

# Effect of the traditional parched rice husk incubation techniques on the hatchability of duck eggs

## M. Khalequzzaman\*, Shah Hussain Ahmad Mahdi and M. Mahbur Rahman

Department of Zoology, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi 6205, Bangladesh \*Corresponding author, email: kzaman@ru.ac.bd

**Abstract:** The present study was carried out to know the seasonal effect on the hatchability of duck eggs in five private poultry farms at Gaibandha, Bangladesh. Eggs were set in artificial hatching bamboo made cylinders from different number of ducklings. Present observation revealed that the hatchability of duck eggs is highest in March ( $88.966 \pm 2.11$ ) and in June it is lowest ( $66.398 \pm 0.63$ ). In June the highest unfertilized eggs ( $25.532 \pm 1.22$ ) have been recorded followed by March ( $4.822 \pm 0.68$ ), January ( $10.164 \pm 0.12$ ), April ( $10.408 \pm 0.31$ ) and May ( $13.250 \pm 1.37$ ). The loss eggs were recorded highest in January ( $21.244 \pm 1.36$ ) and the lowest in March ( $4.874 \pm 2.05$ ). Least-square analysis of variance showed that individual months had significant effects on the hatchability of duck eggs.

Key words: Duck egg, artificial incubation, hatching

## Introduction

The duck population in Bangladesh is 35 million that are commonly tended for meat and egg. In nature they are reared under traditional system in rural areas (FAO, 1990). Ducks have several advantages over other poultry species, in particular their disease tolerance. In Asia, most duck production is closely associated with wetland rice farming, particularly in the humid and subtropics. An added advantage is that ducks normally lay most of their eggs within the three hours after sunrise (compared with five hours for chickens). This makes it possible for ducks to freely range in the rice fields by day, while being confined by night (Farrell, 1986).

Local ducks in Bangladesh are ubiquitous in the country and most smallholder farmers keep them under a subsistent level of management (Islam et al., 2003). Duck comprises about 10% of the total poultry population, occupying second place to chicken in the production of table eggs in the country. It is an important component of farming system and plays a significant role to 80 per cent rural people of Bangladesh. It provides cash income and creates employment opportunity for rural people, particularly for small and landless farmers (Khan et al., 1999). It appears that the ducks can be raised cheaper than broiler and if market is properly organized (Singh, 2001). The process of hatching, which, in the span of 28 days for duck eggs, changes a microscopic germ into a downy chick, capable of walking, eating and expressing its needs by its voice and actions seems nearly magical (Banerjee, 1991). Novice poultry producers usually become interested in artificial incubation of their own chicks. The success of this type of project depends on proper care and incubation of the hatching eggs so healthy, vigorous chicks are produced (Smith, 2000). The artificial parched rice husk incubation process is started in some parts of Bangladesh. The incubation generally performed from January to June. No study so far been done their performance, particularly on the hatchability of duck eggs and as such the present study was carried out.

### **Materials and Methods**

*Study Area*: The present study was carried out in six private duck farms at Gaibandha, Bangladesh, where traditionally huge duck eggs are incubated artificially. The farms were selected at random including small, medium and large ones. Those farms also supply ducklings to the farmers once a week. The farms used to hatch ducklings from January to June. From late July to December they remain closed because during that period the air temperature drops and not suitable for artificial hatching of the eggs.

*Rearing*: The birds were reared in intensive system. The birds were kept in floor and large spacious shed surrounded by wire mesh/bamboo made sheets. Open space and water area were provided. Birds were fed outside the houses and the feed was allotted at the rate of 130-160 gm per head twice a day. Cleaning and sanitary programmes were followed regularly. Ducks were vaccinated with duck plague vaccine. In breeding flock one male duck was provided for every five females. Flock wise eggs were collected and graded for hatching purposes. Good quality clean eggs were collected for hatching purposes avoiding cracked ones. The eggs were stored with the narrower end pointed downward.

*Incubation:* This rice-husk system was adopted on a large scale in Bangladesh after being introduced by poultry development projects in the 1980s. The system has evolved, and the cylindrical egg baskets are now set into larger bamboo frame setting boxes, with more insulating rice husk material placed between the cylinders and the walls of the enclosing setting boxes.

The cylinder wall is about 10 cm from the setting box wall and 8 cm from the next cylinder. With this greater insulation, there is less heat loss, thus less need to provide supplementary heat from costly fuels.

Eggs (45-50) were packed in black cloth and kept within the preheated (by 100w electric bulb) for one hour. Thus each cylinder contained 450-500 eggs. For the first three days, reheated paddy rice husk is added three times a day at regular intervals. During days four to six, this may be reduced to twice a day. The object is to ensure that the eggs are kept at the temperature most suitable for embryo development. The spare basket is used to transfer eggs from an adjoining basket while adding freshly warmed rice or rice-husks. Thus the top layer of eggs becomes the bottom layer and the bottom layer ends up on top of the spare cylinder. The newly emptied basket is then ready to receive eggs from the third basket, and so the cycle continues.

The eggs were candled on days 5 and 13, both to identify infertile eggs and dead embryos and to assess the degree of embryo development; which is used as one of the guides in adjusting basket temperature. Placing the egg on the upper eyelid allows the egg temperature to be assessed. Eggs in the advanced stages of incubation produce a lot of heat, so on days 17 to 18, the "old eggs" are transferred to hatching beds, where they are placed in a single layer for final development and hatching. The surface of the bed is covered with a thin layer of rice husks and then covered with a straw mat. The edges of the bed are lined with padding to protect the eggs. The covering for the developing eggs in the bed may be heavy or light cloth, depending on the degree of insulation required. The temperature in the hatching bed is maintained at 36 to 37°C, slightly lower than that of the basket. The temperature can be adjusted by changing the thickness of the covering, varying the space between the eggs, and moving the eggs twice a day so that those on the perimeter change places with those at the centre. In very hot dry weather, the eggs are sprayed with a fine mist of water. They are kept in the bed until the chicks hatch out and dry.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Month wise hatchability of duck eggs has been presented in Table 1. Highest hatchability was found in March (88.966  $\pm$  2.11) and the lowest in June (66.398  $\pm$  0.63). The least-square analysis of variance showed that the effect of month was highly significant (P<0.01) on the hatchability of duck eggs. Percent of infertile eggs and standard error has been presented in Table 2. In June the highest number of unfertilized eggs were observed (25.532  $\pm$  1.22) followed by March (4.822  $\pm$  0.68), January (10.164  $\pm$  0.12), April (10.408  $\pm$  0.31)

and May  $(13.250 \pm 1.37)$ . The least square analysis of variance represents that the season had highly significant effect (P<0.01) on the percentage of infertile duck eggs (Table 2). The loss eggs were recorded highest in January (21.244  $\pm$  1.36) and the lowest was in March (4.874  $\pm$  2.05). Here also the ANOVA results are significant which indicates that there are differences in loss eggs within months.

**Table 1.** Number of hatched, unfertilized and loss duck
 eggs during artificial incubation

Months	Hatched eggs	Unfertilized eggs	Loss eggs	Total eggs
January	9891	1484	2625	14000
February	14056	808	1136	16000
March	12138	910	952	14000
April	12080	1760	2160	16000
2				14000
				16000
-				140000
5				160000
March	133840	3360	2800	140000
April	128176	17616	14208	160000
May	107240	14070	18690	140000
June	107500	39850	12650	160000
January	5481	855	2064	8400
February	8176	487	937	9600
March	7839	463	98	8400
April	7224	896	1480	9600
May	5928	1274	1198	8400
June	6240	2561	799	9600
January	7350	1050	2100	10500
February	10856	536	608	12000
March	9667	525	308	10500
April	10080	1224	696	12000
May	7350	1042	2108	10500
June	7876	2580	1544	12000
January	6412	994	2334	9800
February	9520	608	1072	11200
March	8160	460	1180	9800
April	8400	1176	1624	11200
1		1422		9800
-				11200
	January February March April May June January February March April May June January February March April May June January February March April May June January February March April May June January February March April May June	Months         eggs eggs           January         9891           February         14056           March         12138           April         12080           May         9450           June         10952           January         100290           February         154240           March         133840           April         128176           May         107240           June         107500           January         5481           February         8176           March         7839           April         7224           May         5928           June         6240           January         7350           February         10856           March         9891           January         7350           February         10080           May         7350           June         7876           January         6412           February         9520           March         8160           April         8400           May         6915	Months         eggs         eggs           January         9891         1484           February         14056         808           March         12138         910           April         12080         1760           May         9450         2324           June         10952         4640           January         100290         13870           February         154240         3280           March         133840         3360           April         128176         17616           May         107240         14070           June         107500         39850           January         5481         855           February         8176         487           March         7839         463           April         7224         896           May         5928         1274           June         6240         2561           January         7350         1050           February         10856         536           March         9667         525           April         10080         1224           May <t< td=""><td>Months         eggs         eggs         eggs           January         9891         1484         2625           February         14056         808         1136           March         12138         910         952           April         12080         1760         2160           May         9450         2324         2226           June         10952         4640         408           January         100290         13870         25840           February         154240         3280         10480           March         133840         3360         2800           April         128176         17616         14208           May         107240         14070         18690           June         107500         39850         12650           January         5481         855         2064           February         8176         487         937           March         7839         463         98           April         7224         896         1480           May         5928         1274         1198           June         6240         2561</td></t<>	Months         eggs         eggs         eggs           January         9891         1484         2625           February         14056         808         1136           March         12138         910         952           April         12080         1760         2160           May         9450         2324         2226           June         10952         4640         408           January         100290         13870         25840           February         154240         3280         10480           March         133840         3360         2800           April         128176         17616         14208           May         107240         14070         18690           June         107500         39850         12650           January         5481         855         2064           February         8176         487         937           March         7839         463         98           April         7224         896         1480           May         5928         1274         1198           June         6240         2561

Despite the good results obtained in artificial hatching of Muscovy duck eggs in the last 10 – 20 years, 81.8 to 83.4 % (Avanzi & Mori, 1983); 75-85% (Sauveur & De Carville, 1990); 80% (Pingel, 1992) of hatchability were recorded. Hodgetts & Tullett (1991) pointed out that hatchability of artificially incubated duck eggs was comparatively low. Specialists in that area tried to define the reasons in the insufficiently precision of some technological elements of the incubation process. For instance, the insufficient attention paid to the necessity of destroying the cuticle of the eggshell in the second half of incubation (Serbul & Tardatjian, 1986), the prolonged true hatching in artificial incubation of eggs (Harun *et al.*, 2001; Nickolova, 2003, 2004, 2005). The least but not last, the unsatisfactory hatchability of the eggs of that species was due to the insufficiently precise temperature regime in artificial hatching (Bagliacca *et al.*, 2003 a,b). The difficulties in maximal optimization of temperature in the incubation and hatchery were due to the high content of fats in the egg yolk of the Muscovy ducks, that led to the increased release of heat in the second half of incubation (Nickolova *et al.*, 1999).

Due to the above-mentioned reason, striving at bigger precision, a part of the researchers recommended different temperatures in the incubator depending on the period of embryonic development. For example, Serbul (1983) and Serbul & Tardatjian (1986) recommended a temperature of 37.8-38.0°C until allantois closure (13-16 day of incubation), 37.5-37.4°C after allantois closure (16-30 day of incubation) and 37.5-37.4°C in the hatchery (31-35 day of incubation). Korotkova (1985) suggested that during the first three days the temperature should be maintained at 38.0°C, until the 7<sup>th</sup> day 37.8°C, until allantois closure 37.6°C and in the hatchery 36.8-37°C. The rest of the researchers in that area support the idea of one and the same temperature until the transfer of the eggs for hatching: Kortlang (1985) applied 37.3°C in the incubator and 36.9°C in the hatchery, Meltzer (1988) studied 37.5±0.2°C in the incubator, while Sauveur & De Carville (1990) maintained a temperature of 37.6°C in the incubation cage and 37.2°C in the hatchery, whereas Bagliacca et al. (1991) applied 37.8±0.2°C and 37.2°C±0.3°C, respectively.

**Table 2.** Percent of hatched, unfertilized and loss duck eggs in different months

Months	Hatchability (%)	Unfertilized eggs (%)	Loss eggs (%)
January	$68.59 \pm 1.35$	$10.16 \pm 0.12$	$21.24 \pm 1.36$
February	88.97 ± 2.11	$4.42 \pm 0.61$	$7.79\pm0.79$
March	90.21 ± 2.25	$4.82\pm0.68$	$4.87\pm2.05$
April	$77.97 \pm 1.78$	$10.41 \pm 0.31$	$11.62 \pm 1.84$
May	$71.05 \pm 1.50$	$13.25 \pm 1.37$	$15.70 \pm 1.17$
June	$66.40\pm0.63$	25.53 ± 1.22	$8.07 \pm 1.64$
ANOVA (F-ratio)	37.57***	82.52***	15.49***

\*\*\*=P<0.001

In the present investigation it was recorded that the best hatching was observed during March. Similar results were found by Banerjee (1992) who reported that the best time to hatch chicks altogether depends upon the climate. Farooq *et al.* (2003) observed that the hatchability of chicken eggs was higher in spring (78.0±1.03%) than in summer (46.5±3.01%). According to Sastry et al. (1996) temperature was the most critical factor for incubation and the temperature affected both quantity and quality of hatching. Species of birds vary in their temperature requirements for incubation. Some wild species incubate and hatch their eggs at 29.4 to 32.2 C. For example, Ruddy duck eggs hatch with little or no external heat except for a few days of initial incubation at higher temperature. High incubation temperature results in embryonic mortality, particularly when there was high temperature during the last part of incubation period. Sastry et al. (1996) also reported that high humidity during incubation prevents sufficient evaporation from the eggs as a result of which large and soggy chicks were produced. High humidity also had a tendency to delay hatch and reduce hatchability. As subnormal incubation temperature causes late hatching and poor hatchability (Sastry et al., 1996). The fertility and rate of hatchability of eggs produced in summer and early spring was low (Das & Ali, 1999; Chowdhury et al., 2004).

The effect of season on hatchability of duck eggs implies that March was the best for hatching of duck eggs, because of low rainfall and suitable room temperature. In conclusion, since the seasonal effect on hatchability is prominent, effort should be taken for more duckling production at that particular season to meet the increasing demand of ducklings in the region.

#### References

- Avanzi C.F. & Mori, B. 1983. Influenza dei fattori climatici sulla fertilita e sulla schusa dell' anatra muschiata, *Avicoltura*, 2: 27.
- Bagliacca, M., Paci, G., Marzoni, M. & Avanzi, C.F. 1991. Tecnologia di incubazione delle uova degli anatidi -Incubation technology of duck egg. III Convegno CNR Gruppo Allevamenti delle piccole Specie, Roma. Edizioni Fondazione Iniziative Zoprofilattiche e Zotecniche, **31**: 95 -107.
- Bagliacca, M., Paci, G. & Marzoni, M. 2003a. Effect of egg weight categories, storage time and storage temperature on incubation length in Muscovy duck eggs (*Cairina* moschata L.) 2<sup>nd</sup> World Waterfowl Conference, Alexandria Egypt (Oct 7 - 9).
- Bagliacca, M., Marzoni, M. & Paci, G. 2003b.Effect of egg weight categories, storage time and storage temperature on incubation length in Pekin duck eggs (*Anas platyrhynchos* L.). Avian poult. Biol. Rev. 14 (4): 195-196.
- Banerjee, G.C. 1991. A Text Book of Animal Husbandry 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi-Bombay-Calcutta, 747 pp.
- Banerjee, G.C. 1992. *Poultry*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, Calcutta, 57 pp.

- Chowdhury, M.M.I., Ashraf, A., Mondal, S.P., Mondol, N.M.A.A.M. & Hasan, M.M. 2004. Effect of season on the hatchability of duck eggs. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 3(6): 419-421.
- Das, G. B. & Ali, M.L. 1999. Raising layer chicken over fish ponds in integrated poultry-fish farming system in rural areas. *Bangladesh J. Anim. Sci.* 28: 121-128.
- FAO, 1990. *Statistics on livestock population*. Asian Livestock, XV(II): 150.
- Farooq, M., Javed, K, Durrani, F.R., Irfanullah, K. & Chand, N. 2003. *Hatching performance of backyard hens in Peshawar, Pakistan.* Livestock Research for Rural Development, 15.
- Farrell, D.J. 1986. Energy expenditure of laying ducks: confined and herded. In: *Duck Production Science and World Practice* (eds. D.J. Farrell and P. Stapleton), pp. 70-82. University of New England, Armidale, NSW.
- Harun, M., Veeneklaas, R.J, Visser, G.H. & Van Kampen, M. 2001. Artificial Incubation of Muscovy Duck Eggs: Why some Eggs Hatch and Others do not. *Poult. Sci.* 80: 219-224.
- Hodgetts, B. & Tullett, S.G. 1991. Current hatchabilities in species of domestic importance and the scope for improvement, Avian-incubation. 139-144; *Poultry Science Symposium No. 22.*
- Islam, M.N., Huque, Q.M.E., Salahuddin, M. & Sarker, M.S.K. 2003. Potentiality of Native Genotypes of Ducks. World Poult. Sci. Assoc. Bang. Branch J., 3: 259.
- Khan, M.K.I., Ali, A. & Bhuiyan, A.K.F.H. 1999. Economic traits of Deshi males with Isa brown females under farm and rural conditions. *Bang. J. Anim. Sci.* 28: 9.
- Kortlang, C.F. 1985. The Incubation of Duck Eggs, Proc. of Workshop at CIPANAS, Bogor, Indonesia, November 18-22, 1985.
- Kostova, Z. 1985. Study on the major reproductive factors of Benkovska White goose and possibilities for regulating them. Unpubl. PhD thesis, Higher Institute of Agriculture, Plovdiv.
- Meltzer, A. 1988. Incubation of Muscovy Duck Eggs, Proc. of International Symposium on Waterfowl Production, the Satellite Conference for the XVIII World's Poultry Congress, Beijing, China, 11-18 September 1988.

- Nickolova M., Guerzilov, V., Penkov, D. & Hristova, T. 1999. Alimentary composition of Muscovy duck's eggs. 12<sup>th</sup> European Symposium on Waterfowl, Adana, Turkey. pp. 159-163.
- Nickolova, M. 2003. Study on some major reproduction factors of Muscovy ducks (Cairina moschata) with elements of the incubation technology. Unpubl. PhD thesis (in Bulgarian). 188 pp.
- Nickolova, M. 2004. Study on nesting behavior of Muscovy ducks (*Cairina moschata*) in conventional and biological breeding, XXII World's Poultry Congress, Istanbul, Turkey, 8-13 June 2004.
- Nickolova, M. 2005. Study on the temperature regime in incubation of Muscovy duck eggs I. Study on temperature regime in natural hatched Muscovy duck eggs. J. Central European Agric. 6 (2): 185-190
- Pingel H. 1992. Reproduction of Duck and Geese, XIX World Poultry Congres, Amsterdam, 3: 292-301.
- Sastry, N. S. R.; Thomas, C. K. & Singh, R. A. 1996. Livestock Production Management, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi-Ludhiana, India. 559 pp.
- Sauveur, B. & De Carville, H. 1990. Effect of day-length on early puberty in female Muscovy ducks, Control of fertility in domestic birds, Tours, France, 2-4 July, *Colloques-de-l'INRA*. 1990, 54: 197-203.
- Serbul V. 1983. Characteristics of Muscovy duck egg incubation, *Ptitsevodstvo* 6: 17-19
- Serbul, V.P. & Tardatjian, G.A. 1986. Improving Muscovy duck eggs incubation technique, 7<sup>th</sup> Conference Europeenne d' Aviculture, Paris, 1986.
- Singh, R.A. 2001. *Poultry Production*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi-Ludhiana, India. 345 pp.
- Smith, A.J. 1990. *Poultry-Tropical Agriculturist Series*. pp.179-184 CTA, Macmillan, London.
- Smith, T.W. 2000. Care and Incubation of Hatching Eggs. A popular article. Department of Poultry Science, Mississippi State University, USA. 421 pp.

Revised manuscript received on 28 May 2007.