

## Efficacy of commercial neem-based insecticide, Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> against eggs of the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst)

Dipali Rani Das, Selina Parween and Saiful Islam Faruki\*

Department of Zoology, Rajshahi University, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

\*Corresponding author; email: faruki64@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** The efficacy of different concentrations of the commercial neem-based insecticide, Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> was evaluated against the eggs of the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). The insecticide significantly inhibited the hatching, pupation and adult emergence of the beetle. The latent effects of Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> on the next generation progenies were expressed by significant reductions in the growth of larvae, pupation and adult emergence coupled with lengthened developmental period, but the sex ratio was unaffected.

**Key words:** *Tribolium castaneum*, Azadirachtin, hatching, pupation, adult emergence, growth and development, sex ratio

### Introduction

The post-harvest losses and quality deterioration caused by storage pests are major problem throughout the world (Hill, 1990). Synthetic insecticides have been successfully used to protect stored grains from insect infestations but their indiscriminate and massive use have created serious problems such as hazards to the environment including human health and non-target organisms (Sighamony *et al.*, 1986), residues in food grains (Fishwick, 1988), environmental pollution (Wright *et al.*, 1993; WMO, 1995), and development of resistant strains (Champ & Dyte, 1976; Zettler, 1982; Zettler & Cuperus, 1990; Yusof & Ho, 1992; White, 1995). Finding safe alternatives to synthetic insecticides to protect stored grains and grain products from insect infestations are highly desirable.

Recently, attention has been given to the possible use of plant products or plant derived compounds as promising alternatives to synthetic insecticides in controlling insect pests of stored products (Jahan *et al.*, 1989; Mondal *et al.*, 1989; Khalequzzaman & Islam, 1992; Senguttuvan *et al.*, 1995; Liu & Ho, 1999; Ohazurike *et al.*, 2003; Umoetok & Gerard, 2003). The effectiveness of many plant derivatives for use against stored grains pests has been reviewed by Jacobson (1983, 1989) and Golob & Webley (1980). Joseph *et al.* (1994) and Haque *et al.* (2000) studied the growth inhibitory effects of some commercially available plant extracts on the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae).

Many biologically active compounds have been isolated from neem, *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss, including triterpenoids, azadirachtin (Butterworth & Morgan, 1971) and melantriol (Lavie *et al.*, 1967), which reduced the feeding of insects. Azadirachtin is a mixture of seven isomeric compounds as Azadirachtin-A to Azadirachtin-G of which Azadirachtin-E is the most effective insect

growth regulator (Verkerk & Wright, 1993). Azadirachtin possess insecticidal, ovicidal, antifeedant, and growth inhibiting effects against many insect pests (Akou-Edi, 1984; Schmutterer, 1990; Vietmeyer, 1992; Nawrot & Harmatha, 1994), including the storage pests (Jilani & Su, 1983; Ivbijaro, 1983a, b; Makanjuola, 1989). The present investigation, therefore, was undertaken to evaluate the pesticidal activity of Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> on eggs, and the growth and development of next generation progenies of *T. castaneum* developed from treated eggs.

### Materials and Methods

**The test insects:** *T. castaneum* was collected from the stock culture maintained at the Insect Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology, Rajshahi University on standard food medium (wheat flour: Brewer's yeast, 19:1) in plastic containers.

**Source of the test compound:** The experimental compound, Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup>, a commercial formulation of Azadirachtin EC (0.03% a. i.) was produced by The Stanes & Co. Ltd., India and marketed by the ACI Crop Care, Bangladesh. The compound is an extract of neem seed, which acts as repellent, antifeedant and growth regulator. The concentrations used in the present experiment were 0.5-, 1-, 2-, 4-, 8- and 16 ml.kg<sup>-1</sup> and prepared by mixing the requisite amounts of Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> with the food medium.

**Experimental procedures:** One hundred 24 h-old eggs were released on Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup>-treated foods separately. An equal number of eggs of the same age were released on untreated food as controls. The eggs were exposed to treated food for 24h, and were transferred to clean Petri dishes separately for each concentration to record their hatching percentage. The newly hatched larvae were transferred to untreated food separately for each concentration. The food medium was changed with a fresh one after every 7

days to avoid conditioning. The larvae were kept in the food medium up to pupation, and the following parameters were recorded: (i) Larval survival (%) on 12th day after hatching, and (ii) Pupal and adult recoveries (%). To assess the effects of Nimbecidine® on the next generation progeny, adults emerging from the treated eggs were kept separately in Petri dishes provided with the fresh food. Eighteen-day old adults were allowed to oviposit for three consecutive days. Eggs were incubated and neonates were transferred to fresh food up to pupation with regular changing of the food medium. The following parameters for the progeny were recorded: (i) Weight of 12- and 16-day old larvae; (ii) Developmental period; (iii) Pupal and adult recoveries (%); (iv) Weight of male and female pupae; and (v) Weight of male and female adults. All

the experiments were replicated three times and conducted at mean room temperatures of  $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  without any humidity and light control.

## Results and Discussion

**Effect on egg-hatching and survival of life stages:** Hatching of *T. castaneum* eggs was inhibited significantly due to Nimbecidine® treatment at all concentrations ( $F_{6,12} = 52.06$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Table 1). The insecticide reduced the egg hatching up to 18.60% at higher concentrations. The percentages of larval, pupal and adult survival reduced up to 20.00, 20.25 and 21.79% respectively at  $16 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}$  of Nimbecidine® as compared to control and the reduction was statistically significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) in all the life stages (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Effects of egg treatment with Nimbecidine® on hatching and survival of various life stages in *T. castaneum* (N = 300)

Concentrations (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Hatching (%) Mean±SE	% reduction <sup>@</sup>	Survival (%)					
			Larvae		Pupae		Adults	
			Mean ± SE	% reduction <sup>@</sup>	Mean ± SE	% reduction <sup>@</sup>	Mean ± SE	% reduction <sup>@</sup>
0.0	86.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	—	80.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	—	79.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	—	78.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	—
0.5	82.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	4.65	78.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	2.50	77.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	2.53	76.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	2.56
1.0	82.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	4.65	78.0±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	2.50	78.0±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	1.27	76.0±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	2.56
2.0	71.0±0.58 <sup>bc</sup>	17.44	67.0±1.16 <sup>c</sup>	16.25	66.0±0.58 <sup>bc</sup>	16.46	65.0±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	16.67
4.0	75.0±2.89 <sup>b</sup>	12.79	71.0±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	11.25	68.0±1.16 <sup>b</sup>	13.92	66.0±1.16 <sup>b</sup>	15.38
8.0	70.0±0.05 <sup>c</sup>	18.6	67.0±1.16 <sup>c</sup>	16.25	67.0±1.16 <sup>b</sup>	15.19	65.0±0.58 <sup>bc</sup>	16.67
16.0	70.0±1.16 <sup>c</sup>	18.6	64.0±0.58 <sup>d</sup>	20.00	63.0±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	20.25	61.0±0.58 <sup>c</sup>	21.79
F-values	52.06 <sup>***</sup>		188.14 <sup>***</sup>		69.00 <sup>***</sup>		109.56 <sup>***</sup>	

Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's test ( $P < 0.05$ ); <sup>@</sup>% reduction over control; <sup>\*\*\*</sup>  $P < 0.001$

**Effect on growth of various developmental stages of next generation progenies:** The latent effects of Nimbecidine®-treated eggs on the weight of larvae, pupae and adults of next generation *T. castaneum* are presented in Table 2. The insecticide significantly reduced the weight of larvae ( $F_{6,12} = 8.56$  and  $12.28$ ,  $P < 0.001$  for 12- and 16-day old larvae, respectively), which gradually reduced at increasing concentrations. However, the weights of pupae and adults were not affected.

**Effect on developmental period and next generation progeny formation:** Nimbecidine®-induced developmental periods, pupal and adult recoveries and sex ratio in *T. castaneum* are shown in Table 3. The larval period was not affected compared to that of the control but the insecticide significantly lengthened the pupal period in the next generation progenies ( $F_{6,12} = 8.11$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ). In addition, it significantly reduced pupation as well as adult emergence. The reduction in pupation and adult emergence was increased respectively up to 13.45% and 14.73% as the concentrations were increased. However, Nimbecidine® failed to produce any appreciable change in adult sex ratios in *T. castaneum*.

**Table 2.** Effects of Nimbecidine® treatment on the weight (mg) of larvae, pupae and adults in next generation *T. castaneum* progenies

Concs. (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Larvae		Pupae		Adults	
	12 day- old	16 day- old	♂♂	♀♀	♂♂	♀♀
	Mean± SE	Mean± SE	Mean± SE	Mean± SE	Mean± SE	Mean± SE
0.0	1.62± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.98± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	2.67± 0.08	3.06± 0.06	2.10± 0.07	2.24± 0.03
0.5	1.59± 0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	2.89± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	2.61± 0.02	2.90± 0.02	2.05± 0.03	2.21± 0.05
1.0	1.55± 0.03 <sup>abc</sup>	2.81± 0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	2.63± 0.05	2.89± 0.10	2.02± 0.06	2.20± 0.03
2.0	1.52± 0.03 <sup>abc</sup>	2.79± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	2.63± 0.07	2.86± 0.05	2.01± 0.01	2.21± 0.08
4.0	1.47± 0.08 <sup>bc</sup>	2.77± 0.07 <sup>ab</sup>	2.63± 0.05	2.88± 0.05	2.01± 0.01	2.11± 0.07
8.0	1.45± 0.02 <sup>bc</sup>	2.74± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	2.63± 0.03	2.84± 0.10	2.02± 0.02	2.15± 0.03
16.0	1.44± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	2.68± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.63± 0.03	2.85± 0.10	2.02± 0.04	2.19± 0.06
F-values	8.56 <sup>***</sup>	12.28 <sup>***</sup>	0.15 <sup>ns</sup>	2.70 <sup>ns</sup>	0.77 <sup>ns</sup>	2.22 <sup>ns</sup>

Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's test ( $P < 0.05$ ), <sup>\*\*\*</sup>  $P < 0.001$ ; <sup>ns</sup> = not significant

The production of eggs, hatching and adult emergence in *Callosobruchus maculatus* and adult emergence in *Sitophilus oryzae* were significantly reduced when raised on cowpeas and maize respectively treated with extracts of neem leaf and seed (Makanjuola, 1989). Similarly, Lale & Abdulrahman (1999) and Lale & Mustapha (2000) reported a significant reduction in egg laying and adult emergence in *C. maculatus* treated with neem seed oil. Ivbijaro (1983a) also reported a significant reduction in egg laying of *C. maculatus* on cowpeas mixed with neem seeds. Neem oil treatment also reduced oviposition, inhibited adult emergence and development of *C. maculatus*, *C. chinensis* and *C. analis* (Yadav, 1985; Sujatha & Punnaiah, 1985; Babu *et al.*, 1989). That Azadirachtin inhibits the release of prothoracicotrophic hormones and allatotropins (Banken & Stark, 1997), thereby affecting metamorphosis in

insects (Schmutterer & Rembold, 1995) is well documented. It also alters insect behaviour because of its antifeedant and repellent action, and it modifies insect development by inhibiting the release of prothoracicotrophic hormones and allatotropins (Mordue (Luntz) & Blackwell, 1993; Williams & Mansingh, 1996). Previous report by Chander *et al.* (1999) showed Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> was effective at 20 mg.cm<sup>-2</sup> and above against *T. castaneum*. In this study, we have demonstrated that Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> has a latent effect on *T. castaneum* progenies as manifested by the reduced growth and development of immature and mature stages and the lesser production of adults. The present results imply that the use of natural products like neem extracts as pesticide would help controlling the storage pests in an environment-friendly way.

**Table 3.** Effects of Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup> treatment on the developmental periods, pupal and adult recoveries and sex-ratios in next generation *T. castaneum* progenies

Concentrations (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Developmental periods (days) (Mean ± SE)		Recovery (%) (Mean ± SE)				Sex-ratios ♂:♀
	Larval	Pupal	Pupal	% reduction <sup>@</sup>	Adult	% reduction <sup>@</sup>	
0.0	21.00 ± 0.58	6.00 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	90.48 ± 0.69 <sup>a</sup>	—	90.48 ± 0.69 <sup>a</sup>	—	1:1.08
0.5	21.67 ± 0.17	6.00 ± 0.33 <sup>a</sup>	85.81 ± 0.89 <sup>ab</sup>	5.16	85.81 ± 0.63 <sup>ab</sup>	5.16	1:1.09
1.0	22.33 ± 0.33	6.33 ± 0.33 <sup>ab</sup>	85.03 ± 1.61 <sup>ab</sup>	6.02	83.26 ± 1.34 <sup>ab</sup>	7.98	1:1.09
2.0	22.33 ± 0.60	6.67 ± 0.58 <sup>abc</sup>	83.38 ± 1.87 <sup>ab</sup>	7.85	82.25 ± 2.21 <sup>ab</sup>	9.1	1:1.10
4.0	22.33 ± 0.44	6.67 ± 0.58 <sup>abc</sup>	82.90 ± 2.10 <sup>ab</sup>	8.38	82.90 ± 2.21 <sup>ab</sup>	8.38	1:1.05
8.0	22.50 ± 0.29	7.33 ± 0.33 <sup>bc</sup>	80.86 ± 1.45 <sup>ab</sup>	10.63	80.37 ± 1.53 <sup>b</sup>	11.17	1:1.29
16.0	22.84 ± 0.73	7.67 ± 0.33 <sup>c</sup>	78.31 ± 3.90 <sup>b</sup>	13.45	77.15 ± 3.58 <sup>b</sup>	14.73	1:1.07
F-values	2.34 <sup>ns</sup>	8.11 <sup>**</sup>	5.86 <sup>**</sup>		6.46 <sup>**</sup>		

Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's test ( $P < 0.05$ ); <sup>@</sup> % reduction over control, \*\* $P < 0.01$ , ns = not significant

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Chairman, Department of Zoology, University of Rajshahi, for extending laboratory facilities and to ACI Crop Care, Bangladesh, for supplying Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup>.

### References

- Akou-Edi, D. 1984. Effects of neem seed powder and oil on *Tribolium confusum* and *Sitophilus zeamais*. In: *Natural pesticides from the neem tree (Azadirachta indica A. Juss) and other tropical plants. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Neem Conf.* (eds. Schmutterer, H. & Ascher, K.R.S.), pp. 445-452. Rauischholzhausen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- Babu, T.R., Reddy, V.S. & Hussaini, S.H. 1989. Effect of edible and nonedible oils on the development of the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) and on viability and yield of mugbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Tropical Sci.* **29**: 215-220.

- Banken, J.A.O. & Stark, J. 1997. Stage and age influence on the susceptibility of *Coccinella septempunctata* after direct exposure to Neemex, a neem insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **90**: 1102-1105.
- Butterworth, J.H. & Morgan, E.D. 1971. Investigation of the locust feeding inhibition of the seeds of the neem tree, *Azadirachta indica*. *J. Insect Physiol.* **17**: 969-977.
- Champ, B.R. & Dyte, C.E. 1976. Report of the FAO global survey of pesticides susceptibility of stored grain pests. In: *FAO Plant Production and Protection Series No. 5*, p. 297. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Chander, H., Nagender, A., Ahuja, D. K. & Berry, S.K. 1999. Laboratory evaluation of plant extracts as repellents to the rust red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst), on jute fabric. *Int. Pest. Contr.* **41**(1): 18-20.
- Fishwick, F.B. 1988. Pesticide residues in grain arising from postharvest treatments. *Aspects Appl. Biol.* **17**: 37-46.

- Golob, P. & Webley, D.J. 1980. The use of plants and minerals as traditional protectants of stored products. *Rep. Trop. Prod. Inst.* **G** 138.
- Haque, M.A., Nakakita, H., Ikenaga, H. & Sota, N. 2000. Development-inhibiting activity of some tropical plants against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J. stored Prod. Res.* **36**: 281-287.
- Hill, D.S. 1990. *Pests of stored products and their control*, pp. 89-251. Belhaven Press.
- Ivbijaro, M.F. 1983a. Preservation of cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. with the neem seed *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. *Prot. Ecol.* **5**: 177-182.
- Ivbijaro, M.F. 1983b. Toxicity of neem seed, *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss to *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) in stored maize. *Prot. Ecol.* **5**: 353-357.
- Jacobson, M. 1983. Phytochemicals for the control of stored product insects. In: *Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> Int. Wkg. Conf. Stored Prod. Entomol.*, pp. 182-195. Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA.
- Jacobson, M. 1989. Botanical pesticides: Past, present and future. In: *Insecticides of plant origin* (eds. Arnason, J.T., Philogene, B.J. & Morland, P.), pp. 1-10. American Chemical Society, Washington DC, USA.
- Jahan, M.S., Rahman, M.S. & Biswas, S. 1989. Toxic effect of some indigenous plants product on the larval mortality of *Tribolium confusum* Duv. (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Univ. J. Zool., Rajshahi Univ.* **8**: 19-26.
- Jilani, G. & Su, H.C.F. 1983. Laboratory studies on several plant materials as insect repellents for protection of cereal grains. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **76**: 154-157.
- Joseph, M., Mukherjee, S.N. & Sharma, R.N. 1994. Growth inhibition and impairment of reproductive potential in *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) by commercially available plant extracts. *Insect Sci. Appl.* **15**: 197-202.
- Khalequzzaman, M. & Islam M.N. 1992. Pesticidal action of Dhutura, *Datura metel* Linn. leaf extracts on *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *Bangladesh J. Zool.* **20**(2): 223-229.
- Lale, N.E.S. & Abdulrahman, H.T. 1999. Evaluation of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. juss) seed oil obtained by different methods and neem powder for the management of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in stored cowpea. *J. stored Prod. Res.* **35**: 135-143.
- Lale, N.E.S. & Mustapha, A. 2000. Potential of combining neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. juss) seed oil with varietal resistance for the management of the cowpea bruchid, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.). *J. stored Prod. Res.* **36**: 215-222.
- Lavie, D., Jain, M.K. & Shpan-Gabrielith, S.R. 1967. A locust phago-repellent from two media species. *Chem. Comm.* No. 13, 910-911.
- Liu, Z.L. & Ho, S.H. 1999. Bioactivity of the essential oil extracted from *Evodia rutaecarpa* Hook f. et Thomas against the grain storage insects, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *J. stored Prod. Res.* **35**: 317-328.
- Makanjuola, W.A. 1989. Evaluation of extracts of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) for the control of some stored product pests. *J. stored Prod. Res.* **25**(4): 231-237.
- Mondal, K.A.M.S.H., Hossain, G.M.M. & Ali, M.S. 1989. Effect of some indigenous plant materials on larval development of *Tribolium confusum* Duval (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Univ. J. Zool., Rajshahi Univ.* **8**: 31-34.
- Mordue (Luntz), A.J. & Blackwell, A. 1993. Azadirachtin: an update. *J. Insect. Physiol.* **39**: 903-924.
- Nawrot, J. & Harmatha, J. 1994. Natural products as antifeedants against stored products insects. *Postharv. News Inf.* **5**: 17N-21N.
- Ohazurike, N.C., Onuh, M. O. & Emeribe, E.O. 2003. The use of seed extracts of the physic nut (*Jatropha curcas* L.) in the control of maize weevil (*Sitophilus zeamais* M.) in stored maize grains (*Zea mays* L.). *Global J. Agric. Sci.* **2**(2): 86-88.
- Schmutterer, H. 1990. Properties and potential of natural pesticides from neem tree, *Azadirachta indica*. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.* **35**: 271-297.
- Schmutterer, H. & Rembold, H. 1995. Neem tree-source of unique natural products for integrated pest management, medicine industry and other purposes (ed. Schmutterer, H.), VCH, Weinheim, Germany.
- Senguttuvan, T., Kareem, A.A. & Rajendran, R. 1995. Effects of plant products and edible oils against rice moth *Corcyra cephalonica* Stainton in stored groundnuts. *J. stored Prod. Res.* **31**: 207-210.
- Sighamony, S., Anees, I., Chandrakala, T. & Osmani, Z. 1986. Efficacy of certain indigenous plant products as grain protectants against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Rhizopertha dominica* (F.). *J. stored Prod. Res.* **22**: 21-23.
- Sujatha, A. & Punnaiah, K.C. 1985. Effect of coating stored seeds of green gram with vegetable oils on the development of pulse beetle. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **55**: 475-477.
- Umoetok, S.B.A & Gerard, M.B. 2003. Comparative efficacy of *Acorus calamus* powder and two synthetic insecticides for control of three major insect pests of stored cereal grains. *Global J. Agric. Sci.* **2**(2): 94-97.
- Verkerk, R.H.J. & Wright, D.J. 1993. Biological activity of neem seed kernel extracts and synthetic azadirachtin against larvae of *Plutella xylostella* L. *Pestic. Sci.* **37**: 83-91.
- Vietmeyer, N.D. 1992. Neem: a tree for solving global problems. In: *Report of an ad hoc panel of the Board on Science and Technology for International Development*, pp. 141. National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.

- White, N.D.G. 1995. Insects, mites and insecticides in stored grain ecosystems. In: *Stored grain ecosystems* (eds. Jayas, D.S., White, N.D.G & Muir, W.E.), pp.123-168, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Williams, L.A.D & Mansingh, A. 1996. The insecticidal and acaricidal actions of compounds from *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss and their use in tropical pest management. *Int. Pest Manag. Rev.* **1**: 133-145.
- WMO. 1995. Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: World Meteorological Organization global ozone research and monitoring project. Report No. 37, WMO, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Wright, C.G., Leidy, R.B. & Dupree, Jr., H.E. 1993. Cypermethrin in the ambient air and on surface of rooms treated for cockroaches. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **51**: 356-360.
- Yadav, T.D. 1985. Antiovipositional and ovicidal toxicity of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) oil against three species of *Callosobruchus*. *Neem Newslet.* **2**: 5-6.
- Yusof, O. & Ho. S.H. 1992. A survey of insecticide resistance in *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. in Malaysia and Singapore. *J. Plant Prot. Tropics* **9**: 219-225.
- Zettler, J.L. 1982. Insecticide resistance in selected stored product insects infesting peanuts in the southern United States. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **75**: 359-362.
- Zettler, J.L. & Cuperus, G.W. 1990. Pesticide resistance in *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *Rhizopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in wheat. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **83**: 1677-1681.

*Revised manuscript received on 14 June 2007.*

