

CRISIS ADAPTABILITY OF PRIVATE-SECTOR URBAN WORKING WOMEN: COVID-19 DISPATCHES

Melita Mehjabeen¹
Fatematuz Zahra Saqui²
Homayara Latifa Ahmed³

Abstract

The study aims to provide an in-depth understanding of the experiences of private-sector working women during a crisis, focusing on the COVID-19 period. Using a qualitative approach and the Reuben Hill's ABCX family crisis model, this study delves into the nuanced struggles of these women and how they faced these adversities; such findings often get obscured in the aggregate nature of quantitative analysis. Utilizing eighteen in-depth interviews, which included sixteen Bangladeshi white-collar working women from the private sector in their early and mid-level careers and two experts in gender studies, we investigated three recurring themes: work-life balance disruption, organizational support, and leadership roles. The findings reflect how the women experienced significant stress when balancing remote work with additional household responsibilities and chores, especially when they lacked substantial support from family members. At the same time, the importance of organizational support in adapting to the changing environment is highlighted in the findings, which can enable organizations to incorporate gender aspects when formulating policies. The additional caregiving responsibilities at home during crisis harm their job and career, affecting their leadership potential. Furthermore, the findings emphasize the unseen and undervalued nature of unpaid domestic care work primarily carried out by women during COVID-19. By recognizing and addressing this issue and developing policies that support the reduction and redistribution of unpaid care work, we can alleviate the hardships faced by women during any crisis.

Keywords: COVID-19, White-Collar Working Women, Work-Life Balance, Adaptability, Private Sector

¹ Professor, Institute of Business Administration (IBA), University of Dhaka, Bangladesh. Email: melitam@iba-du.edu

² Corresponding author, Lecturer, Institute of Business Administration (IBA), University of Dhaka, Bangladesh. Email: fatematuz.saqui@iba-du.edu

³ PhD student, Putra Business School, Universiti Putra Malaysia. Email: homayara@iba-du.edu

Introduction

This paper emerges from an interest in the deeper understanding of the nuanced experiences of working women⁴, especially in the private sector, amidst crises such as COVID-19, an under-chartered area in research. Inspired by the ABCX family crisis model of Reuben Hill (1949, 1958), this study offers a unique perspective on the strategies employed by working women to navigate the uncertainties and increased responsibilities both at home and in the workplace during periods of a crisis, recognizing their pivotal role in supporting families and communities.

Disasters and crises disproportionately impact marginalized, impoverished, and socially excluded individuals. Previous experiences of crises, including economic recessions, have underscored the unequal burden men and women bear (Boca et al., 2020; Quisumbing et al., 2018). Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, disasters typically prompted women to reduce their working hours or temporarily step down from their jobs (Andrew et al., 2020). However, the pandemic imposed unprecedented challenges, mandating complete lockdowns that pronounced the burden of working women with multiple roles, such as daughter, sister, wife, daughter-in-law, and mother. They were compelled to juggle increased household responsibilities alongside paid employment (Andrew et al., 2020; Collins et al., 2021; Hennekam & Shymko, 2020; Manzo & Minello, 2020; Qian & Fuller, 2020). This issue becomes more critical for women with children since children naturally seek support and care, often turning to their mothers. This leads mothers to divide their time between caregiving responsibilities, which is identified as a primary challenge for women during the COVID-19 pandemic (Collins et al., 2021).

In Bangladesh, women bear the primary responsibility for family and household roles, which poses substantial challenges to their well-being. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, women in Bangladesh spent, on average, three times more time than men on unpaid care and domestic work (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics [BBS], 2018). The COVID-19 outbreak has exacerbated these challenges, significantly increasing the working hours for women as family members remain home throughout the day. Though working from home enabled women to work safely and save commuting time, it posed several challenges to their efforts at striking a work-family balance. While most of the studies on work-life balance have been conducted in the context of developed countries (Uddin et al., 2020b), there is a dearth of literature in this issue in emerging economies like Bangladesh, where there is a lack of work-life balance policies and support systems.

⁴ Working women in this paper includes women working in professional, managerial, or administrative positions, and do not engage in manual labour, skilled or unskilled, that might involve the application of tools and machinery, in jobs labelled as blue-collar.

Scholars worldwide have explored the various perspectives on how COVID-19 has affected women. Research has extensively analyzed the differential impact of COVID-19 on women compared to men (Chauhan, 2021; Farré et al., 2022; UN Women, 2020), with a specific focus on working women (Affouneh et al., 2022; Parlak et al., 2021; Saqui & Mehjabeen, 2023; Sarker, 2021; Sumalatha et al., 2021; Uddin, 2021), gender roles (Ehsan & Jahan, 2022; Power, 2020; Santos et al., 2021;), and gender equality and equity (Carli, 2020; Farré et al., 2022; Fisher & Ryan, 2021; Reichelt et al., 2021). These studies have shed light on how COVID-19 has impacted women's financial stability, the complexities of balancing multiple roles at home and outside, and the additional challenges that lockdown measures imposed.

While much of the literature focuses on the impact of the pandemic crisis on various demographics, including different ages, social statuses, and professions, there is a notable lack of research on how Bangladeshi women in the private sector have adapted to the crisis. This study fills in the gap by exploring (1) the key challenges private-sector working women in Bangladesh faced due to the additional responsibilities and stress during the lockdown, (2) the adequacy of support received by them from their workplace to manage the unearthed challenges, and (3) the adaptability techniques of these working women at their work and personal life amidst the crisis.

Using in-depth interviews of sixteen working women at early career and mid-level and two gender studies experts, this study reveals fascinating insights into the experiences of the women in handling unpaid and overburdened home care while at the same time ensuring their career and job. This study uses the lens of the ABCX family crisis model, which portrays the adaptation in the face of crises like COVID-19. The theory posits that families are dynamic, adaptive systems influenced by internal and external factors (Hill, 1949, 1958).

The paper is structured as follows: section two reviews the literature on the impact of the COVID-19 on working women; section three outlines the theoretical framework; section four details the methodology; section five summarizes interview findings; section six discusses these findings; section seven expands the implications of the study; and section eight offers concluding remarks.

Literature review

Unpaid care responsibilities have a negative and measurable impact on women's participation in the paid economy. As per ILO, 606 million women were outside

the labor market due to their unpaid care work in 2018 (Addati et al., 2018). Women accounted for 75 percent of household chores (Moreira da Silva, 2019). ILO also reported that, on average, women spent about three hours more per day on domestic and care work than men (Pozzan & Cattaneo, 2020).

In patriarchal societies like Bangladesh, women who work often struggle to balance their professional and family duties, primarily because of their expanding roles in the family. This challenge is mainly influenced by traditional gender norms, which are based on the common stereotypes of men as “breadwinners” and women as “homemakers” (Gutek et al., 1991). This situation worsened during COVID-19 because of the additional burden of household work and caregiving (Jahanshahi et al., 2020; Megatsari et al., 2020). In many sections of the nation, the traditional belief that males should be the primary “provider” still holds, with a higher emphasis placed on a woman’s domestic rather than professional pursuits (Bridges et al., 2011). As a result, women frequently depend on males for financial stability (Salway et al., 2003) and safety, as well as their social position and recognition (Kabeer, 1997).

UN Women (2020) found that the degree of domestic duties increased manifold for women due to the COVID-19 lockdown, which enhanced caregiving duties to dependents, including children, extensive cleanliness, and health services. Similarly, several studies highlighted the double shift of working women (Field et al., 2023; Mehta & Sam, 2021; World Economic Forum, 2021), the additional household burden due to the absence of domestic help in the house (Latika et al., 2021; Tayal & Mehta, 2023), the lack of support from the other members of the family (Qutami & Hamdan, 2023). All these created additional stress, burdens, and responsibilities for working women.

However, a few upsides of COVID-19 were also found for some people; with reduced commuting times, working individuals had more opportunities to spend time with their families, forming stronger family bonds due to increased time together (Alhas, 2020; Roshgadol, 2020). Research suggested that employees had more flexibility during pandemic conditions since they could work from home, put in fewer hours, or follow other schedules (Uddin et al., 2020b, 2020a).

Although there is little doubt that views regarding women and the workplace are evolving, much work remains. Women who are married and/or live in families with dependents are far less likely to engage in the labour market (Carrillo, 2024), which is a clear example of how cultural norms influence employment trends.

This emphasises the “double load” often confronting women seeking to enter the workforce—balancing obligations at home and work. Contrastingly, married males tend to be more active in the labour force since they serve as the “traditional” breadwinner. There is also unmistakable evidence of more traditional attitudes regarding female involvement and the role of women generally, particularly in rural regions (Bridges et al., 2011).

Theoretical Background

This study adopts the framework of Reuben Hill’s (1949, 1958) ABCX family crisis to understand the crisis adaptability of private-sector working women in Bangladesh during the COVID-19 pandemic. This theory posits that families continuously adjust and evolve in response to internal and external challenges, and it emphasizes the significance of family, social, communal, and organizational support in adaptation. Summarizing his model, Hill (1958, p. 143) said:

“A (the event) → interacting with B (the family’s crisis-meeting resources) → interacting with C (the definition the family makes of the event) → produces X (the crisis).”

The four components of the theory are:

- ***The stressor event (A):*** The stressor could be any life event or transition which can hamper the family’s equilibrium. Few examples of stressors could be: sudden poverty, prolonged unemployment, natural disasters like pandemic, floods, cyclones, war, and refugee status. Another way to understand the stressors is through people’s expectation of such events - they could be normative (expected life transitions) or non-normative (unexpected crises like the COVID-19 pandemic).
- ***The crisis-meeting resources (B):*** Resources are defined as the abilities of the family to resist the stressor event (A) from creating disruption in the family system. Resources can be both external (social support systems, community resources, organizational policies) and internal resources (emotional resilience, skills, and capabilities of family members). Family’s adaptability techniques in terms of stressful events by agreeing on family role structure and prioritizing family interests over personal are also deemed as crisis-meeting resources. Families that leverage available resources and adopt equitable role distributions can adapt positively, fostering resilience. When cultural norms inhibit effective resource utilization and coping mechanisms, negative adaptation occurs, leading to heightened stress, burnout, and potential long-term impacts on family members’ mental and

professional health (McCubbin & Patterson, 1983; Patterson, 1988, 2002).

- ***The definition of the stressor (C):*** Hill (1958) defined (C) as “This is the meaning aspect of the crisis, the interpretation made of it.” Families subjectively define any stressor in light of their values and past experiences of similar stressful events. This definition could range from seeing a stressor as an opportunity for growth or as uncontrollable to the point of family breakdown. Hill suggested that if the definition (C) is not positive and hopeful, the families will struggle to handle any crisis despite having enough resources (B).
- ***The crisis (X):*** Citing Burr (1973), McCubbin and Patterson (1983, p. 10) defined crisis (X) as “the amount of disruptiveness, disorganization, or incapacitatedness in the family social system”. All the factors (A), (B), and (C) collectively shape the family’s ability to handle the stressor and prevent it from moving towards the crisis (X). If the family fails to provide adequate resistance to the stressor, it will force moving away from the family equilibrium and prolonged instability risking the existing family structure.

Methodology

This study employed qualitative research methods to gain insights into the crisis adaptability of private-sector urban working women in Bangladesh. To this end, we collected data from two groups of participants: urban-based white-collar working women and gender studies experts, selecting them through a purposive sampling technique. We interviewed sixteen working women across six industries (banking, education, healthcare, agency, Information Communications Technology [ICT], and RMG) and two gender studies experts, whose background information is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: List of the interviewees

Serial	Gender	Interviewee designation	Industry	Code
1	Female	Human Resource	Banking	WW001
2	Female	Human Resource	Banking	WW002
3	Female	Senior Principal Officer	Banking	WW003
4	Female	Head of Business Development	Education Services	WW004
5	Female	IT instructor	Education Services	WW005
6	Female	Senior Executive	Healthcare	WW006
7	Female	Freelance Photographer	Agency	WW007
8	Female	Planning Manager	Agency	WW008

9	Female	Associate Account Director	Agency	WW009
10	Female	Trainer	ICT	WW010
11	Female	SQA Engineer	ICT	WW011
12	Female	Assistant Manager	ICT	WW012
13	Female	Production Merchandiser	RMG	WW013
14	Female	Production Merchandiser	RMG	WW014
15	Female	Production Merchandiser	RMG	WW015
16	Female	Owner	RMG	WW016
17	Male	Professor	Academic of Gender Studies	GE001
18	Female	Researcher	Gender Studies	GE002

In-depth interviews were conducted as they are preferred over other qualitative data collection methods, such as focus group discussion (FGD), paired interviews (PI), etc., to explore the topic's sensitive nature. The number of interviews was based on the data saturation point, where further interviews no longer provide new thematic insights (Guest et al., 2006). Two pilot interviews were conducted to improve the reliability and validity of the interviews. We used semi-structured separate discussion guides to shape and guide the in-depth discussions. Insights from the literature review and pilot interviews helped in designing the discussion guide.

Interviews were conducted face-to-face and through the online platform Zoom. They lasted between thirty minutes and one hour and twenty minutes. Interview questions comprised basic questions and probe questions to elicit further clarifications (King et al., 2019; Silverman & Marvasti, 2008).

The recorded interviews were transcribed, translated, and reviewed. The transcripts were coded to find recurring themes. The coding system deployed was based on the insights from the literature review and the theoretical framework adopted for this study. Several rounds of reading the transcripts helped in reducing the data, displaying it, and drawing conclusion (Miles & Huberman, 1994). Three recurrent overarching themes emerged from the data analysis (Weber, 1990) and are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Themes from qualitative analysis

Code	Recurring themes
Work-life balance disruption	Stress and mental pressure Childcare and domestic workload Work from home experiences
Organizational Support	Benefits Gender insensitivity
Leadership Role for Women	Outlook towards women's role Effect on performance assessment Promotion and leadership opportunity

Findings

Work-life balance disruption

Historically, women have tackled the lion's share of household chores across communities. As women entered the workforce, their responsibility for household chores was not reduced; instead, a concept of balancing between professional and family duties emerged. This paper aims to understand how the crisis, in this instance COVID-19, impacted that "balance" among urban white-collar working women in Bangladesh. The interviews revealed that the challenge of role overload at home and work was a common experience for most working women during COVID-19. One married participant with two children (WW005) lamented, "I was not able to manage everything due to too much workload." Highlighting increasing workload on all fronts, a banking professional of twelve years (WW003) informed:

Work pressure had increased significantly during COVID-19. They (the organization) made us work too much and we had no personal life. They also made us work on off days. The part-time help was no longer allowed in my apartment. Cleaning everything (my clothes and bags), showering right after I got home, cooking nutritional food, washing every grocery, and handling home were becoming too difficult alongside office work.

COVID-19 was a special kind of crisis for professional women, particularly mothers. The lockdown forced all family members to co-exist at home, i.e., the women provided more nurturing for these members. Also, the commencement of online schooling for children meant extra work for mothers who had to help their children with online classes. On this note, (WW005) added,

The school of my eldest child transitioned to online, and I needed to ensure that my child's schooling was well managed. I needed to review the material and notes from the online classes.

The traditional gender norms and societal expectations from women regarding their domestic responsibilities ensured that the additional workload due to the pandemic falls primarily on women. This acted as an external resource constraint and exacerbated the stress during a crisis, as highlighted by the respondents. Another female banker (WW001) opined,

Women are expected to do all the domestic work in our society. We could not keep a maid at that time due to COVID-19 fear. So, women were under severe work pressure, even at home. Managing both office and home was really difficult.

This societal norm often limits male participation in sharing the domestic load even when family members understand the increased burden on women. (WW005) reflected, "My husband is incredibly empathetic but lacks hands-on assistance. However, he is not inclined to assist me in the kitchen."

However, a few participants acknowledged receiving support from their family members to share the additional workload. One business development manager in an ICT company (WW010) said, "When the lockdown happened, all four members of the family started working together to do the household chores." (WW005) added that even though her husband did not assist in the kitchen, her parents helped with the household chores. She said, "My family [parents] took care of my younger child while I was occupied with office work. Occasionally, they performed their household tasks independently."

Regardless of whether they received support from family for household tasks, one commonality among these working women was that the responsibility for managing household chores—either completing them themselves or delegating to others—ultimately rested solely with them. As (WW006), a divorced parent of one child working in the healthcare sector living with her parents, reflected, "I had to take care of everything at our home. This was the most challenging part."

Another aspect of increased workload that was unique to the crisis at discussion, i.e., COVID-19, was the demand for quick technological adaptation for remote working on these working women. This demand further compounded the stress and prolonged the working hours experienced by these women, increasing their

cognitive and emotional load. This also reduced work productivity and blurred strict work/home hour demarcations. A senior-level executive at an education service company (WW004) noted, “We were not very accustomed to working from home, so work speed faltered as we also had to take on the technological aspect.” Another participant (WW005) added, “The working hour was extended during COVID-19 as we also had to spend a lot of time learning how to use the technology.” The creeping of professional engagements beyond working hours became a practice during remote working, as informed by (WW011), “We had to attend meetings even at 11 pm, which was never the case before COVID-19.” Such overflowing of working hours added to the uncertainty and stress experienced by these working women.

Alongside uncertainties and work pressure, the business slowdown triggered panic among employees. The uncertainty regarding job security and the pressure to perform efficiently added to the mental stress. (WW004) added, “When service was slowing or closing down, we had severe mental pressure; panic spread throughout the industry.”

In addition, there was fear of losing one’s job or a partner’s job. Two aspects convoluted this fear for working women. Firstly, the power imbalance in the marital relationship due to the potential job loss of one’s spouse, and secondly, the stress experienced due to such a fear. A production merchandiser in an RMG company, (GR002) explained,

Although I wasn’t worried about losing my job, my husband faced a reduction in his yearly bonus, and he was under mental strain due to the prospect of job cuts. This stress transferred to me, making it challenging to support him emotionally and financially at that time.

To understand this marital phenomenon, this study discussed academic experts on gender studies (GE001 and GE002), who highlighted this complex situation based on their research findings:

When husbands lost their incomes while females still had jobs...it impacted their relationship. When the empowered women went back home and had to fall back in traditional shoes, she was unable to cope up with it and her mental health suffered. (GE001)

Husbands losing jobs was not empowering for them. Wife was playing the bread earner’s role and that had often initiated violences as the males could not tolerate that. (GE002)

Contrary to the above negative experiences, few participants expressed that the COVID-19 imposed lockdown increased their family bonding as they could stay home to care for children. One participant (WW005) recalled that she developed a stronger bond and a deeper understanding with her children during the pandemic, citing, “My eldest child achieved their highest result at that period.” Another participant (WW011), an engineer working at an ICT company, reminisced this period, “We had no choice but to be at home, which led to a better bond among us [family members].”

Organizational support

One of the aspects of Hill’s crisis model (1949, 1958) is the availability of resources in aiding individuals and families to adapt to any crisis. One such resources to tackle the challenges of the pandemic in their profession for working women was the organizational support structure. Organizations providing support such as transportation, medical assistance, or flexible working arrangements eased the pressure on working women, aiding their adaptability during the pandemic crisis. Participants have highlighted organizational support as an enabler for adapting to the crisis. Many participants (WW001, WW002, WW010, WW013, and WW015) received commute support, which helped them to maintain social distance while commuting. Some organizations allowed the bringing of office resources like laptops to home for remote work (WW012) while others offered internet facilities (WW011).

Moreover, some organizations arranged for medical reimbursement, insurance for medical expenses, and support for expedited COVID-19 tests and results, which was a challenge for everyone at the time. One banking professional (WW002) shared how her bank’s call centre provided 24/7 medical emergency support, “We had a continuous call centre for the office that would support us when anyone felt ill. Doctor, car, and ambulance support were given from the organization.”

Despite such supportive company-wide policies, many companies also implemented measures that harmed employees, increasing their vulnerability to the uncertainties that ensued in the crisis. The study participants reported that organizations had varying attitudes regarding salary cuts during the pandemic. Notably, banking professionals interviewed in this study did not face any salary reductions (WW001, WW003). The salary reduction situation was different for different education services. For example, (WW004), an employee at a foreign education service, did not face a pay cut, while (WW005) from a local education

service got her salary reduced. One participant working at an event management company (WW008) experienced a salary reduction that was later refunded once the business regained normalcy; however, her festival bonuses were slashed.

As revealed by the study's participants, the salary reduction situation in the RMG sector also appears to be mixed. While (WW014) and (WW015) received their full salaries, (WW013) experienced a 30% cut along with the cancellation of her festival bonuses. The same scenario prevailed in the IT industry, where (WW010) faced salary cuts, but (WW012) received her full pay.

Besides measures like salary cuts and withholding of festival bonuses, companies also revealed a lack of gender nuances, as experienced by a few participants. Often, organizations failed to recognize the increased domestic workload for working women during the pandemic, which resulted in demotivation for these women. As (WW001) shared, "The fact that women already have to do domestic work after office was not considered by the organization as they would call us for meetings whenever needed." Such incognizance added to an already heightened stress level for these women.

Overall, the study reveals a complex landscape of support measures that simultaneously enhanced and undermined the assistance working women received from their organizations. It was noticeable that the larger organizations were generally better equipped to support their employees than their smaller counterparts. However, many organizations – regardless of size - were ill-prepared to navigate the challenges of the pandemic. As a result, they could not offer sufficient support to alleviate the multifaceted stresses that working women experienced at home and in the workplace.

Leadership role for women

The additional caregiving responsibilities at home during a crisis negatively impact women's job and careers. Remote working during the pandemic placed women's jobs at home setting. Juggling both roles within the same space had put them at the risk of facing penalties for appearing less committed to their work. Such risk was not entirely unfounded due to gender insensitivity in organizational policies and measures. Due to a lack of female representation within its decision-making body, an acute lack of awareness and acknowledgement of the extent of women's dual responsibilities and their struggle in balancing these remain in the organizational landscape. A negative loop, thus, might have formed where a lack of female presence in leadership resulted in such penalties that hindered women's career progression

and inclusion to decision-making bodies. A few respondents highlighted to this nefarious treatment and alluded to such a harmful cycle for women employees during the crisis of COVID-19. An IT specialist (WW011) remembered attending online meetings at 11 pm; a scenario never existed before the pandemic. Another employee from the RMG sector (WW013) shared,

During work from home, the women would stay unavailable during iftar preparation time, and for that, we had to hear a lot of complaints from management, and in the leadership case, they would say we were unavailable for leadership, and so male were more suitable for leadership roles.

Such anecdotes reveal another angle to the above cycle, where the organizations assume that women are less suitable for leadership roles that demand long hours and high availability as they have demanding caregiving responsibilities that require them to be constantly available for their families. As a result, organizations may view women as being less committed or available compared to men, leading to biases against them in leadership positions. These organizational biases and lack of support can be seen as a lack of crisis meeting resources that negatively impact women's professional growth. At times, despite putting more effort than the men, the performance and output were overlooked. (WW013) added,

Though the female employees performed better even through and after COVID-19, an assumption was formed that women aren't available in certain times as they are busy with family and so are not fit for leadership roles and supervising teams.

Adopted adaptability techniques

This study finds two distinct types of adaptations experienced by the interviewed white-collar working women. The first type occurred regarding their job, often facilitated by organizational elements, including colleagues and line managers. The other kind of adaptation took place within the family setting.

The initial adaptation at work was primarily driven by government-mandated lockdowns rather than organizational initiative. Most companies complied with government regulations by enabling their employees to work remotely from home. Organizations that had already integrated technology into their workflows—particularly those in the finance and tech sectors—were better prepared for this transition. To support their employees in their adaptation to remote working, some organizations covered internet service costs, while many allowed employees to take their laptops home. As the situation evolved and physical offices began to

reopen, albeit at a limited capacity, the working women continued to coordinate with their colleagues and managers to minimize their time spent outside the home. This collaborative effort highlights the importance of both organizational support and personal initiative in finding adaptability techniques in the workplace. Some of the notable reflections of the participants include:

My company helped me with internet facilities from the beginning of the work from home period. So, I did not have to face any connectivity issues. (WW011)

We were allowed to bring the laptops to our homes. (WW012)

I had my office laptop plugged into a stable internet connection and I worked from my own room using the setup I had on my table. (WW010)

The adaptation happened at home, however, were multi folds. Working women adapted to their “new reality” squeezing both professional responsibilities and increased household duties within the same timeframe and space. As one participant (WW005), a mother of two young children, explained. “I tried to multitask so that I can manage more work in less time including office work and household chores. I used to plan the next day before going to bed.”

Participants also became more mindful about their time management and established routines to create defined work-home demarcation. For instance, another participant (WW010) noted the necessity of discipline for better time management, “We had to become more disciplined in terms of leaving the house or doing the household chores, spending time with the family.” Similarly, (WW012), an assistant IT manager, shared her experiences from the lockdown period, emphasizing the need of a structured routine amidst the chaos. She explained:

When I was allowed home office, I divided my day into parts. Early morning I used to do household work. Then, some office work. From 12 to 1 pm, I had a break, I utilized this period to prepare and serve lunch to my family. Again, I went back to work, and lastly after work, spend family time.

Another type of adaptability technique employed by these women was coming to a shared understanding of the crisis within the family, which enhanced mutual support to brave the situation as one unit. This collaboration extended from sharing of household chores to sharing in financial responsibilities. One of the participants, who was living with her parents and siblings during the lockdown (WW011), discussed how her family members came to an understanding of sharing household

tasks so none was interfered during their meetings:

We all agreed that whenever someone has their office meeting, they will be exempted from the household work for the time being and someone else will substitute them. For example, if something was on the stove and if it were my responsibility to check and I were in a meeting, I would ask my mother/ sister-in-law to look after it and she would do that.

Another participant (WW010) recalled how her younger brother stepped up to share household expenditures to mitigate her stress, “My younger brother took up the responsibility to pay the utility bills, which I could have done but he did so to support me so that I could do my office work in peace.”

Finally, few of the participants (WW002, WW003, WW005, WW010, and WW011) shared that they relied on positive thoughts, meditations, and prayer regularly as essential coping strategies during the unprecedented lockdown imposed by COVID-19. Such practices offered them a sense of peace and resilience against the face of uncertainty. (WW011) said, “Saying my prayers regularly helped to keep myself away from stress.” Echoing similar sentiment, (WW005) shared, “I used self-motivation and meditation mechanisms. One of the noteworthy practices was saying my prayers regularly.” One participant (WW010) explained how shifting mindset helped her to face the challenges of the period. She explained her lockdown mantra as “I am not taking this [COVID-19 lockdown] as a negative but as a positive that happened to us.” A banking professional for about fourteen years (WW002) emphasized the importance of building positive mindsets among employees during those challenging time of lockdown. She reflected, “We built a positive mindset, [through] support from women.”

Discussion

This study aims to develop deeper insights into the experiences of urban white-collar women working in the private sector in Bangladesh by identifying the work-life balance (WLB) challenges they faced during the pandemic and how they adapted to these challenges. It seeks to understand these challenges through Reuben Hill’s ABCX family crisis model (1949, 1958). The findings explore the pandemic and lockdown experiences of these working women (A), the family and organizational resources available to them for adapting to the crisis (B), their perception of the struggle (C), and how they adapted to the crisis with the help of (B) and (C).

This study concurs with the growing body of literature suggesting that women experience a differential impact from any crisis, in this case, COVID-19 (Alon et al., 2020; Asian Development Bank (ADB) & UN Women, 2022; Carli, 2020; Craig & Churchill, 2021; Depalo, 2023; Katikireddi et al., 2021; Qian & Fuller, 2020; Qutami & Hamdan, 2023; Reichelt et al., 2021; Wenham et al., 2020). The findings reveal an increased household workload to the detriment of the elusive work-life balance for white-collar working women in the private sector in Bangladesh. The primary reasons for the increased household duties include the caregiving for home-bound family members, online schooling of the children, and a lack of a helping hand. The increased household duties for women were not unique to Bangladesh but rather represented a global phenomenon (Alharthi, 2022; Del Boca et al., 2020; Hjálmsdóttir & Bjarnadóttir, 2021; Kallitsoglou & Topalli, 2024; Women's Budget Group, 2020; Yamamura & Tsustsui, 2021). Gender roles often dictate that women are primarily responsible for domestic chores, irrespective of their professional commitments, giving rise to the “second shift” phenomenon for working women (Hochschild & Machung, 1989, p. 26). However, what may be unique to the context of Bangladesh is the lack of various work-life balance supports, such as work schedule flexibility, compressed working hours, and on-site baby care facilities that developed economies usually offer (Dulk et al., 2016); hence, Bangladeshi working women suffered more without such resources.

These interviewed women also faced increasing workload at their jobs and a blurring of work-life boundaries (WLB) during the pandemic. The sudden demand to adapt to remote work settings, the need for upgrading technical skills, and increased interruptions at work due to family needs threw working women off balance in their WLB equation (Ehsan & Jahan, 2022; Kallitsoglou & Topalli, 2024; Leroy et al., 2021). All these factors resulted in additional stress for working women in their already stressful lives. The pressure to juggle the heightened expectations of multiple roles led to women experiencing role strain (Goode, 1960) This was particularly detrimental for women, as they internalized the failure to maintain WLB as a personal failure rather than a social limitation (Toffoletti & Starr, 2016), damaging their mental health (Henderson et al., 2016), lowering their productivity (Staniscuaski et al., 2021) and shattering their career ambitions (Saqui & Mehjabeen, 2023).

These debilitating experiences, without considerable help from family members, forced these working women to adapt haphazardly and inadequately to the “new normal” of the pandemic crisis. Such adaptations are highlighted in the family crisis model, which suggests that families with robust internal support systems

are better equipped to handle crises (resulting in positive adaptation outcomes), while those without struggle significantly more (resulting in negative outcomes). The interviewed women sporadically received support from their family members, while the overall household responsibility rested with them. Similar findings suggest fathers spent more time on childcare (Women's Budget Group, 2020) and some families exhibited semblance of "gender egalitarianism" through household responsibility-sharing (Carlson et al., 2022). Such support systems are necessary for crisis adaptability as per Hill's family crisis model (1949, 1958) and COVID-19 research findings (Gonzalez et al., 2022; Nursetiawati et al., 2023; Sriharan et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2022).

Besides increasing their professional workload, the struggling working women in this study also experienced several harmful organizational measures that were detrimental to their jobs and careers. As the pandemic wreaked havoc on business activities and revenue streams, Bangladeshi businesses resorted to cutting jobs, reducing salaries, and withholding bonuses and promotions to protect their financial performance (Habib, 2021; Madray, 2020; Murtuza, 2020), further adding to the growing distress of the working women. The current study finds varying levels of such organizational measures, with women working in large and formal sectors enjoying better financial protection.

Entrenched gender-insensitive policies and a lack of female representation in the leadership curbed organizations' ability to adequately support the struggling women employees with much-needed adaptability resources (Kundra et al., 2023). Despite women's ability to perform and deliver, their unavailability at certain times painted them as less suitable and dedicated for career progression. Such experiences bring forward the protracted debate on the significance of "visibility" at work for career growth and leadership positions (Fauzi & Mohd, 2023; McDonald et al., 2008; Moore & Trout, 1978; Richardson & Kelliher, 2015). While the visibility crisis due to work from home (WFH) should have been the same for all employees irrespective of gender, women faced a higher penalty – firstly, because they managed the lion's share of the increased household duties, leading to a greater "visibility" issue. Secondly, mostly male managers evaluated their performance, reflecting a lack of female leadership and viewpoint. Hence, women worried about their lack of visibility at work (Kallitsoglou & Topalli, 2024), which added to their overwhelming stress levels during the pandemic.

This issue needs to be addressed through careful policy designing so that such presumptions and prejudices do not hamper the career growth potential of working

women, who are efficient and strong leaders of tomorrow in the private sector. At the same time, organizations could formulate policies to encourage family-friendly workplaces, flexible working arrangements, and better work-home balance plans (Kundra et al., 2023; Uddin, 2021). Organizations that are making sincere efforts to bring gender balance in all levels of the organizational hierarchy should enforce more conducive workforce policies; however, being blind to the plight of one gender outside of work does not help these organizations make significant strides towards their gender balancing goals. The COVID-19 pandemic required rapid adaptation to work environmental changes (notably, work from home), bringing to light the wide gap in how gender balance their work environment, extending from the office to home.

The study finds that stressed working women adopted multiple productivity techniques to adapt to the overwhelming experiences of the lockdown. One of the “productivity techniques” these women adopted was multitasking. Popular culture (H. Fisher, 1999; Hochschild & Machung, 1989; Pease & Pease, 2003) has established that women are more adept at multitasking compared to men, despite a lack of scientific evidence (Mäntylä, 2013; Stoet et al., 2013). While multitasking affects productivity (Ralph et al., 2014) and causes stress (Hochschild & Machung, 1989), it remains a reality for working women (Bianchi et al., 2006; Offer & Schneider, 2011). Hence, despite the women’s ascription of multitasking as a productivity tool in this study, it remains to be further examined how effective this tool was during the pandemic in aiding them.

Other adaptability techniques adopted by white-collar working women include being mindful with their time and developing routines to handle daily activities. Kallitsoglou and Topalli (2024) reported similar coping strategies employed by working mothers during the lockdown in UK. Lopez-Leon and others (2020) recommended creating a routine, maintaining a “to-do lists”, and avoiding extreme multitasking to enhance work from home productivity during the pandemic.

Finally, the interviewed women sought comfort and meaning by building a positive mindset and engaging in religious practices, which helped them frame the pandemic more positively. Bentzen (2020) reported that more than half of the world prayed for an end to the pandemic. Using daily data from Google searches across 95 countries, he found that searches for prayer reached the highest level ever recorded during the pandemic. Multiple studies have found the power of religiosity and spirituality as psychological resources for crisis adaptability (de Diego-Cordero et al., 2022; Lucchetti et al., 2021; Roberto et al., 2020; Sharma et

al., 2017; Szałachowski & Tuszyńska-Bogucka, 2021). Hill's family crisis model (1958) incorporates the family's outlook on the stressor (as identified as C in the model) as an essential parameter in the adaptability journey of the family. The model suggests families who view hardship as "insurmountable" often crack under the stress of a crisis even when they have adequate resources to tackle the crisis. In a similar vein, this study also affirms that working women tried to stay positive through these psychological resources during the pandemic, and viewed it as an opportunity for growth and self-reflection.

Implications of the study

This paper has several academic and policy contributions. Firstly, this study participates in the discourse of the crisis adaptability of women, which has not been studied in the context of Bangladeshi professional women. Additionally, the paper uses Hill's ABCX family crisis framework in the context of stress and adjustments in a patriarchal society like Bangladesh. The study affirms the importance of family and organization support as adaptability resources through Hill's lens. Therefore, the study calls for reassessing traditional gender roles and advocates for shared responsibility in unpaid domestic care work between genders at home. It further reiterates the importance of employee- and gender-friendly policies in organizations. Women respondents in this study, particularly from larger organizations with more employees, reported having structured policies to support and empower women during times of crisis. In contrast, smaller organizations typically relied on more ad hoc approaches to provide such support. This points out the importance of adopting structural changes to make noticeable differences in gender commitment in organizations.

The organizations must inject gender sensitivity and awareness of women's "double shift" plight into their policy formulation. One of the impactful ways to bring such a vital change is to bring the perceptions of women into the decision-making through active promotion of female leadership and removal of the hurdles from the leadership path for junior women employees. The study reveals, because of the patriarchal and outdated viewpoints on job commitment and visibility, women's careers and morale face severe penalties at work. Thus, organizations should create a culture of accepting nonstandard working hours and locations embracing new working methods. This should perpetuate cultural change, shifting away from the traditional concept of working hours, which by its design disadvantages women who also provide childcare.

Another implication of the study is to give insights to policymakers and gender rights advocates for future crisis adaptabilities ensuring the gender development trajectory does not lose its momentum. Besides, this study might also induce policymakers to push for proactive interventions for long-term pandemic recovery efforts.

Conclusion

This paper aims to understand the crisis adaptability process of urban white-collar working women in Bangladesh. Collecting in-depth qualitative data from working women and gender experts and using the lens of Reuben Hill's ABCX family crisis model, the study reveals an overwhelming increase in workload for these women, both at home and at work, the importance of family and organization resources to tackle the crisis, and the various adaptability techniques adopted by these women during the pandemic of COVID-19. The findings suggest the importance of women-friendly policies at organizations and discarding outdated perceptions of visibility and career progression. The importance of coping resources – family, organizational, and psychological – is stressed for the adaptability of the urban white-collar working women in Bangladesh.

Acknowledgement

The interviews conducted in this study were part of the research funded by the Bureau of Economic Research (BER), Dhaka University.

References

- Addati, L., Cattaneo, U., Esquivel, V., & Valarino, I. (2018). *Care work and care jobs for the future of decent work*. International Labour Office.
- Affounneh, S., Abusalha, S., Salha, S., & Khlaif, Z. N. (2022). *The Impact of COVID-19 on Working Women*. 3rd international conference on women's studies, Malá Strana, Czechia. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359310943_The_Impact_of_COVID-19_on_Working_Women
- Alharthi, M. (2022). Parental Involvement in Children's Online Education During COVID-19; A Phenomenological Study in Saudi Arabia. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 51(2), 345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-021-01286-y>
- Alhas, A. M. (2020). *More 'family time' amid coronavirus isolation at home* [Media]. Anadolu Agency. <https://www.aa.com.tr/en/latest-on-coronavirus-outbreak/more-family-time-amid-coronavirus-isolation-at-home/1812529>
- Alon, T., Doepke, M., Olmstead-Rumsey, J., & Tertilt, M. (2020). *The Impact of COVID-19 on Gender Equality* (No. w26947; p. w26947). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w26947>

- Andrew, A., Cattan, S., Dias, M. C., Farquharson, C., Kraftman, L., Krutikova, S., Phimister, A., & Sevilla, A. (2020). *How are mothers and fathers balancing work and family under lockdown?* Institute for Fiscal Studies. <https://ifs.org.uk/publications/how-are-mothers-and-fathers-balancing-work-and-family-under-lockdown>
- Asian Development Bank (ADB) & UN Women. (2022). *The lingering gendered effects of the COVID-19 pandemic in Asia and the Pacific* (p. 42).
- Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS). (2018). *Gender Statistics of Bangladesh 2018* (p. 286). Bureau of Statistics, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of Bangladesh. https://bbs.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/bbs.portal.gov.bd/page/b343a8b4_956b_45ca_872f_4cf9b2f1a6e0/Gender%20Statistics%20of%20Bangladesh%202018.pdf
- Bentzen, J. (2020). *DP14824 In Crisis, We Pray: Religiosity and the COVID-19 Pandemic* (No. CEPR Discussion Paper No. 14824). CEPR Press. <https://cepr.org/publications/dp14824>
- Bianchi, S. M., Robinson, J. P., & Milkie, M. A. (2006). *Changing Rhythms of American Family Life*. Russell Sage Foundation. <https://www.russellsage.org/publications/changing-rhythms-american-family-life-1>
- Boca, D. D., Oggero, N., Profeta, P., & Rossi, M. (2020). Women's and men's work, housework and childcare, before and during COVID-19. *Review of Economics of the Household*, 18(4), 1001–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-020-09502-1>
- Bridges, S., Lawson, D., & Begum, S. (2011). Labour Market Outcomes in Bangladesh: The Role of Poverty and Gender Norms. *The European Journal of Development Research*, 23(3), 459–487. https://econpapers.repec.org/article/paleurjdr/v_3a23_3ay_3a2011_3ai_3a3_3ap_3a459-487.htm
- Burr, W. R. (1973). *Theory Construction and the Sociology of the Family*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Carli, L. L. (2020). Women, Gender equality and COVID-19. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 35(7/8), 647–655. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-07-2020-0236>
- Carlson, D. L., Petts, R. J., & Pepin, J. R. (2022). Changes in US Parents' Domestic Labor During the Early Days of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Sociological Inquiry*, 92(3), 1217–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soin.12459>
- Carrillo, P. (2024, May 14). *Women with young children have much lower labour force participation rates*. ILOSTAT. <https://ilostat.ilo.org/blog/women-with-young-children-have-much-lower-labour-force-participation-rates/>
- Chauhan, P. (2021). Gendering COVID-19: Impact of the Pandemic on Women's Burden of Unpaid Work in India. *Gender Issues*, 38(4), 395–419. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12147-020-09269-w>
- Collins, C., Landivar, L. C., Ruppner, L., & Scarborough, W. J. (2021). COVID-19 and the gender gap in work hours. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 28(S1), 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12506>
- Craig, L., & Churchill, B. (2021). Working and Caring at Home: Gender Differences in the Effects of Covid-19 on Paid and Unpaid Labor in Australia. *Feminist Economics*, 27(1–2), 310–326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2020.1831039>
- de Diego-Cordero, R., Ávila-Mantilla, A., Vega-Escano, J., Lucchetti, G., & Badanta, B. (2022). The Role of Spirituality and Religiosity in Healthcare During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Integrative Review of the Scientific Literature. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 61(3), 2168–2197. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-022-01549-x>

- Del Boca, D., Oggero, N., Profeta, P., & Rossi, M. (2020). Women's and men's work, housework and childcare, before and during COVID-19. *Review of Economics of the Household*, 18(4), 1001–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-020-09502-1>
- Depalo, D. (2023). The differential impact by gender of the Covid-19 pandemic on the labor outcomes of older adults | SERIEs. *SERIE*, 14, 503–553. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13209-023-00289-9>
- Dulk, L. D., Peper, B., Kanjuo Mrčela, A., & Ignjatović, M. (2016). Supervisory support in Slovenian and Dutch organizations: A contextualizing approach. *Community, Work & Family*, 19(2), 193–212. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13668803.2015.1134127>
- Ehsan, S. Md. A., & Jahan, F. (2022). Analysing the impact of COVID-19 on the mothers of Bangladesh: Hearing the unheard. *Journal of Public Health*, 30(12), 2869–2882. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-021-01501-5>
- Farré, L., Fawaz, Y., González, L., & Graves, J. (2022). Gender Inequality in Paid and Unpaid Work During Covid-19 Times. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 68(2), 323–347. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/bla/revinw/v68y2022i2p323-347.html>
- Fauzi, U. U. M., & Mohd, I. H. (2023). Approach to Remote Working: Perceptions, Visibility at Workplace, and the Impact on the Career Progression. *Social and Management Research Journal*, 20(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.24191/smrj.v20i1.22173>
- Field, E., Krivkovich, A., Kügele, S., Robinson, N., & Yee, L. (2023). *Women in the Workplace*. McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/diversity-and-inclusion/women-in-the-workplace#/>
- Fisher, A. N., & Ryan, M. K. (2021). Gender inequalities during COVID-19. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 24(2), 237–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430220984248>
- Fisher, H. (1999). *The First Sex: The Natural Talents of Women and How They Are Changing the World*. Random House, Inc.
- Gonzalez, M. R., Brown, S. A., Pelham III, W. E., Bodison, S. C., McCabe, C., Baker, F. C., Baskin-Sommers, A., Dick, A. S., Dowling, G. J., Gebreselassie, S., Guillaume, M., Marshall, A. T., Sheth, C., Sowell, E. R., Rinsveld, A. V., & Tapert, S. F. (2022). Family Well-Being During the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Risks of Financial Insecurity and Coping. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 33(1), 43–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12776>
- Goode, W. J. (1960). A theory of role strain. *American Sociological Review*, 25, 483–496. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2092933>
- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How Many Interviews Are Enough?: An Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability. *Field Methods*, 18(1), 59–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903>
- Gutek, B. A., Searle, S., & Klepa, L. (1991). Rational versus gender role explanations for work-family conflict. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76(4), 560–568. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.76.4.560>
- Habib, A. (2021, December 27). Six banks cut salary costs to safeguard profits. *The Daily Star*. <https://www.thedailystar.net/business/economy/news/six-banks-cut-salary-costs-safeguard-profits-2926431>
- Henderson, A., Harmon, S., & Newman, H. (2016). The Price Mothers Pay, Even When They Are Not Buying It: Mental Health Consequences of Idealized Motherhood. *Sex Roles*, 74(11), 512–526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-015-0534-5>

- Hennekam, S., & Shymko, Y. (2020). Coping with the COVID-19 crisis: *Force majeure* and gender performativity. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 27(5), 788–803. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12479>
- Hill, R. (1949). *Families Under Stress: Adjustment to the Crises of War Separation and Reunion*. Harper and Brothers.
- Hill, R. (1958). Generic Features of Families under Stress. *Social Casework*, 39(2–3), 139–150. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1044389458039002-318>
- Hjálmsdóttir, A., & Bjarnadóttir, V. S. (2021). “I have turned into a foreman here at home”: Families and work–life balance in times of COVID-19 in a gender equality paradise. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 28(1), 268–283. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12552>
- Hochschild, A. R., & Machung, A. (1989). *The Second Shift: Working Parents and the Revolution at Home*. Viking.
- Jahanshahi, A. A., Dinani, M. M., Madavani, A. N., Li, J., & Zhang, S. X. (2020). The distress of Iranian adults during the Covid-19 pandemic – More distressed than the Chinese and with different predictors. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 87, 124–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.081>
- Kabeer, N. (1997). Women, Wages and Intra-household Power Relations in Urban Bangladesh. *Development and Change*, 28(2), 261–302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00043>
- Kallitsoglou, A., & Topalli, P.-Z. (2024). Home-schooling and caring for children during the COVID-19 lockdown in the UK: Emotional states, systems of support and coping strategies in working mothers. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2024.1168465>
- Katikireddi, S. V., Hainey, K. J., & Beale, S. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on different population subgroups: Ethnic, gender and age-related disadvantage. *The Journal of the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh*, 51(S1), S40–S46. <https://doi.org/10.4997/JRCPE.2021.240>
- King, N., Horrocks, C., & Brooks, J. (2019). *Interviews in qualitative research*. Sage Publications Ltd. <https://research.manchester.ac.uk/en/publications/interviews-in-qualitative-research>
- Kundra, S., Sreen, N., & Dwivedi, R. (2023). Impact of Work from Home and Family Support on Indian Women’s Work Productivity During COVID-19. *Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers*, 48(1), 39–53. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02560909231162918>
- Latika, K. Z. A., Barua, J., Manzur, M. M., Shraboan, A. K., & Islam, M. T. (2021, June 19). Young Domestic Workers in Bangladesh Face the Economic Fallout from COVID-19. *BRAC Institute of Governance and Development*. <https://bigd.bracu.ac.bd/young-domestic-workers-in-bangladesh-face-the-economic-fallout-from-covid-19/>
- Leroy, S., Schmidt, A. M., & Madjar, N. (2021). Working from home during COVID-19: A study of the interruption landscape. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(10), 1448–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000972>
- Lopez-Leon, S., Forero, D. A., & Ruiz-Díaz, P. (2020). Recommendations for working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic (and beyond). *Work*, 66(2), 371–375. <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-203187>
- Lucchetti, G., Góes, L. G., Amaral, S. G., Ganadjian, G. T., Andrade, I., Almeida, P. O. de A., do Carmo, V. M., & Manso, M. E. G. (2021). Spirituality, religiosity and the mental health consequences of social isolation during Covid-19 pandemic. *The International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 67(6), 672–679. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764020970996>

- Madray, J. S. (2020). The Impact of COVID-19 on Event Management Industry. *International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology*, 5(3), 533–535. <https://doi.org/10.33564/IJEAST.2020.v05i03.089>
- Mäntylä, T. (2013). Gender Differences in Multitasking Reflect Spatial Ability. *Psychological Science*, 24(4), 514–520. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612459660>
- Manzo, L. K. C., & Minello, A. (2020). Mothers, childcare duties, and remote working under COVID-19 lockdown in Italy: Cultivating communities of care. *Dialogues in Human Geography*, 10(2), 120–123. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2043820620934268>
- McCubbin, H. I., & Patterson, J. M. (1983). The Family Stress Process: The Double ABCX Model of Adjustment and Adaptation. *Marriage & Family Review*, 6(1–2), 7–37. https://doi.org/10.1300/J002v06n01_02
- McDonald, P., Bradley, L., & Brown, K. (2008). Visibility in the workplace: Still an essential ingredient for career success? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(12), 2198–2215. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190802479447>
- Megatsari, H., Laksono, A. D., Ibad, M., Herwanto, Y. T., Sarweni, K. P., Geno, R. A. P., & Nugraheni, E. (2020). The community psychosocial burden during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Heliyon*, 6(10), e05136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05136>
- Mehta, B., & Sam, E. (2021). Work from Home (WFH) during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A New Challenge to Human Resource Department in relation to Women Employees in India. In B. Mehta (Ed.), *The Impact of COVID-19 on Human Resource Management* (pp. 139–144). Proud Pen Limited. https://doi.org/10.51432/978-1-8381524-4-4_11
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (2nd ed., pp. xiv, 338). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Moore, D. W., & Trout, B. T. (1978). Military Advancement: The Visibility Theory of Promotion. *American Political Science Review*, 72(2), 452–468. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1954104>
- Moreira da Silva, J. (2019, March 18). *Why you should care about unpaid care work*. OECD Development Matters. <https://oecd-development-matters.org/2019/03/18/why-you-should-care-about-unpaid-care-work/>
- Murtuza, H. (2020, June 16). Bank officials dissatisfied as owners' assoc suggests 15pc salary cut. *New Age*. <https://www.newagebd.net/article/108575/bank-officials-dissatisfied-as-owners-assoc-suggests-15pc-salary-cut>
- Nursetiawati, S., Siregar, J. S., & Josua, D. P. (2023). Understanding adaptability in the family environment in facing COVID-19: A review. *Heliyon*, 9(11), e20618. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e20618>
- Offer, S., & Schneider, B. (2011). Revisiting the Gender Gap in Time-Use Patterns: Multitasking and Well-Being among Mothers and Fathers in Dual-Earner Families. *American Sociological Review*, 76(6), 809–833. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122411425170>
- Parlak, S., Celebi Cakiroglu, O., & Oksuz Gul, F. (2021). Gender roles during COVID-19 pandemic: The experiences of Turkish female academics. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 28(S2), 461–483. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12655>
- Patterson, J. M. (1988). Families experiencing stress: The Family Adjustment and Adaptation Response Model. *Family Systems Medicine*, 6(2), 202–237. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0089739>

- Patterson, J. M. (2002). Integrating Family Resilience and Family Stress Theory. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 64(2), 349–360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2002.00349.x>
- Pease, A., & Pease, B. (2003). *Why Men Can Only Do One Thing at a Time and Women Never Stop Talking*. Orion Books Ltd.
- Power, K. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has increased the care burden of women and families. *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy*, 16(1), 67–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2020.1776561>
- Pozzan, E., & Cattaneo, U. (2020). *COVID-19: Protecting workers in the workplace. Women health workers: Working relentlessly in hospitals and at home*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.18747.36640>
- Qian, Y., & Fuller, S. (2020). COVID-19 and the Gender Employment Gap among Parents of Young Children. *Canadian Public Policy*, 46(S2), S89–S101. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cpp.2020-077>
- Quisumbing, A. R., Kumar, N., & Behrman, J. A. (2018). Do shocks affect men's and women's assets differently? Evidence from Bangladesh and Uganda. *Development Policy Review*, 36(1), 3–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12235>
- Qutami, M., & Hamdan, Y. (2023). Covid- 19 and gender inequality: A critique of the working woman's struggle. *Heliyon*, 9(11), e21852. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21852>
- Ralph, B. C. W., Thomson, D. R., Cheyne, J. A., & Smilek, D. (2014). Media multitasking and failures of attention in everyday life. *Psychological Research*, 78(5), 661–669. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-013-0523-7>
- Reichelt, M., Makovi, K., & Sargsyan, A. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on gender inequality in the labor market and gender-role attitudes. *European Societies*, 23(sup1), S228–S245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2020.1823010>
- Richardson, J., & Kelliher, C. (2015). *Chapter 8: Managing visibility for career sustainability: a study of remote workers*. <https://www.elgaronline.com/display/edcoll/9781782547020/9781782547020.00013.xml>
- Roberto, A., Sellon, A., Cherry, S. T., Hunter-Jones, J., & Winslow, H. (2020). Impact of spirituality on resilience and coping during the COVID-19 crisis: A mixed-method approach investigating the impact on women. *Health Care for Women International*, 41(11–12), 1313–1334. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07399332.2020.1832097>
- Salway, S., Rahman, S., & Jesmin, S. (2003). A Profile of Women's Work Participation Among the Urban Poor of Dhaka. *World Development*, 31(5), 881–901. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/wdevel/v31y2003i5p881-901.html>
- Santos, M. H., Rosa, M., Correia, R. B., & Xavier, E. (2021). Gender roles and dynamics in COVID-19 times: Changes and continuities in sharing arrangements of housework and caregiving. *PSICOLOGIA*, 35(1), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.17575/psicologia.v35i1.1688>
- Saqui, F. Z., & Mehjabeen, M. (2023). Impact of COVID-19 on White-Collar Working Women in Bangladesh. *Journal of Business Administration*, 44(1), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.58964/JBA44N103>
- Sarker, M. R. (2021). Labor market and unpaid works implications of COVID-19 for Bangladeshi women. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 28(S2), 597–604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12587>

- Sharma, V., Marin, D. B., Koenig, H. K., Feder, A., Iacoviello, B. M., Southwick, S. M., & Pietrzak, R. H. (2017). Religion, spirituality, and mental health of U.S. military veterans: Results from the National Health and Resilience in Veterans Study. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 217*, 197–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.03.071>
- Silverman, D., & Marvasti, A. (2008). *Doing qualitative research: A comprehensive guide* (pp. xviii, 555). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Sriharan, A., Ratnapalan, S., Tricco, A. C., Lupea, D., Ayala, A. P., Pang, H., & Lee, D. D. (2020). Occupational Stress, Burnout, and Depression in Women in Healthcare During COVID-19 Pandemic: Rapid Scoping Review. *Frontiers in Global Women's Health, 1*, 596690. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgwh.2020.596690>
- Staniscuaski, F., Kmetzsch, L., Soletti, R. C., Reichert, F., Zandonà, E., Ludwig, Z. M. C., Lima, E. F., Neumann, A., Schwartz, I. V. D., Mello-Carpes, P. B., Tamajusuku, A. S. K., Werneck, F. P., Ricachenevsky, F. K., Infanger, C., Seixas, A., Staats, C. C., & de Oliveira, L. (2021). Gender, Race and Parenthood Impact Academic Productivity During the COVID-19 Pandemic: From Survey to Action. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.663252>
- Stoet, G., O'Connor, D. B., Conner, M., & Laws, K. R. (2013). Are women better than men at multi-tasking? *BMC Psychology, 1*(1), 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2050-7283-1-18>
- Sumalatha, B. S., Bhat, L. D., & Chitra, K. P. (2021). Impact of Covid-19 on Informal Sector: A Study of Women Domestic Workers in India. *The Indian Economic Journal, 69*(3), 441–461. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00194662211023845>
- Szałachowski, R. R., & Tuszyńska-Bogucka, W. (2021). “Yes, in Crisis We Pray”. The Role of Prayer in Coping with Pandemic Fears. *Religions, 12*(10), Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12100824>
- Tayal, D., & Mehta, A. K. (2023). The Struggle to Balance Work and Family Life During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Insights Based on the Situations of Working Women in Delhi. *Journal of Family Issues, 44*(6), 1423–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X211058817>
- Toffoletti, K., & Starr, K. (2016). Women academics and work–life balance: Gendered discourses of work and care. *Gender, Work and Organization, 23*(5), 489–504. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12133>
- Uddin, M. (2021). Addressing work-life balance challenges of working women during COVID-19 in Bangladesh. *International Social Science Journal, 71*(239–240), 7–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/issj.12267>
- Uddin, M., Ali, K. B., & Khan, M. A. (2020a). Perceived Social Support (PSS) and Work-Life Balance (WLB) in a Developing Country: The Moderating Impact of Work-Life Policy. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Management Studies (Formerly Known as Iranian Journal of Management Studies), 13*(4), 733–761. <https://doi.org/10.22059/ijms.2020.282543.673663>
- Uddin, M., Ali, K., & Khan, M. A. (2020b). Impact of Perceived Family Support, Workplace Support, and Work-Life Balance Policies on Work-Life Balance Among Female Bankers in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting, 28*(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.31436/ijema.v28i1.769>
- UN Women. (2020, April 29). *Surveys show that COVID-19 has gendered effects in Asia and the Pacific*. UN Women Data Hub. <https://data.unwomen.org/resources/surveys-show-covid-19-has-gendered-effects-asia-and-pacific>

- Weber, R. P. (1990). *Basic Content Analysis* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Wenham, C., Smith, J., & Morgan, R. (2020). COVID-19: The gendered impacts of the outbreak. *The Lancet*, 395(10227), 846–848. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30526-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30526-2)
- Women’s Budget Group. (2020). *ONS new data on time use and parenting in lockdown*. Women’s Budget Group. <https://wbg.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/ONS-new-data-on-time-use-and-parenting-in-lockdown.pdf>
- World Economic Forum. (2021). *Global Gender Gap Report 2021* (p. 405). World Economic Forum. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_GGGR_2021.pdf
- Yamamura, E., & Tsustsui, Y. (2021). The impact of closing schools on working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence using panel data from Japan. *Review of Economics of the Household*, 19(1), 41–60. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-020-09536-5>
- Yang, C., Gao, H., Li, Y., Wang, E., Wang, N., & Wang, Q. (2022). Analyzing the role of family support, coping strategies and social support in improving the mental health of students: Evidence from post COVID-19. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1064898>

