



Original Article

Chromogenic Agar Medium : A Versatile Tool for the Diagnosis of UTI

S Gul Nahar¹, M. Bulbul Hasan², Mst. Rokeya Khatun³, M. Nawshad Ali⁴, D K Mohanta⁷

Abstract

Objective: The present study was done on Chromogenic agar media to identify uropathogens more efficiently by its characteristic colony colour for each of the organism.

Methodology: A total 300 sample were collected from Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Bangladesh. Urine samples of the suspected UTI cases, showing pus cells >5/HPF on microscopic examination were included for urine culture simultaneously onto Chromogenic agar media, Blood agar and MacConkey agar media.

Results: Culture yielded 139 (46.33%) bacterial growth among them, 133 (44.33%) showed single organism and remaining 06 (2.00%) showed mixed growth of two organisms in different combinations. It is evident from the present study that both Chromogenic agar media and Blood agar (BA) media supported growth of all 145 bacteria, while MacConkey (MAC) agar yielded 133(91.72%) bacterial growths. The rate of presumptive identification of the isolates was found significantly higher (97.24%) on Chromogenic agar media when compared with the MacConkey agar (80.68%) and Blood agar (27.58%) media. Out of 91 *E. coli* isolated, 88(96.70%) could be identified differentially on Chromogenic agar media in contrast to 85(93.40%) on MacConkey agar and only 06(06.59%) on Blood agar. Again, all 06 (100%) of the isolate-pairs of mixed growth were identified distinctly on Chromogenic agar media, whereas both Blood agar and MacConkey agar media could revealed only 01(16.66%) of the polymicrobial growth.

Conclusion: Chromogenic agar media has been documented for its very high yielding rate, rapid presumptive identification of both single and polymicrobial growths with greater precision and avoidance of biochemical tests for further identification of uropathogens. Thus it can be recommended as primary urine culture medium to be used by the clinical microbiology laboratories.

Key Words: Diagnosis of UTI, Chromogenic agar media.

TAJ 2012; 25: 64-71

Introduction

UTI refer to invasion of urinary tract including urinary bladder, prostate, collecting system or kidney by different microorganisms¹. Isolation and identification of the causative organism from urine through culture is the gold standard for the diagnosis of UTI. Predominant causative agents of UTI are *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Pseudomonas*

spp., *Proteus* spp. and *Enterococci* spp., *Staph. saprophyticus*^{2,3}.

Urinary tract infections result from the interaction between uropathogens and the host. Non-uropathogenic strains can induce acute infection in the presence of urological abnormalities or when the host's defense mechanisms are impaired⁴.

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.

² Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Gynae and Obstetrics, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.

⁴ Associate Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi.

The aim of the clinical microbiology laboratory in the management of UTI is to reduce the morbidity and mortality through accurate and timely identification of the aetiological agent with selection of appropriate antimicrobials through in-vitro sensitivity testing.

The media must support the growth of all urinary pathogens, inhibit possible contaminants and distinguish between pathogenic lactose and non-lactose fermenters. Routinely used conventional media like Blood agar (BA), MacConkey agar (MAC) have some drawbacks as all uropathogens cannot be cultured and differentiated in a single medium. On CLED agar medium the presence of *Enterococci* is frequently masked by larger colonies of gram negative bacteria^{5,6}. Therefore it cannot be used alone as a primary isolation medium⁷.

The problem of urine culture can be addressed by using chromogenic agar (CA) medium. CA medium is increasingly being used as a versatile tool in early differentiation and identification of bacterial isolates from clinical specimens⁸. This single medium supports not only the growth of all uropathogens but mixed infection can also be diagnosed more easily⁹. Since Chromogenic agar medium facilitates direct identification of the organism on the basis of distinct colour production and characteristic colonial morphology thus it reduces the burden of biochemical characterization of the bacterial species in most instances.

The principle of chromogenic agar medium is based on the fact that bacteria possess many enzymes for their physiological function that help them to utilize substrates. In such media specific chromogenic substrates are broken down by the enzymes liberated by the particular bacteria thereby imparting a distinct colour to the growing bacterial colonies that can be visually observed^{10,11,12}.

Over the last few years, several chromogenic urine culture media have been developed and commercialized, allowing more specific and direct differentiation of microorganism on the primary plate itself^{7,12,13,14}. Chromogenic medium designed to isolate and identify all uropathogens. *E. coli*

appears as pink-red colonies because of β -galactosidase production, thus allows a definite identification of *E. coli* without the need for further biochemical tests. Strain that produces β -glucosidase, such as *Enterococci* and the *Klebsiella-Enterobacter-Serratia* group, form colonies that generate a blue coloration as a result of hydrolysis of glucoside chromogenic substrate. Tryptophan is also present in the medium to detect members of the *Proteus* group, which generates a diffuse brown coloration as a result of tryptophan deaminase production. *Pseudomonas* spp. produce colourless colony whereas *Staph. saprophyticus* white coloured. An important aspect of HiCrome UTI agar is that it allows an easy differentiation of various species from mixed cultures due to specific colony colour^{17,8}. Another advantage of this chromogenic agar medium is that it can be used to perform antibiotic sensitivity testing without subculture onto another basic medium^{7,12,14}.

Thus chromogenic agar medium is advocated as an attractive and easy to use primary screening medium that hopefully will considerably reduce the daily workload and limits the use of further identification tests^{15,16}.

Methodology

Patients

Study cases are 300 clinically suspected patients of UTI of different age and sex attending either at the outpatient department (OPD) or admitted in the Rajshahi Medical College Hospital from July, 2007 to June, 2008.

Clinically suspected patients of UTI having pus cells >5/ HPF detected on microscopy of centrifuged deposit of urine were included as study cases¹⁷.

Culture of urine

Urine samples for culture were selected on the basis of presence of pus cells >5/ HPF on microscopy¹⁷. Inoculation was done aseptically with urine samples using a calibrated wire loop of 28G with an internal diameter of 3.26 mm holding 0.004 ml of urine into all three selected media plate¹⁸. The plates were incubated at 37°C aerobically and after overnight incubation, they

were checked for bacterial growth. Colony count was done with bacterial growth to calculate the number of colony forming unit (CFU) or viable bacteria per ml of urine¹⁸.

Presumptive identification

Bacterial growth was identified presumptively on HiCrome UTI agar, MAC agar and Blood agar,

following colony characteristics against each of the uropathogens (Table-I).

The isolates were further identified using standard identification protocol such as Gram's staining, motility test, catalase test, coagulase test, oxidase test and other relevant biochemical tests as appropriate for the isolates¹⁸.

Table I : Colony characteristics of uropathogens on three urine culture media

Organisms	Chromogenic agar	Blood agar	MacConkey agar
<i>E. coli</i>	Pink to Red colour colony due to β -D-galactosidase	Large, grey coloured colonies, Some strains haemolytic	Smooth, Pink colonies, lactose fermenting, Some strains are late or non-lactose fermenting
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	Blue colour due to β -glucosidase. Large, mucoid colony	Yellow to cream or occasionally white, large colonies, Some strains beta-haemolytic	Mucoid, Pink colonies, lactose fermenting
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	Blue colour due to β - glucosidase, Small colony	Non-haemolytic colonies	some strains lactose fermenter/non-lactose fermenter, Pale/Pink coloured colonies
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	Colourless : not explained by the manufacturer	Large flat, spreading greenish-blue coloured colonies	Pale coloured colonies, non-lactose fermenting, grape like odour
<i>Staph. Aureus</i>	Golden Yellow: not explained by the manufacturer	Yellow to cream or occasionally white, large colonies Some strains beta-haemolytic	Smaller colonies and some strains lactose fermenting
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	Light brown colour due to tryptophan deaminase	Characteristic fishy odour, and swarming growth	Pale (non-lactose fermenting) colonies
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	Blue colour due to β - glucosidase. Mucoid colony	Produces large and mucoid colonies	Produces large, mucoid, pink coloured colonies, lactose fermenting
<i>Staph. saprophyticus</i>	White, small colony: not explained by the manufacturer	Produce white or yellow and non-haemolytic colonies.	Growth does not occur



**HiCrome UTI Agar,
Modified (M1418)**

1. *Escherichia coli*
2. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
3. *Enterococcus faecalis*
4. *Proteus mirabilis*
5. *Kelbsiella pneumoniae*

distinguishes between *Escherichia coli* and *Enterobacter*, and also between *Proteus mirabilis* and other species. Coliforms produce purple coloured colonies due to cleavage of both the chromogenic substrates.

Peptic digest of animal tissue, beef extract and casein enzymic hydrolysate provides nitrogenous, carbonaceous compounds and other essential growth nutrients.

Quality Control :

Appearance of Powder :

Light yellow coloured, homogeneous, free flowing powder.

Gelling :

Firm, comparable with 1.5% Agar gel.

Colour and Clarity :

Light amber coloured, clear to slightly opalescent gel forms in petri plates.

Reaction :

Reaction of 5.54% w/v aqueous solution is pH 7.2 ± 0.2 at 25°C.

Cultural Response :

Cultural characteristics after 24 hours at 35-37°C.

Oganisms (ATCC)	Growth	Colour of colony	TDA	DMACA
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (25922)	luxuriant	pink to red	—	+
<i>Prteus mirabilis</i> (10975)	luxuriant	light brown	+	—
<i>Kelbsielle pneumoniae</i> (13883)	luxuriant	blue to purple, mucoid	—	—
<i>Psudomonas aeruginosa</i> (27853)	luxuriant	colourless	—	—
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (25923)	luxuriant	golden yellow	—	—
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (29212)	luxuriant	blue (small)	—	—

Key : + = positive reaction
— = negative reaction

Results

A total of 300 patients of different age and sex suffering from UTI were included in this study. Out of 300 samples of urine cultured, a total of 139(46.33%) samples yielded significant bacterial growth and 161(53.67%) samples yielded no growth. Culture-positive cases included 133(44.33%) growth of single organism and 06(02.00%) mixed growth of two organisms each.

Table II: Pattern of bacteria isolated from urine culture (N=145)

Bacteria	Number	Percentage
<i>E. coli</i>	91	62.75
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	18	12.41
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	16	11.03
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	09	06.28
<i>Staph. saprophyticus</i>	05	03.44
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	04	02.75
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	02	01.37
Total	145	100

Table III: Comparison of three culture media for the rate of isolation of uropathogens (N=145)

Bacteria	Total isolates	HiCrome UTI agar	MAC agar	Blood agar
<i>E. coli</i>	91	91(100)	91(100)	91(100)
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	18	18(100)	18(100)	18(100)
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	16	16(100)	09(56.25)	16(100)
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	09	09(100)	09(100)	09(100)
<i>Staph. Saprophyticus</i>	05	05(100)	00	05(100)
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	04	04(100)	04(100)	04(100)
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	02	02(100)	02(100)	02(100)
Total	145	145(100)	133(91.72)	145(100)

Regarding presumptive identification of bacterial isolates by defined colony characteristics on primary culture plates, it was found that out of total 145 bacterial isolates, 141(97.24%) could be differentially identified on chromogenic agar media and 117(80.68%) on MAC agar media

Patterns of bacterial isolates from urine culture include: 139 culture positive samples of urine yielded 145 bacterial isolates including both single (133) and polymicrobial growths (06) of two bacteria each. Out of 145 isolates, *E. coli* was the leading bacteria 91(62.75%) followed by *Klebsiella* spp. 18(12.41%), *Enterococcus* spp. 16(11.03%), *Pseudomonas* spp. 09(06.28%), *Staph. saprophyticus* 05(03.44%), *Enterobacter* spp. 04(02.75%) and *Proteus* spp. 02(01.37%). (Table –II)

Results of three culture media for the rate of isolation of uropathogens showed that both chromogenic agar media and Blood agar media supported 100% bacterial growth, while MAC agar yielded 133(91.72%) bacterial growth out of 145 total isolates (Table –III).

whereas only 40(27.58%) on BA. The rate of presumptive identification of the isolates was found significantly higher on chromogenic agar media in comparison to MAC agar and BA (Table -IV).

Table IV: Comparison of rate of presumptive identification on different media

Bacterial strains	HiCrome UTI agar	MAC agar	Blood agar
<i>E. coli</i> (n=91)	88 (96.70)	85 (93.40)	06(06.59)
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp. (n=18)	18 (100)	15 (83.33)	14(77.77)
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (n=16)	16 (100)	05 (31.25)	10(62.50)
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (n=9)	08 (88.88)	07 (77.77)	03(33.33)
<i>Staph. saprophyticus</i> (n=5)	05 (100)	00	05 (100)
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp. (n=4)	04 (100)	03 (75.00)	00
<i>Proteus</i> spp. (n=2)	02 (100)	02 (100)	02 (100)
Total (N=145)	141 (97.24)	117(80.68)	40(27.58)

Discussion

Urinary tract infections continue to be an important clinical problem with significant morbidity. UTI accounts for approximately 23% of all hospital acquired infections¹⁹.

For the isolation and identification of uropathogens, routinely used culture media like MacConkey agar, Blood agar, and Cystine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) agar media are used together conventionally for long time. Each of these media has several limitations of their own for identification of the common uropathogens.

In order to overcome disadvantages of traditional urine culture media, a range of chromogenic media has become commercially available in recent years. Chromogenic agar medium supports not only the growth of all uropathogens but mixed infections can also be diagnosed properly. Since Chromogenic agar medium facilitates prompt isolation and presumptive identification of the organisms on the basis of distinct colour production and colonial morphology, thus it reduces the burden of biochemical characterization of the bacterial species in most instances.

Out of 300 urine samples, a total of 139(46.33%) samples yielded bacterial growths and 161(53.67%) had no growth. Culture-positive cases included 133(44.33%) with significant growth of single organism and remaining 06(02.00%) yielded mixed growth of two organisms.

The growths of all 145(100%) isolates were supported by chromogenic agar media and Blood agar whereas MacConkey agar yielded 133(91.72%) bacterial growths (Table-III). Blood agar is an enriched medium and chromogenic agar media contains all essential nutrients to support the growth of possible uropathogens that is why all isolates have grown on to these two media. On the other hand, the slightly lower yielding rate on MAC agar can be explained by its limitations of not supporting all organisms involved in UTI like *Staph. saprophyticus* and *Enterococcus* spp., because it is a selective medium to support the growth of members of Enterobacteriaceae.

Out of 145 isolates of uropathogens, 141(97.24%) had been presumptively identified on chromogenic agar media by matching with standard colours as stated and supplied by the manufacturer. In contrast, 117(80.68%) isolates were identified on MAC agar and only 40 (27.58%) on Blood agar media (Table-IV). The rate of presumptive identification of the uropathogens was found significantly higher on chromogenic agar media in comparison to Blood agar and MAC agar. This high rate of identification could be correlated with the ease of distinct colour production by each of the bacterial isolate on Chromogenic agar medium. Moreover, chromogenic agar media offered the advantage of limiting the spread of some isolates such as *Proteus* spp., *Klebsiella* spp. and *E. coli* mucoid strains thus increased the ability of the medium to detect urinary tract pathogens when mixed organisms were present¹².

As far as the rate of presumptive identification of individual bacterial isolates on different culture plates is concerned, it has been seen that except *E. coli* (96.70%) and *Pseudomonas* spp. (88.88%), all bacteria could be differentially identified onto the chromogenic agar media. While, MAC agar provided 93.40% identification for *E. coli*, followed by 83.33% for *Klebsiella* spp., 31.25% for *Enterococcus* spp., 77.77% for *Pseudomonas* spp., 75% for *Enterobacter* spp., 100% for *Proteus* spp. and 00% for *Staph. saprophyticus*. Blood agar also showed variable rate of identification ranging from 00 to 77.77% for all bacterial isolates except *Proteus* spp. and *Staph. saprophyticus*, which were identified 100% on BA plates (Table-V).

The reason behind the highest rate of identification of *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Enterococci* spp. and *Enterobacter* spp. on chromogenic agar media in comparison to other two conventional media used is that each of these organisms produces characteristic, distinct and easily perceivable colour on chromogenic agar media. In fact, this differential colour production by individual bacterial isolates is among the exciting features of chromogenic agar for which it has been advocated to be used as primary culture medium. The chromogenic media also provided added

advantage on identification of a few non-lactose variety of *E. coli*, which might be the reason of decreased rate of identification on MAC agar.

However, chromogenic agar media failed to produce expected colony colours for 03(03.33%) of the *E. coli* and 01(11.12%) *Pseudomonas* spp. This failure can be correlated with inadequate production of enzymes at that point of time or absence of enzymes in those strains.

The chromogenic agar media also reigned over the conventional media by providing specific identifying characteristics of the organisms isolated in mixed growth. As it was found in this study, all 06(100%) of the isolate-pairs of mixed growth (*E. coli* and *Enterococci* spp., *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp., *E. coli* and *Proteus* spp., *E. coli* and *Staph. saprophyticus*) were all identified distinctly on HiCrome UTI agar, whereas only 01(16.66%) in combination of *E. coli* and *Proteus* spp. was identified on both BA and MAC agar media (Table-VI). The rate of identification of mixed culture on the BA and MAC agar was poor due to difficulty in differentiating the colonies.

It is obvious from the results of the present and similar studies that chromogenic media can replace CLED and MAC agar in order to identify uropathogens more efficiently by its characteristic colony colour for each of the organism in both community acquired and nosocomial UTI cases. Moreover, chromogenic media also provide an added advantage of requiring less time in mastering the skill in the identification of the uropathogens.. This will be an attractive easy to use primary screening medium that considerably reduce the daily workload and thus minimize the use of troublesome biochemical tests⁸.

References

1. Johnson CC. Definitions, classification, and clinical presentation of urinary tract infections. *Med Clin North Am.* 1991; 75(2): 241-252.
2. Schaeffer AJ. Infections of the urinary tract. In: Walsh PC, Retik AR, Vaughan ED, Wein AJ, eds. *Campbell's Urology*, 7th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company 1998: pp. 533-614.
3. Sobel JD, Kaye D. 2000. Urinary Tract Infections. In: Mandell G, Bennet J, Dolin R, eds. *Principle and practice of infectious disease* 4th ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone: pp. 875-905.
4. Franz M. and Horl W. H. Common errors in diagnosis and management of urinary tract infection. I: Pathophysiology and diagnostic techniques. *1999; 14: 2746-2753.*
5. Aspevall O, Osterman B, Dittmer R, Sten L, Lindback E, Forsum U. Performance of four chromogenic urine culture media after one or two days of incubation compared with reference media. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002; 40: 1500-1503
6. Perry JD, Butterworth LA, Nicholson A, Appleby MR, Orr KE. Evaluation of a new chromogenic medium, Uriselect 4, for the isolation and identification of urinary tract pathogens. *J Clin Pathol* 2003; 56: 528- Samra Z, Heifetz M, Talmor J, Bain E, Bahar J. Evaluation of use of a new chromogenic agar in detection of urinary tract pathogens. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998; 36: 990-994.
7. Hengstler KA, Hammann R, Fahr AM. Evaluation of BBL CHROMagar orientation medium for detection and presumptive identification of urinary tract pathogens. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997; 35: 2773-2777.
8. Lakshmi V, Satheeshkumar T, Kulkarni G. Utility of Urichrom II – A Chromogenic Medium for Uropathogens. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2004; 22(3): 153-158.
9. Fallon D, Ackland G, Andrews N. A comparison of the performance of commercially available chromogenic agars for the isolation and presumptive identification of organisms from urine. *J Clin Pathology* 2003; 56: 608-612.
10. Delisle GJ, Ley A. Rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* in urine samples by a new chromogenic β -glucuronidase assay. *J Clin Microbiol* 1989; 27: 778-779.
11. Merlino J, Lezoi M, Braudbury R, Veal D, Harbour C. A new chromogenic medium for identification and detection of *S.aureus* and MRSA. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000; 38: 2378-2380
12. Merlino J, Siarakas S, Robertson GJ, Funnell GR, Gottlieb, Bradbury R. Evaluation of CHROMagar orientation for differentiation and presumptive identification of Gram-negative bacilli and *Enterococcus* species. *J Clin Microbiol* 1996; 34: 1788-1793.
13. Mazoyer MA, Orenge S, Doleans F, Freney J. Evaluation of CPS ID2 medium for detection of urinary tract bacterial isolates in specimens from a rehabilitation center. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995; 33: 1025-1027.

14. Samra Z, Heifetz M, Talmor J, Bain E, Bahar J. Evaluation of use of a new chromogenic agar in detection of urinary tract pathogens. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998; 36: 990-994.
15. Gaillot O, Wetsch M, Fortineau N, Berche P. Evaluation of CHROMagar *Staph. aureus*, a new chromogenic medium, for isolation and presumptive identification of *S. aureus* from human clinical specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000; 38: 1587- 1591.
16. Kodaka H, Ishikawa M, Iwata M, Kashitani F, Mizuochi S, Yamaguchi K. Evaluation of new medium with chromogenic substrates for members of the family Enterobacteriaceae in urine samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995; 33: 199-201.
17. Fuller CE, Threatte GA, Henry JB. Basic examination of urine. In: Henry JB, Davey FR, Herman CJ, McPherson RA, Pincus MR, Threatte GA, Woods GL, eds. *Clinical Diagnosis and Management by Laboratory Methods*. 20th ed. Philadelphia: W B Saunders Company 2001: pp. 367-402.
18. Collee JG, Duguid JP, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A. Laboratory strategies in the diagnosis of infective syndromes. In: Collee JG, Marmion BP, Fraser AG, Simmons A, eds. *Mackie & McCartney Practical Medical Microbiology*, 14th ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone 1996: pp. 53-93.
19. Emmerson AM, Enstone JE, Griffin M. The second national prevalence survey of infection in hospital overview of the results. *J Hosp Infect* 1996; 32: 175-190.

All correspondence to
Sabera Gul Nahar
 Assistant Professor
 Department of Microbiology
 Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh
 E-mail: sabera170764@yahoo.com