Editorial

Choosing Between Amalgam and Composite Resin Restorations in Dentistry

Khan A.[1], Ahmed R.[2]

1. **Atiquzzaman Khan,** Professor & Head, Dept. of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, University Dental College & Hospital.

2. **Rubaba Ahmed,** Professor, Dept. of Science of Dental Materials, University Dental College & Hospital.

Although enamel of tooth is the strongest tissue in human body, it is susceptible to acid produced by microorganisms responsible for caries occurring in mouth. When cavity develops in tooth, filling with a suitable restorative material is the treatment of choice. Dental fillings or restorative materials are designed to replace decay and restore a tooth's appearance, strength and structure.

In the realm of restorative dentistry, the choice between amalgam and composite resin restorations has been a topic of debate among practitioners and patients alike. Each material comes with its own set of advantages and limitations, making the decision complex and subjective. However, with advancements in dental technology and materials, it's essential to reassess the merits of each option in light of modern standards of care and patient preferences.

Amalgam fillings are made from a variety of metals such as silver, tin copper, zinc and mercury. Amalgam has been a stalwart in dentistry for over a century. Its durability, strength, and cost-effectiveness have made it a popular choice for posterior restorations. Amalgam fillings are relatively easy to place and have a long track of record of success. Additionally, they are less technique-sensitive compared to composite resin, making them suitable for a wide range of clinical scenarios.

Despite its advantages, the use of amalgam has declined in recent years due to concerns about its mercury content and aesthetics. While the American Dental Association (ADA) and other reputable dental organizations affirm the safety of amalgam when used appropriately, the perception of mercury exposure has led some patients and practitioners to seek alternative materials.

Composite resin, on the other hand, offers superior aesthetics and the ability to bond directly to tooth structure, preserving more natural tooth substance. This tooth-colored material can be precisely matched to the shade of the surrounding dentition, providing a seamless restoration that blends seamlessly with the patient's smile. Additionally, composite resin restorations are mercury-free, addressing the concerns associated with amalgam.

However, composite resin restorations have their own set of drawbacks. They are more technique-sensitive and time-consuming to place compared to amalgam. Additionally, composite resin may not be as durable as amalgam in high-stress areas of the mouth, leading to a higher risk of fracture or wear over time. Despite advancements in material science, composite resin may still be prone to staining and discoloration, especially in patients with habits like smoking or consuming staining foods and beverages.

As dentistry continues to evolve, the decision between amalgam and composite resin restorations should be

made on a case-by-case basis, taking into account factors such as the patient's oral health status, aesthetic concerns, budget, and personal preferences. Dentists must educate patients about the pros and cons of each material, empowering them to make informed decisions about their dental care.

Furthermore, continued research and development in dental materials are crucial for advancing the field of restorative dentistry. Innovations in composite resin formulations and bonding techniques may address some of the limitations associated with this material, making it an even more attractive option for patients and practitioners alike.

In conclusion, both amalgam and composite resin restorations have their place in modern dentistry. Rather than viewing them as competing alternatives, dentists should leverage the unique properties of each material to provide the best possible outcomes for their patients. By staying informed and embracing technological advancements, dentists can ensure that every restoration they place is a testament to both artistry and science.

References:

1. https://horizondental.clinic/amalgam-vs-composite-fillings/
2. https://www.zakdental.com/composite-restorations-vs-amalgam-restorations/
3. https://www.dentistryofwestbend.com/composite-vs-amalgam-fillings/

Address of Correspondence
Prof. Dr. Atiquzzaman Khan
Professor & Head, Dept. of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics
Editor in Chief, **Journal of Dentistry and Allied Science**
An official publication of University Dental College
E-mail: dratiquzzamankhan@yahoo.com