, pp -170-176
52. Wall R and D Shearer, 1997. *Veterinary Entomology*, 1st edition, Chapman and Hall. London, UK. p. 265 - 290.