

Original Article

Preliminary Report on a Comparative Study on Patients' Satisfaction in Private and Public Hospital in the Perspective of Prevailing Health Management Constraints in Rajshahi

A R M Saifuddin Ekram¹, M Fazlur Rahman², S Abdullah Salim³, M Jawadul Haque⁴

Abstract

Assessment of patient satisfaction is required to help to improve health system performance and promote better governance of the hospital services. Despite resource constraints health personnel in private health care delivery centers are providing satisfactory health services than public hospitals. In the face of ever increasing demand for health care services strength, weakness and future prospects of private health care facilities should be evaluated and appraised. On the other hand health care delivery in public hospitals should be closely monitored for further improvement to meet the demands of people.

TAJ 2008; 21(1): 23-30

Introduction

Patient satisfaction has become an established indicator of the quality of health care yet, despite the abundance of measurement surveys reported in literature. there are few the theoretical underpinnings for this important concept. A review of the medical literature relating to the term "patient satisfaction" shows little research on the topic in the 1960s and 1970s. However, things began to pick up dramatically in the early 1980s. Between 1980 and 1996, there was a five-fold increase in the number of articles devoted to this topic. Why this increasing interest? Perhaps it was a natural outgrowth of the consumer movement begun in the 1960s and 1970s. Or maybe it reflected the maturation of the family medicine research agenda. Equally plausible might be the emerging competitiveness of managed care, which led to using patient satisfaction surveys to distinguish between providers.¹

Though a significant number of researches have focused this topic, however, there are no universally accepted means of measuring patient satisfaction. A review of recent studies reveals some interesting findings. Satisfaction has been shown to be related directly to patient expectations; however, intuitive physician judgments about patient expectations may not correlate with true expectations. Further, patient satisfaction may not correlate with the level of clinical outcome. Recent advances have changed our understanding of this complex field.²

It is worth noting that most patient-satisfaction studies are based on patients' experiences at onetime encounters rather than their experiences over time. In addition, discussions in the literature make it clear that quality of care is not what is being measured in patient surveys. In fact, many surveys intentionally avoid asking patients how

¹ Professor, Department of Medicine, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.

² Professor, Department of Pathology (Retd), Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.

³ Course Co-ordinator, Edward University, Dhaka Study Centre, Dhaka.

⁴ Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Dinajpur Medical College, Dinajpur.

they feel about the quality of their care, presumably because patients are not in a position to judge their physician's technical skill. It appears that what's being measured is typically a combination of the patient's expectation before the visit, the patient's experience at the visit and the extent to which the patient experienced a resolution of the symptoms that led him or her to make the visit.³

While the literature contains a number of subject of contradictions on the patient satisfaction, it also offers a number of compelling reasons for working to improve satisfaction among our patients. Studies support the idea that patients who get better are (not surprisingly) satisfied with their care. One study, in which researchers followed up with patients three weeks after they were seen, found that most were better, but those who were still symptomatic were still worried, had unmet expectations and had lower satisfaction.⁴ African Americans with type-2 diabetes who were most satisfied with the helpfulness of their physicians and nurses were significantly less likely to use the emergency room.⁵ Patients who reported being treated with dignity and who were involved in decisions were more satisfied and more adherent to their doctor's recommendations.⁶ Patient satisfaction surveys of inpatient physician performance showed an inverse relationship between satisfaction and risk management episodes.7

Objectives

The main objectives of this study are:

- To estimate the indices of patient satisfaction.
- To identify and report on the perceived strengths and weaknesses of the health care services provided to the patients in hospitals/clinics.
- To provide hospitals the information about their quality improvement initiatives with respect to services provided to the patients.
- To help the management to take appropriate allocative and managerial decisions for utilization of public hospitals by people who need them most and to improve the quality of their services.

Patients and method

The survey has been conducted over 200 patients in different hospitals / clinics of Rajshahi over 6 months. Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire (PSQ) was used as the research instrument. Participants marked tick in the box (from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree") that corresponds most closely to their response to each statement. Responses were coded 1–5 from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree". Negatively worded questions were reverse scored (so that 1 = 5, etc) so that in all cases a low score indicate satisfaction.

Results

Total 200 cases were enrolled. In the group-A 100 cases were from public hospital and in the group-B 100 cases were selected from private clinics in Rajshahi. In the group-A the mean age $(\pm SD)$ of the patients was $33.55 (\pm 15.13)$ years whereas in the Group-B the mean age $(\pm SD)$ of the patients was 33.17 (±16.23) (Table-1). Regarding age, religion, education and marital status no significant difference were noted among the patients admitted in public and private hospitals. But there was significant differences regarding sex, occupation and monthly income among the patients admitted in public and private hospitals. More male patients were admitted in public hospital than female whereas more females were admitted in private clinics (Table-2, 3, 4, 5 and 6). Mean monthly income $(\pm SD)$ of the patients admitted in public hospital was Tk. 4986.00± 2385.563 whereas that of the private clinics was Tk. 5472.50± 2640.28 (Table-7).

Table-	1:	Age
--------	----	-----

Study site	N	Mean (Years)	Std. Deviation	Sig.
RMCH	100	33.55	15.125	
Private Clinics	100	33.17	16.225	.864
Total	200	33.36	15.647	

Table-2: Sex distribution

		St	udy site	Total (%)	C' -
		RMCH (%)	Private Clinics (%)		Sig.
Sex	Male	73	61	134	
	Female	27	39	66	.072
Total		100	100	200	

Table-3: Religion

		S	Study site		Study site To		C !~
		RMCH (%)	Private Clinics (%)	-	Sig.		
Religion	Muslim	87	89	176			
	Hindu	9	11	20			
	Christian	2	0	2	.179		
	Others	2	0	2			
Total		100	100	200			

Table-4: Education

		S	tudy site	Total (%)	Sta
		RMCH (%)	Private Clinics (%)		Sig.
Education	No education	26	25	51	
	Primary	32	27	59	
	SSC+HSC	34	37	71	.271
	Degree+	8	11	19	
Total		100	100	200	

Table-5: Occupation

		S	Study site	Total (%)	C :
		RMCH (%)	Private Clinics (%)		Sig.
Occupation	Government service	4	7	11	
	Non-government service	4	7	11	
	Farmer	29	22	51	
	Business	14	15	29	
	Laborer	8	4	12	000
	Housewife	20	27	47	.890
	Unemployed	2	1	3	
	Others	19	17	36	
Total		100	100	200	

Table-6: Marital status

		St	udy site		
		RMCH (%)	Private Clinics (%)	Total (%)	Sig.
Marital status	Married	66	68	134	
	Unmarried	34	30	64	.793
	Divorced	0	2	2	
Total		100	100	200	
Table-7:	Monthly incom	ne			
Study sit	e	Ν	Mean (Taka)	Std. Deviation	Sig.
RMCH		100	4986.00	2385.563	
Private C	linics	100	5472.50	2640.280	.173
Total		200	5229.25	2521.636	

Table-8: Satisfaction analysis

			Ν	Mean score	Std. Error	Sig.
1.	Doctors behavior	RMCH	100	2.02	.028	
		Private Clinics	100	2.00	.014	.529
		Total	200	2.01	.016	
2.	Nurses behavior	RMCH	100	2.12	.052	
		Private Clinics	100	2.10	.036	.752
		Total	200	2.11	.032	
3.	Cleanliness	RMCH	100	2.56	.092	
		Private Clinics	100	2.24	.065	.005
		Total	200	2.40	.058	
1.	Environmental quietness	RMCH	100	2.81	.097	
		Private Clinics	100	2.37	.073	.000
		Total	200	2.59	.063	
5.	Patients receive the best care from the staff	RMCH	100	2.47	.086	
		Private Clinics	100	2.16	.049	.002
		Total	200	2.32	.050	
6.	Easy to speak to my doctor	RMCH	100	3.95	.026	
	5 I 5	Private Clinics	100	3.40	.091	.000
		Total	200	3.68	.051	
7.	Doctor clearly explains	RMCH	100	2.42	.081	
<i>.</i>	Doctor crourly explains	Private Clinics	100	2.06	.034	.000
		Total	200	2.24	.046	.000
8.	Getting appointment at a convenient time	RMCH	100	2.24	.040	
0.	Octaining appointment at a convenient time	Private Clinics	100	2.44	.065	.058
		Total	200	2.24	.005	.050
0	Nurses do no things carefully	RMCH	100	3.31	.095	
9. N	Nuises do no unings cateruny	Private Clinics	100	3.76	.093	.000
			200			.000
10	De stan tasta ta fin l'automana	Total RMCH		3.54 2.09	.059	
10.	Doctor tests to find out wrong		100 99			0.02
		Private Clinics		2.09	.038	.987
1.1		Total	199	2.09	.028	
11.	Faith and confidence in the doctors	RMCH	100	1.69	.061	0.01
		Private Clinics	100	1.88	.033	.007
		Total	200	1.79	.035	
12.	Doctor advice over the telephone	RMCH	100	3.93	.033	
		Private Clinics	100	3.38	.093	.000
		Total	200	3.66	.053	
13.	Doctor does not tell me enough about treatment	RMCH	98	3.19	.099	
		Private Clinics	100	3.66	.074	.000
		Total	198	3.43	.064	
14.	Doctor explain future health	RMCH	100	3.72	.070	
		Private Clinics	99	3.96	.028	.002
		Total	199	3.84	.039	
15.	Get advice over the telephone	RMCH	100	3.98	.014	
	-	Private Clinics	99	3.39	.093	.000
		Total	199	3.69	.051	
16.	Doctor is very careful when examining	RMCH	100	2.05	.039	
		Private Clinics	100	2.02	.020	.49
		Total	200	2.04	.022	
17.	Satisfied with hours service	RMCH	100	2.22	.063	
		Private Clinics	100	2.19	.053	.71
		Total	200	2.21	.041	., 1
18	Doctor is always interested	RMCH	100	2.09	.035	
- 0.	2 sets: is arrays interested	Private Clinics	99	2.09	.025	.249
		Total	199	2.04	.025	·277
			100	2.07	.021	
10	Good facilities for dealing with emergencies					
19.	Good facilities for dealing with emergencies	RMCH Private Clinics	100	3.11	.049	.000

20.	Doctor always asks my illness	RMCH	100	3.72	.070	
		Private Clinics	100	3.84	.055	.177
		Total	200	3.78	.044	
21.	I have not been given enough information	RMCH	100	3.53	.083	
		Private Clinics	100	3.68	.074	.179
		Total	200	3.61	.056	
22.	Satisfied with my doctor	RMCH	100	3.63	.080	0.50
		Private Clinics	100	3.82	.056	.053
		Total	200	3.73	.049	
23.	Nurse does not always listen	RMCH	100	3.42	.090	0.1
		Private Clinics	100	3.71	.069	.01
		Total	200	3.57	.057	
24.	One or two things about surgery, I am not happy	RMCH	2	3.00	1.000	21/
		Private Clinics	100	3.59	.081	.312
		Total	102	3.58	.081	
25.	Waiting room is uncomfortable	RMCH	23	2.26	.144	
		Private Clinics	48	2.69	.130	.049
		Total	71	2.55	.102	
26.	Receptionists explain things clearly	RMCH	100	3.55	.082	~~~
		Private Clinics	100	3.13	.097	.00
		Total	200	3.34	.065	
27.	Doctor makes me feel wasting time	RMCH	99	3.80	.059	
		Private Clinics	100	3.96	.028	.014
		Total	199	3.88	.033	
28.	I don't feel confident discussing my problems	RMCH	100	3.62	.078	
		Private Clinics	100	3.82	.058	.040
		Total	200	3.72	.049	
29.	Appointments are easy	RMCH	100	2.44	.083	
		Private Clinics	100	2.19	.058	.01
		Total	200	2.32	.051	
30.	Doctor want to get rid of me	RMCH	100	3.85	.052	
		Private Clinics	100	3.93	.036	.20
		Total	200	3.89	.032	
31.	Doctor gives me every chance to talk	RMCH	100	2.16	.053	
		Private Clinics	100	2.10	.044	.382
		Total	200	2.13	.034	
32.	Doctor fails to appreciate how ill I am	RMCH	100	3.72	.070	
		Private Clinics	99	3.84	.055	.18
		Total	199	3.78	.045	
33.	Doctor shows interest in my problems	RMCH	100	2.14	.051	
		Private Clinics	100	2.12	.046	.77
		Total	200	2.13	.034	
34.	Receptionists ask patients the right questions	RMCH	26	3.85	.107	
		Private Clinics	25	3.56	.164	.14′
		Total	51	3.71	.098	
35.	Not enough seats in the waiting room	RMCH	24	2.00	.000	
		Private Clinics	50	2.74	.130	.00
	.	Total	74	2.50	.097	
36.	Doctor does everything diagnosis	RMCH	100	2.04	.028	_
		Private Clinics	99	2.02	.020	.56
		Total	199	2.03	.017	
37.	Nurse is always very reassuring	RMCH	100	2.41	.081	_
		Private Clinics	100	2.18	.052	.01
		Total	200	2.30	.048	
38.	Doctor always puts me at case	RMCH	98	2.14	.050	
		Private Clinics	100	2.04	.024	.06
		Total	198	2.09	.028	
39.	Waiting room seats are uncomfortable	RMCH	25	2.16	.111	
		Private Clinics	50	2.68	.129	.01
		Total	75	2.51	.097	

40.	See the doctor of my choice	RMCH	100	3.91	.040	
	·	Private Clinics	100	3.36	.094	.000
		Total	200	3.64	.055	
11.	Doctor is very understanding	RMCH	100	2.08	.037	
		Private Clinics	100	2.13	.049	.412
		Total	200	2.11	.030	
2.	When the doctor is busy I am examined properly	RMCH	100	2.15	.052	
		Private Clinics	100	2.04	.028	.064
		Total	200	2.10	.030	
3.	Speak to a receptionist privately	RMCH	99	3.67	.070	
		Private Clinics	100	3.57	.078	.359
		Total	199	3.62	.053	
4.	Doctor knows when tests are necessary	RMCH	100	2.02	.014	
	·····,	Private Clinics	99	2.01	.010	.569
		Total	199	2.02	.009	
5	Nurse makes me feel wasting his/her time	RMCH	99	3.69	.070	
5.	Turse makes me feel wasting ms her time	Private Clinics	99	3.84	.049	.07
		Total	198	3.76	.043	.07
6	Do not feel rushed when I am with doctor	RMCH	100	2.10	.045	
у.	20 not reer rushed when I am with doctor	Private Clinics	100	2.10	.039	.73
		Total	200	2.09	.029	.15
7	Reason for medical tests	RMCH	98	2.09	.029	
	Reason for medical tests	Private Clinics	100	2.70	.098	.01
		Total	100	2.44	.064	.010
0	Complete medical care	RMCH	198	2.00	.072	
0.	Complete medical care					61
		Private Clinics	100	2.54	.069	.61
0		Total	200	2.52	.050	
19.	If their diagnosis is correct	RMCH	100	3.81	.054	01/
		Private Clinics	100	3.96	.024	.01
10		Total	200	3.89	.030	
0.	Emergency treatment	RMCH	100	2.70	.095	-
		Private Clinics	99	2.74	.096	.78
		Total	199	2.72	.067	
51.	Courteous manner	RMCH	100	2.13	.051	
		Private Clinics	100	2.16	.051	.67
		Total	200	2.15	.036	
52.	Hurry too much when they treat me	RMCH	100	3.22	.098	
		Private Clinics	100	3.01	.100	.13
		Total	200	3.12	.070	
3.	Doctors sometimes ignore	RMCH	100	3.70	.072	
		Private Clinics	100	3.72	.070	.842
		Total	200	3.71	.050	
64.	Doubts about the ability of the doctor	RMCH	99	3.91	.032	
		Private Clinics	99	3.99	.010	.013
		Total	198	3.95	.017	
55.	Doctor usually spend plenty of time with me	RMCH	100	3.03	.101	
		Private Clinics	100	3.08	.098	.72
		Total	200	3.06	.070	
6.	Medical care right away	RMCH	100	3.06	.100	
		Private Clinics	100	2.86	.100	.15
		Total	200	2.96	.071	
57	Dissatisfied with some things about medical care	RMCH	100	3.02	.100	
/.	Dissuising with some times about incured cale	Private Clinics	100	3.19	.098	.22
		Total	200	3.19	.098	.220
2	Able to get medical care			3.80		
0.	Able to get medical care	RMCH Private Clinics	100		.060	01
		Private Clinics	100	3.82	.058	.81
		Total	200	3.81	.042	

• Significance tests are done by one way ANOVA test.

Regarding satisfaction parameter analysis, no significant differences were noted between public and private hospitals in relation to doctors behavior, nurses behavior, getting appointment at a convenient time, doctor tests to find out wrong, doctors examination procedure, hours of service, doctors interest, information sharing about disease, communication, receptionists behavior, nurses' attitude, emergency treatment, courteous manner and time spent by doctors with the patients. On the other hand significant differences were noted about cleanliness, environmental quietness, staff care, access to doctor, doctors explanation, nurses activity, faith and confidence in the doctors, doctor advice over the telephone, facilities for dealing with emergencies, nurses' attention, waiting room, receptionists behavior, medical tests and doctors competency (Table-8).

Discussion

Different studies have revealed that our common beliefs about medical services are: treatment seeking at public hospitals is a burden to the people in rural areas because of user charges and inadequate drug supply in hospital, doctors do not spend enough time with patients in public hospitals, drug supply in hospitals is inadequate, attendants are not allowed to accompany patients when doctors are on rounds but attendants understand & can explain the patient's condition better, behaviors of doctors, nurses and other supporting staff are not satisfactory.

of patient satisfaction Assessment allows doctors/general practitioners to investigate the extent to which their service meets the needs of their client group⁸. Questionnaires that assess specific aspects of service provision will enable the practitioner to identify aspects of the service where patients are less satisfied, and potentially improve these aspects of care9. Research has shown that satisfied patients are more likely to follow treatment instructions and medical advice, probably because they are more likely to believe that treatment will be effective¹⁰. They are also likely to change doctors and make less

complaints¹¹. It is therefore in the doctors/general practitioner's interest to know the extent of patient satisfaction with service provision¹². In our present survey regarding satisfaction parameter analysis, no significant differences were noted between public and private hospitals in relation to doctors behavior, nurses behavior, getting appointment at a convenient time, doctor tests to find out wrong, doctors examination procedure, hours of service, doctors interest, information sharing about disease, communication, receptionists behavior, nurses' attitude, emergency treatment, courteous manner and time spent by doctors with the patients. But significant differences were noted about cleanliness, environmental quietness, staff care, access to doctor, doctors' explanation, nurses' activity, faith and confidence in the doctors, doctor advice over the telephone, facilities for dealing with emergencies, nurses' attention, waiting room, receptionists behavior, medical tests and doctors competency between private and public hospitals. Despite resource constraints health personnel in private health care delivery centers are providing satisfactory health services than public hospitals. And health care delivery in public hospitals should be closely monitored for further improvement to meet the demands of people.

Conclusion

Despite resource constraints health personnel in private health care delivery centers are providing satisfactory health services than public hospitals. In the face of ever increasing demand for health care services strength, weakness and future prospects of private health care facilities should be evaluated and appraised. On the other hand health care delivery in public hospitals should be closely monitored for further improvement to meet the demands of people.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Dr. Mamun and Dr. Abdullah, Honorary Medical Officer, MU-I, Rajshahi Medical College Hospital for collection of data for this study.

References

- White B. Measuring patient satisfaction: how to do it and why to bother? Fam Pract Manag. 1999; 6(1):40-4. 2.1.
- 2. Nettleman. Patient satisfaction--what's new? Clin Perform Qual Health Care. 1998; 6(1):33-7.
- Thiedke C. What Do We Really Know About Patient Satisfaction? Fam Pract Manag. January 2007, Vol. 14, No. 1, pages 33-6.
- 4. Kroenke K, Jackson JL. Outcome in general medical patients presenting with common symptoms. *Fam Pract.* 1998; 15:398-403.
- Gary TL, Maiese EM, Batts-Turner M, Wang NY, Brancati FL. Patient satisfaction, preventive services and emergency room use among African-Americans with type-2 diabetes. *Dis Manag.* 2005; 8:361-371.
- Beach MC, Sugarman J, Johnson RL, Arbelaez JJ, Duggan PS, Cooper LA. Do patients treated with dignity report higher satisfaction, adherence and receipt of preventive care? *Ann Fam Med.* 2005; 3:331-338.

- Stelfox HT, Gandhi TK, Orav EJ, Gustafson ML. The relation of patient satisfaction with complaints against physicians and malpractice lawsuits. *Am J Med.* 2005; 118:1126-1133.
- 8. Avis M, Bond M, Arthur A. Satisfying solutions? A review of some unresolved issues in the measurement of patient satisfaction. J Advan Nurs 1995; 22:316–22.
- Harris LE, Swindle RW, Mungai SM, et al. Measuring patient satisfaction for quality improvement. Med Care 1999; 37:1207–13.
- Hardy GE, West MA, Hill F. Components and predictors of patient satisfaction. Br J Health Psychol 1996; 1:65–85.
- 11. Taylor SE. Health psychology. New York: McGraw Hill, 1995.
- Woodward CA, Ostbye T, Craighead J, et al. Patient satisfaction as an indicator of quality care in independent health facilities: developing and assessing a tool to enhance public accountability. Am J Med Qual 2000; 15:94–105.

All correspondence to: A R M Saifuddin Ekram Professor Department of Medicine Rajshahi Medical College Rajshahi.