Original Article

Low Birth Weight and Early Neonatal Health

M Khanum[1], M A Ullah[2], M I Bari[3]

Abstract

This was a prospective study conducted in a cohort of live normal full term singleton new-borns delivered in Rajshahi Medical College Hospital with the objective to explore their early neonatal health and as well as the role of low birth weight on it. A total 770 live normal new-borns were included in this study. For data collection APGAR Score Estimating Checklist and one pretested structured questionnaire were used. Simple descriptive as well as analytical techniques including Chi-square and t test were done.

The results of this study suggested that low birth weight (LBW) babies were more prone to develop early neonatal morbidity and mortality than normal birth weight (NBW) babies. LBW infants needed more resuscitation and responded less to resuscitative effort than the infants of NBW. Birth asphyxia was the commonest cause of early neonatal morbidity and mortality.

TAJ 2004; 17(1) : 1-5

Introduction

Globally 20 million infants per year are born with birth weight below 2500gm (LBW) corresponding to 17% of all births[1]. More than 19 million (95%) of these LBW infants are born in the developing countries. Bangladesh, India and Pakistan accounts for about 10 million LBW infants[1, 2]. LBW contributes to estimate 9.1 million infants death each year all over the world [3].

Birth weight is reliable and sensitive indicator for predicting the immediate or late outcome of a newborn. The birth weight of an infant is the single most important determinant of its survival, illness, growth and development[1, 7]. LBW infants are at increased risk of different types of early neonatal complications like birth asphyxia, failure to suck, infections, hypoglycemia, neonatal jaundice etc[8]. LBW is an important cause of perinatal, neonatal and post natal mortality and morbidity. About 60% of infants' deaths occur in the neonatal period in rural areas of Bangladesh, and most of them are related to LBW. Deaths of LBW infants are 30 times more frequent than deaths of newborns of normal birth weight[9].

Unfortunately, there is no separate neonatal unit under pediatric department of Rajshahi Medical College Hospital to face the neonatal problems. This study was done to evaluate the neonatal problem and as well as role of LBW in this existing set-up so that appropriate corrective measures can be taken to reduce the neonatal mortality and morbidity.

[1] Professor, Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.
[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.
[3] Associate Professor, Department of Paediatric, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.

Methodology

This was a prospective study conducted in Obstetrics and Gynecology department in Rajshahi Medical College Hospital (RMCH). This study recruited 770 full term singleton live new-borns. Exclusion criteria considered were congenital abnormality, any birth trauma, prolonged labour (>24 hours), toxaemia of pregnancy, antepartum haemorrhage or any other systemic disease of the mother like diabetics mellitus. Data were collected by APGAR Score Estimating Checklist and one pretested structured questionnaire. The APGAR Score of the new-borns was estimated just after birth by the APGAR Score Estimating Checklist. The questionnaire was designed to record birth weight, suckling ability, and any morbidity and mortality of the new-borns during early neonatal period (up to 7 days after birth) by hospital or both hospital and domiciliary follow up. Full term babies were primarily selected on the basis of their mother's last menstrual period date, and these were confirmed later on at any convenient time within 2 days of their birth by clinical examination scoring on the conditions of their skin texture, skin colour, breast size and ear firmness. APGAR Scores of new-borns were measured at 1 and 5 minute of their birth. Weights of the new-borns were measured at any convenient time within 1st hour of birth by Detecto type baby weight machine. Information regarding suckling ability of the new-borns during 1st hour of birth, incidence of any morbidity and mortality of the new-borns were collected by close hospital or domiciliary follow up of the new-borns, interviewing mothers and by consulting the concerned doctors. Descriptive & analytical techniques involving frequency distribution, computation of percentage, mean, SD etc were done. Association between quantitative and qualitative variables and birth weight was studied using chi-square test and t-test.

Results

Incidence of birth asphyxia among the LBW babies was 10.0%. It was only 1.4% among the NBW babies. The difference of the incidence of birth asphyxia between the LBW and NBW babies was statistically significant (p<. 000).

Table-I: Low birth weight and birth asphyxia

Level of birth weight (Kg)	Birth asphyxia		Total	P (χ^2)
	Present N (%)	Absent N (%)	No (%)	
< 2.5	42 (10.0)	373 (90.0)	420 (100.0)	.000
≥ 2.5	5 (1.4)	345 (98.6)	350 (100.0) (45.46)	
Total	47 (6.11)	723 (93.89)	770 (100.0) (100.0)	

Table-II: Low birth weight and APGAR score at 1 and 5 minutes

Birth weight (Kg)	Status of APGAR score						Total	P (χ^2)	
	0 – 3 (Severe depression)		4 – 6 (Mild depression)		7 – 10 (No depression)			1 min	5 min
	1 min. n (%)	5 min. n (%)	1 min. n (%)	5 min. n (%)	1 min. n (%)	5 min. n (%)			
<2.5	16 (3.8) (84.2)	6 (1.4) (100.0)	23 (5.5) (85.2)	12 (2.9) (75.0)	381 (90.7) (52.5)	402 (95.7) (53.7)	420 (100.0) (54.54)	.000	.019
≥ 2.5	3 (0.9) (15.8)	0 (0.0) (0.0)	4 (1.1) (14.8)	4 (1.1) (25.0)	343 (98.0) (47.5)	346 (98.9) (45.3)	350 (100.0) (45.46)		
Total	19 (2.47) (100.0)	6 (0.77) (100.0)	27 (3.51) (100.0)	16 (2.0) (100.0)	724 (94.02) (100.0)	748 (97.14) (100.0)	770 (100.0) (100.0)		

The status of APGAR scores for 770 full term normal live births at 1 and 5 minutes in different birth weight groups were shown in Table II. Out of 770 full term normal live births, 19 (2.47%) newborns were severely depressed (APGAR scores of 0-3) at 1 minute. Majority (84.21%) of them were LBW. At 5 minutes, out of 19, who were severely depressed at 1 minute, 6 (0.77%) were still remained severely depressed. All of the severely depressed newborns at 5 minutes were LBW. The mean APGAR scores were at 1 and 5 minute significantly higher among the LBW babies than that of NBW babies (Table III).

Table-III: Comparison of mean APGAR scores between LBW and NBW neonates.

Birth weight	Number N	APGAR score (Mean±SD)		t value		P	
		1 minute	5 minute	1 minute	5 minute	1 minute	5 minute
LBW	240	8.22±1.62	8.54±1.32	6.55	4.96	.000	.000
NBW	350	8.90±1.22	8.97±1.04				

Out of 420 LBW neonates, 46 (11.0%) had poor suckling ability within one hour of their birth. In comparison, it was 16 (4.6%) out of 350 NBW neonates. the difference in the proportions of poor suckling ability of these two birth weight groups was statistically significant (P = .001) (Table IV).

Table-IV: Low Birth weight and suckling ability of the neonates

Birth weight	Status of suckling ability		Total n (%)	P (χ^2)
Kg.	Good n (%)	Poor n (%)		
<2.5	374 (89.0)	46 (11.0)	420 (100.0) (54.54)	0.001
≥2.5	334 (95.4)	16 (4.5)	350 (100.0) 945.46)	
Total	708 (91.9)	62 (8.1)	770 (100.0) (100.0)	

Table-V: Episodes of morbidity during early neonatal period and birth weight of the neonates

Morbidity	Level of birth weight		Total n (%)
	LBW (<2.5 kg) n (%)	NBW (≥2.5 kg) n (%)	
Birth asphyxia	37 (78.72)	10 (21.28)	47 (100.0) (40.52)
Acute respiratory tract infection	14 (70.0)	6 (30.0)	20 (100.0) (17.24)
Diarrhoea	9 (64.29)	5 (35.71)	14 (100.0) (12.06)
Neonatal Jaundice	4 (33.33)	8 (66.67)	12 (100.0) (10.34)
Ophthalmological problem	5 (45.45)	6 (54.55)	11 (100.0) (9.48)
Skin problem	4 (50.0)	4 (50.0)	8 (100.0) (6.89)
Umbilical sepsis	2 (50.0)	2 (50.0)	4 (100.0) (3.45)
Total	75 (64.66)	41 (35.34)	116 (100.0) (100.0)

During early neonatal follow up, 17 neonates dropped out. Among the rest 753 babies, 7 (0.92%) died within first week of their life. Out of these dead babies, 5 (71.43%) were of the LBW group. Commonest (57.14%) cause of early neonatal death was birth asphyxia. Others causes of early neonatal death were acute respiratory infection (ARI) (28.58%) and sudden infant death syndrome (14.28%).

The mean episode of morbidity during early neonatal period was 0.15. The mean episode of morbidity among the LBW neonates (0-19) was significantly higher than that of NBW neonates (0.09) (t=3.15, p=. 002). The Table V showed that the morbidity were more among the LBW neonates than that of NBW neonates. Like mortality, birth asphyxia was also being identified as the commonest cause of morbidity during the early neonatal period of the infants.

Discussion

Birth asphyxia was the sensitive indicator to assess the health status of new born at birth. In the present study it was also found more than 6% though major risk factors like preterm, obstructed labour, toxaemia of pregnancy etc were controlled during selection of the study subjects. It was too high compared to that in developed countries like in UK (0.6%) [10.] Even it was also remarkably high compared to that in the developing countries, 3% of all newborn babies (3.6 millions) develop moderate to severe birth asphysia[11]. It reflected the poor perinatal health as well as total health condition of Bangladesh. This study suggested that low birth weight was significantly associated with the birth asphyxia. Similar finding were shown by other studies[12, 13.]

APGAR score at 1 minute provides a useful index for prediction the need for resuscitation & the immediate physical condition of newborn infants. Infants with low scores specially 0-3 at 5 minutes of age are subjects to a high risk of neurological complications and death[1,14]. The present study indicated that LBW infants need more resuscitation and they are at greater risk to develop immediate neonatal as well as late complications especially neurological morbidity than the normal one. NBW infants responded more to resuscitative efforts than the infants having LBW. This was consistent with the findings of another study in India[15].

Status of suckling ability of the newborns within 1st hr of life is another important indicator of the well being of the newborn[16]. In this study, the data analysis regarding suckling ability of the newborns suggested that LBW babies were significantly unhealthy and might have greater risk to develop breast-feeding failure than normal one.

Mortality and morbidity is inversely associated with birth weight during early natal period[14]. In different studies[8, 17,18] in Bangladesh and India, it was reported that more than 80% of the early neonatal death belong to LBW group. From the findings of these studies, it is clear that LBW is a risk for new-borns survival. The results of the present study also showed that the infants of LBW have greater risk to develop mortality and morbidity than the infants of normal birth weight.

This study emphasizes to establish a neonatal unit to reduce the neonatal mortality and morbidity for LBW in babies or infants in Rajshahi medical college hospital.

Acknowledgement

Reference

1. Park JE, Park K. editors. Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine. 17th ed. Jabalpur (India): M/S Banagsidas Bhanot Publishers, 2003.

2. Hofvander Y. International Comparison of Postnatal growth of low birth weight infant with special reference between developing and affluent countries. Acta Pediati scand 1981; Suppl. 296: 14.

3. WHO. Low Birth Weight a tabulation of available information. World Health Organisation, Geneva 1992.

4. Nahar N, Afroza S, Hossain M. Incidence of low birth weight in three selected communities of Bangladesh. BMRC Bull. 1998; 24(2): 49-54.

5. Ullah MA, Hafez MA, Haque MJ, Alam MR. Incidence of low birth weight and its correlates to socio-economic factors in rural Rajshahi. TAJ 1999; 12(1): 28-32.

6. World Development Report. World Bank, Washington DC, 1995.

7. Bhargava S.K, Ramji S. Mid Arm Circumferences at birth as predictors of low birth weight and neonatal mortality in the community. British Medical Journal 1985; 291: 1617-19.

8. Chan M. Low-birth-weight infants. In: Stanfield P, Brueton M, Chan M, Parkin M, waterston T. editors. Disease of children in the subtropics and tropics. Great Britain: The Bath Press, Avon, 1994.

9. State of World Children, UNICEF, 1996.

10. Chamberlain GVP editor. Obstetrics by Ten Teachers. 16th rev ed. Great Britain: The Bath Press, Avon. 1995.

11. Mother-Baby Package: Implementing safe motherhood in countries. World Health Organization, Geneva 1994.

12. Abrams B, Newman V. small for gestational age at birth, maternal predictors and and risk factors of spontaneous pre-term deliveries in the same cohort. Am J Obst. Gynaecol 1991; 164:758-790.

13. Khatoon SA. Low birth weight babies- A problem 'How to solve it?' Bang J Child Health 1991; 15 (3/4): 92-5.

14. Jaqin L, Vidyasagar D. The value of apgar scores. Indian J Pediatr 1987; 54:679-684.

15. Kumar A, Bhat BV. Epidemiology of respiratory distress of newborns. Indian J Pediatr 1996; 63: 93-94.

16. Bacon CJ, Lamb WH. Pediatric emergencies diagnosis and management. 2nd ed. Great Britain: Thomson Litho Ltd., East Kilbride, Scotland 1988.

17. Hussain MA, Rasul CH, Rahman MS, Siddiquey AHM. Perinatal mortality is a teaching hospital and a nearby rural area. Bangladesh J Obstet Gynaecol 1998; 13(2): 34-43.

18. Ghosh S, Ramanujacharyulu TKTS, Hooja V, Madhavan S. Mortality pattern in an urban birth cohort. Indian J Med Res 1979; 69: 616-23.

All correspondence to:
Marina Khanum
Professor
Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics,
Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.